



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:


- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.


About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

The
Gordon Lester Ford
Collection
Presented by his Sons
Worthington Chauncy Ford
and
Paul Leicester Ford
to the
New York Public Library

NAA
Anti-Jacobine






1771

MA 3

Aug 24



THE
ANTI-JACOBIN
REVIEW AND MAGAZINE;

OR,
MONTHLY POLITICAL & LITERARY CENSOR:

FROM
FEBRUARY TO MAY (INCLUSIVE)
1807.

WITH AN APPENDIX,
CONTAINING
AN AMPLE REVIEW OF FOREIGN LITERATURE.

VOLUME XXVI.

LONDON:

Printed, for the PROPRIETORS, by Knight and Compton, Cloth Fair.

PUBLISHED AT THE ANTI-JACOBIN OFFICE, NO. 20, WYCH STREET, DRURY LANE, BY
J. WHITTLE; AND SOLD BY ALL THE BOOKSELLERS IN THE UNITED KINGDOMS
OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND; ALSO BY SERJEANT, NEW YORK.

1807.

W. A. Knight

THE NEW YORK
PUBLIC LIBRARY
166425
ASTOR, LENOX AND
TILDEN FOUNDATIONS
1890.

HISTORICAL SKETCH OF THE STATE OF EUROPE.

(From JANUARY to JUNE, 1807.)

BY adverting to our last *Historical Sketch*, prefixed to the *Twenty-fifth* Volume of our Review, our readers will find that we formed a just estimate of the Russian character, and that we knew how to appreciate the situation to which Buonaparte, by his natural temerity, and by an implicit reliance on his favourite deity; *Chance*, which had too long smiled upon him, had reduced himself. Subsequent events have fully justified all the conclusions which we then drew from an attentive consideration of the relative state of the belligerent powers, and of the actual situation of the different states of Europe. It has been our invariable opinion, from the very commencement of the French revolution, that there always existed, and that there still exists, sufficient *ability* to crush the revolutionary hydra which has long threatened the civilized world with ruin, and that nothing but the *will* has been wanted to give action and effect to that ability. Did a doubt remain on the subject, we would refer for its solution to the noble stand which Russia, aided only by the scanty remnant of the broken forces of Prussia, has made against the savage hordes of France. All that we predicted, respecting the vigour and efficacy of their exertions, has been completely fulfilled. They have *already* "exacted severe vengeance, in the morasses of Poland, for the defeat which they sustained in the plains of Moravia." These soldiers, whom the Corsican tyrant stigmatized as a barbarous and undisciplined rabble, have taught him to *feel* their superiority in discipline as well as in courage. In every action which has yet been fought, they have beat him by a display of skill and a knowledge of tactics as much as by their cool, steady, and determined bravery, and by their unshaken fortitude. Prudence and vigour combined to mark all the operations of the Russian army, from the opening of the campaign to the battle of Eylau. In that action the Russians manifested an union of all the talents and endowments which are requisite to form great generals and good soldiers. The Corsican was *out-generalled*, (to use a vulgar expression) as well as exceeded in every other point that was necessary to ensure success. The fickle Goddess, Fortune, forsook him; victory fled from his standard, and, superior only in numbers, in all other respects inferior, he had the mortification to find his plan disconcerted, his efforts fruitless, his hopes blasted, and his force diminished by more than *forty thousand men*, though reduced, by the magic power of his revolutionary pen, to *five thousand*! The position of the Russians at Eylau was the best that could be taken for the protection of *Koningberg*, on the one hand, and of *Dantzic* on the other; and had Buonaparte succeeded in his attempt to get in their rear, and then to drive them from the field, those towns would have been left, in a great measure, at his mercy. The subsequent conduct of the Russians has been eminently prudent. They have so stationed themselves as to give effectual protection to the Prussian posts, and to receive the immense reinforcements which constantly join them. It is true, indeed, that the French also receive accessions of strength; but, when the Russians are once strong enough to move forwards, in conjunction with the Prussians, whose numbers too are considerably increased, the consequence of a victory will

be decisive. Leaving their wounded behind them, they will then rush forward, with the same impetuosity which Suwarrow displayed in Italy; drive the enemy before them from place to place, and give him no rest, till expelled from Germany. Nor will the effect of a defeat, on the other hand, be equally decisive in favour of France. The Russians are not less resolute in retreat, than impetuous in pursuit; they will defend every inch of ground; and should Buonaparte prove successful in every attack, and drive them back to their own frontier, his own numbers will, to a certainty, be so thinned, as to reduce him to the necessity of retracing his steps; while the approach of a fresh army from Russia will enable his enemy again to advance. This conclusion will appear obvious to every one who has attended to the memorable campaign of Suwarrow in Italy, and to the recent operations of the Russians in Poland. The Cossacks, too, which attend their army, are most formidable to the French, whom they incessantly harass, by night and by day, slaughtering great numbers by a mode of warfare to which they are little accustomed, and which, naturally enough, they very much dread.

The KING OF PRUSSIA must now, from necessity not less than from interest, remain firm to his engagements, to conclude no treaty with the Corsican, without the concurrence of his Russian protector, and his British ally; and means will now be supplied to enable him to arm any number of his well-disposed subjects, to augment the general force. Had he yielded to the proposals of Buonaparte, Frederick William had, ere this, ceased to be a monarch, and had sunk into a degraded vassal of the most inexorable, the most merciless, the most sanguinary, of tyrants. As it is, though dispossessed of the greater part of his dominions, he is still a King, and will be restored to the possession not merely of his territory, but of his independence and his power also. Even should the Russians, contrary to all hope and expectation, sustain a defeat, and be driven back beyond their own frontier, he may not only retreat with them, and find a sure and honourable asylum in the dominions of Alexander, but be certain, at no distant period, to recover his own.

The KING OF SWEDEN, with a heroism almost peculiar to himself, and which perpetually makes us regret that his means are not equal to his spirit, sets all the power of France at defiance, and contributes more than his portion towards the emancipation of Europe. The check which his troops recently received, from a miscalculation of the enemy's force, was not sufficient to induce this gallant Prince to sanction the disgraceful armistice concluded by his General, Von Essen. He has, on the contrary, reinforced his army, and again prepared to take the field; and whatever skill and courage can achieve, we may confidently predict, will be accomplished by the Swedes, under the guidance of such a Sovereign. They will serve materially to keep a part of the French force employed, and so to prevent it from joining the main army; and, in the event of a victory gained by the Russians, he will effectually harass the French in their retreat.

Austria, meanwhile, who holds, as it were, the balance of Europe in her hands, remains a mere spectator of the surrounding scene. Whether this passiveness be the result of any secret understanding with Russia, or whether it be the consequence of a settled system of inaction, a short time will suffice to demonstrate. If the former, she is waiting till Russia shall be able to push forward with her whole force, when the Austrian army may advance

Historical Sketch of the State of Europe.

vance in the rear of the French from Bohemia, and cut off their retreat. Such a step would, at once, crush the tyrant, and rescue subjugated Europe from his iron yoke. If the latter be the case, if by a perseverance in the same timid and mistaken policy which has lately marked his conduct, the Emperor Francis, deaf alike to the suggestions of wisdom, the voice of experience, and the dictates of self-preservation, should resolve to remain neuter, and to limit his impotent efforts to the preservation of his neutrality, his situation will be perilous. Should France prove ultimately victorious, his ruin will be certain, and in the fate of Sardinia, Naples, and Prussia, he may read his own. And should Russia prevail in the contest, the Imperial Alexander will not look with an eye of satisfaction on a line of conduct which, he will know, could only be dictated by fear or by treachery. And what resistance Austria could make to the conqueror of France it would be difficult to conjecture. At all events, she will have made a formidable enemy, where she might have secured a most powerful friend; and, if no immediate loss of territory or of power should ensue, she will, at least, be placed in a state of constant uneasiness and alarm, between Russia on the one side, and France on the other; while she will have forfeited all pretensions to character and all claim to respect—a consideration of primary importance to a great nation.

We have no sufficient data on which to form any thing like a rational opinion as to the conduct which Austria, thus situated, will pursue. It has been generally supposed that Francis himself is of a pacific disposition; that the Archduke Charles, who has conceived some disgust at the English (whether since Mr. Adair has resided at Vienna, or before, we know not), has confirmed him in his sentiments; and that the Queen (whom he has lately lost) exerted her influence over him for the purpose of persuading him to adopt a system of policy more consonant with his dignity, and more conducive to his security. If this supposition be correct, and if no change of circumstances has occurred to produce a corresponding change of disposition, there is little to hope from the exertions of Austria. But we have some reason to doubt the accuracy of this statement; and are more inclined to believe, that the influence and firmness of the Russian Emperor will ultimately subdue all other influence in the mind of Francis; an effect which the departure of Mr. Adair from Vienna, and the circumstances which gave rise to it, will contribute, not a little, to produce.

In *Sicily*, the last refuge of the exiled King of Naples, where, it might naturally be expected, all would unite in one common effort for self-defence, and in one common sentiment of indignation against the Tyrant of Europe, and of gratitude to those who enable them to resist his final exertions for their utter destruction, strange to say! the same spirit of party prevails, which, at the beginning of the French revolution, obtained among the emigrants from that devoted country. There subsists in that island three different parties;—the King's party, the Queen's party, and the party of the Nobles; the last of which is infected with revolutionary ideas, and, as far as they are capable of attachment, are attached to the destroyers of their country. Such reptiles ought to be crushed; and the sooner they are consigned to the gallows, the better. While the English troops remain there, however, no bad consequences can ensue from this discord.

If we cast our eyes upon the *Turkish Empire*, we shall there behold the

sad fruits of British imbecility;—a government and a people overawed by foreign influence, and depending for their preservation, not on their own strength, not on their own exertions, not on their own resources, but on the opposite interests of the neighbouring states;—under more obligations to Britain than to any other power, and having stronger temptations to court her alliance, she has of late yielded to French intrigue, the effects of which have been confirmed by our late most injudicious and most impolitic conduct.

In this state of Europe, what remains to be done? what line of policy to be pursued? It is in vain, we fear, to think of restoring the old establishments of Europe, or the ancient balance of power. New establishments must be formed, in the present situation of affairs; a new balance settled, a new system of policy adopted. It is too much to expect, that France, if checked in her career of conquest, and compelled to retire within her ancient frontier, will tamely submit to have a bridle imposed on her ambition, or suffer treaties, however solemn, to restrain her from attempts to recover her lost superiority. The nations immediately adjacent, if divided, as they are at present, by various causes, local, natural, and artificial, will oppose but a feeble and impotent barrier to the arms of France. It becomes, then, a matter of necessity, that the other great powers of Europe should receive a considerable accession of strength, and should bind themselves by a solemn league vigorously to resist the smallest effort of the common enemy to interfere in the concerns of other states, or to extend his own territory. For this, and for other reasons, Europe should be moulded anew; the Turks should be driven into their native Asia, and left at once to occupy and to amuse themselves—the only occupation for which they are fit, and the only amusement which they are worthy to enjoy—with the performance of pilgrimages to the shrine of their Prophet. In a temporal point of view, they will find it much better to be the dupes of their own impostor than of a foreign usurper; of Mahomet than of Buonaparte. This done, Dalmatia, Bosnia, Servia, and a part of Wallachia, should be assigned to Austria; Moldavia, and the remaining part of European Turkey, including the Capital, and the total command of the Dardanelles, should be given to Russia; to Prussia, Hanover and Holland should be allotted; Saxony might retain her situation; Bavaria should be transferred to the King of Naples; and all the other petty States of Germany should be consolidated into one kingdom, and the Prince of Orange placed at its head. Great Britain should have Sicily, and all the islands of the Archipelago now belonging to the Turks, with Alexandria, Damietta, and Suez, for her portion. It would be foreign to our purpose, and would likewise greatly exceed our allotted bounds, to assign all the reasons which may be urged in favour of such an arrangement, and in answer to the objections which may rationally be pressed against it. But we live at an epoch when every thing presents a new aspect; when all the ancient bulwarks which formerly secured the independence of individual states have been overthrown; and when one gigantic power has arisen, threatening to overwhelm all others in one vast gulph of destruction. The past success of that power has succeeded, in the first place, from the adoption of new means, from the pursuit of new plans, and from a total disregard of all those forms, rules, and modes of proceeding, which had, for centuries, regulated the conduct of civilized states; and, in the second

place,

place, from the vain endeavour to resist him by the opposition of *ordinary* means, and of *common* efforts. It is necessary, therefore, at length, to adapt the means of resistance to the extent of the danger which threatens us; and no scheme, no regulation, can be proposed to which some objections, and specious objections, too, may not be urged; but, on cool examination, the advantages of some such plan as that which we have suggested above will, we are persuaded, be found greatly to overbalance all the evils which may be supposed likely to result from its adoption.

France, in the absence of her Tyrant and his armed banditti, presents a mere blank in the map; while her youth are sent, like her first *volunteers* in the cause of *liberty*, handcuffed and fettered to the armies; while her best blood is drained to glut the ambitious rage of an alien Usurper; she is left even without the sad privilege of complaint. The mouths of Frenchmen are sealed; their hands are tied; their *press* is dumb, or speaks only to retail the lies of their master, and to pour forth the strains of adulation at his feet. Satiated with foreign conquests, which have been purchased not only with their blood, but with their comforts and their happiness, the shouts of victory and the groans of defeat are alike indifferent to their ear, as well as to their hearts. Of the trades and manufactures, of the commercial pursuits and scientific researches, of the *Great Nation*, no more is heard. The mandates of Buonaparte, his lying bulletins, and the servile decrees of his slavish Senate, monopolize the press; and *tyranny* and *fear* extend their dominion from one extremity of the empire to the other. This is as it should be—'tis the merited fate of rebels and regicides. Let them smart under the lash which they have woven for themselves; they have no claim to pity or relief. It is some consolation, however, to others, as well as to them, to know that the Tyrant is not happier than his slaves. It is a fact, that on the irruption of Buonaparte into Germany last year, while the British Envoy was dancing attendance on his Ministers at Paris, he *honoured* his own Monarch of Wirtemberg with a visit. A splendid dinner was, of course, provided for the Imperial guest; but the suspicious Corsican left all the dainties untouched, and confined himself to half a dozen eggs, *dressed by his own cook*; and to some beverage prepared by one of his own attendants. "Suspicion," 'tis said, "ever haunts the guilty mind;" the Usurper knew that every foreign prince must wish him dead, and, judging of them by himself, he naturally concluded that any one of them would murder him. Thus he carries at least some portion of his punishment along with him; and, we trust, that before he quits the morasses of Poland he will be made to feel its full weight.

Since our last *Sketch* a great revolution has occurred in the councils of our country. That Ministry, which so long mocked the hopes of the nation, has been dismissed, and they have the mortification to know that they are indebted for the loss of their places to their own presumption and folly. We before took a brief view of their conduct while in office; and though there were certainly some individuals among them possessed of knowledge, experience, and talents, yet, in the aggregate, no Ministers ever betrayed such consummate ignorance and such absolute imbecility in governing the affairs of a powerful kingdom. Though, in our summary of their early proceedings, we adduced sufficient proofs to justify this assertion, yet their subsequent conduct has been such, both in their measures of hostility and in their internal policy, as completely to establish the va-

lidity of the charge. We are not among those who are disposed to undervalue the conquest of *Monte Video*, or to condemn the late Ministers for regaining a footing on the continent of South America. We admit the importance of such an accession to our strength and resources; we are confident that, from the possession of that fertile country, we should derive advantages, in a commercial and political point of view, of the utmost consequence. An extraordinary union, however, of prudence and of vigour, of cautious and of resolute, conduct, on our part, will be necessary to ensure these benefits. Any attempt to hold the country in *opposition to the people* will, unquestionably, be fruitless: we cannot subdue them by our arms; but we may win them to our interest, by giving them independence, and by affording them protection against the Spanish Government. But the success of this expedition cannot possibly afford to the Ministers who planned it a justification for the inadequacy of the means provided for carrying it into effect. It is perfectly clear, from the published account of the commanding officer, that, had the siege been protracted only three days longer, or had our fleet fallen in with an enemy on its passage, the whole stock of gunpowder had been exhausted; and the troops must have remained passive on board the ships. To what individual, or to what department of the Government, blame must attach for such gross misconduct, we pretend not to decide, but it certainly ought to become the subject of parliamentary investigation. It has been said, indeed, that the deficiency of powder was meant to be supplied; that a vessel, destined for the purpose, received her cargo on board, and was nearly ready to sail, when she was found to be not *sea-worthy*; and that another ship was provided, and half laden, when the necessity for a reinforcement of troops was discovered, in consequence of which she too was unloaded, and the powder remained on shore. It has also been whispered that guns of one size, and balls of another, were sent, which, of course, rendered both guns and balls useless. But, be this as it may, the insufficiency of the means is established beyond the reach of confutation, and exhibits one among a thousand proofs of the incapacity of the late Cabinet.

Incapacity still more glaring was displayed in the late senseless and impotent attempt upon the Turks. It was a sound and wise policy to detach the Turkish government, by any means, from the French; and to support our Russian allies against them. But nothing could be so impolitic or so dangerous, as to make use of threats without providing the means for carrying them into execution. Had Admiral Duckworth been supplied with troops, the batteries which guard the passage of the Dardanelles might, with facility, have been destroyed; and no danger could have attended his retreat, after he had accomplished his object, or given up the attempt. But no such precaution was taken: restricted, no doubt, by his instructions, the Admiral lost so much time in negotiation, that the season for action passed away, and he was compelled to retreat, which he did with difficulty, and after sustaining considerable loss. In consequence of this disgraceful attempt, we are now despised by the people who before respected us; while the French influence at Constantinople is confirmed; and the Turks afford employment to a large body of Russian troops, which would otherwise strengthen the grand army in Poland.

While the late Ministers exhibited such signal proofs of inability in the conduct of the war, their policy at home was equally flagrant. In the whole

whole progress of that transaction which ended in their dismissal, there are evident marks of duplicity and deception. That his Majesty's consent was only, in the first instance, obtained or solicited for a simple extension of the Irish Act of 1793, with all its privileges and with all its *restrictions*, to this country, it is not even pretended to deny; and that, in a subsequent stage of the business, when the majority of the Cabinet had resolved to carry the measure to a much more dangerous length, the intention was studiously kept from his Majesty's knowledge, no one, who reads with attention the published Speech of Lord Sidmouth, can for a moment entertain a doubt. In our review of that speech* our readers are referred for a proof of this extraordinary fact, which, of itself, is sufficient to condemn the conduct of the Ministers. Indeed, not the smallest excuse can be made for them; for when they first communicated their original plan to his Majesty, he accompanied his *reluctant* consent with a positive declaration that he would *not go one step further*. Yet they determine to go further themselves, and pretend, from his Majesty's *silence*, to infer his assent to a measure to which he had previously assured them, in the most direct and positive terms, that he never would assent! Though *duplicity* could not be carried to a greater extent, yet *insult* could: when forced to an explanation with their Sovereign, *after* they had committed themselves with Parliament, they condescended to abandon the measure; but insisted on reserving to themselves the right of bringing it forward whenever they should please. Now the reservation of such right was an act of supererogation, unless they actually meant to agitate the question again, and to consider the admission of the right by the King as a pledge on his Majesty's part to give his sanction to the discussion; because no one ever doubted the right of a minister to suggest to his sovereign any measures which he might deem essential to the public good. The King, then, considering it in this, its true, light, was reduced to the necessity not only of resisting the application, but of demanding an assurance from these imperious and pertinacious servants that they never would press upon him again a measure to which his duty and his conscience forbade him to accede. But though they urged their Royal master to give a tacit assurance to them that he would comply with their wishes, they absolutely refused to give him an assurance of a similar nature. Nor did their insolence stop here. For when they found that they had lost the confidence of their insulted Sovereign, they obstinately persisted in keeping their places, contrary to the uniform practice in all analogous cases, until they should receive a formal dismissal. By such conduct they could only hope to render their Sovereign unpopular; and, indeed, this was not the only step taken for that *honourable* purpose. By a measure equally unconstitutional and unprecedented, they dared to arraign their master, as it were, before both Houses of Parliament; and to bring him to issue with his servants. But, to the credit of the nation, the blow has recoiled upon themselves; and while the resolute and magnanimous conduct of the King has received a just tribute of gratitude from his admiring subjects, his faithless and insolent Ministers have become objects of execration to the country.

Never, indeed, had a monarch such strong, such irresistible, claims to the affection and confidence of his people, as our venerable Sovereign; who,

* In the Number for the present month of May.

advancing in years and in virtue, unites to the wisdom of experience, the mental vigour of youth. On this occasion, he has nobly vindicated his right to the proud distinction of "DEFENDER OF THE FAITH;" he has manifested a clear and just sense of his important duties; and, true to his oath, he has proved himself the firm friend of the Protestant religion; the vigilant guardian of the Established Church. May the sun of content illumine the evening of his life; and may the benedictions of Heaven be showered upon his head!

The questions submitted to Parliament by the friends of the late Ministers could have no other object or tendency than to justify the conduct of those Ministers, and to condemn that of their Sovereign. The men selected for the purpose of bringing them forward shewed the prudence and deliberation with which the business was conducted. Lord Stafford and Mr. Brand were known to be men of respectable characters, and very different indeed, in every respect, from the general herd of their needy and violent partisans. And motions from such men, it was very well understood, would be received with attention, while, if proposed by others, they would be rejected with scorn. But this cannot, in any degree, alter the nature of the measure itself, which, in whatever point of view it be considered, is utterly indefensible. By one of the *bishops* who voted for Lord Stafford's motion, in the House of Peers, it has, we know, been considered as a question declaratory only of a constitutional right possessed by his Majesty's Ministers to give their Sovereign such advice as they should deem essential to the welfare of the state. Now, as we have before observed, no man ever questioned the existence of such a right; and, therefore, if it were possible to consider this as a mere abstract question of such a nature, we contend that it should have been unanimously rejected, as unnecessary as nugatory, as tending to trifle with the time, and to lower the dignity of the House. If, on the other hand, it must be considered as a question arising out of particular circumstances; as having a practical reference to, and an intimate connection with, those circumstances, it is impossible to form a correct estimate of its merits or demerits, without taking the circumstances themselves which constitute its basis into consideration. That it must be so considered is perfectly clear, when it is recollected that a part of the same motion went to express confidence in his Majesty's late Ministers, and regret at their dismissal. The latter indeed formed the principal part of the motion, which, to obviate all misconception, we shall here transcribe.

"That this House, feeling the necessity of a firm and stable administration at this very important crisis of public affairs, resolve, that it is impossible to view, without the deepest regret, the change that has recently taken place in his Majesty's Councils, which regret is considerably increased by the causes to which that change has been ascribed, it being the opinion of this House, that it is the first duty of the responsible ministers of the crown not to restrain themselves by any pledge, expressed or implied, from giving any advice to his MAJESTY, which, to the best of their judgment, the course of circumstances may render necessary, for the honour of his MAJESTY'S CROWN, and the security of his dominions."

Will any man be bold enough to contend that this is a mere abstract question?—or that it does not directly involve the whole question at issue between the KING and his Ministers? It is not possible, we maintain, to give an affirmative to the motion, without approving the conduct of the latter

latter and condemning that of the former. How, then, the prelate to whom we have alluded, can possibly have persuaded himself into a belief, that, condemning, as he professes to do, the proceedings of the late Cabinet in respect of the Romanists; hostile, as he avows himself, to any farther concessions whatever, to that body of his Majesty's subjects; and declaring, as he has done, that had Lord Howick's bill been brought before the House of Peers, it should have had his decided negative; how, we say, his Lordship, so feeling, and so saying, could bring his mind to conceive that he might with propriety vote for Lord Stafford's motion, we have not sufficient knowledge of the operations of that mind to enable us to form even a rational conjecture. It is, indeed, an enigma which we shall not waste our time by any endeavour to solve.

We have vital objections to such a motion, from whomever it may proceed, as we regard it as an indirect attack on the constitutional principle, that the King can do no wrong. It amounts to nothing less, than a public accusation of the Sovereign before the House of Lords; and, if admitted to pass without reprobation, it would establish a precedent that would go very near to sanction all the rebellious proceedings against our first Charles, which we are annually called upon to expiate by a solemn commemoration, by penitence and prayer: How the Marquis of Stafford, whom neither nature nor habit has formed for party contentions or political strife, could be induced to lend himself as an instrument on such an occasion, it is somewhat difficult to conceive. Fortunately the House maintained its own honour by rejecting the motion, by a decisive majority, though it departed not a little from its constitutional dignity, by receiving it, and making it the subject of discussion. Lord RADNOR, with his usual wisdom and good sense, objected, on a subsequent day, to such a proceeding; and, if the forms of the House would admit of it, we hope to see his Lordship's protest, on the occasion, recorded on its journals.

In the House of Commons the debate was conducted with singular violence, asperity, and indecorum. A man, who had held the high situation of Solicitor General to the Crown, had the audacity to libel, in the most gross and unwarrantable manner, a nobleman as much his superior in every valuable endowment of the mind, as in rank; and even to extend his libel to the first court of judicature in the realm. In an ironical delineation of the extent of the royal prerogative, this conscientious gentleman did not scruple to say—"He (the King) may call to his service a man who has been convicted by that House of a gross violation of the law."!!!—Had this *Crown Lawyer* condescended to indicate that part of the Constitution which delegated to the House of Commons the power of conviction for criminal offences, he might have communicated some information of considerable use to his patrons:—Had this *Whig-orator* extended his kindness so far as to point out the law which imparts to any court, or assembly, whatever, the right of conviction without trial, the partisans of *Turkish despotism* would have been greatly obliged to him. But never was a fouler libel pronounced, either on the House of Commons or on the British Constitution. It is, indeed, a melancholy and a most disgraceful fact, that one House of Commons did not only convict, but punish, Lord MELVILLE without trial, and for an alledged offence which he was afterwards proved not to have committed. But the whole proceeding was a disgrace to the country; and the support of it, by men professing Whig principles (though

acting

acting in direct contradiction to all the principles of the Whigs of 1688), only tends to demonstrate the truth of a common observation, that modern Whigs are the greatest of tyrants. The orator proceeded, amidst the cheers of his accomplices, thus—"Who has been brought to trial and acquitted;"—*after conviction*, be it observed;—"but so acquitted, that not one of his powerful friends in that House had ever yet ventured to move the rescinding of the resolutions which stood against him;"—(if the Ministers had had any sense of decency, or any notion of justice, they would have themselves proposed to rescind the resolutions, which can only disgrace the persons who supported, and the House which adopted, them)—"who could not come into the other House of Parliament without reading in the looks of men around him the sentence passed upon him, and who must still have resounding in his ears the words, 'Guilty, upon my Honour.'" A more scurrilous and indecent attack never issued from the lips of a popular declaimer at Copenhagen House. What, shall a lawyer have the effrontery to tell the world, that *accusation* is tantamount to *conviction*; and that *condemnation* and *acquittal* are synonymous terms! Is this the language of a British senator? Are such false insinuations, such cowardly attacks, as these to be tolerated in the discussion of a great constitutional question? It is here insinuated, too plainly to be mistaken, that the acquittal of Lord MELVILLE was unjust; and, hence it is evident that, if his Lordship's friends had been in power, his acquittal would immediately have been ascribed to party spirit and political intrigue. Fortunately, however, for his Lordship, not only his enemies but his accusers, his persecutors, were in power; and the only party spirit and political intrigue that were exerted respecting his trial (and a great deal of both *was* exerted) were employed for the purpose of producing a sentence of condemnation. Justice, however, prevailed over malice; and the whole world was convinced, that his Lordship was an injured and persecuted man, whom a desperate and unprincipled party had laboured to sacrifice to their own ambitious hopes and fears. The last House of Commons, then, were highly culpable in suffering reflections to be thrown out, alike repugnant to decency and to justice. We will not stop to enquire how far such sentiments are becoming in a man, who is a *Lawyer*, and who *may be a Judge*.

Mr. Grattan, in this debate, libelled the two Universities, and, as usual, betrayed his own ignorance of the subject of discussion. In the resolute stand, made by the SOVEREIGN, and his friends, against the dangers which threatened the church, he descried, with his accustomed sagacity, the *ruin of all parts of the Empire*. Had his intellects been no clearer in 1798, his advice would have been of little service to his friends *Neilson* and *Hughes*, and his name would not have figured so conspicuously in the Report of the Secret Committee of the Irish House of Lords.

But, to return from this digressive excursion, it is a fact that the KING, in resisting the claims of his Ministers, in changing his councils, and in defending the Established Church against measures which he justly deemed decidedly hostile to it, acted from the sole influence of his own enlightened and conscientious mind. And all the clamours which have been raised about *secret influence*, and attempts to *poison the royal mind*, are the last expiring struggles of a defeated party, which seeks, in falsehood, a refuge from disgrace. The King, when resolved to change his Ministers, sent for two noblemen, whose services he had formerly experienced, and whose principles

principles and conduct be highly approved. To them he issued his commands, declaring that he *could no longer bear with his present servants*. In this he acted in strict conformity with the principles of the constitution, and only exercised an acknowledged and undisputed prerogative of the crown. When such an expression could be extorted from the lips of the **SOVEREIGN**, it may easily be conceived with what disrespect and insolence he had been treated. The truth is, that a most formidable aristocratic faction had been formed, which, confident in its own strength, presumed to dictate to the **KING** and to tyrannize over the people. And had they not been checked in their career, by the fortitude of their master, on an occasion which their own folly and presumption supplied, the **KING** would speedily have been reduced to a mere cypher in the State, and an aristocratical government erected on the ruins of the Throne, though exercising its odious power under the name and semblance of a Monarchy.

As to the proposed concessions to the Romanists, though they were highly dangerous to the established religion, and though the attempt to carry them into effect would have produced confusion, and perhaps mutiny, in our navy; they would neither have fulfilled the exaggerated expectations, nor have increased the actual comforts, of the great body of the Romanists. They were, indeed, only calculated to gratify the ambition of a few of the nobility and gentry; and the subsequent conduct of the Romanists, who have congratulated the King on the change of his Ministers, sufficiently testify their sense of the measure. But in order to convey to our readers a more comprehensive idea of the state of the question, as it affects Ireland, and of the relative situation of the Romanists and the Protestants, in that country, of which Englishmen in general are woefully ignorant, we shall lay before our readers the speech of Mr. GIFFARD, in the Common Council of Dublin, on the 18th of March last, which contains much curious matter, as well as many just reflections; on the inflammatory oration of Mr. Keogh.

"We are called upon once more to perform the painful task of defending ourselves against our own Countrymen, whose violence we must endeavour to oppose with temperance and moderation, though we may look with more apprehension at any prospect of their success, than even at the attacks of the Corsican assassin, with whose auxiliary power they would now intimidate us.

"For some weeks past the Newspapers have been thronged with Speeches said to have been delivered at Meetings of the Roman Catholics of Ireland, at which Meetings were said to be discussed a negotiation with Government respecting their demands, and the pretensions on which these demands are founded.

"Far be it from the Assembly which I address to interfere between any subject and his right of petitioning the Parliament or the Throne; it is his most valuable privilege—a privilege which, though violated in the person of one of your Members, should never be relinquished by the subjects of Great Britain, or infringed by any Statesman who regarded his duty.

"Great part of the Speeches which I have mentioned, are composed of allusions to the former conduct of these Noblemen and Gentlemen, who compose the present Government, mixed with charges of inconsistency and upbraidings for bad faith. I desire to be distinctly understood, as not entering

tering into this part of the dispute.—I have read of Conjurors, who having raised an evil spirit were unable to lay him, and sell the victims of their own indiscretion. If any man has raised the spirit of Popery, let him now lay his devil as he can. I stand here on behalf of the Protestants of Ireland, who have been vilified, traduced, and belied, by those who should speak of them with gratitude and veneration.

“ It would be in the highest degree ridiculous in this place, and within the very short space of time which is allowed for our debates, to enter into polemical disputation on religious subjects; I shall, therefore, only say of the Protestants of Ireland, that, satisfied with the purity of their own doctrine, they persecute no man, condemn no man to the stake, make no solemn processions to immolate unhappy victims—pray not for the destruction, but for the conversion and salvation of their enemies; condemn no man to everlasting perdition; but forgive, and if the continuance of Popish demands did not prevent them, would forget the atrocities recorded in that book of inviolate truth, Sir R. Musgrave’s Account of the Rebellions of Ireland. This book is, no doubt, exceedingly galling to the Romanists, as it paints them in their true colours. Never was man better fitted for the task than Sir Richard; a Gentleman of rank and fortune, highly educated and endowed, the very votary of truth, and living chiefly amongst them. He has not set forth a syllable without annexing the record or other document on which it is founded: how much more becoming would it be of the Romanists to say, we have sinned; we repent, and will hereafter be loyal, than to abuse the recorder of their atrocities, and to threaten us with their future vengeance. I rejoice, however, that they have spoken out—their meeting was unanimous, and I have therefore a right to assume that those speeches contain their general sentiment.

“ The Agitators of Ireland, observing how much the world has been taken with cant words and nick-names, have appropriated to themselves the title Catholic, to the exclusion of the whole Christian Church.—Every one knows that Catholic means universal, and for more than seven hundred years after our Blessed Lord, the Christian Church was Catholic—that is, her faith and her doctrines were universally the same in the East and in the West. We know that this was so, and we hope that in God’s good time it will be so again.—But in the eighth century the Bishop of Rome set up for himself, and separating from the Eastern or Greek Church—pursued doctrines and practices of his own invention, till, by the wonderful success of Priestcraft, he raised himself to be Arbitrator of the Western world, the Setter-up and the Puller-down of Kings and Princes—until the cup of his abomination being full, Christians could no longer endure it. Pious and learned men made research into the early times, and into the pure and original doctrines of primitive Christianity, with the aid of some wise and virtuous Sovereigns, particularly our glorious Elizabeth—they restored Christianity to its original purity. But these reformers and this reformation were persecuted with fire and sword by the Pope and his adherents, nor has their wrath and malignity abated one jot from the reign of the bloody insatuated bigot, Mary, to the present hour.

“ Thus, then, it appears that the Church of Rome is neither Catholic nor Primitive, neither the old nor the universal Church—but a dangerous sect, injurious wherever it has power to all who differ from it.

“ The Orator is, therefore, a little astray in his history, when he asserts that

that Ireland received the doctrine of Rome in the fifth century. Saint Patrick, if ever there was such a Saint, or any other Missionary, who might have preached here, could not preach Popery before there was a Pope: if he preached in the fifth century, he preached three hundred years before the separation of Rome from the Greek Church, which happened in the eighth century: he therefore preached true Christianity, and that he did is manifest from the opposition made by the Irish Bishops and Clergy, on the invasion of this Country by Henry II. when Pope Adrian presumed to grant away this island, as a fief of the Church of Rome. The Irish Bishops and Clergy, to their everlasting honour, boldly resisted the impious assumption, declaring that the Church of Ireland was perfectly independent on that of Rome.

"Of the religion of Alfred, who lived about the time of the separation of the Churches, I am not enabled to speak; but of this I am certain, that he was an excellent politician; and if such speeches as we have lately seen reported had been made in his time and in his dominion, he would have severely punished the *Hundred* which allowed them.

"They say that their Religion is that of our Henrys and of our Edwards, the Conquerors of Poitiers, Agincourt, and Cressy: doubtless they say the truth; for they speak of a time when not only they

*But all the Kings of Christendom
Were led most grossly by that meddling Priest,
Dreading the curse which money might buy out;
And for the lucre of vile gold, dirt trash,
Purchased corrupted pardon of a man
Who in that sale sold pardon from his soul.*

"Accordingly we see this very Henry, to whom Pope Adrian granted Ireland, submitting to be whipped before the altar of a seditious Priest; John resigning his crown to the Pope, and even the great Henry V. committing the most abominable cruelties from religious zeal.

"Much is it to be lamented that the spirit which inspired the Irish Prelates and Clergy of the twelfth century, when they asserted their rights as a Church totally independent of Rome, has not fallen upon their successors. Had their mitres, like Elijah's mantle, conveyed a portion of their spirit, how many miseries would this Country have avoided; for, to the busy interference of a foreign Priest, whose views and interests were always hostile to the Sovereign power, even when in communion with him, may all our misfortunes be traced: it is not yet too late; we meddle not with the Romanists in matters purely of Faith; it is their politics which bar their entrance on what they so much desire; it is their having made those dangerous politics an article of Religion which excludes them; it is their looking beyond the authority of their Sovereign to the imaginary power of a Foreigner, the abominable purposes which that Foreigner and those acting by his authority have made of this superstition, and which they again may make, and keeps us in alarm.—Let the Romanists again, as their predecessors in Henry the II'd's time did, assert their independence on Rome: let them swear, as we have sworn, that no foreign Prince, State, or Potentate, has or ought to have any jurisdiction, authority, supremacy or superiority, temporal or spiritual, within this Realm; and, for one, I am
ready

ready to meet them with my whole heart ; for, with all their faults, they are still my Countrymen.

“ And surely no time ever presented an happier opportunity for such a reconciliation than the present ; when they have seen the usurping Head of their Church, who once possessed Imperial power, whose thunders were heard to fulminate throughout the earth, and before whom even Kings were seen to tremble, fallen from his high estate, dragged from the ancient seat of his authority, and compelled to attend the ruthless Tyrant at Paris. All this, no doubt, is subject of pity and compassion. But what followed ? What were our feelings when we saw this degraded Priest profaning and prostituting the sacred rites of Religion, anointing and crowning the blood stained Monster who had publicly renounced Christianity and professed the doctrine of Mahomet ? Here our pity ceases and our contempt prevails. It may be said, he was forced to the act ; he could not resist. What ! Shall we be told that any force should compel a Christian Bishop to an act of sacrilege and apostacy ? He should have refused : he would have been murdered—but he would have died the martyr of religion and of honour : by complying he lives—he lives the degraded slave of Buonaparte ; he lives to make Irish Bishops—those Bishops make Irish Priests, those Priests are the directors of our deluded Countrymen. And under these circumstances, will any man say that any doctrine which Buonaparte might wish to promulgate would not be received among them ?

“ But Buonaparte, it seems, is not that detestable monster the world suppose him ; he is an extraordinary man, an Honorary Member of all Religions, who passes through the world—doubtless for the benefit of mankind—with the sword in one hand and religious toleration in the other.—O ! how I love those expressions of respect and admiration, when bestowed on the Enemy of Mankind ! How plainly do they shew the heart from which they emanate* !—Those expressions, made use of at one of the

Romish

“ * Can it be supposed that the unanimity so evident this day, will not have similar effects, when it is considered, that however deeply we are interested in removing our disqualification, yet it is more essential to the Throne and to the Empire, than even to ourselves ? It is more so, at a time, when a man of the most wonderful kind has arisen in Europe—disposing of crowns and sceptres—with the sword in one hand and religious toleration in the other ; owes his conquests perhaps as much to one as to the other ; so little a slave to bigotry, that he has been called by a respectable friend of mine—‘ an Honorary Member of all Religions,’ who, profiting by the intolerant laws of other countries, has erected his mighty empire upon their ruin. Our empire consists of sixteen millions ; Buonaparte’s probably of sixty millions. Can it be possible that a Minister of England will reject four millions on the spot, without cost or subsidy ? or ought, but ceasing to persecute, or suffering an Irish faction to persecute them ? If we are to contend for our country upon our own soil, as Ministers have often given us to apprehend, I hope it will not be left to Buonaparte and his followers to offer to our population the privileges which their own Government refused : he is no friend to the empire that would wish to see that

Romish meetings, in a speech certainly the most pre-eminently insolent, false, and seditious, that ever was uttered; a speech from which the natural and direct inference is, that if the Legislature will not submit to their demands, they will look to Buonaparte. And here allow me to say, how much I rejoice for the safety of the Protestant Establishment, to see that this speech has been so ostentatiously published in almost all the newspapers; and as, at the time it was spoken, it was not only uncontradicted, but received the unanimous approbation of the meeting, it must be supposed to express the unreserved opinion of the Romanists of Ireland. It is this circumstance, and this only, which makes it worthy of your notice; and I am glad, I say, of those publications, that the Protestants of Britain, upon whom and our beloved King our earthly hopes of being defended from our cruel enemies must now be placed, may see and judge of the principles and views of those who basely malign us.

"It is said that 'an unnatural system of Government, unprecedented in Europe, &c. &c. &c.'—Let us examine this assertion, and prove its fallacy. Can any thing be more natural than to restrain the fury of a wild beast, or of a savage that would tear you to pieces? It is but self-defence. The Romanists were formerly so restrained: why they were so I shall not relate; how their restraints were removed by the generosity of Protestants—completely removed—I shall shew you: and that they are no longer restrained by law—by gratitude—or by prudence—the speeches to which I have alluded demonstrate.

"I will not detain you with a recital of the rebellions and massacres which followed the Reformation, and which filled the interval between the reign of Elizabeth and of our glorious Deliverer, King William—a Prince, the admiration of Europe while he lived, and for the benefits which he conferred upon Britain—by establishing her Constitution in Church and State—since the object almost of adoration—but designated

that experiment. If Ministers now called on your Lordship and on the Gentlemen present, to declare upon your honours, your opinion on the effect upon our population, if left in their present state, in case of invasion, with inflammatory proclamations, reminding them of their houses burned—their lands seized, without pretext of crime (as appeared by Lord Gosford's address)—the violation of wives and daughters; could you give your Government assurance, that you would bring the population of Ireland to oppose the enemy? Could you bring your own tenantry into the field to fight for a Constitution that rejected them? Could you bring your own servants? You, my Lord, and the Gentlemen present, would enter into the ranks as you have before done. No doubt the property of Ireland would prove their loyalty under every circumstance; but what would be the event? But if we are now relieved, we shall have time to convince our population, and by our exertions to attach them sincerely: and then, and only then, we may bid defiance to our Invader, however powerful.

"Mr. Keogh concluded by moving the following Resolution:—

"Resolved, That this is a fit and proper time to prefer a Petition to the Imperial Parliament, for the complete Emancipation of the Catholics of Ireland."

"Which was carried without one dissentient voice."

by a Popish Writer, as 'a Dutch Invader, heading mercenary Troops.'—At the death of King William, and on the accession of Anne, she found that Popery had been completely subdued in Ireland, and, looking back at the horrors it had produced, she resolved to enact such laws as would for ever prevent it again from raising its ruthless arm; she accordingly enacted laws of very great restriction, which under the circumstances of the times were neither cruel nor unnatural; they continued down to a time within all our memory. And here let me observe, that while those laws existed, the Romanists, generally speaking, remained quiet and apparently loyal; they mixed and associated with Protestants—religious dispute was unknown, or laughed to scorn. I look back with great pleasure to the times when the friends and companions of my youth were taken without selection of religion—when I could number in my own little circle many an amiable and worthy Romanist;—but those days are passed! No Romanist could then be a Judge, a General, or a Member of Parliament; yet no Romanist was therefore unhappy. Do you ask me what has occasioned the change? The Protestants—the Protestants—were the persons to remove all religious restriction; they saw with pleasure the mild and dutiful conduct of the Romanists; they saw that the laws concerning religion, which hung over them in *terrorem*, were never enforced, and were but as a dead letter. The laws concerning property they thought no longer necessary, and therefore impolitic; and they determined, as far as the safety of the Constitution would permit, to abrogate those laws.

"Heaven is my witness with what sincere pleasure I have seen those highly honoured persons, Mr. Gardiner and Mr. O'Neill, pleading for the repeal of laws which I was fool enough to think no longer just or necessary. Little did I foresee that Lord Mountjoy and Lord O'Neill would be amongst the first who should fall by the hands of those for whom they were then labouring; or that I, who rejoiced so much in the success of their labours, should have the blood of so many of the dearest members of my family mingled with theirs.

"From the first relaxation of the Popery Laws, new concession daily followed, and though the first relaxation arose spontaneously, from the innate generosity of the Protestants, yet every concession begat a new demand; threats were held out, and insurrections organized.—When, in the year 1793, Parliament determined once for all to grant an ultimatum, that which must satisfy the Romanists, if any thing less than the destruction of the Protestant Establishment could satisfy them, great difference of opinion arose both in and out of Parliament as to the extent of the privileges now to be granted to the Romanists. This honourable Assembly, supported by almost all the Grand Juries of the Kingdom, proposed to regulate the measure in a manner which did equal honour to their wisdom and liberality; and which proposal, if it had been received with the respect to which it was entitled, would have prevented much misery; a proposal to the principles of which the Legislature will be compelled sooner or later to return,* though, when offered, it was by some treated with scorn, and privileges

* * CITY OF DUBLIN.

"AT a POST-ASSEMBLY of the Right Honourable the LORD MAYOR, SHERIFFS, COMMONS, and CITIZENS of the CITY of DUBLIN,

villages far beyond what they were either prepared for or entitled to receive, were conferred upon the Romanists; privileges which seem to have intoxicated and bereft them of understanding.

" These

DUBLIN, held at the EXHIBITION-HOUSE, in WILLIAM STREET, on Tuesday the 11th day of September, 1792, pursuant to a Requisition for the Purpose of taking into Consideration a LETTER circulated throughout this City and Kingdom, signed 'EDWARD BYRNE.'

" A Copy of said Letter, and also the Plan and Observations mentioned to have been inclosed therein, having been read from a PUBLIC PRINT, the Assembly UNANIMOUSLY came to the following Determination:

" RESOLVED, That a LETTER be addressed to the PROTESTANTS of IRELAND, to the following Effect:

" ' COUNTRYMEN AND FRIENDS!

" ' THE firm and manly support which we received from you, when we stood forward in Defence of the PROTESTANT ASCENDANCY, deserves our warmest Thanks; we hoped that the sense of the Protestants of Ireland, declared upon that occasion, would have convinced our Roman Catholic Fellow-Subjects, that the pursuit of political power was for them a vain pursuit; for though the liberal and enlightened mind of the Protestant receives pleasure in seeing the Catholic exercise his religion with freedom—enjoy his property in security—and possess the highest degree of personal liberty, yet experience has taught us, that without the ruin of the Protestant Establishment the Catholic cannot be allowed the smallest influence in the State.

" ' For more than ten years the press has teemed with various writings, intended to prove that Roman Catholics have an equal claim with Protestants to a participation in the exercise of political power in this Kingdom; that such a participation would not be injurious to Protestants; that prejudice, only, prevents Protestants from conceding this claim; and to complete the Work, a Letter has lately appeared, signed 'Edward Byrne,' in which the Roman Catholics are instructed to proceed upon the plan of the French democracy, to elect a representation of their own, to which said Byrne insinuates that 'the Protestants must bend, as he has assurance from the highest authority.'

" ' In answer to these charges, and these claims, we shall in a few lines briefly state the Case of the Protestants and Roman Catholics of Ireland, in doing which we shall not endeavour to add to our language any other ornament than the beautiful simplicity of truth.

" ' One hundred years are just elapsed since the question was tried upon an appeal to Heaven—whether this country should become a Popish kingdom, governed by an arbitrary and unconstitutional Popish Tyrant, and dependant upon France, or enjoy the blessings of a free Protestant Government—a Protestant Monarchy limited by the Constitution—and an intimate connection with the free empire of Britain. The Great Ruler of All Things decided in favour of our ancestors; he gave them victory, and Ireland became a Protestant Nation, enjoying a British Constitution.

"These concessions to a sect not acknowledging the King's supremacy, but holding allegiance to a foreign Priest, are certainly not to be paralleled in any other State; but far beyond this went the profusion of Parliaments, reserving nothing but the representation, and about a dozen high officers. Yet

"But the conflict had been neither short nor trivial; and so many and so great were the efforts made by the Roman Catholics in support of their Popish King and French connections, that our ancestors were obliged, in their own defence, to deprive them of all political power, which they did by severe but necessary restrictive laws.

"Time draws the veil of oblivion over the virtues as well as the faults of men. In the lapse of more than fourscore years, the causes which induced the necessity of these laws were almost forgotten; while the generous Protestant saw with pain his Roman Catholic fellow-subject labouring under restrictions which, from his peaceable demeanour then, appeared no longer necessary; and he could scarcely refrain from charging his ancestors with too much severity. Session after Session the restrictive laws were rapidly repealed, and the last Session of Parliament left the Roman Catholics in no-wise different from their Protestant fellow-subjects—*save only in the exercise of political power.*

"But be it remembered, that from the moment the Protestant began to make concessions, the Roman Catholic began to extend his claims; at first a very little would have satisfied him—that little and much more was granted; more still was claimed; and when every thing consistent with Protestant safety was conceded, instead of grateful acknowledgments and declarations of satisfaction, our ears have been dinmed with exclamations of discontent, the ravings of political clubs, and the declamations of State reformers.

"But we hope that the great body of the Roman Catholics are yet free from the influence of that dangerous spirit which has pervaded the clubs in this city: we hope they will reject Mr. Byrne's counsel, and be grateful for the indulgences they have received from Protestants. To delude them from their tranquility, they are told by Byrne, that he has the First Authority for asserting this application will have infinite weight with our Gracious Sovereign, and with Parliament, if our friends are qualified to declare that it is the universal wish of every Catholic in the nation.—But we trust it is unfounded; were it otherwise, we tell them that the Protestants of Ireland would not be compelled by any authority whatever to abandon that political situation which their forefathers won with their swords, and which is therefore their birth-right; or to surrender their religion at the footstool of Popery.

"Every Irish Protestant has an interest in the Government of this Kingdom; he is born a Member of the State, and with a capacity of filling its offices;—this capacity he derives from that Constitution, which his ancestors acquired when they overthrew the Popish Tyrant—it is guaranteed by that Constitution—it is secured by the Law—he is in possession of it, and we know of no power under Heaven authorised to alienate this, our most valuable inheritance.

"Having thus, Countrymen and Friends, spoken to you our sentiments

Yet did all this satisfy them?—no; clamorous before, they now became outrageous, breathing ruin to all who had opposed their demands. Clubs of United Irishmen, in connection with France, organized a general rebellion and massacre; and assassinations, burnings, and robberies, became frequent till the fatal 23d of May, 1798, when all that cruel malignity could devise, overwhelmed a large portion of unhappy Loyalists.

“When bad men combine, it is time for good men to associate; the Loyalists of Ireland, and Loyalist always means Protestant, perceiving that immediately after the grants by Parliament, they were put under the ban of Popery, proscribed all intercourse, insulted in their persons, and ruined in their properties, found it necessary to associate for their own defence: they met in clubs and companies, and that they might be better known to each other, with something like a Masonic formality: being all devoted to the glorious Constitution, as established by their Deliverer, William the Third, Prince of Orange, they assumed the distinction of Orangemen. Of these chiefly were formed the gallant Yeomanry of Ireland, who withstood rebellion, when the Papists murdered their amiable benefactor, Lord O'Neill, at Antrim, and the gallant Lord Mountjoy, their first friend and advocate at Ross. These gallant Yeomen were they who, supported by the loyal part of the Irish Militia, some Fencible regiments, and very little aid from a regular army, saved their country from becoming a province of France, under the mild dominion of the extraordinary Man who conquers as much by his liberality as his sword! It was they who maintained their loyalty inviolate, and preserved the integrity of the Empire until succour arrived; and be it remembered, that no succour did arrive, until after the Popish multitudes were routed and dispersed at Vinegar-Hill. Be it further

in the undisguised language of truth, we shall entreat you to join with us in using every honest means of persuading the Roman Catholics to rest content with—

The most perfect toleration of their religions—

The fullest security of their property—and

The most complete personal liberty—

But by no means now, or hereafter, to attempt any interference in the Government of the Kingdom, as such interference would be incompatible with the Protestant ascendancy, which we have resolved with our lives and fortunes to maintain.

“And, that no doubt may remain of what we understand by the words ‘Protestant Ascendancy,’ we have further ‘Resolved, That we consider the Protestant Ascendancy to consist in

A PROTESTANT KING OF IRELAND;

A PROTESTANT PARLIAMENT;

A PROTESTANT HIERARCHY;

PROTESTANT ELECTORS AND GOVERNMENT;

THE BENCHES OF JUSTICE,

THE ARMY AND THE REVENUE,

Through all their Branches and Details,

PROTESTANT;

And this system supported by a connection with the Protestant realm of Britain.”

remembered,

remembered, that it was a corps of Yeomanry, who, after that route, pursued the fugitives into the town of Wexford, and delivered from the Pike-men and the Priests on Wexford-bridge, seventeen inoffensive Protestants, who at that moment were on their knees, awaiting the commencement of the torture by which they were doomed to perish! To perish for the single crime of being heretics! Already had been immolated on that bloody altar, ninety-seven human victims sacrificed to the God of mercy; the very few who were permitted to live were obliged to undergo baptism by Popish Priests.

"It is a part of the present cant to cry out against the Protestants of Ireland, as a tyrannical faction of Orange Men. I have been for several years an Orangeman; and now I speak in the face of my country, in the hearing of many Orangemen whose good opinions I value, and who would despise me were I to say an untruth; I know of nothing in the Orange system that could prevent them this moment from joining with the heavenly host, in singing, 'Glorify to God in the highest; and on earth, peace and good-will towards all men;' nothing to contravene the precept of our blessed Lord, who commands us, 'to fear God and love our neighbour.' It is true, they are the faithful subjects of our good King, defenders of the Constitution, established by the great King William, the unshaken friends of British connection, and therefore they are maligned by those whose constant efforts have been exerted to separate this Island from Britain; and as the Popish agitators have not yet dared to abuse the King and Constitution openly and avowedly, but only by inference and insinuation, they designate all Protestants by the name of the Orange Faction, and under that flimsy covering manifest their malignity. All Orangemen are Protestants: would to God all Protestants were Orangemen! we should not then see some of them running a race for Popish applause, and keeping the country in a constant state of agitation.

"As to the foolish gasconade thrown out to terrify those who know nothing of the matter, that Buonaparte has sixty millions of subjects—our Sovereign has sixteen—Will he reject the services of four millions of those sixteen? That is, if you do not submit to our demands, we go again into rebellion.

"Now, if it were not for the mischievous intention of this declaration, it is rather a subject of laughter and of scorn, than a matter on which to argue. Let us, however, such as it is, examine into its truth.

"In the first place, then, it greatly under-rates the number of our European fellow-subjects, who at the lowest estimation are twenty-four millions; and it totally forgets our numerous settlements in both hemispheres, and our Indian possessions, which are said to amount to forty millions; so that even in number his Majesty commands more men than the wondrous man who marches through the world with the sword in one hand and religious toleration in the other.—But numbers have nothing to do in this business. If the demands of the Romanists were just, and could be granted with safety by their fellow-subjects, they ought to be awarded to seamen; if otherwise, not to ten millions.

"About the time of the glorious Revolution, the people of Ireland were numbered, and found not to exceed one million; soon after that period, whole regiments of Romanists, and all their connections, abandoned their country, and went to starve in France and Spain, while multitudes of foreign

reign Protestants settled in Ireland:—this brought the people of both churches nearly to a par in numbers: little more than a century has since elapsed. I believe there is no instance in modern times of people multiplying four-fold in a century, especially, as they state, under the most cruel oppression. I am therefore of opinion that three millions is a very liberal estimate of our numbers: two-fifths of them are Protestants:—how, then, can it be said that there are four millions of Irish Romanists? Is not this a little exaggeration, or something like that figure in rhetoric for which our countrymen are said to be famous? Is it not somewhat of the nature of a bull, when it is known that the whole population of Ireland never amounted to three millions and an half, to say that four millions of them are Romanists? What, are there not a few Protestants amongst us that resisted the infuriate rabble of Romanists, and preserved the connection with Britain?—There were enough ever to maintain the Constitution, when supported by Government. As to the army, not one-fourth part of the Irish soldiery which enlist in the line are Papists. Ask the militia colonels what proportion volunteered for general service upon a late occasion. Yet this orator speaks as if all Irish officers and soldiers were Papists, and claims the glory of Egypt, Maida and Trafalgar. In Egypt, the 42d were Protestant Dissenters; at Maida, the renowned 27th, originating and descending from the heroes who resisted James, and whose glory it is to be called 'Eniskilleners,' added to their hereditary glory. Of Trafalgar, I shall not speak; but I well remember when the fleet mutinied at the Nore. I was lamenting the subject to a Romanist, with whom I was then on speaking terms; he answered, triumphantly, 'Aye, you transported the United Irishmen on board the fleet, and they have now UNITED the fleet.' But, after all, how does this statement of our triumphs being gained by Irishmen agree with the assertion that four millions are rejected? If they are rejected, they are not a part of our army and navy; if they are received, they acquire the same renown as other British subjects.

"I fear you are tired of this ungrateful subject; I shall therefore hasten to a conclusion, particularly as there is but one point more in these declamations worthy of notice—the charge of tyranny against the Orange Faction. Positively to deny so base a calumny, would be sufficient in this assembly; but to prove its falsehood to the world, I ask, is there any man so great, so rich, so powerful, that he could go into the street and injure even the poorest, the meanest, the weakest Romanist he should meet? Did he strike him, would he not be punished by the law? If he took from him aught, would he not be punished by the law? If in any way he laid hands upon him, would not the law punish the aggression? What, then, is the meaning of the cant word 'Catholic Emancipation?' In whose hands are they? who restrains them? do they pay rents? yes, certainly, to the proprietors of lands and houses, and such Romanists as have either, exact full as much as Protestants. Do they pay tithes? they did so before the Christian church was reformed from Popery and restored to its primitive purity. I therefore ask again, what is meant by Catholic Emancipation?

"Dean Swift, in his Advice to Servants, says, never tell a lie that can be discovered in twenty-four hours; after that it may be forgotten, or at least be rendered difficult of disproof. But here we are treated to a story which every man who heard it knew to be false at the moment it was uttered. We are told of 'the case of the Rev. Mr. Ledwich, of Rathfarnham, and the wanton, unprovoked, barbarous attempt to murder this innocent, aged,

'aged, unoffending, and exemplary Catholic Clergyman; yet was the offender acquitted: by a County Dublin Grand Jury he was acquitted! Let the parties but change sides: had your Lordship and the other Peers now present, or any other the most respectable of this assembly, committed such an outrage against any of the Orange Faction, would not every one of you have been hanged? If laws are made for every degree and description of subjects, why not equally enforced? The reason is obvious; we have no political power, without which civil rights cannot exist.'

"Now, if this man was all that has been alleged, he was certainly very unfortunate in his friends and relations: his two nephews, Wade and Ledwich, traitors of the blackest hue, were taken in arms fighting against his Majesty's troops, and hanged. Still this priest might be a very good man. It happened, however, that the village of Rathfarnham, though containing many worthy and loyal men, was thought to be in so much danger from the seditions, that an officer and a party of infantry were ordered to be there stationed: the officer, a very young gentleman, was kindly and hospitably received at the house of a neighbouring gentleman of the most benevolent heart, elegant acquirements, and extensive reading; one to whom the words of Festus to St. Paul might well be applied, 'too much learning has made you mad'; for, unhappily, he was subject to fits of mental derangement: under the influence of one of those, he imagined that the priest had made an attempt to kill him; he called out the guard—marched to the priest's house, who, providentially, had escaped; ordered the soldiers to fire, particularly at the windows where he supposed the priest was; the soldiers obeyed, and some shots were fired, though, as I have said, providentially without effect: and, as a monument of Christian charity, conciliatory spirit, meek and suffering innocence, I believe the marks of the balls are carefully preserved to this day. This certainly was a most atrocious outrage; it was no sooner known than the Protestant gentlemen of the neighbourhood immediately assembled; declared their abhorrence of it, assured the Romanists of their protection, and offered a very large reward for apprehending the unfortunate gentleman, who kept out of the way. The officer was brought to trial, convicted, tried, sentenced, fined, and for a very long space imprisoned; and, I have since heard, was deprived of his commission. I myself was present at this trial.

"The gentleman was afterwards tried. I was not present; but I have heard he was (on account of his derangement and some imperfection in the evidence) acquitted of the criminal charge; but, for the damages to a great amount, he was thrown into prison, where he languishes at this hour, in Kilmainsham, the prison of the county Dublin. Now, I ask, what more could have been done? What more has ever been done to the unhappy maniacs who have attempted the sacred life of our Sovereign, than has been done in the case of this Popish priest?

"I have taken more notice of this misrepresentation, than you, Gentlemen, who know its utter want of truth, and are daily in the habit of hearing similar misrepresentation, equally unfounded, will think necessary; but the object being to impress the people of England with an idea of tyranny and injustice, which have no existence, will apologize for my taking up so much of your time in refutation. Those people complain of wanting political power, which they now openly demand.

"They complain of tithes, which they say they pay to a church in which they

they do not worship; and they complain of rents, which they consider as oppressive. The lands were once all theirs, but forfeited for various acts of rebellion and massacre; but they preserve accurate maps, ascertaining the bounds of the old possessions, and will doubtless demand restitution whenever they obtain political power, and feel themselves sufficiently strong to enforce what they deem to be their right.

"That they already possess more political power than those who worship the slave of Buonaparte, and who dare openly appeal to that tyrant ought to possess, I think will not be disputed; that some inconveniencs might arise from restoring their forfeited lands, will, I believe, be admitted, even by those who pretend to be their warmest advocates, but really are the possessors of those very lands. Let them settle it between them, though I guess that when the demand comes to be made (and we know that, in 1798, next to the extirpation of heretics, it was the great object) those who now so loudly exalt Popery, will cry out—'O Protestants! come and help us to maintain our possessions.'

"The Protestant proprietary possess forty-nine parts in fifty of the lands of Ireland. When any man has lands to set which are tithe free, he does not fail to advertise that circumstance, and he sets his lands considerably higher in consequence thereof; but if his lands be subject to tithes, the taker makes an abatement in the rents, even more than the value of the tithe. It follows, then, from these premises, which no man can controvert, that the subtraction of property is from the land-owner, and not from the land-holder, who though he immediately pays, pays only as the agent of the land-owner; who, were the land tithe-free, would receive a much higher rent: it therefore follows, that the Protestant proprietary pay forty-nine parts of the tithes which support the church establishment, and if the Romanists pay the remaining fiftieth, it will not be denied that the grants which of late years have been made to them, fully counter-balance one fiftieth part of the tithes of Ireland. The weakness of the clergy is the inducement to attack them first; the rents are the next object: the true cause then of their clamours against the clergy, their many inhuman murders committed on that order of men, is not because the Romanists are oppressed, but because they wish to destroy our heretical church.

"Upon the whole, Sir, we are now at issue. The Papists at present demand but the political power of the State; what their next demands will be, I have suggested—political power leads directly to them; in case of refusal, they threaten us with Buonaparte and his sixty millions of men, and pretty clearly insinuate that those millions will be augmented by four millions more. Should this take place, it is plain that the extinction of Protestants in Ireland must follow. 'If,' said a demagogue, 'you do not extinguish your tyrants' (the Protestants), 'they will extinguish you.'

"On what, then, have we to depend? first, on a gracious Providence, who has at all times protected and preserved the Protestant church; next, on our pious and religious Protestant King, who remembers his engagement to us, who have ever been loyal, and for his oath-sake will not give us over for a prey to our enemies; thirdly, upon a Parliament which has already rejected the demands of Popery, and who, when they learn that the offer of the benefits at present in contemplation has been received with scorn and indignation, will not, I trust, press them farther. This Parliament will doubtless recollect that by the act of Union the Protestants of

Ireland have confided to them their dearest rights: the Union was the act of Protestants only; none others had the smallest power to promote it.

"Lastly, we have the powerful aid of the British people, who abhor the doctrines of Popery, and, as their forefathers would not submit to the rule of a Popish king, but hurled him from his throne, and placed in his stead our glorious William of Orange; so neither will they submit to Popish domination. They must now see that what affects Ireland affects them; that they have no friends in this country but the Protestant, who alone are able and willing to continue the connection with Britain; and if they suffer the Protestants to be extirpated, they assign this island to France.

"Upon the whole view of the case, it is our bounden duty, as far as in us lies, to resist further encroachment; and our constitutional mode is to present a petition to both Houses of Parliament, praying them to maintain the Constitution in Church and State, as the great bond of union between Great Britain and Ireland. I would most humbly move you to pursue the same mode you took in 1805: present one petition in the Commons by the hand of your representative Mr. Shaw; and entreat that gracious Prince, who has dignified your roll of freemen with his illustrious name, to present a similar petition in the House of Peers; he has in that noble assembly declared, that 'he cannot, will not, dare not, surrender the Constitution.' He condescended when, as your humble messenger, I waited on him, to say, 'Tell the citizens, that while I live, they have a friend that will support the Protestant cause; and should this petition of the Roman Catholics again be brought into Parliament; I will vote against it, and speak against it, though they were the last words I ever should utter.'"

Our limits forbid all farther remarks. We shall only add, then, our fervent wish, that the Romanists, and every description of his Majesty's subjects, may always continue to enjoy every privilege which is essential to the existence of a free and full *toleration* of their religious worship; and the most ample benefit of the laws for the protection of their persons, their property, and their rights, as British subjects. These they at present possess; but one step farther, toleration would lose its character, and assume a very different form, name, and tone. The King has appealed to his people, by the dissolution of his Parliament, and it is their duty to prove, by their conduct, that they will support him in the lawful exercise of his prerogative, and in the defence of the established religion of the realm.

May 19th, 1807.

THE
ANTI-JACOBIN
Review and Magazine,

&c. &c. &c.

For JANUARY, 1807.

Sit hoc satis; præstat enim pauca avidè discere, quam multa cum tedio
devorare. —ERASM.

ORIGINAL CRITICISM.

A Speech on the Character of the Right Hon. William Pitt, delivered in Trinity College Chapel, Cambridge, Dec. 17th, 1806, being Commemoration Day. By Edward Pretyman Tomline. 4to. PP. 24. Evans, Cadell and Davies, and White, London; Parker, Oxford; and Deighton and Barrett, Cambridge. 1806.

LAUDARI a laudato viro, has been deemed, by the virtuous and the honourable of every age and country, to be a fair object of ambition; and, sure we are, that, had the illustrious subject of these pages been alive, he would have felt gratified at having his character delineated by the very respectable author of this "Speech." We, too, feel gratified at the complete confirmation of the very brief sketch which the acuteness of our feelings would then allow us to give, of the character of Mr. Pitt, immediately after his death. Mr. Tomline truly observes, that there is always something, both interesting and instructive, in an inquiry into the conduct and character of great and illustrious men; certainly an inquiry affords the richest food for the mind; it has an immediate tendency to excite virtuous sentiments; it gives a spur to honour, a stimulus to patriotism, an incentive to useful and praise-worthy actions; and, above all, it confirms and consolidates religious principle.

The author professes only to give a sketch of the character, and not a history of the life, of Mr. Pitt. "Every one," he says, "must perceive, that *The History of Mr. Pitt's Administration* would neces-

sarily involve, not only the history," (the history, not only) "of this country, but of all Europe, nay, of almost the whole globe, during a period the most eventful in the annals of the world; that it would demand so intimate a knowledge of occurrences at home, and of our relations with foreign powers; so near, so deep a view of causes, motives, characters, and connexions, that even to disclaim a design of this magnitude must appear in me no small degree of presumption."—Assuredly, such a history will be a work of vast importance, as it must necessarily embrace a great variety of objects, interesting to far the greater portion of the civilized world. And, we trust, that the gentlemen who have undertaken to write it, consider it in this point of view, and will not, from any desire of precipitating its publication, withhold from it any of those pains, or any of that labour, which ought to be bestowed on it.

Mr. T. then rapidly traces the circumstances of Mr. Pitt's entrance into, and of his progress through, public life. Having duly estimated the dangers and difficulties which surrounded him, when he first grasped the reins of government with his youthful hands, the author proceeds thus justly to describe his conduct.

"He first digested and established a plan, which has not only given security to our possessions in the East, but has contributed to the extension of our territory and trade, and has guarded against speculation and corruption, to which such distant concerns are peculiarly liable: and these objects were accomplished without any violation of chartered rights, or any unconstitutional addition to ministerial patronage. This great business being completed, he directed his principal attention to finance; and by selecting proper objects for new taxation, by introducing a variety of important regulations which rendered the old taxes more productive, and by correcting frauds and abuses which had long prevailed in the collection of the revenue, he made the income of the country fully competent to satisfy every demand, and raised public credit from the low state to which it had been depressed. He rested not here: the danger from the national debt, continually increasing in every year of war, and in some years of peace, had been long seen and acknowledged; but no minister had yet dared to take any effectual step for its liquidation. This debt had now risen to so enormous an amount, that it was generally believed another war must inevitably occasion public bankruptcy. No one was more strongly impressed with this idea, no one more ready to avow it, than Mr. Pitt himself. Hence various projects for preventing this incalculable evil were communicated to him by ingenious, and speculative men: but after a careful and impartial examination, he rejected them all, as inadequate or impracticable. Instead of any of these visionary schemes, which would rather have aggravated than lessened the evil, he adopted that plain and simple mode, the suggestion of his own mind, the wisdom and efficacy of which have been incontrovertibly proved by the experience of more than twenty years. In the course of this long period, its operation has been uniform and regular; no revisal, no alteration, has been necessary; no improvement, either in the principle, or in the application of the principle, has been even attempted. In the progress of this measure
through

through the House of Commons, its merits were so obvious, as to extort the commendation and support of those who acted in systematic opposition to government; and this unanimous concurrence in parliament was prophetic of that universal admiration which it has since obtained among all political parties and all descriptions of men.

"But the active and comprehensive mind of this truly wonderful man was not content with relieving his country from the pressure of present burdens; he formed another plan, so exclusively his own, that the idea seems never to have entered the thoughts of any other person. By this plan, it was made absolutely impossible to contract any new debt, without at the same time providing the means of discharging it within a moderate number of years. Having by his former sinking fund removed all danger arising from the existing debt incurred by past wars, by this new sinking fund, which was so contrived that it must necessarily increase with the increasing debt, he obviated, as far as the nature of the thing will admit, the danger to be apprehended from the expenses of all future wars to the latest period of time. Can a more perfect system of finance be conceived by the imagination of man? Can human foresight be directed to a more useful political purpose? To the united effect of these two measures we are indebted for the power of carrying on that contest in which we have now been engaged for nearly fourteen years, in defence of the liberty and independence of our country."

"That nothing might be wanting to our internal welfare, he was studious to improve our resources, by giving every possible encouragement to trade, navigation, and manufactures; and to the wisdom and policy of his regulations upon those important points, the commercial part of the community has been ever ready to bear the amplest testimony. Never perhaps was there a more favourable change in the general situation of any country, than in the first nine years of Mr. Pitt's administration. The dejection and gloom, which hung over this kingdom at the beginning of that period, were gradually dispelled, and were succeeded by a degree of prosperity far beyond the most sanguine expectations."

This is not the exaggerated description of a panegyrist, but a plain statement of facts; of facts, let us add, which our countrymen every day learn better to estimate, and, heartily we wish, that a painful and mortifying comparison did not assist them in the calculation. Of Mr. Pitt's conduct at the commencement of the French revolution we cannot exactly concur in opinion with the author; for, if he really did foresee, as is here asserted, "the probable effects of the French revolution," he certainly ought to have adopted more early measures for crushing that monster at its birth. Indeed, if we mistake not, Mr. Pitt, long after, confessed that his eyes had not been soon enough opened to the dangers of the French revolution; and that, if he had seen it in the light in which he afterwards viewed it, he should have considered it his duty to advise his Majesty to go to war with France at a much earlier period. Mr. Pitt, to his praise be it said, was never backward in acknowledging his errors; a sure proof of an exalted mind. Again, it is difficult to conceive on what grounds Mr. Pitt's resignation, in 1801, can be justified; we have no doubt, "that upon this,

this, as upon every other occasion, he acted from the most honourable motives;" nor have we any reason to believe that his *design* was not such, as it is here stated to have been; "to do away, as far as might be practicable, the mischievous effects of difference in religious opinions; to guard the Established Church by more powerful sanctions against both Papists and Protestant Dissenters; and to give the cause of Religion that additional protection which the prevalence of Infidelity demanded;" but by what means that design was to be accomplished, it is far beyond our powers of imagination even to conjecture. On the contrary, we are fully persuaded, that the repeal of the Test Laws, and what is, most absurdly, called Catholic Emancipation, whatever legislative provisions might have been adopted in lieu of them, would have shaken the Established Church to its very foundation; and have been productive of the most ruinous effects. We lament, however, that Mr. Tomline has neglected to afford us some competent idea of those measures which would, in the judgment of Mr. Pitt, "have been better adapted to the present times, and *far more effectual than the existing Laws.*"

We have often had occasion to observe, that Mr. Pitt shone as much in opposition as in power; and Mr. T.'s observations perfectly concur with our own avowed sentiments on this subject.

"In his retirement we see him displaying the same greatness, the same activity, the same patriotism. His loyalty depended not upon office: his love of his country was equally evident in every situation. Instead of a peevish secession from the discharge of his public duty, or a hostile obstruction to the measures of the new administration, which must instantly have sunk under the weight of his opposition, he gave them their best claim to credit in the country, by an open declaration in their favour, and by a direct avowal of his determination to assist them while they acted upon those principles which had been the rule of his own conduct. This assistance he gave publicly and privately, with a zeal and disinterestedness of which there is no other instance in the history of political parties. The renewal of war, after a short and insidious peace, involved the nation in fresh difficulties and dangers; and ministers having ceased to listen to the advice of Mr. Pitt, soon betrayed their own weakness and incompetency. At this moment the commanding superiority of Mr. Pitt was universally felt, and unequivocally acknowledged: all former difference of opinion, all political animosity, was instantly buried in oblivion: the perilous situation of the country required the union of all the talents it possessed; and all parties, and all descriptions of persons, concurred in expressing a wish to see Mr. Pitt at the head of this union. It is always considered as a strong testimony in favour of one of the most distinguished characters of antiquity, that his countrymen* agreed in giving him their second vote of merit: but here all rivalry was laid aside, all competition was silenced; and the first place was with one voice yielded to Mr. Pitt. This deference

* Θιμιστοκλῆς, καίπερ ἄκοντες ἀπὸ θόβου, τὸ πρῶτον ἀνίδωσαν ἅπαντες· ἐπεὶ γὰρ ἀναχθῆναι εἰς τὸν Ἰσθμὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ θυμοῦ τὴν ψῆφον ἔτερον οἱ στρατηγοί, πρῶτον μὲν ἕκαστος αὐτῷ ἀνταρῶν ἕστη, δεύτερον δὲ μ.θ' ἑαυτὴν Θιμιστοκλῆα. PLUTARCH. VOL. I. p. 265. Ed. Bryan

was an infallible proof of what was really thought of his talents by his rivals and opponents, and of the principles upon which he had acted in the most arduous contest in which any nation was ever engaged. The failure of this plan to concentrate abilities and unite the divisions of party, exhibited the greatness of his character, if possible, in a still stronger point of view: for when disappointed of the assistance of the ablest and most powerful of those whom he had expected to be his colleagues in office, he not only resisted all opposition at home, and added considerably to our naval and military force, but also surmounted those obstacles which had hitherto prevented a junction of the different powers upon the Continent. By his efforts, that confederacy was formed, which, had it been properly directed, might have contributed to the deliverance of Europe; and its want of success was owing to causes over which he could have no control. The merit of this last measure of Mr. Pitt's government is but too evident from the consequences its failure has produced; and the treaties will ever remain a monument of his political wisdom, and of the high estimation in which he was held in foreign courts."

There is so much truth in the following observations, that our readers will not fail to thank us for transcribing them:

"When any sudden emergency required immediate action, he was fertile in resources, and prompt in decision; but where the business was of a nature to be foreseen and prepared at leisure, he was studious to collect the sentiments of others: he heard with patience, and weighed the different arguments with impartiality; and thus his opinion upon any important matter was the deliberate result of full inquiry, and of every information which could be procured. Hence it happened, that he had rarely occasion to abandon any measure which he once proposed. Though he was not to be diverted from his purpose by the ingenious cavils and popular harangues of a systematic opposition, yet he was always open to the force of just reasoning and well-grounded objection. In his conduct of public business he was equally free from precipitation, fear, and pertinacity. He was easy of access to persons whose knowledge or situation gave them a claim to attention; and was ever ready to listen to any suggestion or communication. Whatever was the subject of discussion, in the wide range of the domestic and foreign, the civil, military, naval, and commercial concerns of this great and powerful kingdom, he never failed, by the extent and variety of his information, and by the acuteness and justice of his observations, to excite the surprise of those with whom he conversed. All persons, whatever were their occupations, pursuits, or professions, departed from Mr. Pitt with a conviction of their own inferiority, even upon points to which they had devoted their whole time and thoughts: *'Huic versatile ingenium sic pariter ad omnia fuit, ut natum ad id unum diceret quodcumque ageret.'*"—(Liv. lib. xxxix. cap. 40.)

Next follows a brief delineation of Mr. Pitt's character as an orator, which is ably drawn; but it is not for the ability, but for the *accurate likeness* that we value such a portrait.

"His merits as an orator baffle all description. He must have been heard, or no adequate conception can be formed of his unrivalled talents.

To the vigor and fire of Demosthenes, to the polished copiousness of Cicero, to the exuberant imagination of Burke, to the logical acuteness of Fox, he added a quickness and extent of comprehension, a sublimity of thought, a perspicuity of arrangement, a correctness of language, and an accuracy of judgment, which were peculiarly his own. His ideas appeared to flow with spontaneous promptitude: there was no pause, no repetition. Never could the alteration of a single word be wished: never was the train of reasoning interrupted by irrelevant digression, or his hearers wearied with minute prolixity. He amplified the most barren, elucidated the most abstruse, and excited attention to the most uninteresting subjects. The energy and dignity of his manner gave irresistible influence to his commanding, yet always unpremeditated, speeches. His statements of his own measures were clear and forcible; but the powers of his eloquence were still more conspicuous in his animated replies to his antagonists. Concentrating into one view whatever had been advanced on the contrary side, he left no argument unanswered, no objection unrefuted; he removed every unfavourable impression from minds not blinded by party prejudice; he extorted reluctant admiration from his opponents, and astonished even those whom he did not convince.

We know this to be a true representation of the character and effects of Mr. Pitt's oratory. We here learn that the multifarious concerns of this mighty empire, during a most momentous crisis, did not prevent Mr. Pitt from indulging himself with occasional relaxations, in the pursuits of literature. He was an excellent classic, and his ordinary amusement, in hours of leisure, was the perusal of Homer, Demosthenes, Virgil, or Horace. We close our account of this excellent speech, which displays considerable ability and judgment, with Mr. T.'s account of the effects which the ever to be lamented death of this truly great and good man produced in the world; and of that truly Christian spirit which he displayed in his last moments.

"It was not merely acknowledged that the nation had lost an able and upright minister, at a moment the most critical and awful, but almost every one felt that he was deprived of a benefactor and a friend, the person on whom, under Heaven, he relied for the preservation of his life, liberty, and religion,—for the continuance of every private comfort, and every public blessing. No one saw any ground for consolation or hope, but from a perseverance in his measures, and an adherence to his principles. Nor was lamentation for his loss confined to this country: his transcendent merits had penetrated the most obscure and distant regions. Revered as the protecting Genius ordained to check the progress of the Scourge of Nations, Europe seemed to see in his departure a sign of her approaching fate. History will record, and posterity will appreciate, the extent and value of his services to his country, and the high and general veneration in which he was held; while his connection with this University will be perpetuated by a just tribute of respect and gratitude to his memory*.

* A subscription to the amount of 7564l. 10s. has been raised by Members of the University of Cambridge, for the purpose of erecting a Statue of Mr. Pitt in the Senate House.

"Within

"Within these hallowed walls I may be permitted to mention that the power of Religion shone forth in its full lustre in the last moments of this extraordinary man. He early imbibed a firm conviction of the truth of Christianity; and throughout life, Religion was in him an habitual principle, influencing and governing every feeling of his mind, and every part of his conduct, public and private. In him the belief of a superintending Providence, and of a future responsibility, produced its genuine fruits—an active discharge of duty, and a cheerful resignation to the Divine will. Aware of the approach of death, he felt no self-condemnation, no self-confidence; the consciousness of upright intention was accompanied by the deepest sense of human infirmity; and, with the most humble acknowledgment of his own unworthiness, he expressed a lively hope of salvation through the merits of Christ."

To this we shall only allow ourselves to add, that, although we do not think so meanly of our country, as to believe that its fate is dependent on the life of any one man, yet the death of Mr. Pitt was an irreparable loss to Europe in general, and to this country in particular; and, to use the energy of poetry with the *truth* of prose, we express our firm conviction, that

"We ne'er shall look upon his like again."

The Penance of Hugo : A Vision of the French Revolution, in the Manner of Dante. In five Cantos. Translated from the Italian of Vincenzo Monti, with two additional Cantos by the Translator, the Rev. Henry Boyd. Small 8vo. Pp. 280. 5s. Longman and Co.

THE occasion on which the original poem was written, is mentioned briefly in the Preface: the death of Basseville, an agent of the Convention, who, on the 14th of January, 1793, was put to death by the Roman populace, in consequence of his machinations against the Papal Government, in favour of Jacobinical principles. The Poem commences at the moment when the spirit of Basseville is dismissed to the other world, claimed by an infernal agent, and rescued by a guardian angel, who, by way of Penance, exhibits all the horrors of the French Revolution and its consequences, to the new inhabitants of the other world.

It seems a conspicuous instance of poetical artifice, Mr. Boyd justly observes, from such an occasion as the fate of an individual, to present an exhibition of such horrible magnificence, to trace the origin of those evils to the spirit of irreligion brought on by vice, and the influence of pride, avarice, ambition, and revenge.

In the original poem the noble simplicity of Dante is happily imitated. Mr. Boyd denominates his a free translation. It is so free, indeed, as, in many parts, to have the air of a paraphrase. This appears most clearly in the very commencement, on a comparison of the translation with the original.

"Gia vinta dell Inferno era la pugna,
E lo Spirito d'Abbisso si partia.
Come Lion per fame egli ruggia,

Restem-

Restemmando L' Eterno e le Commosse
 Idre del Capo Sibilare per via
 Allor timide Vali Aspere e Scosse
 L' Anima d' Ugo alla Seconda Vita
 Fuor delle membra del suo Sangue rosse :
 Glia' mortal prigionie, ond' era uscita,
 Subito in dietro a vigor da si volse
 Tutta amor *Suspettosa* e sbigottita," &c.

" The contest paus'd ; th' infernal claimant flew
 With baffled rage to join the Stygian crew
 That waited in the deep their human prey :
 His vulture hands the fury stretched on high,
 Then like a lion through the nether sky
 Sent a long yell,—and carst the luckless day.

Loud blasphemies against th' Eternal Sire,
 The Demon spoke, while round his temples dire
 The horrent Hydras, as he shot along,
 Hiss'd through the gloom, but from the deadly strife,
 Sad Hugo's spirit scarce recovering life,
 Smit with alternate anguish and dismay,
 Now on his gory members where they lay,
 He looked aghast, and now with deeper awe,
 The world of spirits from afar beheld,
 Half warm'd by hope and half by fear repell'd,
 New to the second life's mysterious law."

The description of the appearance of the vindictive angel (P. 5.) is more faithful to the original.

" Rolo di Fiamma gli occhi relucente."

" Fierce were his kindling eyes, his length of hair
 Stream'd like a meteor to the troubled air,
 And like a planet seem'd around to shed
 In its terrific undulations far,
 Discase, and funeral scenes, and deadly war.
 Where'er his flaming tresses seem'd to spread,
 His fiery falchion threw a sanguine light,
 More terrible appear'd the frown of night
 Thro' its dire gleams, &c."

" Rompea la notte e la rendea piu truce."

The word *seemed* in the first stanza ought not to have been repeated. —The death of the executioner at Marseilles, who fell a victim to the rage of the populace for refusing to perpetrate an unheard of indignity upon a crucifix, is well described (P. 10.) the aerial voyage of the spirit and his guide is next delineated, and the scenes which they are supposed to have observed are marked with a rapid but lively colouring. Their approach to Paris is thus described :

" — E l' aria intorno tenebrosa e mesta, &c."

" Silent with hasty wing he sped along
 Thro' night's dim canopy around them hung
 That with their sorrow seem'd in double gloom,

And

And drops of tears like dew to sympathize.
Still was the balanc'd air: the curtain'd skies
Deep louring seem'd to wait the final doom.
The foliage of the wood forgot to wave,
And all was still and silent as the grave,
Save a nocturnal melancholy flow
Where stole the stream in liquid lapse away,
His murmurs seem'd to tell his deep dismay,
From that dread storm expected soon to blow." &c.

This forms a striking contrast with the tempestuous scenes which succeed. Among the allegorical personages exhibited as agents in the revolution, the two principal are thus described. It will be recollected that the famine mentioned in the first stanza was *artificial*; see the history of the French Revolution.

" There Famine too, an hide-bound fiend, appear'd,
And to the dire employ the many cheer'd,
Distinct the dire anatomy was seen ;
Her rayless eyes in hollow sockets roll'd,
Her grinding jaws were hideous to behold,
And Hades glimmer'd in her Gorgon mien.
Far other was the fiery glance of Rage,
With lion ramp he trode the dusky stage,
With Discord close behind, his stygian bride,
Rending her veil ; a snaky wreath, instead
Of May's perfuming fragrance, bound her head,
As onward she pursu'd her desperate guide."

Nor less characteristic is the appearance of WAR.

" The sister and the slave of death,
Whose dreadful joy, when legions yield their breath,
Peals through the firmament. When, o'er the plain,
She calls her hell-dogs to the feast of gore,
Her name in thunder rolls from shore to shore,
And terror wild foreruns her dismal reign."

The expression of terror forerunning a reign, seems to be one instance out of many we could mention, where the sense is in some degree to be sacrificed to the rhyme. Then follows a long account of the death of the late king of France ; where, as might be supposed, where spirits are spectators, the mortal agents appear to be mixed with *auxiliary fiends* of different orders and characters; this is a poetical license which (sumpta decenter) has been always allowed. The reconciliation of the spirit of the murdered king with Basseville deserves particular notice, as it marks the progress of his penitence.

The third Canto contains some extravagant compliments to the Pope, and expresses a confidence in his cause. The translator in his note has endeavoured (not unsuccessfully, we think,) to show that this confidence is well grounded only as it arises from the merits of the Christian cause in general, and the advantages of civilization, with its concomitants, over Barbarism. The characters of the four chieftains of Infidelity

fidelity (P. 61.) are strongly marked, particularly that of the execrable author of that code of Atheism called *Système de la Nature*.

“ ‘But I did more’ with horrib’e reply,
The fourth exclaim’d, ‘I robb’d yon glowing sky
Of her proud Regent.’ At the direful sound,
At once a gen’ral consternation ran
Thro’ every order of the dark divan,
And eyes of beamless horror gaz’d around.
A dead vacation seem’d a while to last,
While each on other glar’d with eyes aghast.
At length in hollow murmur, dull and deep,
From the Tartarean band reluctant rose,
As when a river with hoarse cadence flows
At midnight when the world is sunk in sleep.”

In the fourth Canto, the characters of Robespierre and the sanguinary monsters of the first Convention, are exhibited; the various massacres, and the fate of the king, are again introduced, as a preparation for a sublime piece of imagery from the Apocalypse. The ministers of Retribution are thus described:

“ ‘When to the gates of Heav’n wide open flew,’ &c.
“ ‘Down the long vista, like the bolted fire
Of Jove, three warriors came with looks of ire,
With shields like thundrous clouds, in sable arms;
And gloomy were their crests that wav’d on high,
Like embryo storms collecting in the sky,
To field and flood portending deadly harms.”

To the angels are given cups or phials adorned with suitable emblems; in these, in the darkness of night, they collect the blood of the royal victim and his friends.

“ ‘To the four warriors then that waited round,
In murky mantles, like the brood of night,
They gave them foaming o’er each ample brim,
With royal blood, and each a dreadful hymn
Sung to his delegate with stern delight.
‘Flow on,’ they cried, ‘ye crimson torrents flow,
From every purple drop a living foe
Shall spring to being, and with double death,’ &c.

“ ‘Like four dark pillars of ascending fire,
The giant spectres rose amid the gloom,
And to the different quarters of the sky
At once they point their pinions airy sweep,
And reach the regions where the thunders sleep,
Till clouds dislodging give them wings to fly.

Then o’er the fields of fine aerial blue,
Each from his goblet flung the gory dew,
Diffusive, like a crimson cloud afar.

Shedding

Shedding a sanguine light, that, veil'd around
The stellar fires, in bloody billows drown'd,
And all the planets look'd revenge and war;
And kindling in its fall the fluid gore,
Seem'd like Gomorrah's flaming storm of yore,
Sweeping in sulph'rous hurricane along
The soil, conceiv'd beneath the fiery blast.
Hell seem'd to follow wheresoe'er it past,
And clouds of Erebus the Welkin hung."

The effects of this miraculous shower are next pourtrayed; and, in the additional Cantos by the translator, it is continued: the communication of the warlike spirit to the Russians is thus described:

"They saw another fiery pageant run
Northward beyond the journeys of the sun,
As if it meant to fire the frozen bear,
And wake him into life; nor less the charm,
The hardy Scythians catch the wild alarm,
And southward march to taste a milder year.
Down came the living storm from Wolga's shore,
Pannonias woods rebellow to the roar,
And Scythia's stormy hills the din return.
Descending from Carpathia's bounds amain,
The levied nations fill'd the groaning plain,
And Latium smil'd, and Gallia look'd forlorn."

The different events of the ensuing campaigns are rapidly described, till the introduction of Buonaparte to retrieve the fortunes of the French, whose desponding is thus depicted, in accordance to the former imagery:

"The dying light a corruscation sent,
Like lamps expiring when their oil is spent;
But from its centre burst a blazing star,
Along the sky it drew a fiery train,
Then hover'd gently o'er the midland main,
And sparkling set in Cyrrus' woods afar.
Sudden the southern breezes seem'd to bring
From thence a dusky demon on the wing;
Above the Celtic camp he seem'd to soar
Where now the fated youth in slumbers lay," &c.

After describing the appointment of Napoleon to the command of the Italian army, the engagement at Lodi is thus spiritedly pourtrayed:

"In vain the river's brim is lin'd with spears,
In vain the bridge her airy fortress rears,
Embattled o'er the flood, and sends afar
The vollied thunder from her lofty mound,
As from Heav'n's arch the lightnings dart around,
The Gallic whirlwind sweeps away the war."

The guide is introduced, as giving Hugo an opportunity of viewing the excursions of Napoleon's fancy in a dream entitled "Egypt."

"Avaunt

“Avaunt terrestrial views! ye scenes arise,
 Where souls to souls, without material eyes,
 Are visible, and thoughts to thoughts appear
 Plain as the various forms that rise to life,
 And take their shapes from elemental strife,
 To fill the pageant of the rolling year.”

‘Thou oft hast dream’d, but now thy mental eye
 The secrets of another mind shall spy,
And mount his winged fancy as it flies;
 As if you dwelt in his unconscious brain,
 You shall behold his notions wayward train,
 When disengag’d from Reason’s sober ties.

‘Behold the dome of dreams, it towers sublime,
 As if uprear’d to mock the lapse of time,
 Though built of pillar’d clouds, and cover’d fair
 With moony beams and many a rainbow hue,
 Commixt or chequer’d with celestial blue,
 And the bright crimson of Aurora’s car.’

The parts unfold, and yonder shadowy band,
 Like elves disperse, &c. ———”

“See one by moonlight, down the river fleets,
 Him soon the spirit of Napoleon meets,
 And catches from his spells contagious fire.”

The dream, the deception arising from the ambiguous sense of the prediction, show the fancy of the author to be deeply tinged with oriental fictions; yet, as Buonaparté is said to have been a reader of romances, there is not much poetical incongruity in supposing them to have given a colour to his dreams. The opinions of fatalism and astrology attributed to him, form the basis of the remainder of the work. The birth of ANANCUS, the Genius of Fatalism, by a sort of chemical magic, is an allegorical representation of the strength he acquires from the propagation of such doctrines. ‘The additional Cantos are well conceived, but too hastily written; there are many careless passages, and some very obscure: there is one very ridiculous blunder (P. 107), where the figure of a sphinx is made to bestride an arch. The Notes contain several anecdotes of the French Revolution; they ought to have been more copious; a general sketch of the leading causes to it, given as an introduction, would have very much tended to the illustration of the Poem.

The witch of Lapland, (who comes in like a witch,) for her name does not occur in the first title page, is a happy imitation of Gray, in the bribes which the demon offers the hag for a storm to scatter the British fleet. The following particulars give a striking picture of the effects of ambition:

“Phials of tears I will bestow,
 By matrons shed in bitterest woe,
 And cinders swept from burning towns,
 And Jewels rest from plunder’d crowns,

* * * * *
 This scarf's dipt in infants' blood,
 Shed by the sire in furious mood,
 When robb'd by Gaul, with frenzy wild,
 Famine to shun, he stabb'd his child:
 The maiden that this girdle wore
 Lies pale and stiff on Weser's shore,
 To shun the Gaul's infuriate chace,
 She chose the water's cold embrace."

The tribute to our departed Hero, in this piece, will not, we imagine, form one of the most deciduous wreaths among those which have been dedicated to him.

Mr. Boyd possesses a musical ear, a masterly command of language, great justness and delicacy of taste, and a vigorous imagination.

This work is very properly dedicated to Mr. Mathias, who deserves highly from the literary world for his Italian publications, and particularly for his Edition of the Original Poem.

Dialogues on the Doctrines and Duties of Christianity: intended for the Instruction of the Young, and to lead them to the Study of the Sacred Scriptures. By Mrs. John Jackson. Two Vols. 8vo. Pr. 6s. 15s. Rivington, London; Manners and Miller, Edinburgh.

IN a modest and well written Preface, Mrs. Jackson observes, that she claims no indulgence or forbearance, if she have not so far succeeded in the accomplishment of the object which she had in view in composing these volumes, as to have brought forward such a body of Scripture as tends to point out the leading doctrines of Christianity. On this account we can assure her and the public, that she stands in no need of indulgence or of forbearance on the part of the reader, for she has certainly fulfilled the task which she had undertaken to perform; and a very useful task it assuredly was, both to herself, in the course of reading and of study to which it necessarily directed her attention, and to those young persons for whose use it was originally intended; for if they imbibe that sense of the doctrine and duties of Christianity, which their respectable monitor here labours to impress on their youthful minds, they cannot fail to lay a solid foundation for peace and comfort in this life, and for happiness in that to come. Let the rash infidel, and the proud of heart, who make human reason the standard of their belief, in things beyond the finite comprehension of a created being, read with attention, and ponder well on, the following just and pertinent reflections:

"In a work designed to render the study of the doctrines and duties of Christianity, as unfolded in the Bible, a specific branch of education, it is necessary to advert to the disposition with which we should prepare ourselves for so important an investigation. A just sense of our own insufficiency, and the consequent necessity of an infallible rule of faith and conduct,

conduct, are absolutely requisite: and these will be excited by adopting the advice of the apostle, 'Let a man examine himself,' (1 Cor. xi. 28.) Conscious as we must become, under this discipline, that our understandings require to be enlightened, and our wills to be controuled; we shall naturally be led to enter upon this study, in that simplicity and humility of heart to which the highest of all rewards is annexed, 'Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.' St. Matth. v. 3.

"Let us, then, earnestly endeavour to divest ourselves of every prejudice which may occur from early prepossession, or, what often robs the judgment of its freedom, the bias of individual character. If any pride of heart exists within us, let us beseech our God to aid us in chastising it, that, 'not leaning to our own understanding,' (Proverbs, iii. 5.) but 'coming as little children,' (St. Mark, x. 13,) with humble spirits, willing to be instructed, we may enjoy and profit by our glorious privilege of being 'taught of God.' Isaiah, ii. 3.

"The following pages, it will be evident, are not meant to supersede, but to lead to, a more general study of the Scriptures. A partial selection of texts, displaying divine love in the most beautiful, energetic, sublime, and perspicuous language, is calculated to excite a taste for that sacred volume, which, as it is superior to every human composition, in the subjects of which it treats, is equally raised above them by the manner in which they are unfolded to us. Can there be any attraction presented to the mind as great as that which arises from the declaration which may be truly applied to the whole of the Bible? 'Blessed is he that readeth, and they that hear and keep those things that are written therein,' (Rev. i. 3.) Those who are convinced of this truth, will give their time to read, their understanding to meditate upon, and their heart to obey, the revelation of God.

"We are however required to observe, that among the duties of our most holy religion, humility, which qualifies us for its acceptance, is constantly enjoined respecting the powers of the understanding, and the conduct of life; and that some mysteries are imparted to us in a manner which calls upon us for the continual exercise of this truly Christian virtue. A mystery (according to the interpretation of Mr. Harvett,) signifies 'what is partly hidden and partly revealed.'—(Sermon on Religious Mystery.) In religion there are mysteries which, though revealed as facts, are, as to the manner, reserved by that Being whose 'understanding is infinite,' (Psalm cxlvii. 5.) among those 'secret things which belong to God.' (Deut. xxix. 29.) Respecting these, we have our allotted duty, which is, to accept the revealed truth; and can it be made a question, whether we, who believe, on human testimony, things which we cannot individually know, shall doubt the revelations of our God, because we do not comprehend them? Nature is full of mystery: our blessed Saviour has himself pointed out the analogy between invisible and visible things, in the growth of a plant (St. Mark, iv. 26, 27.); and the illustration is so evidently appropriate, that it is presented to our minds by almost every writer on these subjects. The fact is evident: Are any of us acquainted with the manner in which the elements are combined, and that growth effected? If this takes place in the material world, let us humbly acknowledge that there may be in the spiritual world mysteries which 'we cannot attain unto.' (Psalm cxxxix. 6.)

Our

Our blessed Saviour has instructed us to hope for a future enlargement of our faculties, by a glorious resurrection in a spiritualized body, which will no longer bear down and imprison the soul. He taught a lesson of patience, as well as hope, declaring that 'he had many things to say' unto his disciples, though 'they could not then bear them;' (St. John, xvi. 12.) and that what they knew not at that time, they should 'know hereafter.' (St. John, xiii. 7.) Thus we perceive that our present duty is to believe revealed facts, and humbly to confess, because it is clearly declared, that there is in the divine nature of God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, an union and a distinction the manner of which is concealed from us. 'It is as high as heaven, what canst thou know?' (Job, xi. 8.) Let us also, on the same authority, own, that the divine was united to the human nature in our blessed Saviour, in a way which we cannot understand, and thankfully confess the power of that heavenly Spirit, which, while it operates in and with our hearts, 'turning the disobedient to the wisdom of the just,' (St. Luke, i. 17.) acts in a mode which is awfully incomprehensible. None of these truths contradict our reason; 'That which constitutes a contradiction respecting man, is no contradiction respecting God;' (Leslie. Dialogue with a Socinian;) but they are all beyond the grasp of our present faculties. 'It is impossible' (says Archbishop Tillotson, speaking of the Trinity,) 'for a finite mind to judge of the real differences which are consistent with the unity of an infinite Being.'"

We have often had occasion to observe, that *Vanity* is the parent of *Scepticism*. Man finding, that, by intense study, and the proper application of those powers with which it has pleased the Creator in his bounty to supply him, he can attain to the right understanding of things, which to the indolent and the unlearned had appeared unattainable; is unhappily led, but too often, so to judge of his faculties, as to suppose, that, with regard to the comprehension of things visible or invisible, natural or revealed, what is not comprehensible by those faculties, he cannot be expected to believe.—Thus, making his finite and fallible reason the standard of belief, he proceeds step by step, by a natural progress, from Scepticism to Infidelity. Disregarding that humility, that poverty of spirit, what the blessed Founder of our faith has so strongly inculcated, as the right temper of mind for the due discharge of our Christian duties, such a man, buoyed up by the waves of self-sufficiency, obstinately perseveres in error; and, in his zeal to make converts to his own mischievous and destructive notions, becomes, in course of time, a confirmed Atheist. Hence arises the absolute necessity of impressing, as strongly as possible, on the minds of youth, the duty of humility, and a proper sense of their own insufficiency. Without this indispensable basis, the attempt to raise a Christian superstructure would be nugatory and vain. The various doctrines and duties of which the author treats, are discussed in these volumes in a plain, but impressive, manner, well suited to the subject, and well calculated to excite the attention of the scholar. She has consulted the best authorities, and has made the best use of them, which is certainly no small merit. The subjects in the first volume are, *The Creation*,
The

The Nature and Attributes of God, The Fall, Man in his Original State, Sin and Death, Redemption, The Divine Nature of Christ, The Human Nature of Christ, Sacrifices and the Institution of the Lord's Supper, The Resurrection and Ascension, & The Holy Spirit.—Those of the second are, *Faith, The Assent of the Understanding to the Truth of the Gospel, The Effect of Faith, Repentance, Baptism, and the Nature and Constitution of the Christian Church, Prayer, Obedience, The Ten Commandments, Confirmation, and the Lord's Supper, and The Judgment.* It will be perceived, by this enumeration of the topics of discussion, that they include the leading doctrines and duties of Christianity. A single specimen of these dialogues will convey a more correct notion of them to our readers, than any additional observations of our own: we shall therefore extract a portion of the dialogue on 'the Human Nature of Christ,' not as being either better or worse than the rest, but as admitting more easily of abridgement.

“Precep.—This astonishment, though it may remain respecting the wonderful union of his divine and human nature, will cease to operate as to the conduct of the blessed Jesus, when we consider that he condescended to become at once an instructor and an example to mankind.

“‘Learn of me, for I am meek and lowly of heart,’ (St. Matth. xi. 29.) is a commandment which ought never to be forgotten, nor that it was given to us by that Lord who was so supreme in power, that ‘his right hand and his holy arm hath gotten him the victory,’ (Psalms, xcvi. 1.) which he alone is able to obtain.

“We cannot too often observe, that he who has obtained for us the blessed hope of ‘the renewal of the divine image’ on our souls, not only became a partaker of our nature, but submitted to every temptation, sorrow and suffering, to which it is liable. Although he had ‘no sin,’ he partook of that rite which was the sign of purification from sin. Upon occasion of which we have the solemn and glorious attestation already adverted to. Matt. iii. 16, 17.

“Pupil.—‘And lo, the heavens were opened unto him, and he saw the spirit of God descending like a dove, and lighting upon him. And lo, a voice from heaven saying, This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased.’

“Precep.—Immediately after this glorious attestation, we behold him encountering and triumphing over the temptations offered by that guilty and seducing spirit who conquered the parent of mankind in paradise.

“It is observed, (Bishop of London's Lecture on this subject, and Note,) that the temptation of Christ in the wilderness bears an evident analogy to that of Adam, with this great and important distinction: in the first instance, Satan prevailed, and man fell; in the second, he [Satan] was resisted and defeated. Our blessed Lord is in many passages of Scripture placed in direct opposition to the ‘first Adam,’ (See especially 1 Cor. xv.) and with the different conduct of him who transgressed the commandment, and of him who in this and every instance fulfilled the will of God, the great mystery of our redemption is probably connected; for

it is expressly revealed; that after his baptism, and previous to his entering upon his public ministry, 'Then was JESUS LED UP OF THE SPIRIT to be tempted of the devil,' (St. Matth. iv. 1.)

"Pupil.—Did not the divinity of our LORD give him a power of resistance which Adam had not?

"Precep.—As the declaration of the apostle is positive, that he was 'in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin,' (Hebrews, iv. 15.) we must conclude that it was in his human nature that he opposed the adversary of man, who thereupon 'departed from him for a season,' (St. Luke, iv. 13.) and to whom we are consequently led to impute his strong sense of the suffering introduced by sin, which he experienced in his agony in the garden. It appears that he was sensible in his human nature of that extreme misery to which Adam's guilt had subjected man, when he said, 'My soul is exceeding sorrowful, even unto death;' (St. Matth. xxvi. 38.) and that it was from that overwhelming agony that he prayed to be delivered.

"Pupil.—Has it not been imagined that this temptation in the wilderness was visionary and not actual?

"Precep.—Some learned and able divines have embraced this idea, from some difficulties which occur in the subject taken literally; the force of which are [is] much lessened by the considerations offered in the lecture (Bishop of London's lecture on the temptation of our LORD,) to which we have adverted: and in fact, as is there justly observed, were we to reduce to a figurative sense all that we do not clearly comprehend in a positive, the scriptures themselves would afford us no certain information: for what, as we have already seen, can language assert more decidedly, than that after his baptism our blessed LORD was led by the spirit into the wilderness, to undergo an actual trial or temptation? When He resisted the counsel which would have led him to supply, by an exercise of miraculous power, that want of food of which he was then sensible, Satan could not but be awed by a reply which acknowledged the supremacy of God in its right of control over natural desires. St. Matth. iv. 4.

"Pupil.—And he answered and said, it is written, man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word which proceedeth out of the mouth of God."

"Precep.—This part of the temptation appears more expressly to resemble that offered to Adam; but we have to remark, that the subsequent suggestions respecting the desires of ambition and the 'pride of life,' were all presented on a similar principle, that of braving the 'Most High,' by renouncing that dependence upon Him which our blessed LORD was contented in his human nature always to testify."

We cannot but consider this work as highly creditable to the respectable author, and as a very valuable addition to the stock of books, for the improvement of youth.

An Essay on the Principles of Human Action; being an Argument in favour of the Natural Disinterestedness of the Human Mind. To which are added some Remarks on the Systems of Hartley and Helvetius. 12mo. Pp. 263. Johnson. 1805.

WE are truly at a loss what to say of this publication. An attentive and repeated perusal of it has not enabled us to guess with what intention

intention it was written. We are, however, disposed to think, that the object of it is very different from what it is professed to be. Under the title of a Philosophical Essay it bears the characteristical marks of a highly finished burlesque. Perhaps the author, teased and out of patience with the extravagancies of metaphysical writers, has resolved to avenge himself upon that vexatious tribe, by holding up to the world an overcharged picture of their absurdities. This view of the performance is certainly more friendly than any other to the reputation of its author; for the merit it certainly possesses, as a vehicle of ridicule, must necessarily vanish when we regard it as a serious attempt to develop the principles of human action. That the reader may be enabled to judge for himself, we shall briefly lay before him the principal doctrines of this essay, with a few extracts to illustrate them.

At the outset of the work we are informed, that "it is the design of it to show that the human mind is naturally disinterested, or that it is naturally interested in the welfare of others, in the same way, and from the same direct motives by which we are impelled to the pursuit of our own interest." The problem to be solved then is, whether benevolence and self-love originally issue from the same source, and be fundamentally the same principle of action? Our readers will perceive that this is not the question which they find agitated in the works of the ancient epicureans, and which has been taken up in later times by Hobbes, Rochefoucault, Hartley, and Helvetius. With these philosophers the controversy turned upon the doctrine, that all human conduct may be ultimately referred to a general principle of self-love. With our author it is confined to the inquiry, whether self-love and benevolence may not be referred to the same original aptitude or susceptibility? In the former case, human action became a subject of analysis, motives were sifted, consciousness was consulted, and the influence of education weighed. In the latter, we are confined to the infant state of our species, when instinct and reason unite in those states of mind which produce action, when consciousness is unaccompanied by reflection, and when education has not yet formed habits, and stamped character. The former controversy remains undecided, because it is difficult to trace with certainty any given action to the motive whence it sprung, and still more difficult is it to decompose that motive into all the varieties of sentiment, and feeling, and bias, and caprice, which make it up. The latter must for ever remain undetermined, because it is inaccessible to every species of reasoning, for that collection of words which fills the volume before us cannot be called by that name.

The fundamental doctrine of our author's hypothesis is, that man has no such connection with his future being as to cause him to feel more interest in what he himself may suffer or enjoy hereafter, than in the future condition of others. Upon this assumption is established the natural disinterestedness for which he contends, somehow in the following manner:

"We take an interest only in what we feel. We do not take an interest in the present feelings of others, because we have no faculty by which

which we can become conscious of them; in like manner, because we have no faculty by which we can be made conscious of our own *future* feelings, it is impossible that we can be interested in them."

This conclusion is evidently absurd, and is contradicted by the experience of every thinking being. The source of the error clearly is the uncommon acceptance of the term *interest*. The author seems to confine its import solely to consciousness of pleasant or painful feelings arising from objects of sense. "I can conceive," (says he, p. 5.) "that a man must be necessarily interested in his own actual feelings, whatever these may be, merely because he *feels* them. He cannot help receiving pain from what gives him pain, or pleasure from what gives him pleasure. But I cannot conceive how he can have the same necessary, absolute interest in whatever relates to himself, or in his own pleasures and pains generally speaking, whether he feels them or not." Now it is well known, that among the most correct writers, as well as in common life, the term *interest*, or the phrase *to take interest*, is used in a different and more extended signification. We are said to take an interest in whatever excites our wishes, our hopes, or fears, and not in the sensations produced by a rich sauce or a bottle of Burgundy. Availing himself, however, of this illegitimate use of the phrase, he goes on with a good deal of confidence to expose the stupidity of those who are of the common opinion, that we really do feel an interest in what is future. He at last declares it to be impossible, that such an interest can be felt, because man is possessed of no faculty by which he is made conscious of future sensations; in other words, because he has no faculty by which he can be made conscious of the flavour of a peach before he has tasted it, or of the pain of a wound before it be inflicted. "If" (says he, p. 7.) "a man on the rack really felt no more than he must have done from an apprehension of the same punishment a year before, there would be some foundation for this reasoning."

The author was certainly aware that he was combating a phantom of his own creation, and carrying a triumph only over his own absurdities. Not satisfied, however, with this victory, he again attacks his own extravagancies in the form of personal identity. He supposes that some one of his antagonists has replied to him thus: "I am convinced that our reasoning is just and conclusive, and agree with you, that the selfish principle is not created in man by means of a faculty which gives us a previous actual foretaste of what is to befall him hereafter; but by not the conviction, that he *shall have* the experience of pleasure and pain, throw an interest over futurity?" To which he rejoins in p. 28.) "The reality of my future interest in any object cannot give me a real interest in that object at present, unless it could be shown, in consequence of my being the same individual, I have a necessary sympathy with my future sensations of pleasure or pain, by which they produce in me the same mechanical impulses as if, their objects were really present. The puncture of a pin causing an irritation in the extremity of one of the nerves, is sensibly felt along the

whole extent of that nerve; a violent pain in one of my limbs disorders my whole frame. I feel at the same moment the impressions made on opposite parts of my body; the same conscious principle pervades every part of me, it is in my hands, my feet, my eyes, my ears at the same time, or at any rate is immediately affected by whatever is impressed on all these; it is not confined to this or that organ for a certain time; it has an equal interest in the whole sentient system, nothing that passes in any part can be indifferent to me. Here we have a distinct idea of a real individuality of person, and a consequent identity of interests. Till some such diffusive conscious principle can be shown to exist, producing a real connection between my future sensations and present impulses, collecting and uniting the different successive moments of my being into one general representative feeling of self-interest, as the impressions made on different parts of my body are all conveyed to one common principle of thought, it is vain to tell me that I have the same interest in my future sensations as if they were present, because I am the same individual. However nearly allied, however similar I may be to my future self, whatever other relation I may bear to that self, so long as there is not this intercommunity of thoughts and feelings, so long as there is an absolute separation, an insurmountable barrier fixed between the present and the future, so that I neither am, nor can possibly be affected at present by what I am to feel hereafter, I am not to any moral or practical purpose the same being. Natural impossibilities cannot be made to give way to a mere courtesy of expression." We have already given the key to this painful sophistry.

The author has been labouring, so far as we have gone, to prove that there is no way by which self-love gets admittance into the human heart which is not likewise open to benevolence. We shall now behold him draw aside the veil from that wonderful source of feeling in which these twin-born principles of action are generated. We do not think, however, that he executes this part of his plan with his usual ability. In the first place, we conjecture, our philosopher would have us to understand, that the human mind is powerfully smitten with the love of good, *as such*, and as forcibly struck with aversion to evil before it refers these ideas to itself or to others; and, secondly, that the imagination *throws* us forward into futurity, and interests us, with great impartiality, either in our own welfare or that of others, according to the idea of either may be the more vivid and powerful. Agreeably to this view of the matter, objects are not considered as good or the contrary, from the knowledge that they are fitted to excite in us pleasant or painful sensations; for the author thinks, "that, in the first instance, the idea of personal pleasure or pain can only affect the mind; a distinct idea of that which is *in itself* the object of desire or aversion; and that the idea of self is nothing more than the first and most distinct idea we have of a being capable of receiving pleasure and pain, (p. 13) and consequently that the reason why a child first wills or pursues its own good, is not because it is *his*, but because it is good." (P. 18.)

Now to us who think that the terms good and evil, desirable and disagreeable, are expressive of ideas originally formed in our own minds from personal experience of the objects to which these terms are applied; the doctrine that any thing is an object of desire or aversion in itself, and without being referred to intelligent and sentient beings, appears to be very absurd indeed.

As it is a notorious fact, and facts like impossibilities will give way to no courtesy of expression, that the greater part of men are *more* interested in their own welfare than in that of others; it is incumbent upon the author to account for it, consistently with his hypothesis. The faculty of imagination, as was just now mentioned, is represented as the medium by which the mind is interested in futurity; and as it is admitted, that the interests of ourselves and those of others, make the same direct appeal to that faculty, as the eye receives with equal distinctness the impression of our own external form, and that of others, it becomes a matter of some curiosity to know how the preference is ultimately given to our own concerns. In attempting to explain this point in the human œconomy, our Essayist certainly overturns his own theory; and, like a despairing soldier, turns his arms against himself.

"Every sensation that I feel, or that afterwards recurs vividly to my memory, strengthens the sense of self; which increased strength, in the mechanical feeling, is transferred to the general idea, and to my remote, future, imaginary interest: whereas our sympathy with the feelings of others being always imaginary, having no sensible interest, no restless mechanical impulse to urge it on, the ties by which we are bound to others hang loose upon us, the interest we take in their welfare seems to be something foreign to our own bosoms, to be transient, arbitrary, and directly opposite to the necessary, absolute; permanent interest which we have in the pursuit of our own welfare." (P. 120.) And again, "there is naturally no essential difference between the motives by which I am impelled to the pursuit of my own good, and those by which I am impelled to pursue the good of others: but though there is not a difference in *kind*, there is one in *degree*." (P. 121.) After this concession, who does not see that the controversy hinges upon verbal ambiguity?

We have thus drawn the outlines of a work in which there is certainly some ability cruelly sacrificed to an overbearing and whimsical imagination. The author is undoubtedly one of those gentlemen of leisure and easy circumstances, who, to prevent their ideas from stagnating, occasionally introduce among them a little poetical frenzy, or metaphysical absurdity. With whatever intention he may have issued this little volume to the world, it does not appear that it contains any thing to alarm the friend of virtue. It is a little innocent absurd-essay, which a philosopher may be induced to read from seeing its title, and which he will lay aside with a smile of contempt.

Subjoined to the Essay are some remarks on the Systems of Hartley and Helvetius. Of these we shall only observe, that they do not, in any

any degree, atone for the clumsy reasoning which precedes them. The greatest admirers of Hartley, who are not, like Priestley and Belsham, materialists, admit that his theory of vibrations and vibratiuncles is encompassed with groundless conceptions, and fanciful allusions; so that the attacks of our author were directed against a point which has been long abandoned by the most skilful defenders of the rest of the theory.

What struck us as most novel in these remarks, is the eagerness shown on all occasions by the critic to vent a little ill-nature against Mr. Macintosh, who some years ago read lectures on Metaphysical subjects at Lincoln's Inn. That gentleman is taken notice of no less than three times, in a manner very disgraceful to an *anonymous* author. Speaking of certain philosophical doctrines, he says, "This very curious analysis was also delivered with great gravity by Mr. Macintosh to the metaphysical students of Lincoln's Inn. I confess I like ingenuity, however misapplied, if it be but a man's own: but the dull, affected, pompous repetition of nonsense, is not to be endured with patience." (So we have experienced in reviewing this Essay). "In retailing what is not our own, the only merit must be in the choice or judgment. A man, however, without originality, may yet have common sense and common honesty. To be a hawker of worn-out paradoxes, and a pander to sophistry, denotes indeed a desperate ambition." (P. 194.)

We cannot conjecture how Mr. Macintosh has incurred the displeasure of his brother metaphysician, if it be not, that our author suspects the lectures of that gentleman to have had the same effect upon him that reading of romances had on Don Quixote. It is but fair, however, to add, that these lectures, so far from containing any thing the least objectionable, were such as to give complete satisfaction to men of the soundest principles, and of the most correct judgment.

Notes on the West Indies: written during the Expedition under the Command of the late General Sir Ralph Abercromby: including Observations on the Island of Barbadoes, and the Settlements captured by the British Troops, upon the Coast of Guiana; likewise Remarks relating to the Creoles and Slaves of the Western Colonies, and the Indians of South America: with occasional Hints, regarding the Seasoning, or Yellow Fever of Hot Climates. By George Pinckard, M.D., of the Royal College of Physicians, Deputy Inspector-General of Hospitals to His Majesty's Forces, and Physician to the Bloomsbury Dispensary. 3 Vols. 8vo. Pp. 1376. Longman and Co. 1806.

THE preliminary matter of these volumes has nothing to do with the Contents, as stated in the title page; the author, no doubt, amused himself, previously to his voyage, by noticing in his memoranda every occurrence, however trifling, which he conceived would satisfy the requisitions of private friendship. But what have the public to do with such trifling? Nothing whatever. Our circulating libraries are full of waste paper; and we sigh, ardently sigh, for an era of common sense.

sense. Journals are obtruded upon the public, recording nothing; adding nothing to the stock either of usefulness or of amusement. This rage for scribbling must be opposed, or we shall speedily be inundated. Let manly criticism do its duty, and the evil may be checked. We will do *our* duty, regardless alike of the frowns and of the smiles of any one.

Dr. Pinckard's first letter is dated from Southampton, the 3d of October 1795; its contents may be compressed within the narrow limits of a nutshell; as may indeed the contents of several of the subsequent letters. They are characterised by the ordinary cant of modern tourists; "concerning the correspondence of distant friends." "Mail coach associates," &c. &c. From Southampton the author travelled to London; and from London he travelled back to Southampton. Disappointment and conjecture upon his ulterior destination form the burthen of these introductory letters. In letter the fifth, however, we find the doctor detained in suspense at Portsmouth, where he visits the dock-yard, Haslar hospital, &c.; and, for the edification of *his* readers, gives *them* a description of a "Portsmouth Poll;" but, from a regard to the delicacy and taste of *our* readers, we must forego the pain of extracting it. From letter the fifth to letter the ninth, Portsmouth still! In the last letter, however, we find Dr. P. comfortably on board the Ulysses transport at Spithead, and are favoured with an account, in the author's usual manner, of the hurry, confusion, and scrambling for provisions, which he witnessed at Portsmouth previous to his embarkation. "All the 'butchers' and bakers' shops were quickly emptied. Not a loaf, nor a bit of meat, not even a carrot, nor a cabbage remained, and many went empty away. Neither porters nor servants were required, but every one, who was successful enough to put his hand upon any provisions, gladly became the bearer of his own load. To show you the extremity to which we were reduced, I may tell you that our party stopped a man, upon [*in*] the street, who was carrying home a large giblet pie, hot from the oven, which we tempted him to let us take on board, by offering, for the pie and the dish, more than double their value; or, indeed, any money [*which*] he might demand." So much for the carrots, the cabbages, and the seizure, *vi et armis*, of the giblet pie!!! The troubled moment of scramble and confusion called to the author's remembrance a similar scene which he had witnessed in Geneva: this truly accommodating association of ideas, this forced assimilation of the near and the remote, leads to an inflated description of the landscape round Geneva and the contiguous country; of the towering mountains of Jura, which divide Switzerland from France, of the "gigantic Alps of Savoy, proudly elevating themselves, in three vast ranges, aspiring to the very skies, and scarcely leaving a space between the earth and heaven!!!" The scene of confusion which the author has impressed into his service, was that which he witnessed some years since in his travels on the Continent. The French, under general Montesquion, after taking Chamberry, proceeded towards

towards Geneva: as might be expected, confusion and dismay were the consequence. But what have these episodical retrospections to do with notes on the West Indies? In letter the tenth we find the author on board His Majesty's ship *Ulysses* at sea; in letter the eleventh, returned to Spithead; and in letter the fourteenth, at the Mother Bank, on board the Lord Sheffield transport. In letter the eighteenth, we rejoiced, and gave thanks with Dr. Pinckard, to find him safely anchored in Carlisle Bay, Barbadoes, in which island he remained a considerable time, sufficiently long to communicate to his friend in England the minutest occurrence of the voyage, and every incidental reflection of his mind during its accomplishment. But Dr. P. shall be his own historian.

"A day or two after we had entered into the latitude of the trade-wind, we had to cross the tropic; which was an occasion of great mirth and festivity. The usual ceremonies were performed; the usual honours paid to old Neptune, and all was holiday. The great deity of the ocean, accompanied by his queen-rib of the waters, ascended from the deep, in order to welcome us to his tropical abode, and to witness the baptism of such of his children who had not, already, done homage at his font. This is a ceremony which is commonly thought to be ludicrous; but, in the way it is conducted by the rough tars, it becomes a very dirty and severe process. It is extended to every person on board, who has not, before, been within the tropics, varying only in its mode of application, and in its severities. The old sailors are careful to discover, in the course of the passage, which of their messmates have not undergone the discipline of this tropical baptism; and on this day, all who are marked for the ceremony, are led upon deck, one by one, blindfolded. In this state the young sailor is made to seat himself upon a small narrow plank, laid across a large tub of salt water, or upon the edge of the tub itself, and in this perilous situation, they administer to him a long and ridiculous oath; then offer him a glass of gin, by way of cordial, which he is compelled to drink, and finds it to be only a glass of salt water. They then smear his face with a nasty compound of grease, tar, and stinking oil, taking care, in the operation, to force some of it into his mouth. The next step is to shave this off, and the razor employed for this purpose, is commonly a piece of an old iron hoop, bearded with notches. The filth being in part scraped from his chin with this rough instrument, the baptismal process is completed by the plank, upon which he is seated, being suddenly withdrawn, and the young initiate plunged head and ears into the tub of water; where he is made to lie kicking and sprawling for a considerable time; after which he is permitted to rise from his briny birth; when his eyes are unveiled, he washes his countenance, and issues forth a privileged son of old Neptune, free to range in the tropical seas. If he contends, or offers any resistance, he is treated with three or four dippings, instead of one; he, therefore, finds it best not to be refractory, and smothers his wrath in the secret pleasure of witnessing a similar process imposed upon the rest of his messmates. Every one, whether sailor or landsman, is called upon to undergo this christening ceremony, as the task of initiation."

The

The account of this ceremony may amuse those, who have never crossed what is technically called "the line;" but we cannot but express our opinion, that it adds nothing to the dignity of the work before us.

We have speedily, however, something better: the author had long wished to visit the ships trading to Africa, and to visit personally the manner of treating the slaves: this wish was consummated just as he arrived at Barbadoes. A slave ship, belonging to North America, and bound to Savanna in Georgia, was in the harbour; this vessel Dr. P. went on board of to satisfy his curiosity. The whole account is too long to be extracted, it contains an enumeration of the cargo (of slaves), their mode of treatment, during the voyage, including their food, their amusement, and accommodation. We can easily discover that the author is averse from the abstract principle of this species of traffic. We shall not enter the lists against him, as the question is not before us. In the examination of the ship, which we have just mentioned, expressly made by the doctor and two or three friends, to ascertain the truth or falsehood of the cruel treatment, which it is alleged, that the Africans experience on the voyage from their own country to the colonies, his candour exacts from him something like a refutation of those exaggerated falsehoods, which have too long been before the public.

"I am most happy to conclude my report of this visit by informing you, that we discovered no marks of those horrors and cruelties, said to be practised on board the ships occupied in this sad traffic of human flesh; and which are represented as so frightfully augmenting the manifold ills of slavery. Chains, stripes, and severities, did not seem to have been in the catalogue of means employed in conveying these poor Africans to their American masters. Our minds, necessarily, suffered in contemplating the degrading practices of civilized beings towards the less cultivated brethren of their species: but the eye was not shocked by the abuses of tyranny and inhumanity. The comfort and health of the slaves were promoted with every care. Being fond of washing in cold water, they were encouraged to the free use of it; and their persons, as well as the whole of the ship, were kept remarkably clean. They were plentifully fed; and, in the day-time, were dispersed about the ship, so as to be prevented, as much as possible, from assembling together, in close unwholesome crowds. Mirth and gaiety were promoted among them: they were roused to bodily exercise, and care was used to divert their minds from dwelling upon their change of state, and loss of home: and I may truly say, that a more general air of contentment reigned among them than could have been expected. While many were dancing and singing, and playing together, others were giving their assistance in working the ship; and we even learned that several of them had made themselves highly useful on the passage, and were already becoming expert sailors."

The situation of the negroes upon the estate of a Mr. John Waith of Barbadoes, a gentleman to whose indulgence and humanity the most ample testimony is borne, furnishes a still more ample refutation to those

those crying, methodistical philanthropists, who tell us, that every West Indian planter is a cruel inexorable task master; and that his slaves are reduced to the lowest state of human degradation and misery.

"At the negro-yards it is common for the slaves to plant fruits and vegetables, and to raise stock. Some of them keep a pig, some a goat, some Guinea fowls, ducks, chickens, pigeons, or the like; and at one of the huts of Spendlöve, we saw a pig, a goat, a young kid, some pigeons, and some chickens, all the property of an individual slave. This is mere indulgence, but it gratifies and amuses the negroes, and becomes, in various ways, highly useful. The little garden and their stock, not only afford them occupation and amusement for their leisure moments, but create a degree of interest in the spot, and excite feelings of attachment toward the master, who both grants and protects the indulgence. The negro-yard, viewed from a short distance, forms an object of highly interesting and picturesque scenery; it comprizes all the little huts, intermixed with, and more or less concealed by, the variety of shrubs and fruit trees, which kindly lend their shade; likewise the many small patches of garden ground around them, and the different species of stock, some appearing in pens, some tied by the leg or the neck, and some running at large; and if it be evening, you have also the crowd of negroes, male and female, as they chance to be seen, at rest, or moving in busy occupation, some passing from hut to hut, some dancing to their favourite music, some sitting at the door with the pipe in their mouths, and others smoking their loved segar under the broad leaf of the plantain. The picture is also further enlivened by the groups of little black children; some running and skipping about; some seated, playing before the doors, in nature's ebon dress; and some, unable to walk, attempting little pedestrian excursions upon their hands and feet. Perhaps, within so small a space, few scenes could offer so much to interest a contemplative mind; or to aid the pencil of a painter of the picturesque."

If this account be fairly given, and even making a just allowance for the glow of fancy which pervades it, we think, (an opinion not hastily formed,) the situation of the African in the West Indies to be superior to the situation of the African in his own country; and greatly superior to the situation of the lower ranks in the most polished countries of Europe.

We have already expended a considerable portion of our time on that part of Dr. Pinckard's work which relates to Barbadoes. His remarks are so desultory and discursive, that we must be content briefly to observe, that the author visited taverns and plantations, relates a vast number of anecdotes, and appears always in good humour. But in this heterogeneous mass of prattling and story telling, we have discovered little, which has not often been repeated*; and nothing which enlarges the boundaries of science.

* In a sensible and well written volume by Daniel Mc'Kinnon, Esq. entitled "A Tour through the British West Indies in the years 1801 and 1803," the public are in possession of much pleasing and some valuable information relating to Barbadoes.

In letter nine, Vol. II. dated at sea, April 20, (1796), we find our voyager in company with the expedition which sailed from Barbadoes, destined to attack the Dutch colonies upon the coast of Guiana; and in the following letter, he relates the capture of the united colony of Essequibo and Demerara. As the troops were preparing to disembark, a pleasing account of British military enthusiasm occurs, which we most cheerfully extract, as it furnishes another instance, in addition to the many upon record, of the national character of our countrymen.

"It is worthy of remark that, although upon the passage not a morning had passed without a considerable number being reported for the sick-list, we had not one new patient the day it was intended to make the landing; but, on the contrary, the list of the preceding day was diminished. The spirit of attack seemed to operate as a specific remedy. Many actually recovered, and were allowed to join their companies. Others stole off without reporting themselves, fearful the doctors should not allow that they were well enough to be reported efficient: and others, far too weak to bear arms, feebly crawled from under the awning of the quarter deck, which had been converted into a sick ward for their accommodation, and begged of me to permit them to go on shore to join their comrades in the battle. The idea of going into action proved a more salutary stimulus than could be found in the whole of the London or Edinburgh Pharmacopœia; and, strange to add, the sound of the destructive cannon promised to be a more healing balm, than the mildest emollient prescribed by the doctor..

In letter the twelfth of the same volume, the author has favoured his readers with a description of an estate belonging to a Mr. Dougan of Demerara, on which the condition of the "persecuted Africans," as they are called by the wise-acres of this age of feeling and sensibility, is marked by so large an accumulation of comfort of every description, that we are tempted to give the whole passage.

"I know not, whether, upon any occasion, since my departure from England, I have experienced such true and heart-felt pleasure as in witnessing the high degree of comfort and happiness enjoyed by the slaves of "Profit." Mr. Dougan not only grants them many little indulgences, and studies to make them happy, but he generously fosters them with a father's care; and they, sensible of his tenderness towards them, look to their revered master as a kind and affectionate parent; and with undivided, unsophisticated attachment, cheerfully devote to him their labour and their lives:

"Not satisfied with bestowing upon his slaves mere food and raiment, Mr. Dougan establishes for them a kind of right. He assures to them certain property, endeavours to excite feelings of emulation among them, and to inspire them with a spirit of neatness and order not commonly known among slaves: and I am happy to add, that the effects of his friendly attentions towards them are strongly manifested in their persons, their dwellings, and their general demeanour.—Perhaps it were not too much to say, that the negro yard at "Profit" forms one of the happiest villages within the wide circle of the globe! The labouring poor of Europe can attain to no state at all adequate to such slavery; for, had they equal comforts, still could they never be equally free from care.

"The

"The slaves of Mr. Dougan are not only fed, and clothed, and tenderly watched in sickness, without any personal thought or concern, but each has his appropriate spot of ground, and his cottage, in which he feels a right as sacred as if secured to him by all the seals and parchments of the Lord High Chancellor of England, and his court.

"Happy and contented, the slave of 'Proffit' sees all his wants supplied. Having never been in a state of freedom, he has no desire for it. Not having known liberty, he feels not the privation of it; nor is it within the powers of his mind either to conceive or comprehend the sense we attach to the term. Were freedom offered to him, he would refuse to accept it, and would only view it as a state fraught with certain difficulties and vexations, but offering no commensurate good. 'Who gib me for gnyhaam, Massa,' he asks, 'if me free?' 'Who gib me clothes?' 'Who send me doctor, when me sick?'

"With industry a slave has no acquaintance, nor has he any knowledge of the kind of comfort and independence which derive from it. Ambition has not taught him that, in freedom, he might escape from poverty, nor has he any conception that by improving his intellect he might become of higher importance in the scale of humanity. Thus circumstanced, to remove him from the quiet and contentment of such a bondage, and to place him amidst the tumults and vicissitudes of freedom, were but to impose upon him the exchange of great comparative happiness, for much of positive misery and distress.

"From what has been said, you will perceive, that to do justice to the merit of Mr. Dougan, would require a far more able pen. His humane and liberal conduct does him infinite honour; while the richness of the estate, and the happiness of the slaves, loudly proclaim his attentive concern. We were pleased with all around us; but to witness so happy a state of slavery, gave us peculiar delight.

"The cottages and little gardens of the negroes exhibited a degree of neatness, and of plenty, that might be envied by free-born Britons, not of the poorest class. The huts of Ireland, Scotland, France, Germany, nay, many even of England itself, bear no comparison with these. In impulsive delight I ran into many of them, surprizing the slaves with an unexpected visit, and, verily, I say the peasantry of Europe might envy these dwellings of slavery. They mostly consist of a comfortable sitting room, and a neat, well-furnished bed room. In one I observed a high bedstead, according to the European fashion, with deep mattresses, all neatly made up, and covered with a clean white counterpane; the bedposts, drawers, and chairs, bearing the high polish of well rubbed mahogany. I felt a desire to pillow my head in this hut for the night, it not having fallen to my lot, since I left England, to repose on so inviting a couch. The value of the whole was tenfold augmented by the contented slaves being able to say—'all this we feel to be our own.'

"Too often in regarding the countenance of a slave, it may be observed that—

'Dark melancholy sits, and round her throws
A death-like silence, and a dread repose.'

"But throughout Mr. Dougan's happy gang, the more striking features are

are those of mirth and glee; for, here, the merry dance and jovial song prevail, and all are votaries to joy and harmony.

“ Before the doors of the huts, and around these peaceful dwellings, were seen great numbers of pigs, and poultry, which the slaves are allowed to raise for their own profit; and from the stock, thus bred in the negro yard, the master usually purchases the provisions of his table, paying to the negroes the common price for which they would sell at the market. The conduct of Mr. Osborne to his slaves, and, indeed, of many others I might mention, is also very highly commendable. The negroes at Arcadia have much cause of contentment; their happiness and welfare being guarded with a parental care. Were all masters kind and humane as Mr. Dougan, and his neighbour, slavery might have few enemies; and the peasants of Europe, amidst their boasted freedom, might sigh, in vain, for the happiness enjoyed—by slaves.”

We have been tempted to select these instances of humanity on the part of West India planters, in order to rescue their character from the opprobrium with which ignorance and hypocrisy have loaded it. Both Mr. Waith of Barbadoes, and Mr. Dougan of Demerara, would do honour to society in any climate. The vertical rays of a tropical sun have not extinguished the finer feelings of the heart. Montesquieu in regard to them is no authority. For the honour of our species, and our country in particular, we take upon ourselves confidently to assert, that in the British West India Colonies many such men as Mr. Waith and Mr. Dougan may be found. We shall not exceed the boundaries of truth, when we declare, that the generality of British West India planters are humane and benevolent men.

Dr. Pinckard, it is true, has recorded some instances of cruelty exercised towards the negroes; which have been selected by some contemporary writers again to vilify the character of the West India merchant, and to prejudice the great question now before Parliament, of the propriety of abolishing the slave trade altogether. But the recorded facts which Dr. P. has given, bear so limited a proportion to those of an opposite nature, that we fairly consider them, from their infrequency, as fully bearing us out in the disinterested tribute which we have paid to our transatlantic countrymen.

(To be concluded in our next.)

Observations addressed to the Public, in particular to the Grand Juries, of these Dominions. 8vo. Pp. 74. Booth, Rivingtons, and Hatchard.

SOON after the establishment of our Review, we apprized our Readers, that in our early notice of a work, or in the length of our observations upon it, we should be guided neither by its *bulk*, nor by its *cost*; but, chiefly, by its claims on the public attention from the importance of the subject, or subjects, which it should profess to discuss. Acting upon this principle, we may, probably, be led to bestow more time upon, and to allot a greater space to, the pamphlet now before us, than we should to another work, of several volumes in quarto,

or even in folio. In order to justify this apparent preference in the present instance, we must apprise our readers that the "Observations" before us relate to subjects of primary importance—to the RELIGIOUS AND MORAL PRINCIPLES AND CONDUCT OF BRITONS. To such a community of CHRISTIANS it would surely be a work of supererogation to contend that on *these* depend not merely the present welfare and prosperity of the realm, but the future happiness and salvation of every individual which it contains. If this, then, be a self-evident proposition; what, let us ask, can more imperatively require the most serious attention of every man and woman in the kingdom?

In his *preface*, the author, who professes to be a plain *unlettered* man, but who is amply qualified for the discussion of this important subject, by the possession of qualities infinitely more essential to a Christian, than literary talent or skill in composition, observes,

"I believe it to be a subject of general observation, that there has been for many years, and still exists, in this yet flourishing kingdom, a rapid and alarming advancement in the progress of immorality, corruption, and crimes, of every kind and description:—And I am apt to persuade myself, that I shall not hazard a dissent from my opinion, when I fix the mischief in the decay of that genuine spirit of Religion and Veneration for the DEITY, which is the best and only sure foundation of all Morality, and of all rational and substantial Happiness."

Certainly we shall not dissent from the author's opinion in imputing the progress of vice and immorality to such a source; indeed we have but too good reason for believing that the profligacy of the present age is owing to a contempt of Religion. We do not mean to say, that such contempt is, by any means, *general*. Happily we know that there are numbers of good Christians, whose practice and professions are in strict conformity with each other, in every class of society, but more particularly in the *upper* and *middle* classes. We have heard it contended, when any one has descanted on the immorality of the present age, that this age is not worse than preceding ages; and the time of Charles the first has been quoted in proof of the justice of this position. But without inquiry into the *comparative* vices of those times, it is sufficient for a Christian to know, that great and enormous vices extensively prevail, in order to make him deprecate the consequences of a continuance thereof, and to lead him most strenuously to exhort his brethren to *repentance* and *reformation*. In such exhortation there is nothing *methodistical* or *puritanical*; it is the bounden duty of a *Christian* to avoid it himself, and to exert himself to the utmost for preventing the commission of it by others. If a man give credit to the inspired writings, he must believe this to be his duty, and he may rest assured that, for the strict performance of that duty, no true Christian can possibly censure him, while his own conscience will amply acquit him, either for the neglect, or for the obloquy which he may experience, from a profligate and uncharitable world.

The author proceeds to consider the various causes, which, in his estimation,

estimation, have tended to produce that neglect of religious duties, which he and many others so feelingly deplore. The first of these causes which he notices, is the insufficiency of parochial churches in the metropolis, and, he might have added, of chapels of ease throughout the country. He truly observes, that parliament has spared no expense for the advancement of works of national utility; but that not a sixpence has been voted for the erection of churches; "or in any shape to promote or support the established religion of the country, although it might be admitted to be the highest and first consideration of national importance and political consequence; and tends most sorrowfully, but too truly, to prove, and conveys more conviction to the mind, that this established religion has been, and is declining, more than whole volumes." He afterwards quotes a passage from the excellent lectures of the venerable Bishop of London, in order to prove the existence of a necessity for an additional number of parish churches in the metropolis. We happen to know that this zealous prelate made application to the minister of that day, who *professed* to be a zealous member of the church of England, to propose to the House of Commons an adequate grant for such a purpose. The minister *promised* he would; but that promise, we are sorry to say, like many other promises of Mr. Addington, remains to be fulfilled by Lord Sidmouth; and his Lordship, we suppose, has too many affairs of importance to attend to, in his present official situation, to devote any portion of his time and attention to objects of such TRIVIAL concern. Money can be found to establish a *Popish college* in Ireland, and to afford assistance to *Dissenters*; but not a farthing for promoting the interests of the *established religion*, in any part of the United Empire. Ministers who can so act, are either grossly ignorant of their duty, or wilfully neglect the performance of it; and if there were not a general apathy in respect of religious matters, the Protestants of the realm would speak, on such abuses as these, with a voice of thunder that would drown all resistance. Not only should new churches be erected in the capital, but special care should be taken to provide, in them, ample space for the accommodation of the poor; such as is provided in the New Church at Bath, erected at the immediate instigation, and under the immediate superintendence, of that admirable divine, the *Reverend Charles Daubeny*, who, if distinguished merit, and distinguished services, were sufficient to secure promotion in the church, would, ere this, have been one of its most distinguished prelates!

Mr. Colquhoun's Treatise on the Police is quoted, by our author, to prove the existence of the immorality which he describes, and the inadequacy of the existing laws for its punishment or suppression. So far Mr. C. is a safe guide; his book contains much useful information, and many salutary hints, resulting from practical observation; but it also contains many exaggerated statements, particularly where he ventures to fix the number of prostitutes, or of loose and disorderly persons in the metropolis; he could have no possible data on which to found

found such statements; they must, therefore, have been the mere offsprings of conjecture. We always lamented that a book in many respects eminently useful should be disgraced, and have its authority shaken, by the introduction of such loose, vague, and desultory matter. Our opportunities for observation on the manners of the different classes of society enable us to state, with confidence, that the apprehensions of our author, as manifested in the following passage, are by no means groundless:

"The evil of vicious and licentious habits, which has infected the Capital, is rapidly spreading into the country, and requires our utmost circumspection and activity to arrest. Otherwise, so extensive is the corruption, the degeneracy which prevails among us, and so active in its malignant operations, (and if we are not determined to shut our eyes, we must perceive the danger,) that a very short period will assuredly bring us to that rock which has shattered to pieces the government, fortune, and existence, of so many powerful nations of the ancient and modern world.

"Whilst the influence of bad example and licentious manners has so deplorably corrupted the habits of all classes of society, and extended more widely and fearfully, than it is possible without a degree of horror to conceive, my own observations have taught me to apprehend that the diabolical spirit of jacobinism is by no means extinguished amongst us;—a spirit which, if suffered to operate on such a disposition as is depicted by the Bishop of Oxford, in his excellent charge to his Clergy in 1805, cannot but produce effects the most alarming and destructive to the whole system of society.

"I do not profess myself to be a better Christian, or a wiser man, than my neighbours; but the matter has been with me for some years the subject of deep and anxious reflection, and I have felt an impulse beyond resistance to bring it under the serious consideration of my fellow-subjects, and more particularly that class which constitutes Grand Juries, as the original and only probable channel left untried to induce mankind to a return of neglected duty. Volumes have issued from the press under the associated auspices of the greatest learning and the brightest talents*. The most exalted judicial abilities have not spared to warn us from the bench of justice, of the progress of the mischief. Discourses equally pious and convincing, eloquent and impressive, are weekly and unceasingly delivered from our pulpits; hitherto without producing in our morals or habits any reformation, or indeed any effect in proportion to the magnitude of the danger, towards which we are hastening with almost ungovernable rapidity: and it is possible, that my voice may have but little influence in commanding your attention. But I am resolved that no discouragements shall deter me from raising that voice in the discharge of the awful duty, which an approving conscience has imposed upon me, and which with me supersedes all other considerations. Therefore, Gentlemen, (*Grand Jurors*,) let me entreat you, as

* Judge Hardinge on several occasions in Wales, and every Judge in the Kingdom.

you value all that is precious or dear to you, here or hereafter, suffer it not to escape; but by your *immediate* example and exertions, endeavour to *save your Country*. For my part, if I shall succeed in rousing the honest energies of my fellow-subjects to that degree of activity which I am persuaded the occasion most loudly and imperiously demands, I shall, at that hour, when all other impressions fade away, experience the noblest of all compensations; the consoling recollection, that I have, to the extent of my abilities, endeavoured to do my duty in that state of life in which it has pleased Almighty God to have placed me."

The next source of immorality which he produces, on the authority of Messrs. Colquhoun and Middleton, is the increased number of public houses, which is certainly an evil of the first importance. There is no evil; however, which admits of so easy and so radical a cure; for the law has invested magistrates with such discretionary powers, in the granting or refusing of licenses, that no reason whatever is required of them, for their decisions. The legislature wisely conceived that magistrates would be the best judges of the necessity for public houses, and to what extent in their respective districts, and it supposed, that they could have no temptation to act otherwise, in respect of licenses, than as the strict justice of the case required. In one respect, however, the legislature betrayed a great inconsistency of conduct; for while it forbade all brewers and distillers, who should be magistrates, to attend any license meetings, it suffered persons of that description, ay and carpenters, bricklayers, and builders too, to be in the commission of the peace; all persons materially interested in the decision of the bench on licensing public houses; and yet all afforded an opportunity of biasing the opinions and votes of their brethren, which they could not enjoy, if they were not admitted to the bench. In short, it is a lamentable fact, that as much interest is exerted, and as much intrigue used, to procure licenses, at the meetings holden for that purpose, as to obtain votes at a general election; and in too many places, with equal success. It is much to be feared that government set their faces against the correction of these abuses, through dread of injuring the revenue; as if the loss of morals, and the decay of religion, could be compensated by the overflow of the exchequer!!! This multiplicity of alehouses, and the vast increase of the consumption of spirituous liquors, do more to deprave the minds and morals of the lower classes of society, than all other causes united.

The next subject of our author's consideration, as tending to promote the end which he so deeply laments, is the education of our youth. He states that he has been informed, "that a *boarding school for young gentlemen* is not unfrequently advertized by a discarded valet or a fraudulent bankrupt!" Undoubtedly very improper persons are frequently entrusted with the care of youth; and legislative interference has become necessary to prevent the growth of this as of many other evils. As to the *education of females* we shall leave the author to deliver his own sentiments.

"Yet, with conviction on our understanding, that precious period of
 NO. CIII. VOL. XXVI. D life,

life, the best portion of which should certainly be devoted to the improvement of the mind, to the lessons of domestic duty, and to the cultivation of the love of virtue, is sacrificed, under our connivance, to the frivolous accomplishments of music and singing, Italian and French, dancing, drawing, and painting; in each of which, our female youth must now be placed, under the instruction of a separate master, generally of dubious, and frequently of debased principles. While their time is thus so entirely occupied in the pursuit of superficial acquirements, it is not to be wondered at, that they should in fact be rendered, from habit, incapable of, and averse to, the more important and useful duties of life.—Neither is this reprehensible plan confined to the more elevated ranks, but it is adopted with equal insatiation by the middling classes; and with what pernicious effects, the distresses of many a sorrowing family, and the streets of the metropolis, too plainly demonstrate!

“I do not pretend entirely to disapprove of these refinements of education, or to affirm that they are destitute of advantage, when cultivated in moderation; I only regret that they are made the principal, if not sole object of study, instead of an accessory and secondary consideration; and I deprecate the consequences;—for, while such a system is tolerated, with me it ceases to be a wonder that conjugal fidelity should be violated with little compunction;—that the base acts of seduction have cast upon the town that numerous list of unfortunates, which crowds our theatres and public places to the outrage of all decency;—and that matrimony is become an enterprize of danger and doubt, in which the reflecting mind is reluctant to engage.

“When I contemplate one of our fashionable females, ushered into the world in early youth, with morals neglected, and a mind unstored with principles by which to guide her steps:—when she appears tricked out in the indecent transparencies of modern dress, setting at nought all precautions of health, and assuming a boldness and effrontery totally inconsistent with that unobtrusive modesty, in which is comprised the greatest charm of female excellence;—I must confess, that the prevailing sentiments of my breast are compassion and sorrow. This uniform, this unreserved and cheap display of beauty, has rendered it too familiar, and disarmed it of all fascination:—It may attract the snares of the seducer; but the honourable lover, the man of sense and reflection, who looks for the solid and rational delights of domestic life, recoils from the idea of associating his destiny, or of risking his hopes of happiness, with such slight and frail materials.

“I cannot forbear stating, on very credible information, that a respectable physician should have declared that, in one season only, no less than two hundred female patients under his care had either actually died, or would continue to linger for life, under complaints for which there was no cure;—and all contracted in consequence of the exposure of their persons in the pernicious and prevailing indecent fashions of the day!—But the mischief, distressing as it is, does not end here: it will extend to posterity, and succeeding generations will have to deplore the disorders entailed upon them by their parents.”

Women ought to know, that if they injure their health for the gratification of their vanity, they are highly criminal; and that if they sacrifice their

their lives to such gratification, they are guilty of little less than the sin of suicide.

“ Next to the Education of youth, it is I believe allowed, that nothing can have a greater influence on the morals, good or bad, of society, than the representations of the Theatre, which, under the management of talents and integrity, might certainly be applied to promote the noblest purposes of Virtue, as much as, at the discretion of the mercenary and unprincipled, they might be perverted to the worst ends of Vice,—in preparing the human mind for the gradual encouragement of debauched habits, and the most licentious courses. Perhaps it would be difficult to calculate the extent of that mischief, which has been circulated by the exhibitions on the stage, of characters the most abandoned, in such a specious garb as covers the deformity of vice and depravity, from the disgust and detestation of the honest and the good.—And I can very readily assent to the opinion which has proscribed that production of one of our most amiable poets,—the Beggar’s opera ; which, I have not a doubt, may have lead many a hapless youth to habituate his mind to the indulgence of those vicious propensities, which have ultimately conducted him to the scaffold and a death of ignominy.

“ Yet, to their applause be it spoken, the good sense and sobriety of a British audience have hitherto, I believe, in general discouraged, or rejected with disdain, such attempts as may have been made to insult them with any gross or open violation of propriety or decorum : and I trust that the same honourable and virtuous feelings will long continue predominant in their minds ; for the moment they cease to exist, we shall need no other proof, that the work of corruption and debasement is finished.

“ Nevertheless, truth compels me to state that, in some late instances, such pieces have been, not only suffered in the performance, but applauded ; which, in my own opinion, sanctioned by good authority, have had a tendency highly indecorous, corrupt, and immoral ;—I allude more particularly to those plays which have been translated from the German Drama. But, as far as rests with me, I shall not hesitate to suggest an opinion, that it is certainly worth some share of vigilance and precaution, to keep these generous feelings alive, by guarding the public from the habitual contemplation of successful vice under false and seducing colours, and by proscribing, under the severest penalties, the introduction on the stage, or elsewhere, of any spectacles or representations of an indecent or immoral tendency in any shape whatever.

“ At the same time, there is one circumstance materially connected with this subject, of the most obvious necessity, of which I have long been decidedly convinced ; which is, that the magistrates of the police (and perhaps it is an object of sufficient magnitude to employ the attention of a particular branch) should be armed with full authority, and that this authority should be stimulated to restrain within the strictest limits of propriety, both in point of dress and demeanour, the conduct of that multitude of debauched and unhappy females and their associates, by whom the Theatres are at present crowded, and beset in every avenue and approach, and to defend the modest British Fair from having their eyes

and cars violated by language the most offensive, and by scenes which too frequently bid defiance to every sense of delicacy and decorum."

This is perfectly true: the scenes now exhibited in the lobbies and anti-rooms of our theatres are most disgraceful to the police of the country. In short, it is impossible for a man to conduct his wife, daughter, or sister, through these places, without subjecting them to the grossest insults, or without having their eyes and ears offended by the most licentious conduct and language; and it is difficult to say whether the *men* or the *women* are the most busy actors in this disgusting scene. The anti-rooms, indeed, built, we suppose, in imitation of the *Foyers* of the Parisian theatres, which, however, they resemble in nothing, appear to have been erected for the sole purpose of affording conveniences for the most indecent exhibitions; and for supplying the prostitutes who are *encouraged* to frequent the play houses with opportunities of carrying on their trade to advantage. Whoever is in the habit of frequenting the theatres must know that this is no exaggerated account of them; and unless some radical change be speedily effected in the management of the lobbies and coffee-rooms, and in enforcing some decency of conduct in those who visit them, they will in a short time be deserted by all virtuous and modest women, and become little better than brothels. Theatres, properly conducted, are the sources of rational amusement, eye and of instruction too, to the intelligent part of the community; and it is most abominable that these should be deprived of the pleasure and advantage of attending them, by the scandalous mismanagement of those whose duty it is to enforce a due observance of order and decorum. We hope and trust that the LORD CHAMBERLAIN, to whose department the cognizance of all matters connected with theatrical exhibitions especially belongs, will interfere, with effect, to correct such crying abuses: he is a nobleman of the highest character, who sets to his inferiors a becoming example of religious and moral conduct; it is therefore, we should suppose, only necessary to press these subjects on his attention, in order to secure the prompt application of such remedies as it is in his Lordship's power to apply.

The author's notions of Sunday schools nearly correspond with our own, which, on the first establishment of those institutions, we took occasion to publish.

"There is one very popular subject, regarding which I shall perhaps incur some degree of odium and displeasure. I allude to the institution of Sunday Schools, or, rather schools for the diffusion of knowledge among the poor: of which I must confess my doubts, whether the inconvenience to the public have not far overbalanced the advantages intended in the benevolent design: and particularly if, as it is shrewdly suspected, they have created for the malignant spirit of jacobinism and infidelity, the means of disseminating its poisonous principles more extensively and permanently than would otherwise have been practicable. It is, I believe, alleged, that in making people wise, you make them also virtuous:—but I am sorry to say, that my experience of mankind has not hitherto convinced

me that this is always true in the practice; perhaps the converse of the proposition would square better with the present state of the world. God forbid, however, that I should express an opinion hostile to this benevolent plan of instruction, so far as it leads our poor fellow-subjects to a knowledge of the truths of Religion, of the goodness of their Creator, and of the duties of Humanity! I only urge the serious necessity in the first instance, of directing our exertions to the discouragement and suppression of immoral propensities in their earliest approaches to vice; otherwise, I fear that the poor had better remain in ignorance."

"If Knowledge of the World make men perfidious,

"May Juba ever live in ignorance."——

Sunday schools, like most other institutions, are good or bad, accordingly as they are conducted: if under the superintendence of the minister of the parish, they may be productive of the best effects, because the only knowledge which *he* would seek to instil into the minds of his flock, would be that which would make them "wise unto salvation." In the hands of the ignorant, the fanatic, or the designing, they may be rendered instruments of impiety, disaffection, and mischief.

In enumerating some other "circumstances which demand reform and amendment," the author justly observes, that public insecurity is materially promoted "by the ill judged, culpable, and I could say, in respect to their oaths, the immoral clemency of Petty Juries, in letting loose upon the country many criminals committed by the civil magistrate on competent and ample proof." We feel ourselves fully justified in asserting, that to the uncertainty of punishment, proceeding from such conduct, is the increase of thefts and other acts of outrage in this country, more to be imputed than to any other cause. In a word, there is no description of persons, at least no bodies of men in the kingdom, who betray such a total ignorance of their duty, as Petty Juries. Not a sessions occurs, without the acquittal of one or more culprits in the teeth of evidence; and the discretionary power which these men take upon themselves to exercise, in direct violation of their oaths, is carried to a most alarming extent. One instance, of a hundred that might be cited, will suffice:—At the very last session at the Old Bailey, a man was indicted for stealing seven casks of vinegar, which were proved, upon oath, to be worth *Twenty-six pounds*; yet the Jury, in their verdict, did not scruple to reduce the value of them to *Thirty-nine shillings*, for the purpose of enabling the thief to escape that punishment which the laws of his country had annexed to his offence. We have no hesitation in saying that every individual of that Jury was guilty of perjury; because they were all sworn to deliver their verdict according to the evidence, and yet they made their verdict in direct contradiction to the evidence. It is no excuse for them to allege, that they were led so to do by motives of humanity, in order to save the life of a criminal. They ought to know, that the law vests no discretion whatever in them; they are bound to decide on the facts of the case as they appear in evidence before them; they have no right to consider what the effect of their verdict may be; they must

must found it on the evidence alone ; and if they act otherwise, they violate their oaths, and are equally guilty of *perjury*, whether the object of such violation be to *save* or to *destroy* a life. The only privilege allowed them, in regard to a display of *lenity*, is to recommend the culprit whom their oaths compel them to condemn, to the *mercy* of him, whom the constitution has made the sole fountain of mercy in these realms. To do more than this, is not only to perjure themselves, but to be guilty of a flagrant usurpation of one of the most enviable prerogatives of the crown. Besides, if a Jury be once admitted to exercise a discretion in framing their verdict according to the evidence ; and we know, that, in many civil cases, Jurymen, utterly regardless of their oaths, and totally unmindful of their duty, regulate their verdicts not by the *facts*, not by the *evidence*, but merely by the comparative ability of the plaintiff and defendant to support the expences of the suit :—if, we say, this abuse be tolerated, there is no saying to what an extent it may be carried ; it has a direct tendency to divert the whole course of our judicial proceedings, to destroy the beneficial effects of our wise system of Jurisprudence ; to establish a most arbitrary and most dangerous power : and to render life, liberty, and property insecure. We have long witnessed and deplored the growth of this alarming evil ; considering it, as we do, to be pregnant with the most destructive consequences ; we most earnestly call upon the Great Councils of the nation to ascertain its existence, to investigate its source, and to calculate its effects ; with a view to supply such remedies as to their wisdom shall seem meet.

Other abuses are noticed and properly reprobated by this writer ; and among others, the profligacy which is constantly exhibited during contested elections.

“ If any such members there are, who have obtained their seats in the Legislature, through the operation of perjury and bribery, and the contamination of morals and consequent debasement of their fellow-subjects, I have no hesitation in ascribing to them crimes, far more prejudicial and destructive to the welfare of the Country, than all the pilfering and swindling which infests the metropolis, however enormous in its extent.”

Pilfering and Swindling are sometimes joined to *perjury and bribery* : but, unquestionably, some stop should be put to the horrible abuses which prevail at such elections : there are many advocates for *popular* elections, as they are called, but what good purpose they answer, we profess our inability to understand. They promote idleness, drunkenness, and dissipation. But it would be a difficult matter to discover any thing connected with civil liberty, in haranguing a mob, in a character which the orator very well knows does not belong to any individual among them ; for electors do not mingle with the crowd. It would be a very *easy* matter so to regulate elections as to avoid all public disturbances, as to facilitate the distinction between real and pretended freeholders ; and, consequently, so as to prevent the commission of perjury, which is now most horribly prevalent.

We

We have thus accompanied our author through most of his animadversions, and have delivered our sentiments on the different subjects which he discusses without reserve. They are subjects assuredly of very great importance; and, as such, are deserving the most serious consideration of every class of the community. His "Observations" are conveyed in plain, but correct, language; they proceed evidently from a good heart, and a well-regulated mind; and the author has done his duty, and is entitled to great praise for directing the attention of the public to them.

The Annual Register; or, a View of the History, Politics, and Literature for [of] the Year 1801. New Series. 8vo. Pp. 1066. Rivingtons, 1806.

THIS being the first volume of a New Series of this long established Work, the Editors have deemed it necessary to assign, in their preface, the reasons which have induced them to adopt this change in their system.

"In the year 1759 the publication of the Annual Register commenced. The plan was so well formed, and so judiciously arranged, that, after the second volume, no alteration in the distribution of the matter was found necessary, and the Work continued till the death of Mr. DODSLEY with undiminished reputation and success. In that period, however, many events occurred which increased the bulk of the Volumes, and augmented the labour of preparing them: since that time the same causes have operated with still greater force. The war which was carried on when the first Volume of the Annual Register was produced, was described, as "peculiarly formed to interest curiosity, from the importance of the events, the dignity of the persons concerned, the greatness of the actions performed, and the amazing revolutions of fortune." Such a character might then be given of the seven years' war; but those which have since demanded the care of the Editors, have, from the complication of interests, variety of motives, and extent of operations, so far exceeded that contest, that the labour of detailing facts, and investigating causes, is largely increased; and delay and caution are rendered essentially necessary, from the great mass of discordant statements, and contradictory arguments which are produced by every event, and which render it so difficult to discriminate and disclose the real truth. In 1759, and for many ensuing years, the debates in parliament formed no portion of the history contained in the Annual Register. The proceedings in each House were not then, as they have since been, detailed in daily, and compiled in periodical, publications; they were tardily and obscurely given, or more frequently utterly withheld, and the public curiosity was not directed toward the transactions in Parliament, since no satisfactory information could rationally be expected. How much space is now occupied by the account of parliamentary proceedings, a slight inspection of the Volumes published in subsequent years will sufficiently shew, but it will not be equally easy for the reader to estimate the pains and time which are consumed in reducing these discussions into order, in stating the arguments on each side with impartiality, and giving the sense and spirit of
the

the most important debates, without entering into a tedious minuteness of detail, or perhaps into a disgusting series of repetitions.

“ The increasing labour of preparing the Annual Register had before the decease of Mr. Donsley occasioned a considerable arrear in the publication, and since that time, the efforts which the Editors have been able to make have not been sufficient, till the present period, to surmount some obstacles which have so long prevented the continuation. Those impediments are now removed, and the Editors can with confidence promise, that the Annual Register, published by Messrs. RIVINGTONS, shall soon appear, as early as is consistent with accuracy and fidelity, and that nothing which care and labour, united with upright intentions, can effect, shall be wanting, on their parts, to gain for the future Volumes the same public patronage which distinguished this Work in its earlier years.

“ A desire to regain a proper position with respect to time of publication has been one of the motives for commencing a NEW SERIES, but many other causes have concurred to influence that determination. The measure is justified by precedent in the case of other Literary Journals and Memoirs, and appears to have in itself some peculiar advantages. There is always a time when periods are expected to terminate, and when undertakings, which are the same in substance, are to be renewed or separated by some imaginary boundary. In many minds the curiosity which is actively on the wing with respect to recent events, is perfectly quiescent with respect to those which are more remote; and many persons would gladly commence a Work from a defined and not far distant period, who would shrink from the perusal and the purchase of Volumes accumulated for almost half a century. But to those with whom these reasons have less weight, it may be proper to announce that no innovation is to be made in the long settled plan of the Work, and that in the execution of the several departments of which it consists, the connexion between the past and the future will remain unbroken.

“ The period chosen for the commencement of this New Series is eminently favourable. To the British Reader every thing it presents is new. The first year of a century; the moment when the British dominions received a new constitutional form, and a new denomination; the term when a ministry, which, for seventeen years, had guided the destinies of the country, was suddenly to be dissolved; and the year when a war, singularly eventful, and never more so than in its last struggles, was to subside into peace: all these circumstances constitute in every sense a new Era, and render it peculiarly fitting to the denomination of a new series in a periodical publication.”

These are very sufficient reasons; and we have no doubt that the Editors will continue so to conduct their work as to render it worthy the protection which it has so long received. The present volume is written with their accustomed impartiality; and to this, for which they have hitherto been so eminently distinguished, we trust they will rigidly adhere. We shall watch them closely, during the period which intervenes between their last volume, for 1793, and their present volume, an important and most interesting period, in all respects, but more especially as to the state of Ireland; they will not suspect us of being influenced by any other than the purest motives, in the exercise of such vigilance;

vigilance; we are never backward in assigning due praise to their labours; we know what those labours are, and we appreciate them. And it is ever more gratifying to us, to bestow commendation than to inflict censure. Happily, with regard to the volume before us, we have no call whatever upon us for the *latter*, but can bestow the former, heartily and conscientiously. The historical part of the volume is composed with great industry, application, and impartiality; and it includes a very interesting account, both of domestic and of foreign events; the first comprehending the resignation of Mr. Pitt, and his political associates; and the administration of their successors, until the conclusion of the peace of Amiens; and the last, a view of the internal state of France, the plots formed against the *precious* life of Buonaparté, and the celebrated *Concordat*; a succinct but accurate detail of the military operations of the English and French in Egypt, until the final evacuation of that country by the last.

On the motion of Mr. Grey (now Lord Howick) for the House to resolve itself into a committee upon the State of the Nation, which motion he thought justified by the circumstance of a recent change in the ministry: though, be it observed, all clamorous as he was, during the whole of the two preceding administrations, he has never thought proper to bring forward such a motion since he has been in power himself, though all the grounds which he then assigned have subsisted in a still greater degree, during the new administration, with others much stronger than any which ever subsisted before; on this motion, we say, Mr. Pitt took occasion to state the cause (or, rather *one* of the causes) which had produced his own resignation and that of his colleagues.

“ On the measure which he had intended to bring forward, he observed, he was not anxious to have the question agitated at the moment; he did not think it could be done beneficially, either to the public, or those who were the immediate objects of it; but whenever it was agitated, he would give his full opinion on it. He disclaimed the term commonly in use, “ Catholic Emancipation,” not thinking the situation of those persons such as that any relief from it could be so described; but he thought the few remaining benefits of which they had not yet participated, might safely have been added to the many which had been so bounteously conferred on them in the course of the present reign. These benefits, if they had gone before the union, would have been rash and destructive; the measure alluded to, as a claim of right, could not be maintained; and it was on the ground of liberality alone, and political expedience, (and in that sense wisdom, as connected with other measures) that he should have thought it desirable, adviseable, and important; but he would not have it founded on a naked proposition, to repeal any one thing which former policy had deemed expedient for the safety of the church and state. He meant to have afforded additional security to both. Nothing favourable to the Catholics could, however, proceed from a committee on the state of the nation, and therefore all that part of the mover’s speech, which tended to connect such a committee with the condition of the Catholics in Ireland, although it might serve the purpose of engaging men’s affections
for

for a moment, had, in reality, no connexion with it. A committee could be productive of no explanation on this point; for a committee, or even the House of Commons itself, could have no right to require that any man should state his reasons for resigning. Mr. Pitt then noticed the paper circulated in Ireland, observing, in the first place, that the word used in it was *insuperable*, and not *innumerable*, and denying that it brought the Sovereign's name in question. It was a memorandum sent in the name of a revered lord at the head of the executive government of Ireland; he felt it right, as a matter of public duty, to make a communication to persons most immediately among the Catholics, to state the motives which led to the late change, for the purpose of preventing any misrepresentation on that subject, which might have added to the dangers which menaced the public tranquillity. It was Mr. Pitt's express desire, conveyed through Lord Castlereagh, that he should do so; they lost no time in making that representation and explanation of their motives; on the principle, that the attempt to realize their wishes at the time, would only be productive of public embarrassment. The representation was therefore made; but with respect to the particular paper delivered, it was not previously concerted with himself how it should be penned, and therefore, for the particular phrases of it, he did not hold himself responsible. All the knowledge he derived or conveyed was founded on verbal interpretation. As to the tenor of the paper, the sentiments in it were conformable to those which he had already expressed, and should again express whenever he had occasion. This paper promising to promote the claims of the Catholics by peaceable means was his only pledge, and he should give no other. He denied that the persons retiring had so pledged themselves to the Catholics as to be obliged to resign; the Catholics never considered themselves to have received such a pledge, but they had expectations, though perhaps less sanguine than his own. His opinion was in favour of the measure, when the question of the union was debated; such was still his opinion, and the reasons in favour of it did very much preponderate, though it was afterward given up on motives of expediency."

Here Mr. Pitt fully justified the assertion which we made at the period of his resignation, that no *pledge* whatever had been given to the Irish Romanists. We differed at that time from Mr. Pitt, as well on the measure itself as on his resignation; and the knowledge which we have since acquired, of the circumstances attending that transaction, have confirmed us in our opinion. In his reply to Mr. Pitt, Mr. Fox ridiculed the idea of the existence of *Jacobinism*, reviled the war as *unjust*, and imputed all the disasters of our allies on the continent, to the *insolent tone* which Lord GRENVILLE assumed to his favourite Buonaparté. Alas! tempora mutantur, Lord Grenville, at a subsequent period, displayed a most Christian spirit, in refusing to join with his old colleague and *patron* (we use the word, however offensive it may appear to the proud spirit of a Grenville!) Mr. Pitt, and in eagerly coalescing with his old and inveterate enemy, Mr. Fox, humbly kissing the rod which had so often corrected him. His lordship, we fear, had recently exchanged the study of *political economy* for abstruse calculations.

tions of the comparative value of *annuities for different lives*; but, if we mistake not, he will find himself to have been a novice in the art which he most prized, and will repent the day when he quitted *Vattel* for *Price*. We cannot, however, but congratulate his lordship upon the success of his efforts in acquiring that temper of mind which the display of so much *charity* bespeaks; and, indeed, this is not the only instance in which he has returned good for evil; for his lordship, as we have learnt from himself, promoted the chief justice of the king's bench to a seat in the cabinet, in return for the severe chastisement which he received from that legal *Vindex*, in the discussions on the treaty of Amiens; a chastisement administered with all that *delicacy*, *diffidence*, and *decorum*, which so peculiarly mark the speeches and the conduct of that vigilant guardian of the laws.

Mr. Windham, in one of the debates, in the spring of 1801, cautioned the House, with his usual vigilance, at *that time*, against the humiliating language which was then holden by some on the subject of peace; affording a salutary lesson to the new minister, who was either too proud, or too weak, to profit by it.

“ Mr. Windham offered some observations in the way of caution against notions which, he said, were not only expressed in the House, but industriously propagated elsewhere. If concessions were to be made on our part, were none to be expected from the enemy? He did not understand that sort of language, by which it was taken for granted that countries were to measure their treaties by the way in which their possessions had been obtained. He knew of no principles to direct the demands on a country in making peace, but those of prudence, and the probability of what it was likely to obtain. Why should the immense accession of French power become a reason for augmenting that very power by fresh concessions on our part? The argument went to the very reverse; and in proportion to the vast addition to the power and territories of France, this country was bound to endeavour to maintain a balance, by retaining every thing we could for ourselves and our allies: some sort of balance he considered absolutely necessary for the safety of this country and of Europe against that over-grown power. He considered it of importance, that the sentiments of the country on this point should not be mis-stated, more especially, as great events might take place before Parliament was called together again.”

We trust that Mr. Windham, now that he has a voice in the cabinet again, will not lose sight of these principles, or of these sentiments. It is truly curious to read the debates of this period, and to observe the virulence with which, not only the ministers of the day, but all their predecessors, were attacked by those very men who have since joined them both, and who now hold a totally different language! If these persons are not aware how much public confidence has been shaken of late, and public and political morals annihilated, by such conduct, they must be woefully ignorant of the state of the public mind!

The proceedings of the French government during this period are traced with a masterly hand. The following picture of the state of France

France at the commencement of the year 1801, is correctly and ably drawn.

"The republic, eminent in military fame, strong in dominion, and formidable in oppressive alliances, yet exhibited in its interior the weakness produced by a protracted struggle, carried on by means unknown in the history of civilized man, and attended with the subversion of every law, human and divine, which had been used to curb licentious passion, and supply an internal monitor to regulate the conduct of man under circumstances which human laws cannot reach. As the government grew stronger, and less dependent on popular opinion, every appearance of that liberty and equality which had been so fatally abused, became suppressed, and every appeal to those principles, disregarded or punished. France so lately the theatre, on which, for the sake of freedom, so many violent declamations had been pronounced, and so many sanguinary tragedies exhibited, now saw all those lofty pretences trampled under foot; regulations in law, religion, and civil polity just beginning, as if no divine or human regulation had been hitherto known, with the additional mortification that all which was considered arbitrary, tyrannical, and absurd in the old system was imitated, restored or exceeded, and every thing in it which had been grand, benevolent, and dignified, was absolutely suppressed.

"Measures of severe regulation, calculated to revive under another form, the system of terror which disfigured France in the days of Robespierre, were resorted to in consequence of the attempt to destroy the First Consul by means of the machine termed *infernale*, and of another plot said to have been formed in the preceding month of October for his assassination with a poniard. The persons accused of this last offence were Dominic Demerville, Joseph Ceracchi, Joseph Arena, a Corsican, late member of the Council of Five Hundred, John Francis Baptist Topino Lebrun, historical painter, Joseph Diana, Magdalen Charlotte Cladine Fenney, Arman Deiteg, and Dennis Lavigne. Their trial took place (January 7,) before the ordinary court, called the criminal tribunal for the department of the Seine, and proceeded with all due formalities. The principal witnesses for the prosecution were one Harel, a captain, and the celebrated Barrere. Harel deposed that Demerville, one of the culprits, had disclosed to him projects for subverting the government, and assassinating the First Consul at one of the public places in Paris, named to him divers individuals, as directors of the plot, and requested him to purchase arms, and procure the assistance of four resolute fellows. Alarmed at this communication, Harel said he had reported it to Lefebvre, commissary at war, who had given notice to the minister of the police. Harel continued to receive money from the conspirators, with which he purchased pistols, daggers, and other arms, part of which he gave to them, and the other part to Lefebvre. The 10th of October being fixed for the execution of the plot, the conspirators went to the Opera, as did the witness with four men well armed. Diana was taken up, because he was seated in a box opposite to Buonaparte, and Ceracchi walking in the avenues, was arrested before he had time to go for his arms. The officers of police immediately proceeded to the house of Demerville, where several individuals were secured, and he himself, not being then at home,

home, surrendered the next day but one. On examination before the minister of police, Ceracchi and Demerville confessed their guilt, and impeached Arena, who they declared had furnished them with money. Barrere supported the proof against Demerville by stating, that on the 30th of October, he had dissuaded the witness from going to the Opera, lest, as he said, there should be a tumult, which would interrupt the performance.

“ The accused defended themselves with equal spirit and ability, exposing many absurdities in the charge; their confession, they insisted, had been extorted by violence, and under threats of being immediately shot, and had been formally and publicly retracted the moment they had an opportunity of recording their declaration in safety. Harch, they said, was himself frequently in the habit of venting those threats and imprecations against the First Consul, which he had falsely and basely charged on them. They produced also many witnesses to their character, among whom was David, the painter. Their counsel, named Doumanget and Guichard, acted with becoming boldness, claiming from the court the observance of the rules of law, the violation of which would place it on a level with the revolutionary tribunal; but this language was strongly reprehended, and the advocates threatened with punishment unless they changed their style; the court would not suffer any reflections either on the magistrates at the head of the police, or any of their subaltern agents. The jury found Demerville, Ceracchi, Arena, and Topino Le Brun, guilty; Diana, Fumey, Daites, and Lavigne, were declared not guilty, and discharged. Those who were convicted appealed to the proper court, but the judgment against them was confirmed, (January 29,) and they were shot on the Place de la Grève.

“ Before this process began, the attempt to destroy Buonaparté by the *infernale* had been made, and the occasion was considered favourable for expelling from France a great number of persons, whose stubborn hostility to every species of rule rendered them dangerous to every power, and for giving to government as much additional strength as despotism itself could require. The trial above described could not be prevented from taking place, but it was determined that should be the last opportunity afforded to prisoners of making their defence interesting to the people, or dangerous to the projects of government. Eight days after (January 1,) the explosion of the machine intended to kill the First Consul, Fouché, the minister of general police, so dreadfully celebrated in the annals of the Revolution, presented to the Consuls a report on the subject, affirming in the first place, that those who made the attempt could be considered only as enemies of the human race. These were not the sort of criminals against whom law and its forms were instituted; they did not confine themselves to menace a few persons, and some portions of property; they were enemies of all France, threatening every moment to deliver up every Frenchman to the furies of anarchy. These men, it was said, were few in number, but their offences were innumerable. They had been equally ready to attack the national convention, and every other government, but finding themselves equally objects of contempt and aversion under the present system, which was fenced round by public confidence, and strengthened by popular affection, they had changed their tactics, and relied on assassination, hoping to avail themselves of the stupor and confusion which would be produced by the death of the First Consul. The

men whom the police had detected were not all taken with poniards in their hand, but they were all universally known to be capable of whetting and of using them. It was not now required to punish the past, but to secure social order.

"On these loose allegations, supported only by the declamatory periods of Fouché, the government was required to vote that 133 persons should be placed under a watchful inspection in places out of the European territory of the republic. This tremendous law, which convicted and punished so many individuals without a charge, and without a hearing, passed the council of state without difficulty (January 4); it was, with equal facility, adopted by the conservative senate, sanctioned by the consuls, and carried into immediate effect. In looking over the list of victims we see only the names of regicides, septemizerers, and others of the most atrocious description; but liberty is never more endangered than when government begins to practise tyranny on notorious criminals. In their favour no voice is raised; and the public, rejoicing too inconsiderately in an event which seems propitious to the general cause of justice, sanctions a precedent, by which virtue and patriotism may be sacrificed at pleasure, and despotism established on an immovable basis."

This horrible law was followed by another equally detestable, for the establishment of special criminal tribunals.

"This most odious and oppressive law, which annihilated every appearance of liberty, did not pass both branches of the legislature with so much facility as that required by Fouché. In the tribunalate, (Jan. 19,) the report of the committee, to whom it was referred, was brought up by Duveyrier, and was calculated to gloss over the deformities of the proposed statute, but it was discussed during ten days (Jan. 25—Feb. 4,) with uncommon animation. Isnard, a strenuous adherent of Brissot; Daunon, the author of the existing constitution, and several others, were heard in opposition to the law in general, and to many of its express provisions; on the other side were Jean de Brie, Perrault, and many more, who claimed confidence in government, and insisted that the state of the republic rendered the law indispensable. No less than nineteen orators on each side entered their names as intending to speak, but many of them could not obtain a hearing. The opponents of the measure were assailed with abuse, and intimidated by threats; several amendments were made during the discussion, and at last the numbers in favour of the project were only 49 to 41."

Our readers cannot have forgotten, that Jean de Brie, one of the most strenuous supporters of this law, was the sanguinary wretch who proposed to the National Convention the establishment of a *corps of regicides*; a fellow always ready to promote scenes of blood and slaughter. The whole business of the Concordat is explained and discussed with great perspicuity and fairness. This discussion is followed by these just and apposite reflections:

"With respect to the government of France, or at least the chief of that government, it was not supposed to be connected with any religious motive, but viewed merely in the light of a political expedient. The whole life of Buonaparté was so replete with acts and expressions which proved an utter contempt for all religion, and an utter disregard to all those obligations

tions which religion tends to impose and enforce, that no one believed in his conversion to Christianity, more than in his sincere adoption, in 1796, of the religion of Mahomet. Both were professed for political purposes, and the present profession excited neither wonder nor curiosity, except as to its probable influence on the people of France. That the great majority of the nation was attached to the faith of their fore-fathers was not disputed, and therefore the re-establishment of the rights of public worship could not fail of producing an extensive popular effect. Even many of the pretended philosophical party, who warred against all religions, were not displeased to see an establishment revived which promised some respite from the violentes which had so long convulsed the nation, for want of some fixed points to which popular opinion might be directed. But those friends of religion, who reflected deeply, were not pleased to see it rendered thus completely a mere engine of state, degraded in the persons of its most respectable professors, and insulted in the very moment of pretended patronage. It was not without indignation that they witnessed the establishment of forms of instruction for youth, even after the date of the Concordat, in which religion was not even mentioned, and a chapel, that of the invalids, which had been solemnly dedicated to Christianity, converted into a Temple of Mars, and the conversion ratified by the First Consul, who placed the image of that Pagan deity on the spot which had been occupied by the Christian symbol of redemption. These and many other recent acts of government, as well as the whole tenor of the Concordat, the manner of forcing the Pope to acquiesce, and the conduct he was afterward obliged to adopt, all proved that the Catholic religion was merely to be suffered, but not honoured; employed as an engine of state, but allowed neither dignity, respect, nor security. Those who expressed their sentiments with the greatest freedom, compared the Concordat to a plaister, which would patch up the Catholic religion, and enable it to exist a little longer.

“ On the other hand, some furious enemies of all establishment, and all public worship, represented to Buonaparté that he was duped in permitting its reintroduction, and those who had influenced him were leading him to ruin. To these observations the First Consul only replied, by asking if they considered as nothing the advantage of a set of bishops entirely created by him, and of churches, where prayers must be continually offered up for him, and for the republic ?”

We have adduced sufficient specimens of this Look to convince our readers, that our opinion of it is founded in justice. The Miscellaneous parts of the volume are compiled and selected with judgment. And, at the end, is an able Review of *Two Books*; Dr. White's *Ægyptiaca*, and Dodd's *Milton*. We must repeat, that this part of the Register ought either to be omitted or extended.

The Pictonian Prosecution.

1. *Colonel Fullarton's Statement, Letters, and Documents, respecting the Affairs of Trinidad.*
2. *Colonel Picton's Letter to Lord Hobart.*
3. *Colonel Fullarton's Refutation of Colonel Picton's Letter.*
4. *Evidence*

4. *Evidence taken at Port of Spain, in the Case of Louisa Calderon.*
5. *Extracts from the Minutes of the Council of Trinidad.*
6. *Lieutenant Colonel Draper's Address to the British Public.*
7. *Colonel Fullarton's Address to the Electors of Westminster, containing the Grounds of his Charges, in a condensed Form, against Sir Samuel Hood, as an improper Person to represent that City.* Folio. Pp. 8. Price One Shilling!!! J. Murray, Poland-Street. 1806.

(Concluded from Vol. XXV. P. 201.)

WE purposely postponed our concluding comments on this strange prosecution, in the hope that it would be speedily brought to a close. Nor have we been deceived in our hopes. For the Privy Council have at length made their report to his Majesty, by whom it has been approved. This report, we are happy to say, at once confirms and justifies the opinion which we have invariably entertained and pronounced. The substance of the report is, that there were no grounds whatever for further proceedings on any of the numerous charges brought forward by Mr. Fullarton against Colonel Picton. When we recollected that three years had elapsed since these charges were first submitted to the Privy Council; that they were pursued under three successive administrations; that they had, of course, undergone the fullest and most rigid investigation; and that the late sittings were attended by the different members who had marked the whole progress of the business; we could not, we confess, but feel for the deep humiliation of the rash accuser, who had thus been foiled in every attempt, and who had reaped nothing but defeat and disappointment from his strenuous and unexampled efforts in the cause of *virtue, justice, and truth!* We conceived, indeed, that he would instantly retire from public notice; take shelter in his native mountains, and hide his diminished head in shame and sorrow. Alas! we knew little of the man; and but ill estimated the nature and extent of his, more than *national*, perseverance! No sooner was the decision of the council conveyed to the public, through the medium of the papers, which have been most scandalously true to the cause of Mr. Fullarton, than the vigilant eye of that arch-accuser (there are some passions, 'tis known, which never sleep,) viewed it with one of those furious looks, which Locke tells us, "are able to discompose most men," and immediately sent the following answer; of which, either from the blunder of the printer, or from the rage of the writer, nothing but the malignity is perfectly intelligible.

"We are authorized and requested to state, that if the contents of the preceding paragraph (announcing the decision of the council) are sanctioned by official authority the most authentic proofs will be submitted to the public, specifying the *real* grounds of the charges preferred against Colonel Picton, upon such of which as are of a capital nature, the Lords of the Council have sat upwards of three years, and which have occupied the unremitting attention of three succeeding administrations."

If any thing that came from the pen of Mr. Fullarton could surprise us, and we must take it for granted that this notable paragraph came from his pen, since none else could speak with *authority* on such a subject, our astonishment on the perusal of it would have exceeded all bounds. But though it failed to raise astonishment in our minds, it certainly excited other sentiments of a nature equally marked, and as far removed from approbation as *truth* is from *falsehood*, or *virtue* from *dishonour*. May it not be supposed, that as the *real* grounds of these charges are now promised to the public by the person who preferred them three years ago, the *grounds* which he has already assigned in his different publications are *not* the *real* grounds? The language warrants no other construction; yet we cannot suppose that so *cautious* an accuser meant to make so fatal a concession. *Proofs* may *substantiate*, but cannot *specify* grounds of accusation; but 'tis not the trifling warfare of words that we proposed to engage with Mr. Fullarton; indeed to such a contest there would be no end; for so vulgar and clumsy a penman is he, that every new paragraph of his composition would afford a new cause for prolonging it. It is not his *words* then, but his *principles*, his *averments*, his *acts*, which first extorted and have since commanded our attention. We will appeal to the public, and with confidence too, if ever a proceeding so highly indecent was adopted by any man who had preferred a criminal charge against another, as this of Mr. Fullarton. Treating with contempt the decision of that court which had legal cognizance of the cause, and which had devoted to the investigation of it so much time and so much labour; and behaving with marked disrespect to his sovereign who had, in the most unequivocal terms, expressed his royal approbation of such decision; Mr. Fullarton leaves not the destined victim of his enmity there, but resolves to appeal from the honourable sentence of acquittal to—a higher court?—No—but to the *people*! What, let us ask, would the public think of a man, who, after having preferred a bill of indictment against another for murder or for treason, and after such bill had been thrown out by the grand jury, should appeal from their judgment to the people, and publish his own ex-parte statement of facts? Would they impute his conduct to a regard for justice? or, would they not rather be led to suspect, from such persevering enmity, that it proceeded from revenge, founded on some base and selfish motives? If in *such* a case they would draw such an inference, and it would be strange, indeed, if they did not, what favourable conclusion can even distorted candour itself draw from this proceeding of Mr. Fullarton? Far be it from us, in thus arguing from cases of a nature in some respects similar, to extend the analogy to the motives imputed to the accuser. Mr. F. must be incapable of harbouring any such motive; he is all truth, all honour, and all virtue; and to his insatiate thirst for justice alone must be imputed his care to proclaim Colonel Picton to the world, while the charges were in a course of investigation by the Council, "The most atrocious malefactor who ever disgraced

graced the English name and character." 'Tis true, the council have presumed to differ in opinion from this impartial judge, and have even had the temerity to acquit "this atrocious malefactor!" Hinc ille lacrymæ! We shall now direct our attention to Lieutenant-Colonel Draper's Address; our notice of which, in our Review for November last, was limited to the "Advertisement." It opens with this spirited exordium.

"I preface my address to the People of England with this short but strong declaration, that if there be one *respectable* or *honourable* man in the kingdom, who will convict me of having wilfully misrepresented, say I will go further, of having exaggerated, or extenuated any of the facts which I shall think it my duty to bring forward in the following statement, I do here solemnly pledge myself publicly to acknowledge my mistake, and to make atonement for my error, by a full and unequivocal recantation.

"To persons of any other class, or character, I have resolutely determined never to make the smallest reply; and as to those who may choose to come forward in the way of anonymous defenders, I shall make this observation, which I hope they will bear in mind, and not lose sight of, that as I never shall state any important fact on *my own authority*, nor adduce any remark in which I shall not be supported by evidence of *unquestioned integrity*, I shall therefore take no notice whatever of any replies or observations, however daring, however plausible, or however ingenious, that do not come fortified by evidence and authorities of equal respectability to those which I shall myself adduce. It was my original intention to have confined, as it was my right so to do, this privilege to those only, who, like myself, affix their names to their publications. But with a confidence of the strength, the rectitude, and honour of the cause which I take up my feeble pen to defend, conscious of my perfect knowledge of that case, I here enlarge the limits of the privilege, and I re-assert, that if any anonymous person chooses to enter the lists, and *fortifies his assertions by evidence and authorities of equal respectability* to those which I shall adduce, I here engage to answer him. But in no other case shall I ever write a single line, or take the smallest notice of what may be printed, or published."

This spirited writer next apologizes for any inaccuracies of language which may be visible in his address, on the ground that a military life is little favourable to literary pursuits. Without examining the justice of the excuse, we must acknowledge that there was not the smallest occasion for it, as the production is one of which the most polished scholar of the age would have no reason to be ashamed.

In our former remarks upon these publications*, we took some notice of Mr. Fullarton's flat contradiction of a statement by Colonel Picton, of certain observations made by Sir Samuel Hood, on Mr. F.'s conduct, on the 24th of March, 1803. Adverting to this transaction, Lieut.-Colonel Draper observes:

"Although not indispensable to my immediate purpose, yet for the

* See ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW, Vol. XXV. P. 180.

take of truth, and of contradicting a report which has also been circulated with great industry, that Commodore Sir Samuel Hood joined Mr. Fullarton in his charge against Colonel Picton, I give, from Colonel Picton's letter to Lord Hobart, a copy of the Commodore's address to Mr. Fullarton in Council, *on the very day* when he presented the charges. This address was taken down by Colonel Picton, and printed in his letter, dated Nov. 1804; a copy of which was immediately transmitted to Sir Samuel, then on his station at Barbadoes. He has been in this country for some months; and although Mr. Fullarton has had the hardihood to assert that it was not spoken by him, I do now assert, *from authority*, that such assertion is false."

What credit can be given to Mr. Fullarton's assertions after this? The author investigates, with almost legal precision, and with more than legal ability, the charge preferred against Colonel Picton for the torture of Louisa Calderon. He prefaces this investigation with the following strong and eloquent remarks:

"The motives which induced Mr. Fullarton, at so early a period of his sojourn in the country, to advance a charge of this complicated nature, and so entirely contrary to the opinion and belief of his colleague Sir Samuel Hood, I have not taken upon myself to investigate. It is, however, neither unreasonable nor unfair to conclude, that when, according to his own declarations, he received no instructions to examine into the previous government, and that when such an attempt was made by him, it was publicly, forcibly, and with the utmost earnestness on the part of his colleague, not only deprecated, but utterly decried and discredited, and his veracity at the same time in other concerns impeached and maintained before his Majesty's council of the island, and where that impeachment was supported and sustained by all the members of that council but one; I say, in these circumstances, it is neither unreasonable nor unfair to conclude, that Mr. Fullarton's motives, in taking up this business, were not the result of a feeling or sense of public duty, nor in obedience to the requisition of public instructions.

"But if I cannot give him much credit in this respect, I shall not be deficient in acknowledging, that the taste, (if I may so pervert the real meaning of the word,) which he has shown in the selection of his charge, argues an acuteness of discrimination, which, when we consider the nature of the work he meditated, proves his ability in the way of criminality. Excellence in any line should never pass unnoticed. Between him who most exalts, and him who most debases his nature, there is but the moral difference of right and of wrong. The wicked ingenuity of the act by which that difference is contrasted may still be a subject of admiration, and the man may be handed down to posterity an object of universal horror and execration, while the record of his villainy yet remains a black, but extraordinary instance of perverted talents, and distorted genius. Mr. Fullarton knew the temper of the happy country from which he came; he participated in accusations which not long since had hunted down, by hue and cry of unpopularity, the reputation and fortune of a man, whom the highest and most honoured branch of the legislature at length pronounced innocent and guiltless. He well knew the feelings of the people of England, and with what facility and success every impostor

before him had roused those feelings, which, according to their direction, cast so much honour or so much disgrace on the national character. Happy, too happy, I conclude, did he feel himself, when he was able, with an air of great philanthropy and plausibility, to offer to the public a new victim for popular indignation. Religion had lost its hypocritical cowl—Mahomet had long since monopolized all this trade. Patriotism had expended its masks—Wilkes is no more—the French Revolution is passed, and the civil and political liberty of England, disjoined by the shock, wanted the cement and fiery infusion of an inhabitant of a new and tropical region to support its weakness, and to renew its expiring strength. Louisa Calderon was to be brought from another world, and an hypocritical mulatta prostitute, a self-convicted robber, introduced into Westminster-hall, and associated with Hampden, and with Sydney, to the edification and instruction of the present and succeeding generations. Why, alas! should this noble, generous, and high-minded feeling, the peculiar boast and glory of the English nation, be perverted to the basest and most degrading purposes? Why should every *mountebank* in politics, every bankrupt in fortune and in honour, be capable of leading this charitable and magnanimous people astray, and of turning their feeling hearts and reflecting minds to the furtherance of their own base and wicked designs? That this has actually been the case in the business of *Mademoiselle Calderon*, I have no difficulty in saying that I shall be able most satisfactorily to prove; I feel quite confident in asserting, that I shall in this letter place beyond all doubt or contradiction, that so far from any violation being offered to the laws which Colonel Picton was directed to administer, so far from any tyranny, oppression, or ill treatment being exercised against *Mademoiselle Calderon*, the most minute and scrupulous observance of the most minute and scrupulous forms of that law was rigidly adhered to throughout: that in every connexion and relation in which it can be viewed, it will be pronounced according to the laws of the tribunal under which she was born, and under which the habits of her mind and feelings took their rise, a fair, impartial, just, and honourable trial."

The author then proceeds to state the circumstances of the case, on which we commented pretty much at length, in our Number for May last. He next defines the powers of the judges of Trinidad, previous to the conquest by the English, and at a time when the laws were in full force; and then quotes the instructions given to Colonel Picton, which vested in him the supreme authority, as formerly exercised by the Royal Audience of Caraccas. Lieutenant-Colonel Draper proceeds, in the regular course of this disquisition, to show what were the laws in force in Trinidad; a point of essential importance in the discussion of the question; relating to the *little mulatta prostitute*. We beg Mr. Fullarton's pardon, the *little persecuted innocent*, Miss Louisa Calderon.

"These material points being settled, the next question is, what was the criminal law that subsisted in Trinidad, previous to the surrender of that island? It is ascertained, that there existed but two codes of Spanish law relative to the Spanish colonies; or, to express myself more correctly, that

that in aid of the old Spanish or Castilian law, a compendious code was formed for the use and government of the Spanish West India colonies, by his Catholic Majesty, Charles II, in the year 1681, called, "Recopilacion de Leyes de los Reynos de las Indias, Mandada imprimir y publicar por la Majestad del Rey, Carlos II, 1681."

"This code, or compilation, did precisely what a code framed under such circumstances should do; that is, it enacted all such laws and regulations as were thought suitable, and best adapted to the *local circumstances* and particular situation of the countries they were designed to regulate or govern: but emanating as it did from the parent state, it was not necessary that a code of laws differing *wholly, essentially, and entirely* in its principles, should be framed for these colonies. This would be a piece of wild nonsense or madness that might have suited the Abbé Sieyès, or the revolutionists of New France, but certainly did not agree with the temper and disposition of the Monarch of Old Spain in the year 1681, and accordingly we find in the outset, in the royal preface to vol. I. p. 4, or declaratory law signed by the king, that it simply and clearly declares its object, and the limits of that object; it says,

Recopilacion de las Leyes de las Indias Carlos II, 1681.

"Ley que declara la autoridad que han detener las leyes de esta Recopilacion.

"Que las Indias sean Gobernadas solamente por las Leyes de esta Recopilacion, guardando, en defecto de Ellas, lo ordinado por Ley 2^a Titulo 1^o. Libro segundo de esta Recopilacion."

Which Extract translated runs thus,

"The Indies are to be governed by the laws contained in this Recopilacion, having recourse, where they are silent or defective, to what is ordered by the 2d law, 1st title, and 2d book of this Recopilacion."

"This second law alluded to is as follows:

Ley 2. Titulo 1^o. Libro 2^o.

"Que se guarden las Leyes de Castilla en lo que no estuviere decidido por las Leyes de las Indias.

"Ordinamos y Mandamos que en todos los casos, negocios, y Pleytos, en que no estuviere decidido ni declarado lo que se debe proveer por las Leyes de esta Recopilacion, o por Cédulas, Provisiones o Ordenanzas dadas, y no revocadas para las Indias, y las que por nuestra Orden se despacharen, se guarden las Leyes de nuestro Reyno de Castilla, conforme a la Ley de Toro, así en quanto a la substancia, resolucion, y decision de los Casos, Negocios y Pleytos, como a la forma y Orden de substancias."

TRANSLATED.

"The Laws of Castile are to be observed in all cases not determined by the laws of the Indies.

"In all cases, affairs, and causes, the determination and judgment of which cannot be found in the laws of the Recopilacion, or in the Cédulas, Diplomas, or Ordinances given for the Indies and not repealed, and those we may hereafter dispatch, we do order and command that the laws of our Realms of Castile be adhered to, conformably to a law of Toro, for the substance, resolution, and decision of affairs and causes, as well as the form and order of the proceedings."

Again,

Again, in Book 2. Title 2. Law 13.

Ley 13. Título 2. Libro 2.

"Que las Leyes que se hizieren para las Indias sean lo mas conformes que ser pudiere a las de estos Reynos."

TRANSLATED.

"That the laws to be made for the government of the Indies be as conformable as possible to those of these kingdoms."

Libro 2. Título 15. Ley 17.

In Book 2. Title 15. Law 17.

"Que en las Audiencias de las Indias se guarden las Ceremonias de las Chancillerias de estos Reynos de Castilla, en lo que no estuviere especialmente determinado."

TRANSLATED.

"That the Audiences of the Indies conform to the ceremonies observed by the Chanceries of these our kingdoms of Castile, or whatever is not especially determined by the Recopilacion."

Libro 2. Título 15. Ley 66.

Book 2. Title 15. Law 66.

"Mandamos a las Audiencias que en el conocimiento de los negocios y pleytos, civiles y criminales guarden las leyes de estos nuestros reynos de Castilla en los casos que por las de este libro no huviessemos dado especial determinacion, y provean de forma que los delitos no queden sin castigo dentro y fuera de las cinco leguas."

TRANSLATED.

"It is our commands that the Audiences, in the cognizance they take of all affairs and causes, civil and criminal, conform to the laws of these our kingdoms of Castile, and whatever is not specially determined by the laws of this book, and they are to take care that crimes do not go unpunished within their jurisdictions."

Libro 7. Título 8. Ley 3. de la Recopilacion de Indias,

Book 7. Title 8. Law 3. of the Recopilacion of the Indies.

"Somos informados que en las Indias hay muchos Testigos falsos, que por muy poco interes se perjuran en los Pleytos y Negocios que se ofrecen, y con facilidad los hallan quartosse quieren aprovechar de sus deposiciones:—y porque este delito es grande ofensa de Dios nuestro Señor, y nuéstro, y perjuicio de las partes, Mandamos a las Audiencias y Justicias que con muy particular atencion provean averiguar los que cometen este delito, castigando con todo rigor a los Delinquentes conforme a las Leyes de nuestros Reynos de Castilla pues tanto importa de Servicio de Dios y Exeucion de Justicia."

TRANSLATED.

"We are informed, that in the Indies there are many false witnesses, who for trifling reward perjure themselves in the suits and processes which offer, and that they are easily procured by those who are desirous of benefiting by these depositions; and because this crime is a great offence against God and ourselves, and of great injury to the parties, we order all Audiences, Justices, &c. that they search out and discover those who commit this crime, punishing with every degree of rigour the delinquents, conformable to the laws of our kingdoms of Castile; it being important to the service of God and the execution of justice."

"Let

"Let us now state the laws of the kingdom of Castile, as far as they relate to the subject of my Address, I mean that of picket or torture.

"Recopilacion de las Leyes de estos Reynos hechas por mandado de Sa Majestad Catolica Don Philipo 2º nuestro señor, Madrid 1581. Libro 2º Titulo 7. Ley 13.

"Recopilacion of the Laws of these Kingdoms, (or of Castile,) made by order of His Catholic Majesty, Philip II. Madrid 1581. Book 2. Title 7. Law 13.

"Que los Alcaldes no condenar a question de tormento sin preceder sentencia; y a los hidalgos los guarden sus privilegios, y sin embargo de qualquier costumbre o estilo guarden lo que es derecho en esto.

"Porque somos informados que los Alcaldes quando mandan poner a question de tormento, no dan sentencia ni la firman, porque no se puede ver si son conformes o no, para que el condenado pueda suplicar o alegar de su derecho, y que lo mismo se ha accustum brado en todas las otras justicias; aunque sean de muerte, y que solamente dan un mandamiento para que el alguacil execute sin notificar lo al delincuente, porque no apele, y que han atormentado a muchos hidalgos, aunque no sean casos enormes, y porque esto es causa muy grave, y contra todo derecho y leyes, mandamos que sin embargo de qualquier costumbre o estilo que en esto pretenden tener, ellos y los pasados, en el procedes y terminar los negocios asi civiles como criminales guarden las leyes y mandamientos de nuestros Reynos y no exceden de ellos.

TRANSLATED.

"The Alcaldes are not to condemn to the question or torment without sentence; viz.

"Being informed that the Alcaldes, when they order the application of the question or torment, do not give previous sentence and sign it, so that there is no seeing whether they act conformably or no, that the condemned might petition or allege his right, and that the same is the custom in all cases, even those affecting life; and that they only give an order to the alguazil to execute it without notifying it to the delinquent that he might not appeal; and that they have tormented many esquires, or hidalgos, even in cases of no enormity. And as this is a most serious case, and contrary to the laws, we order, that notwithstanding any custom they now pretend to have, in the proceeding and determination of all affairs, civil or criminal, they keep the laws and ordinances of these kingdoms, and do not exceed them."

Libro 6. Titº. 2º. Ley 4.

Book 6. Title 2. Law 4.

"Ordinamos que ningun hijodalgo pueda ser preso ni encarcelado por deuda que deva, salvo sino fuere arrendador o cogidor de nuestros pechos y derechos, porque en tal caso el mismo quebranta su libertad. Y asi mismo mandamos que ningun hijodalgo pueda ser puesto a tormento por que antiguamente fue asi otorgado por fuero."

TRANSLATED.

"We order that no esquire or hidalgo be arrested or imprisoned for any debts he may have contracted, except as renter, or collector of our revenues, in which wise, he is the violator of his own liberty; and we also order that no esquire be put to the torment, for such was anciently his privilege."

"Thus

"Thus far the laws of the Recopilacion for the Indies, and those of the kingdom of Castile, on which they are founded. As for the Trinidad Cedula, it merely contains an enumeration of the advantages or grants of land, and commercial privileges, to such as were inclined to become settlers in that colony; and does not mention, in a single instance, the laws, either civil or criminal."

Our readers cannot have forgotten, that, on the trial of Colonel Picton, for ordering the torture to be inflicted on Louisa Calderon, the counsel for the prosecution insisted, first, that the Spanish settlements in South America, and, of course, Trinidad, were governed *exclusively* by a code of laws, entitled the Recopilacion de las Leyes de las Indias; which forbade, or at least did not admit of torture; and secondly, that torture was not sanctioned by the laws of Old Spain. The latter was the question submitted to the jury, who found, *that no such law was in force*, when the judge told them, that they must then return a verdict of guilty. Colonel Draper has fully falsified the first assertion respecting the exclusive supremacy of the Recopilacion; and how an English jury could be called upon to decide such a question as the last, and upon such evidence, we are utterly at a loss to conceive. Without, however, meaning to impute any improper conduct to the jury, or to accuse the witnesses of wilful perjury, we will state, for the information of our readers, what we should have imagined no one, who knows any thing of the civil law, could, for a moment, question, that torture ever has been sanctioned by the law of Old Spain, and that, *to this hour*, let Messrs. Montez and Vargas contradict us, if they dare, it is actually practised in the Spanish colonies in South America. But says Mr. Garrow, with his usual boldness, "if torture was the acknowledged law of the island of Trinidad, this would supply no vindication of a British governor." And he then proceeds to state *his* conceptions of the duty of governor Picton, which happen to be at direct variance with the notions of Sir Ralph Abercrombie, and with the instructions of the British government, which positively enjoined him, to govern the island "*in conformity to the ancient laws and institutions that subsisted within the same previous to the surrender of the said island.*" If Mr. Garrow be consistent, or unless his argument may be considered as, vox et præterea nihil, (and for his own sake we wish it to be so considered,) he will contend that the King had no authority to issue such instructions; and we should be happy to discuss that point with him. But Colonel Draper has opposed to Mr. Garrow such arguments and such facts, as that pleader, with all his eloquence and ability, and few pleaders possess more, will, we are persuaded, be unable to answer and to confute. Having shown the reference in the Recopilacion to the laws of Castile, he quotes such portions of these laws as authorize the infliction of torture. He then proceeds thus:

"Let my reader compare the declaratory laws, or royal prefaces, signed by the King, of both codes here stated, and he will immediately feel a perfect conviction that they are founded on the same principle. The Recopilacion

recopilacion de las *Indias* refers to the "Laws of the realms of *Castile* in every thing that is *not found* in the *Recopilacion de las Indias*." What necessity, therefore, was there to enact criminal laws in that *Recopilacion*? It tells you where they are to be found; it neither changes or alters, but actually adopts, orders, and commands their practice and enforcement. Mr. G. might as well tell me, that the laws of torture were abrogated and abolished by the *Recopilacion of Castile*, because there is no particular specification of the particular statutes for torture, and simply contains the exceptions which I have adduced respecting the *Alcaldes* condemning to the question "without sentence." "And that no esquire be put to the torment," referring always, or, "conforming in whatever respects the laws of the *Partidas* and *Fuero* to what is ordained and directed by the law of *Toro*." I shall not strengthen this argument by saying, that in both cases, *Exceptio probat Regulam*; but having an opinion of Mr. G.'s profundity, I shall refer him to the laws of the *Partidas*, *Fuero*, and *D'Estilo*, for their detail of those particular laws on the subject of torture, and leave to myself a future opportunity of proving to Mr. G., from law authorities beyond doubt or controversy, that torment continued in 1802 to be the law of Spain, and consequently, as I have maintained and proved, the law of *Trinidad*. Should I take up the examination of this question on a broader principle than I have thought necessary to do in this statement, I hope to be able to give a more copious view of the laws than the narrow limits of this paper will permit me. But I trust I have adduced sufficient authority to prove the particular point which I intended, and which I here maintain, viz. That the criminal laws of Old Spain are neither abrogated, repealed, or superseded by the laws of the *Recopilacion de las Indias*, upon the assertion of which Mr. G. obtained a verdict; and that these laws are as fully, completely, and substantially in force in the colonies, as they are in the heart of the capital of Madrid, where I have indisputable living evidence that punishments to extort confession are at this moment in use and practice."

Colonel Draper next enumerates the old Spanish law books of authority, which were received and acted upon as such in the colony. These books were, *Elizondo*, *Curia Philippica* *Bobadilla*, and *Colom*; and from them the Colonel quotes such laws as relate to the subject, which he discusses. As the only question now remaining to be decided respecting the conduct of Colonel Picton, is that of a *new trial* for the infliction of torture on *Louisa Calderon*; as the late verdict turned on the point before noticed, as we are willing that our readers in particular, and the public in general, shall know as much on the subject as those persons "who are conversant with the proceedings of the Court of King's Bench," and as the pamphlet before us has been suppressed, we shall, without any fear of trespassing too much on their patience, extract the whole of these quotations.

Curia Philippica, No. 16. Folio 227.

"The accomplice in a crime is not a sufficient evidence against another, (his companion) agreeable to a law de *Partida*, except in crimes of high treason, coining, that against nature, *notorious theft*, and in all those which cannot be committed without accomplices. In all which cases being

ing admitted, he is to be fully examined in the cause of him against whom he gives testimony. "Antonio Gomes."

Curia Philipica, No. 2. Folio 228.

"The question of torment is to be applied for confirmation and proof, there not being sufficient."

Curia Philipica, No. 4. Folio 229.

"In the same crimes for which the question is applicable to the delinquent, in the same it is applicable to the witness who varies or prevaricates in his evidence, or who denies the truth, or who refuses to declare it, there being a presumption that he knows it, not being of those persons to whom the torment cannot be applied, according to a law of Partida and its Gregorian Glossary.

"And in the same crimes for which the torment is applicable to the delinquent, in case an evidence of low vile character and bad morals is admitted, he is to testify under torment, otherwise his evidence is of no validity. "Law de Partida."

Curia Philipica, No. 12. Folio 230.

"The torment that may be ordered to the delinquent for the crime, may also be ordered to force a declaration from his accomplices (if there be appearance or presumption that he had any) in crimes of high treason, coining, that against nature, theft, &c., as well as in all others which cannot be committed without accomplices; in all which those who are so may be admitted as evidences. "Antonio Gomes,"

Elizondo Pratica Universal Forense Judicio Criminal,
No. 12. Folio 277.

"The appearances to authorize the application of the question should be weighty, apparent, urgent, and probable, and not light, doubtful, and equivocal, except in hidden crimes, and of difficult proof, such as theft, sodomy, crimes committed at night, coining, &c., in which the slightest are sufficient to authorize a departure from the ordinary forms of law, and the receiving of such proofs as can be met with."

Elizondo Pratica, Universal Forense, Judicio Criminal,
No. 5. Folio 275.

"To know what appearances are sufficient, and without which satisfactorily proved, the torment cannot be ordered, except in atrocious crimes, or the accused refusing to answer the questions affirmatively or negatively, or the persons against whom the suspicions exist, being entrusted with the care of property, of a town, or an inn-keeper, for thefts committed in the places under their charge.

"Vide Fannacio de Judiciis."

Curia Philipica, Judicio Criminal, No. 13. Folio 230.

"The species of torment, and the quality, is not determined by the law, but left to the arbitrament of the judge, according to the complexion of the delinquent, the crime, and its appearances, though he should not make use of new torments, but the usual ones, such as dropping of water, small cords and pulleys, and of such nature.

"Vide Law de Partida and Gregorio Lopes."

Bobadilla de la Politica, Folio 964. No. 22.

In high treason, theft, robbery, parricide, and crimes of an atrocious

ous nature, the suspicions being strong, and the accused hardened, lawyers are of opinion that unusual torments may be applied."

Bobadilla, Folio 965. No. 25.

"In applying the torment juridically, though the criminal should die, or lose the use of his limbs, the judge cannot be answerable for it, according to common opinion, and a Law de Partida, which says, 'if the judge order any man to be tormented for any offence he may have committed, in order to discover the truth, he cannot be answerable for any wounds he may have received.' And I well remember, that in the jail of this court, an assassin died under torment, and another had his arms broken, without any consequences."

Curia Philipica Judicio Criminali, Folio 231. No. 16.

"There are to be present at the torment only the judge, escribano, executioner, and person tormented. And it is to be given in a secret place, without any other person being present or in hearing."

"Laws de Partida."

Bobadilla de Politica, Folio 962. No. 16.

"In notorious, concealed, and atrocious offences, charged against wicked persons of evil fame, if the judges order the question or torment upon slight evidence or suspicion, and in the information and summary mode, without communication to the accused, as is the common opinion, they shall be held excused in residencia, though Paris de Pudes says; That it is only allowable to superior judges, and not to inferior ones: but I know that the contrary is the practice, and in twenty-one years that I was corregidor and judge, I always practised it in such cases, and though I was accused in residencia, I was always acquitted. And in the superior council, in the account that I rendered of the corregidorship of Soria, they approved of the torment I ordered on summary information to Sarrizola and other robbers, whom I caused to be apprehended in Navar and Arragon, in the year 1773."

Bobadilla, Folio 959. No. 10.

"If the action is for having committed any one unjustly to prison, I say, if the crime is of a serious nature, although the accused shall not have confessed it, he may not only be put in irons, but into the stocks and in chains: but if it is for a slight offence, he ought not to be put in irons."

Bobadilla de la Politica, Folio 966. No. 26.

"There is another kind of complaint against the corregidor in residencia for judgments not conformable to law, and this kind is divided into two parts, the one when the judge in his sentence erred merely from ignorance, or want of knowledge, and the other when he was actuated by malice or corrupt motives. With respect to the first, I say, that a judge may be punished for it, as it is a great fault in any one to be ignorant of the art he professes, and of the office of judge, which he has voluntarily taken upon himself. Ignorance of the law can excuse very few, particularly professors of the law. But many lawyers are of a different opinion, and say, that judges are punishable only for corruption, malice, &c., and not for errors of judgment proceeding from ignorance; and this is observable every day in the royal audiances, for though sentences

tences of the inferior judges are frequently reversed, they are not condemned in any penalties."

Bobadilla, Folio 966. No. 27.

"For as the judge who solicits and accepts an office, being incapable, commits a great fault, particularly if he does not examine the facts and study the law that he may conform to it, (for inferior judges are tied down to the law,) so that if through ignorance, imprudence, negligence, or confidence in himself, he does an injustice to the party, he may be accused in residencia, and condemned in whatever costs and damages the party may have suffered. But according to Anores de Isernia, Gregorio Lopes, and others, if the judge in the examination of facts and law used diligence, and without deceit or fraud used his best endeavours, he ought not to be condemned, although he may have erred in some things, for the defect proceeds from the imbecility of human nature, and ought to be pardoned."

Bobadilla, Folio 970. No. 31.

"In what touches the satisfaction that the judge in conscience ought to make in the above cases, I say, that if through malice, he prejudiced or injured the party, he ought to be obliged to satisfy him fully to the extent of the injury; but, if through ignorance only, then there is this distinction, if the judge solicited and sought the office, he is subject to costs and damages, but if, on the contrary, he was elected or compelled to accept of it, he shall not be obliged to pay any thing."

Bobadilla de Politica, Folio 926. No. 64.

"Those whom a judge had condemned or imprisoned, are not sufficient evidences against him, (in residencia,) because they are actuated against him by hatred, and always persuade themselves that the condemnation and imprisonment were unjust, and that the judge was the accuser. And naturally then with facility, justify and pardon themselves, and inculpate and calumniate the judges who either condemned or imprisoned them. And for either of those causes it is natural to presume that they are actuated by hatred against them."

Bobadilla, Folio 926. No. 65.

"Persons of low and vile character and reputation, or unknown, ought not to be admitted witnesses, even with torment, against officers of justice, under residencia, though according to law they may be admitted with torment against other persons in criminal causes."

Bobadilla, Folio 923. No. 51.

"The accuser, denunciator, promoter, or instigator, or who dictated the articles of accusation for another to present, for the same doctrines and reasons cannot be admitted an evidence for the other accusers."

Bobadilla, Folio 923. No. 55.

"The advocate or attorney of the accusers, although not employed in the cause for which they bear evidence, cannot be admitted as evidence free of exception."

Bobadilla, Folio 925. No. 62.

"The conspirator, and conjurator, to promote the residencia and accuse the judge, and assist with their persons, money, counsel, or other means, cannot be admitted as unexceptionable evidence."

Colom.

Colom. Book 2. Folio 143.

Colom. Tom. 2. Folio 143.

"El Juez imperito en letras o en derecho es llamado *Lego*, y en los Pleytos y Causas que pendieren ante el deve assessorarse o acompañarse con Advogado aprobado, siendo sobre algun artículo que consista su determinacion en punto de derecho y en las sentencias definitivas, dando antes noticia a las partes interessadas del Assessor que nombrare para que si quisiessen innovarle de su derecho o recusarle por sospechoso para que el Juez nombre otro, por ser esta de su Eleccion o arbitrio en virtud de la Ley 2^a Título 21. Partida 3.—pero para los demas proveidos que atienden al ritual del Pleyto no se necessita ni practica assessorarse de Letrado, sino solo del Escrivano de el, por ser de su Obligacion a saberlo y evitar costas y diligencias."

TRANSLATED.

"The judge who is not educated to the profession of the law is called *Lego*, and in all processes and causes carried on before him, he is required to assessorate or accompany himself by a graduated advocate *when he decides on points of law*, and in definitive sentences, giving notice to the parties interested of the assessor named, that if they choose they may except to, or refuse him as suspicious, that the judge may name another, which is at his election and arbitrament, in virtue of Law 2d. Title 21, Partida 3—but for all other provisions which respect the ritual of the cause, it is not the practice to make use of a graduated assessor, but only of the *escrivano* of the cause, whose duty it is to understand it, and to avoid costs and damages."

We venture to assert, that there exists not any unprejudiced man, who, after reading these passages, can doubt for a moment, that torture was warranted by the law of Spain, and that Colonel Picton, in the infliction of it in the solitary instance adduced, acted in strict conformity to the ancient laws and institutions that subsisted within the island of Trinidad, previous to its surrender to the British arms. It perhaps we shall here be told, for we have been assured that such language was actually used in the court, that, though Colonel Picton acted in strict obedience to his instructions, still he could not plead those instructions in justification of his conduct. In our first notice of this prosecution, we showed the dangerous consequences of such a proposition. If it be for a moment maintained, as a doctrine of law, what military officer in his Majesty's service will again be rash enough to accept the government of any foreign possession? It is a doctrine utterly destructive of all military subordination, and which, once admitted, will, of necessity, give to the gentlemen of the long robe a monopoly of the office of governor; and Mr. Garrow's greatest enemies could not wish him a worse fate, than to fix him in the government of Trinidad, furnished with instructions, his obedience to which might subject him to a criminal prosecution in England, kept hanging over his head for two years. We must here premise, that we have the authority of Lord Ellenborough for asserting, that, in regard to the question submitted to the jury, viz. Whether torture was warranted by the law of Spain? "Text writers, of high reputation," should be considered

sidered as "accurate expounders of the law." Such then are the writers quoted by Colonel Draper. We have the same authority also for saying; "if what the defendant has done be not under the authority of law, he ought to be punished; *if under that authority, he ought to be quit and go free.*" To us, then, it is sufficiently proved, even by the authorities which his lordship acknowledges to be competent, that what Colonel Picton did, he did under the authority of the law; and that, therefore, he ought to be quit and go free, which, however repugnant it might be to the feelings of some men, would assuredly be consistent with justice, and consequently highly congenial to the feelings of all in whose bosoms a love for justice is not superseded by a spurious or affected philanthropy, no nearer allied to genuine humanity than hypocrisy is to benevolence; or than power without responsibility is to constitutional authority.

On adverting to the danger of denying, that an officer's conduct can be justified by his instructions, in language of much greater strength and eloquence than our own, Colonel Draper thus feelingly deploras and deprecates the existence of so alarming an evil:

"My mind is too full of matter upon this question to proceed securely on. I have, as an officer, stated the principle of the case; I have, as a faithful, loyal, dutiful subject, opened the subject to my country; I have taken it out of the hands of base, designing men; I have removed all the calumnies and misrepresentations which have been wickedly, and for the worst purposes, attached to it; I have brought it from night and darkness into broad day-light; I have exposed it *as it is*, to my country and the world: not alone for the honour, fortune, reputation of him on whom this great experiment has been made have I volunteered in the cause, but for the safety and protection of those who are now under the very same terrors. The magistrates of Trinidad acted in this case with such perfect conviction of their legal powers so to do, of the legal *right* they had so to act and order, that the intimidations of Mr. Fullarton in bringing this case forward as a heinous charge and crime were laughed at: little did they suppose that the Governor of Trinidad was, on his return from the Government, to be pelted by the pitiless tongues of an unthinking rabble; to be confronted and accosted by miscreants whom they all acknowledged and swore to be outcasts, and persons 'not to be believed on their oaths.' Mr. Black, one of the ablest; and most experienced, the most wealthy Magistrate of the Colony, has asserted his legal right to order this punishment *since* Brigadier-General Hialop has assumed the Government. He punished Modeste Valmont in the same manner as Mr. Begorrat punished Louisa Calderon; and will the Government of England, will it keep an active Magistrate and honourable man in exile in the West Indies, when after thirty-five years of toil and labor under a burning sun, the greater part of that spent in the service of Government, when the labor of life is, or should be, relieved by the solaces of friends, and the comforts of fortune in England? I say, will such a man be kept in abeyance, waiting for the hand of the law to lay hold on him, should he be bold and brave enough, like Colonel Picton, or rash enough, *perhaps as it may turn out*, to come home, and face it's

it's present terrors? and why all this terror, dread and apprehension! because he acted as the Colonel did, and as Mr. Begorrat did in obedience to the law? No, no. This cannot, will not be done, will not be suffered or endured. An action of damages for 40,000*l.* is actually entered against Colonel Picton for acting in obedience to other parts of his Majesty's instructions, which it requires no knowledge of the Spanish * language or of Spanish law to read and understand. Many are threatened against Brigadier-General Hislop; I know the cases. His instructions are, I understand, on a much more narrowed scale than Colonel Picton's. He should not be left in jeopardy. It is full time to put an end to all these fretful circumstances. The principle should be settled for ever and put to eternal rest. No new sources or seeds of insurrection or rebellion should be laid. A British Governor in the dust, and a triumphant negro or mulatto mob, are unseemly spectacles. They present awful considerations to the minds of reflecting men, to those who know these fiery and inflammable regions, what they have been, nay, what they are, and worse, what they may be.

"But if the dangers pointed out, be far from our fire sides, their apprehensions often slide away from our thoughts, and leave little or no impression; they have, however, not wanted my warning voice, weak as it is. I have raised it, and shall continue to do so, in humble hope and expectation that it may be of some avail; that my zeal at least to help to raise others more powerful, or more able to join their voices to mine, in decrying any attempt at such disgraceful proceedings in future. Let not the confidence of officers, naval, military, or civil, in those who govern or direct us, be broken up, or endangered! this confidence, this discretionary authority, is the key and corner-stone to all great actions. What would our immortal hero Nelson have done at Copenhagen, if confidence had not ruled his heart in that memorable contest? Would he have lived to have turned the balance of Europe, and gained the battle of Trafalgar?—Would the conquest of the French in Egypt have been annexed to our military triumphs? Would Trinidad have remained in our possession? No. We should have nothing of these to record. Abortive enterprises, abortive actions. Nothing but miscarriage and abortion.

"When the Government or the country fails in their acknowledgments to the zealous and faithful servant in any department, you tear up by the roots the very soil that nourishes and vivifies the hearts of oak that bear your honour and your thunder to every part of the world. Cold pausing consideration will come in the place, and supplant the instinctive ardor, the high mettle, the burning zeal of those who sacrifice every thing dear to them in the service of that country. Oh! it is a fatal mildew, corroding with its malignant poison, and consuming the principles of honorable exertion; striking at, destroying, and extinguishing the *punctum saliens* of all honor, all courage, all manly and noble action."

These are truths, to which every government will find, it both its interest and its duty to attend. The present are times that call for measures of wisdom, and efforts of vigour, for men of high minds and ge-

* Vide Appendix, No. 15, for the cause, and the name of the gentleman who has brought the action!

nerous souls, who will risk every thing for the good of the country which they love. But if, instead of hardly-earned honours, they are to meet with lingering persecution; if, instead of laurels to deck their brows; they are to be offered cypress to decorate their tombs, quod Deus avertat! Prudence will subdue patriotism, and self-preservation rise superior to courage.

Passing over the intermediate matter, most of which indeed we have noticed in our former numbers, we come to the masterly conclusion of this most eloquent address.

"My task is now finished: and, on reviewing it, I find nothing but of which an officer and a gentleman may be proud of having done; I therefore say, feeling and understanding the force of the words, I have done my duty to my friend, to myself, and to the public.

"The pleasure and satisfaction I feel in having done it are too strong for my own language to give full expression. My reader will therefore thank me for adopting the words of a man, whose forcible eloquence has often beautified the cause of truth, whose pen was never moved but in the cause of virtue and religion, and whose conduct and example in this respect are lessons to the world, and a pattern for succeeding ages. He says, 'When I am animated by this thought, I look with pleasure on my book, and deliver it to the world with the spirit of a man that has endeavoured well.'—'In this work, when it shall be found that much is omitted, let it not be forgotten that much likewise is performed; and that though no book was ever spared out of tenderness to its author, (and the world is little solicitous to know whence proceeded the faults of that which it condemns,) yet it may gratify curiosity to inform it, that this address was written with no assistance of the learned, without any knowledge of the great,' without any contribution from the gentlemen of the long robe, of any description or order; amidst great inconvenience, and considerable obstruction from want of proper materials.

"I dismiss it, however, from my hands, not like that great and learned man, 'with frigid tranquillity, having little to fear or hope from censure or from praise;' but with eager hope, with anxious expectation, with long-solicitude, that it will become popular, that it will perform and accomplish the great ends for which it was intended, that it will, in the first place, contribute to rescue from the gripe of private malevolence and public obloquy, an eminent, meritorious, and accomplished officer; that it will tend, in the second place, to place in a just, fair, and proper point of view, that most unaccountably perverted, distorted, and misunderstood subject of Louisa Calderon; and above all, and paramount to every thing, that it will awake and call the attention of the nation, and of the Government, to a full and open investigation of a transaction, which, in point of the future dangers to the security of the country with which it is pregnant, in point of the individual ruin and destruction which it meditates and ensures against any man who may be the object of its malice; in every point, place, situation, and circumstance in which it can be viewed, shakes alike public confidence and public honour; saps and undermines the foundation of all zealous public service, puts man in hostility to man, makes a traitor of the seeming friend of your bosom, renders the whole

whole nation a traitor ; permits no man to walk upright, suffocates his spirit, congeals every generous faculty, and in my humble opinion tends manifestly to make this blessed land resemble more a nation of Turks than any other occurrence which could happen in Old England."

Perhaps there is too much warmth in this last passage ; but the indignation which engendered it is virtuous ; and where the genius of the artist is visible in every stroke of the brush, it would be the height of fastidiousness to quarrel with him because his colours are occasionally too vivid. A more able, or a more eloquent advocate, Colonel Picton could not have had ; and we lament extremely that some few indiscreet passages, in which the author's zeal rose superior to his judgment, should have rendered it expedient to stop the circulation of his pamphlet.

It now only remains for us to state, in respect of this prosecution, that a motion was lately made for a rule to show cause why a trial should not be granted, in the case of Louisa Calderon. In support of the rule a body of written evidence was tendered by the defendant's counsel, to the admission of which the counsel for the Crown objected. As soon as the issue of the trial was known at Trinidad ; it occasioned the greatest surprize and consternation in the colony. Persons, vested with authority, began to tremble for the consequences of the legal exercise of it, and to question each other as to what was law and what was not. In order to quiet the apprehensions of the inhabitants, the Governor called the council together, and summoned before it all those who were known to be most skilled in the laws of the country ; who were examined as to the question—Whether the law sanctioned the infliction of torture ? The proceedings of this meeting were transmitted by the government of Trinidad to the Secretary of State here. And these were the documents which the counsel for Colonel Picton tendered as evidence to the court ; and which proved, beyond the possibility of doubt, that torture was sanctioned by law. Mr. Garrow's objection, however, which certainly, under the peculiar circumstances of the case, did not come with a very good grace from the counsel for the Crown, was admitted as valid by the court ; but at the same time it was resolved, that as the proffered evidence *struck at the very root* of the cause, it was essentially necessary that it should be brought before the court in a legal shape. For this purpose a new mandamus must be issued ; under which the same persons will be examined, and the same depositions, of course, returned. So that, after a farther delay of possibly seven or eight months, and the expence of as many hundred pounds, the same body of evidence, verbatim et litteratim, will be produced to the court. On this it is natural to suppose that a new trial will be granted ; and another jury will find that the decision of the last jury, respecting the law of torture, was contrary to fact. During the discussion of this question in the court, Mr. Garrow took occasion most pointedly to observe, that there were persons *out of that court* who were in the habit of misunderstanding and of misrepresenting every thing which he said or did. It was impossible for us to mistake his meaning ; and, had we possessed

the same privilege with himself, we should have given him a full and satisfactory answer. We must now tell him, that we disdain to stoop to misrepresentation of any kind; and that if we have misunderstood him, the fault is imputable to the short-hand writer who took the trial, for we have asserted nothing but what is fully justified by the language which he is there represented to have used. And a strict regard to truth compels us to add, that his speeches, as there reported, afford a complete contradiction to the assertion which we heard him make, that he had conducted this, as he had done every other criminal process, with the utmost fairness, liberality, and candour. Were we disposed to depict these speeches, we could; we are persuaded, fully convince our readers, that they are remarkable for any thing but the qualities so specified. But we have no such inclination. We ever thought highly of Mr. Garrow; we have, on some occasions, acknowledged our obligations to him, and on none have we ever refused to do him justice. But we should feel ourselves utterly unworthy of the eulogies which he once publicly pronounced on our work, if we did not assert that independence of thought, and that freedom of language, in respect of him, which we assert in respect to any one else, when employed in the investigation of truth, and in the promotion of justice.

The last publication which we have to notice on this subject, is the *twelve-penny worth* of accusatory matter, exhibited by Mr. Fullarton, F. R. S. in the form of *three folio pages*, (let him prevail on his *Edinburgh booksellers* to buy them, if he can,) during the Westminster election. In the matter of charges, Mr. Fullarton is a perfect busy-body, a very *Marplot*, thrusting his nose in every hole and corner. If this had been a mere election-squib, we should not have stooped to notice it; but it is part of his grand system, to have recourse to every means which ingenuity, or less commendable qualities, can supply for rendering his personal enemies odious and himself popular. But we may say to him as Junius said to a very different character, to a soldier, a scholar, and a gentleman, "Cease, viper, you bite against a file."—It would have puzzled the brains of a less experienced adept in the arts, or rather *artifice*, of accusation to discover, what the electors of Westminster had to do with the affairs of Trinidad; or, indeed, what Mr. Fullarton himself had to do with the election of Westminster. But such a veteran as Mr. Fullarton is not to be deterred by difficulties, checked by disgrace, or dismayed by disappointment. Commodore Hood was a candidate; Commodore Hood was the friend of Colonel Picton—Commodore Hood had had the audacity to treat Mr. Fullarton cavalierly; and therefore he thought, with a prudence natural to his countrymen, that he might kill two birds with one stone, and repay the Commodore on the Hastings for the trimming which the First Commissioner had received at the Council-board.

Mr. Fullarton here calls upon Sir Samuel Hood to give answers to two and twenty queries, chiefly extracted from his ponderous quartos of literary lumber. Many of these relate, exclusively, to Colonel Pic-

ton.

ton. The list of persons executed and otherwise punished by the executioner, at Trinidad, was so likely to inflame the minds of a London populace, that the publication of it among his queries was a temptation too strong to be resisted. If there be an honest man in the kingdom, who will say that such a publication, while criminal charges, most of them founded on this very list, were in the course of examination by the Privy Council, did not deserve to be burnt by the hands of the common hangman, we will consent to cry peccavi to Mr. Fullarton; and to acknowledge that we have both misunderstood and misrepresented him. To notice all these queries would be an act of supererogation, as in the course of our comments on the various publications before us, the facts to which they allude have been already discussed. The ninth query, however, must not escape without the fiat of falsehood being stamped on its front. "Did you not concur with Colonel Picton, in imprisoning the keeper of the archives, Mr. De Castro, *because he had allowed me access to the criminal records?*" &c. No; Mr. Fullarton, it was not, as you very well know, for allowing you access to these records, but for suffering you to carry them away from the office, to your own house; for which he was justly punishable. Since, however, Mr. Fullarton has thought proper to introduce the name of De Castro, we shall take the liberty of reminding him, that this De Castro, when examined on oath, respecting the trial and *picqueting* of the mulatto thief and prostitute Miss Louisa Calderon, declared that the said trial had been conducted "so as to bring the affair to as speedy a determination as possible, and to discover the truth;" that he believed that Colonel Picton had acted in the whole of the prosecution with impartiality, and without being influenced by any other consideration whatsoever, than the attainment of the ends of justice; and that, excepting the decree, he never interested himself in any way on the subject of the torture of Louisa. This is the place to add, that Colonel Picton never saw the girl either before or after the day of her commitment at Trinidad, until he saw her in Westminster Hall.

At the end of his precious collection of queries, Mr. Fullarton has the modesty to tell the public, that the transactions of Trinidad have been involved in an official and judicial mystery, for nearly four years, and that they have subjected him, "to the insults of disgraceful publications through the medium of periodical prints and pamphlets given to the world by Colonel Picton and his agents. In each of these productions, the name of Sir Samuel Hood is introduced as the chief support of these extraordinary attempts to level all distinctions between right and wrong, truth and falsehood." Now in the first place, if the transactions of Trinidad have been involved in mystery, it is certainly no fault of Mr. Fullarton's, who has been labouring very hard to elucidate them. If, indeed, he speak truth here, it is evident he has laboured in vain; and we are certainly ready to concede to him, that his labours have not answered his purpose. Secondly, as to the *insults of disgraceful publications through the medium of periodical prints and pamphlets,*

pamphlets, it is really such a nonsensical jumble of words, that it is not very easy to discover his meaning. But as this, we believe, is the only *periodical* publication in which his fallacies have been exposed, and his presumption chastised, we must give the most flat and unqualified contradiction to his assertion, that either Colonel Pictou or any of his agents, has had the smallest concern in such exposure, or in such chastisement. We again repeat, that when we first entered on the discussion of the subject, we neither knew, nor had even seen, any one of the parties implicated in the transaction, the late Mr. Woodyear alone excepted. But what right has Mr. Fullarton to complain of attacks, through the medium of the press, when not one has been made on him, but in repulsion of his own assaults through the same medium? Does he imagine, that his assumption of an exclusive right to attack, to abuse, to revile, will be tamely submitted to? If he did so flatter himself, experience, we trust, has, ere this, taught him a different lesson. Lastly, as to the imputation of levelling all distinctions between right and wrong, truth and falsehood, were it as true as it is false, it would be still less culpable than his own repeated attempts to make wrong prevail over right, and falsehood ride triumphant over truth.

For the present, we bid adieu to this disgusting topic; but if Mr. Fullarton should put his threats in execution, and renew his rude, unmannerly, vulgar, and unjust attacks, on men who deserve the esteem and thanks of their country; he shall find us at our post, prepared to take a still more extensive view of the subject, and to discuss with him some parts of his conduct, and some of his statements, which we have hitherto forborne to notice. In all our discussions, however, he shall find us, we assure him, not the mad zealots of a party, but the sober advocates of truth.

An Attempt to illustrate those Articles of the Church of England, which the Calvinists improperly consider as Calvinistical.

(Concluded from P. 357.)

THE fundamental principle of what is peculiarly called Calvinism, is the unconditional election of certain individuals to eternal life, and the ordination of others to eternal punishment, by a secret decree made by God before the foundation of the world. This decree is by Calvin himself acknowledged to be horrible; and yet the whole tribe of true Churchmen maintain that it is taught as an article of faith by the Church of England; whilst Dr. Haweis, and a few others, whose zeal surpasses their knowledge, contend that it was the doctrine of all the reformers. These two questions are examined by Dr. Laurence in his seventh and eighth sermons: in the former of which, on 1 Peter ii. 8, he ascertains the doctrine of the Lutherans; and in the latter, on Eph. i. 3, the doctrine of our own Church.

With great propriety he enters on his subject by inquiring what the school-divines taught concerning predestination; for, as he repeatedly observes,

observes, it was the object of the Lutheran reformers to oppose their errors, and neither to teach nor to condemn the system of Calvin, whose name was unknown when Luther and Melancthon commenced their great career. It has been very generally believed that the schoolmen, who undoubtedly held a particular predestination of individuals, were divided in opinion among themselves; the followers of Aquinas, for instance, holding a doctrine very similar to that which was afterwards taught by Calvin; whilst the Scotists, when treating of that abstruse subject, differed very little from the doctrine of the moderate Arminians of the present day. Dr. Laurence, however, seems to have proved that not one scholastic taught the horrible decree of Calvin.

“For while they held, that the expression *prædestinati* is exclusively applicable to the *elect*, whom God foreknowing as *meritorious* objects of his mercy, predestinates to life; and appropriated that of *præciti* to the *non-elect*, whose perseverance in transgression is simply foreknown, he (Calvin), on the other side, treating the distinction as a frivolous subterfuge, contended, that God, decreeing the final doom of the elect and non-elect irrespectively, predestinates both, not subsequently, but previously to all foreknowledge of their individual dispositions, especially devotes the latter to destruction through the medium of crime, and creates them by a fatal destiny to perish. Calvin's sentiments upon reprobation are too plainly expressed, (says our author,) to be mistaken, and too broadly marked to be confused with those of the Schools. ‘*Corruit ergo frivolum illud effugium, quod de præscientia Scholastici habent. Neque enim præcideri ruinam impiorum a Domino Paulus tradit, sed ejus consilio et voluntate ordinari, quemadmodum et Solomo docet; non modò præcognitum fuisse impiorum interitum, sed impios ipsos fuisse destinato creatos ut perirent.*’ In Rom. Cap. ix. ver. 29.

See Note 4 on the Seventh Sermon; the whole of which, and this is but a very small part of it, is worthy of the reader's attention.

“Whatsoever therefore modern conjecture may have attributed to the Scholastics, it is certain, that abhorring every speculation, which tends in the remotest degree to make God the author of sin, they believed that only salutary good is predestinated: grace to those who *deserve it congruously*, and glory to those who *deserve it condignly*.” P. 141.

That such was the doctrine of the school divines, our author proves by extracts from two eminent writers among them: the former a strenuous partizan of Scotus, and the other no less a man than the Angelic Doctor himself. These extracts, as they are to be found in pages 395, 396 of the volume under review, will probably gratify many of our readers.

“Quantum ad secundum a quibusdam moventur dubia de prædestinatione. Et primo quaeritur. ‘Cur me fecit Deus, ut damnaret.’ Quibus respondendum est, quod nullos fecit Deus ad ipsos condemnandum, cum velit omnes homines salvos fieri, quantum in se est, dando scilicet antecedentia ad salutem, puta naturam rationalem, et gratiam offerendò, sed ipsam recipere recusamus.” Nic. de Orb. Lib. i. dist. 41.

“Deus

“Dens habet *præscientiam* etiam *de peccatis*, sed *prædestinatio* est *de bonis salutaribus*. (Aquin. Expositio in Rom. c. viii.) *Prædestinatio* enim includit in suo intellectu *præscientiam* et *providentiam salutis omnium*. Providentia autem, ut dictum est, quamvis sit omnium, non tamen omnia *necessario* contingunt, sed *secundum conditionem causarum proximarum*, quarum *naturas et ordinem* providentia et *prædestinatio salvat*. *Præscientia* etiam non imponit *necessitatem* rebus, nec in quantum est *causa*, cum sit *causa prima*, cujus conditionem effectus non habet, sed *causæ proximæ*; nec ratione *adæquationis* ad rem scitam, quæ ad *rationem veritatis* et *cæritudinis scientiæ* exigitur, quia *adæquatio* ista attenditur *scientiæ Dei* ad rem, non *secundum quod est in causis suis*, in quibus est, ut *possibile* futurum tantum, sed ad ipsam rem, *secundum quod habet esse determinatum*, prout est *præsens* et non *futurum*.” (Id. Lib. i. dist. 40. quæst. 3. art. 1.)

This reasoning of Aquinas is abundantly subtle, but it is sufficient to show, that he, as well as the Scotists, taught a predestination differing in many respects from the predestination which was afterwards taught by Calvin. But what was the predestination of the schools, if it was not the predestination of Calvin, and if the Lutherans, who opposed it, were not, on this topic at least, Calvinists? The question, as it is natural, must occur to every reader; and it is thus satisfactorily answered by Dr. Laurence.

“To enter more particularly into their leading opinions upon this subject, they maintained, that Almighty God, before the foundations of the world were laid, surveying in his comprehensive idea, or, as they phrased it, in his prescience or simple intelligence, the possibilities of all things, before he determined their actual existence, foresaw, that if mankind were created, although he willed the salvation of all, and was inclined to assist all indifferently, yet that some would deserve eternal happiness, and others eternal misery; and that therefore he approved and elected the former, but disapproved or reprobated the latter. Thus grounding election upon foreknowledge, they contemplated it, not as an arbitrary principle, separating one individual from another, under the influence of a blind chance, or an irrational caprice; but, on the contrary, as a wise and just one, which presupposes a diversity of nature between those who are accepted, and those who are rejected.

“Persuaded then that God is the fountain of all good, that from his divine preordination freely flows the stream of grace, which refreshes and invigorates the soul; they believed, that he has regulated his predetermination by the quality of the soil through which his grace passes, and the effects which in every case it produces, not restricting his favours, but distributing them with an impartial hand over the barren desert and the fruitful field; equally disposed towards all men, but because all are not equally disposed towards him, distinguishing only such as prove deserving of his bounty. Although no adequate cause indeed exists, (according to the strict and accurate meaning of that expression,) why God should confer his gifts even upon the best of men, except in the plenitude of divine munificence, yet they conceived that a sufficient reason was to be assigned, why he should communicate them rather to this man than to that, why he should elect the good, and reject the bad. * * * * *

“To

"To the foregoing statement it should be added, that they held an election, or rather an ordination, to grace, (which they expressly asserted to be defectible,) distinct from an election to glory; that according to them, a name may be written in the book of life at one period, which at another may be erased from it; and that predestination to eternal happiness solely depends upon final perseverance in well doing." (P. 142)

That such was the doctrine of the schools concerning predestination, and the defectibility of grace, our author proves by quotations from Aquinas and Cardinal Cajetan; for which, however, we must refer the reader to the Lectures themselves. He then proceeds:

"On the whole, it is evident that they considered the dignity of the individual as the meritorious basis of predestination; *merit of congruity* as the basis of a preordination to grace; and *merit of condignity* as that of a preordination to glory. Thus not more fastidious in the choice of their terms, than accurate in the use of them, while they denied, that the prosciency of human virtue, correctly speaking, could be the primary cause of the divine will, because nothing in time can properly give birth to that, which has existed from eternity, they strenuously maintained it to be a secondary cause, the ratio or rule in the mind of the Deity, which regulated his will in the formation of its ultimate decisions." (P. 145.)

To confound *motive* or *purpose* with *cause*, by talking of the *cause* of volition, whether divine or human, is a phraseology, which the more accurate language of modern metaphysics does not admit. It should be remembered, however, that the reasonings of the schoolmen were regulated by the language and logic of Aristotle, who, in the four different senses in which he employs the word *cause*, expressly states, as one of them, the *motive* or *purpose* for which a rational being acts; and that in this sense the Latin word *causa* is occasionally employed by Cicero himself. For the use of the phrases *merit of congruity* and *merit of condignity*, as bases of preordination to grace, and predestination to glory, it will not indeed be so easy to find an excuse; but with these exceptions, the doctrine of the schoolmen, on this difficult subject, seems to have been nearly just. Nor was it so much to the soundness of the doctrine itself that the reformers objected, as to the introduction of such disquisitions into the system of Christianity. In the schools of heathen philosophy, the question of the liberty or necessity of the human will and human action, had indeed been long and subtilly discussed; but to such speculations Luther and Melancthon contended that the scriptures of the New Testament give no countenance. They appear to have been themselves, at one period, *Necessarians*; and it is not certain that Luther ever changed his opinion; though he concurred with Melancthon in reprobating the practice of incorporating with articles of faith the philosophical dogmas of the schools. In the Augsburg Confession there is accordingly no allusion to the subject; and the predestination which is taught in some of their other writings has no resemblance to that which was discussed long afterwards in the synod of Dort.

"While

"While their adversaries philosophized upon a predestination of individuals preferred one before another by divine regard, because worthy of such a preference, they taught only that, which has been revealed with certainty, the predestination of a peculiar description of persons — 'of a people zealous of good works,' of a Christian Church contemplated as an aggregate, not on account of its own dignity, but on account of Christ its ~~same~~ ^{same} Head, and the author of eternal salvation to all who obey him; maintaining *not a particular election of personal favourites*, either by an absolute will, or even a conditional one dependent on the ratio of merit, but a *general election of all*, who by baptism in their infancy, or by faith and obedience in maturer years, become the adopted heirs of heaven; they conceived this to be the *only election*, to which the gospel alludes, and consequently the only one, upon which we can speak with confidence, or reason without presumption:

"If it be observed, that the selection of an integral body necessarily infers that of its component parts, the answer is obvious; the latter, although indeed it be necessarily inferred by the former, is nevertheless not a prior requisite, but a posterior result of the Divine ordination. What they deemed absolute on the part of God, was his everlasting purpose to save his elect in Christ, or real Christians, considered as a whole, and contrasted with the remainder of the human race; the completion of this purpose being regulated by peculiar circumstances, operating as inferior causes of a particular segregation. For, persuaded of his good will towards all men without distinction; of his being indiscriminately disposed to promote the salvation of all, and of his seriously, not fictitiously, as Calvin taught, including all in the universal promise of Christianity; they imputed to him nothing like a partial choice, no limitation of favours; nor irrespective exclusion of persons; but assuming the Christian character as the sole ground of individual preference, they believed that every baptized infant, by being made a member of Christ, *not by being comprised in a previous arbitrary decree*, is truly the elect of God, and, dying in his infancy, certain of eternal happiness; that he, who in maturer years becomes polluted by wilful crime, loses that state of salvation, which before he possessed; that nevertheless, by true repentance, and conversion to the Father of mercy and God of all consolation, he is again reinstated in it; and that, by finally persevering in it, he at length receives the kingdom prepared for every sincere Christian before the foundation of the world. Can any man whom prejudice has not blinded, rank these sentiments with those of Calvin?" (P. 149.)

Certainly not; but that these were the sentiments of the Lutheran reformers, our author has rendered indisputable by extracts from their most approved works, in which it appears that they abhorred the doctrine of Calvin, which they compared to the fatalism of the ancient Stoics; as Melancthon, in his familiar letters, was wont to censure Calvin himself by the name of Zeno.

"Alii fingunt Deum sedere in caelo, et scribere *fatales* leges, quæ in *tab. li. Parcarum*, secundum quas velit distribuere virtutes et vitia, sicut Stoici de fato suo sentiebant, et cogitant fatali motu impellit Paridem et similes. Sed nos, *abjectis his deliramentis humanæ caliginis* referamus oculos

los et mentem ad testimonia de Deo proposita. Sciamus Deum esse agentem verè liberum, et tantum velle bona, nec velle peccata. Removeamus igitur a Paulo Stoicos disputationes, quæ fidem et invocationem evertunt. Quomodo enim potest Saul credere aut invocare, cum dubitat promissionem ad se pertinere, aut cum obrepat illa tabula Parcarum?" (Loc. Theolog. de prædest.)

The character and influence of Melancthon were such that these sentiments expressed by him on various occasions, and generally in terms much harsher than he was accustomed to make use of, could not fail to give some uneasiness to Calvin. They appear indeed to have given him great uneasiness; for when he found himself unable, by all his efforts, either to convert the mild Lutheran to his own dire opinion, or even to drag him into the labyrinth of a thorny controversy, he addressed him in the following strain of peevish resentment :

"Audio, cum tibi oblata esset formula nostræ cum Tigurina Ecclesia consensionis, protinus, arrepto calamo sententiam unam, quæ Dei electos a reprobis parte et sobrie discernit, abs te fuisse confossum. Quod certe ab ingenii tui mansuetudine, ut alia tacerem, valde abhorret. Itaque ut meum libellum (viz. de æterna Dei prædestinatione) legere vel saltem gustare sustineas, non rogo, quia id frustra mihi facturus video." Epist. Calvini, p. 109.

Our author having thus proved that the doctrine of predestination, as taught by the Lutheran reformers, related not to the final salvation of individuals; but to the promises made to the Church, gives, in the introduction to his concluding sermon, the following accurate and perspicuous summary of that doctrine :

"Thus, they said, amidst the mutabilities of all things temporal, the subversions of ecclesiastical establishments, and the ruins of empires, we may with comfort and confidence assert, that God has predestinated the perpetual existence of a Church, against which the gates of hell shall not prevail; of a Church, which, founded upon the rock of his promise, can never fall, so that in vain the rains come, and the floods descend, and the tempests beat against it." (P. 159.) They taught, 'that Almighty God is no respecter of persons, no capricious tyrant, but just and equitable in his proceedings; that he has sent his Son to be the Saviour of the whole world; and has, in consequence, predestinated to the adoption of children those, who duly receive and apply the means of salvation, which he has thus gratuitously provided for them, excluding none from his affection except such as exclude themselves. Nor should it, they thought; be esteemed a point of indifference to be persuaded of his good will towards us as men, and to be assured of it as Christians, as well as to be convinced of possessing a certain title to everlasting happiness; to an inheritance incorruptible and undefiled, and that fadeth not away, reserved for us in heaven, of which nothing but our own continuing in crime can deprive us."

That the predestination taught in our seventeenth article is in perfect harmony with this, Dr. Laurence proves by comparing that article, clause

clause by clause, with the writings of the Lutherans, from which it appears to have been taken, or with the opinions of the schoolmen, which it was designed to oppose. The schoolmen, as we have already seen, taught a predestination of *individuals*, in consequence of God's foreseeing their *congruous* and *condign* merit. "Our Church, on the other hand, always keeping the idea of redemption in view, states it (in the beginning of the article,) to be the everlasting purpose of God, to deliver from a state of malediction and destruction, (a maledicto et exitio liberare,) from a guilt, which none can themselves obliterate; and to render eternally happy, through Christ, or Christianity, as vessels before dishonourable thus formed to honour, those, whom he has elected, not as meritorious individuals separately, but as a certain class of persons *whom he has chosen in Christ out of mankind*."

After having explained the nature, and slightly alluded to the objects, of that predestination, which alone it inculcates, the article proceeds to enlarge upon the latter point, and to specify the peculiar characteristics of this highly favoured community. "Wherefore," it is added, "they which be endued with so excellent a benefit of God, be called according to his purpose, by his Spirit working in due season," *Spiritu ejus opportuno tempore operante*; by his Spirit operating, not irresistibly at pleasure, without regard to time and circumstances, but conformably with the established constitution of human nature, at a seasonable period, when the mind is indisposed to resistance, or, as in infancy, incapable of it; "they through grace obey the calling, they are justified freely;" are justified without any expiation or satisfaction for sin on their part, Christ himself only being the meritorious cause of it; "they are made the children of God by adoption; they walk religiously in good works, and at length by God's mercy, *not by condign merit*, attain everlasting felicity." Such is the description given of those, who are predestinated to life; a description, which, when connected with the preceding clause, manifestly points out the election of a part out of the whole, yet not according to the tenet of the Romish Church, the election of men preferred one before another on account of their personal qualities, but of Christians, distinguished as an aggregate from the remainder of the human race, by a characteristic discrimination, by being called, justified, and sanctified, through Christianity.

The definition of the doctrine being completed, the subsequent passage, still carrying on the contrast with the Church of Rome, touches, in guarded, but not ambiguous language, upon the application of it. "As the godly consideration, it remarks, of predestination and our election in Christ," of the election of us Christians, "is full of sweet, pleasant, and unspeakable comfort to godly persons, and such as feel in themselves the working of the Spirit of Christ," *vim Spiritus Christi*; the influence of the Holy Spirit, of which the Gospel speaks, and not of that meritorious principle which the schools term charity, "mortifying the works of the flesh, and drawing up the mind

mind to high and heavenly things, as well because it greatly establishes and confirms our faith of eternal salvation to be enjoyed through Christ;" *fidem nostram* de eterna salute consequenda per Christum, our confidence in Christian salvation generally, and not *their's particularly*, a change of the person adopted in the Latin not without design, "as because it fervently kindles our love towards God, so for vicious and carnal persons, lacking the Spirit of Christ, to have continually before their eyes the sentence of God's predestination," to believe, that God has predetermined something certain respecting their final doom, "is a most dangerous downfall, whereby the Devil doth thrust them into desperation, or into wretchlessness of most unclean living, no less perilous than desperation." In this important clause we are taught, that none except the truly pious can derive consolation from the doctrine of our election in Christ, of *our's collectively* in a religious, and not of *their's individually* in a personal capacity; and that the opposite idea of a predestination which regards the persons of men, fixing the fate of each irrevocably, when entertained by those, whose curiosity and crimes exceed their piety, tends to drive them into despair, from a persuasion of their being exposed to the wrath of Heaven, as the non-elect, or from a presumption of their ultimate security, as the elect, into the most abandoned profligacy." (P. 160.)

In this paraphrase on the article the author has followed the Latin original, and with great propriety; for its difference from the English version, though apparently minute, is of great importance, as the attentive reader cannot fail to perceive. That Dr. Laurence has given the true sense of the article is proved, as well in the notes, where he shows that the very phrases, which have been thought Calvinistical, are borrowed from the Lutheran, as in a subsequent part of the sermon, where he compares the article with the office of baptism. In that office it is expressly declared, that every baptized child "is regenerated with God's Holy Spirit, made his own child by adoption, and admitted into the number of his faithful and *elect* children;" in which, the congregation prays, that he may *remain*. It is obvious, therefore, that the compilers of the Liturgy understood every person to be one of the elect, who is incorporated into Christ's holy Church; and is it conceivable that the same persons, when compiling the articles, should have taught an election of individuals which has no relation to Church-membership, and should at the same time have adopted the language of the Lutherans, who reprobated all inquiries into individual election. The true-churchmen themselves admit, that the Calvinistic doctrine of *individual reprobation* is no where taught, either in our articles, in our liturgy, or in our homilies; and the omission may be accounted for, if it be admitted that the Church no where teaches the doctrine of *individual election*; but on the contrary supposition, the omission is utterly unaccountable, for individual election, and individual reprobation, are correlates, which necessarily imply each other. "*Multi quidem*," says Calvin, "*ac si invidiam à Deo repellere vellent, electionem ita fatentur*

fatentur ut negent quemquam reprobari; sed inscite nimis et pueriliter: quando ipsa electio nisi reprobationi opposita non staret.—Quos ergo Deus præterit, reprobat.” (*Instit. lib. iii. cap. 23. §. 1.*)

Accordingly, in the Westminster Confession of Faith, (*Chap. 3. section 3. ed. 4.*) we are taught, that “by the decree of God, for the manifestation of his glory, some men and angels are predestinated unto everlasting life, and others *fore-ordained to everlasting death*; that these angels and men, thus predestinated and fore-ordained, are particularly and unchangeably obsigned; and that their number is so certain and definite, that it cannot be either increased or diminished.” Nothing of this kind could be taught by our Church or her Lutheran guides, who all disclaim the doctrine of individual election; who again and again declare God to be no respecter of persons; and who admit of no other predestination to everlasting life than that of Christians in general, who are true to their profession. To them and them only the peculiar promises of the Gospel are made; but as Christ died for all men, and hath declared that in his Father’s house are many mansions, the Church hath in numberless places of the liturgy plainly indicated her opinion, that among those mansions will be found a place for those, who, though strangers to the covenant of promise, have yet exercised themselves, according to the opportunities which were vouchsafed them, to have a conscience always void of offence towards God and towards men.

In the course of his various disquisitions, Dr. Laurence has with great propriety forbore to perplex himself and his readers with inquiries into the *private* sentiments of our reformers; “because, in truth,” as he observes, “the question turns not upon what they privately and individually believed, but upon what they publicly and collectively taught.” He has shown, however, (p. 454, &c.) that on some points they differed, as indeed they could not but differ, among themselves. Of the disputes, which both Fox and Strype mention as having taken place among the protestant prisoners, on the subject of predestination, during the persecution of Mary, he has given a very curious and entertaining account from a manuscript in the Bodleian Library; from which it appears, that the predestinarians of that day were remarkable, like too many holding the same opinions at present, for their intolerance, and breach of faith to their unsuspecting antagonists. It appears likewise, that the predestinarians laboured to excite the popular prejudice against the anti-predestinarians, by branding them with the title of *Pelagians*; and such, it is well known, is one of the arts of controversy practised by our *true churchmen* at present. The writer of this article has had the honour to receive a letter from one of the fraternity accusing him of *Pelagianism*, on account of the opinions, which, after Archbishop King and Bishop Bull, he has ventured to advance on the consequences of the first transgression; though he takes the liberty to think, as Dr. Hickes had thought before him, that no opinions can be more directly opposite to one another than those, which he has advanced

vanced, are opposite to the heretical opinions as well of Pelagius as of Socinus.

We have said*, that perhaps the most impartial account extant, within a very small compass, of the peculiar doctrines of Pelagius, is given by Cave in his *Historia Literaria*; but our correspondent assures us, that we are under a great mistake; for that the summary of his doctrines, which is given by Collier, is much more accurate. Upon receiving this information, we were at some pains to compare the statement of Collier with the authorities to which he appeals; and have no hesitation to say, that though it differs not essentially from the account given by Cave, it is the most accurate as well as most perspicuous of the two. It seems, indeed, to be as accurate as any summary can be; and we do not speak at random; for, except *Labbei Concilia*, there is not one authority referred to by Collier that we have not consulted. By this summary, therefore, we are willing to have our *Pelagianism* tried; and to enable our readers to pronounce equitable judgment between the true-churchman and us, we shall lay before them Collier's account of the Pelagian heresy.

I. "That Adam had mortality in his nature, and that *whether he had sinned or not sinned*, [he] *would certainly have died*."

II. "That the consequences of Adam's sin were confined to his person: and that the rest of mankind received no disadvantage from thence."

III. "That the law qualified for the Kingdom of Heaven, and was founded upon equal promises with the Gospel."

IV. "That before the coming of our Saviour some men lived without sin."

V. "That new-born infants are in the same condition with Adam before the fall."

VI. "That the death and disobedience of Adam is not the necessary cause of death in all mankind, *neither does the general resurrection of the dead follow in virtue of our Saviour's resurrection*."

VII. "That if man will make the most of himself, he may keep the commands of God without difficulty, and preserve himself in a perfect state of innocence."

VIII. "That rich men, notwithstanding the advantage of their baptism, unless they parted with all their estate, all other instances of virtue would be insignificant to them; neither could they be qualified for the Kingdom of Heaven."

IX. "That the grace and assistance of God is not granted for the performance of every moral act; the liberty of the will, and information in the points of duty, being sufficient for this purpose."

X. "That the grace of God is given in proportion to our merits."

XI. "That none can be called the sons of God, unless they are perfectly without sin."

XII. "That our victory over temptation is not gained by God's assistance, but by the liberty of the will."

It would surely puzzle a candid reader to find a coincidence between these opinions, and any that we have advanced on the consequences of the fall, or on any other subject. We do indeed think, with Pelagius, and, as we apprehend, with every man capable of reflection, that Adam had *mortality in his nature*; because it seems to be a truth as self-evident as any geometrical axiom, that the being, whether man or angel, who had not *life* of himself, cannot of himself have *eternal life*; and because St. Paul hath assured us, (1 Tim. vi. 15, 16,) that he "who is the blessed and only potentate, the King of Kings and Lord of Lords, *only* hath immortality." But so far have we been from asserting, that, whether Adam had sinned or not, he would infallibly have died, that we have shown that by the first covenant, had he observed the terms of it, he would not only have been preserved from death by the means provided for that purpose, but have been also translated into heaven after a sufficient probation on earth. So far are we from supposing, that the consequences of Adam's sin were confined to himself, that we have taught, in words as plain as possible, that one of those consequences has been death to the whole human race; and another, the withdrawing of those graces of the Holy Spirit which were vouchsafed to Adam in Paradise, to guide him in his progress to Heaven: From the heresy of the third article, let those clear themselves, who contend that "life and immortality were brought to light through *the law*," and who revile the bishops Bull and Warburton for teaching that the law of Moses, when considered as a dispensation separated from the Gospel, holds out to its votaries no prospect of a future state of rewards and punishments. We are acquainted with no sect, whose principles harmonize with the fourth and fifth articles. New born infants, we believe, indeed, to be free from *guilt* in the proper sense of the word; because our Saviour hath assured us, that "of such as little children is the kingdom of heaven;" but new-born infants are liable to death, from which Adam, before his fall, was by the grace of God exempted. So far are we from agreeing with Pelagius, in the opinions expressed in the sixth article, that we have asserted the very reverse of both. We do not, indeed, approve of the phrase *necessary cause*, because all *second* causes depend upon the *will of the first*; but we believe, that the disobedience of Adam, by the will of God, brought upon himself and all his posterity the very *same* kind of death which is undergone by the beasts that perish, and that from this death we are redeemed by Christ, who is *the life of the soul*, as well as *the resurrection of the body*; and in whom *all shall be made alive who have died in Adam*. Let those answer to the seventh article, who talk of a *covenant of works*, as distinguished from the *covenant of grace*; and who seem to believe that, under the first covenant eternal life was the reward due *by right* to unsinning obedience. We know of no revealed covenant of *works*, under which the whole human race were ever placed; we believe that under the *first*, as well as under the second covenant, man was placed in a state of probation

and

and discipline, and therefore liable to error and to sin; and we believe, that the most exalted being of creation cannot claim *eternal life* as the reward due by *right* to his most perfect obedience. With the eighth article, no question that has been agitated between the *true-churchmen* and us has any concern; and with the ninth we seem to symbolize less than that *true-churchman*, who says, that "nobody denies that man, without the grace of God by Christ preventing him, may perform *natural good works, civitem justitiam, et diligendas rationi subjectas.*" (*The True-Churchmen ascertained*, p. 149.) We confess, that we have our doubts, whether the mere natural man be able to perform all this; but we are not called upon at present to state the grounds of those doubts. The tenth article contains the doctrine of the schoolmen, which we have concurred with Dr. Laurence in censuring; and to the eleventh, let those answer, who talk of the necessity that there is for the righteousness of Christ being *imputed* to the elect, in order that they may become the sons of God. Of the twelfth article, it is sufficient to say, that nothing can be more inconsistent than it is with the opinions of those who believe that even in Paradise man could not have maintained his innocence, or been trained for the kingdom of heaven, but "by the grace of God preventing him, that he might have a good will, and working with him, when he had that good will."

To return from this digression, which however is not foreign from the questions discussed in the volume under review, we have now only to say, that we have not read many theological works from which we have derived so much instruction; or which we can so confidently recommend, more especially to the younger clergy, as this attempt to *illustrate those articles of the Church of England, which the Calvinists improperly consider as Calvinistic.* The attempt has been crowned with such complete success, that he will be a bold man indeed, who shall again enter the lists in defence of the claims of the Calvinists. On one or two points we do not indeed think exactly as the author seems to do; but the difference between us is of very little importance. Though we would certainly not subscribe an article of religion, which to us should appear to teach, that depravity *directly mental* is derived by every man through his ancestors from Adam; and though we do not perceive any satisfactory evidence that the loss of original righteousness, as taught by our Church, implies any *positive depravity of human nature*, yet would we hesitate to subscribe our unfeigned assent to an article in which these two opinions should be explicitly condemned. The errors of Pelagius we abhor as directly contrary to the very foundation on which the whole scheme of redemption rests; and we are persuaded that whoever thinks of those errors as we do, may safely subscribe the article of *original sin*, of which the object appears to be the condemnation of Pelagianism, and by no means an account of the origin or diffusion of moral evil, which, on every hypothesis, involves in it too many difficulties, to be interspersed with the articles of our Christian faith. To conclude in the words of our excellent author.

"We

“ We perceive with much concern, and feel perhaps with some resentment, that upon the subjects, which have been considered in these lectures, the creed of our Church has been often ignorantly misconceived, or maliciously misrepresented. Contemplated as the inflexible advocate of fatalism, by some she has been extravagantly applauded, and by others unreasonably traduced. The Socinian has in particular been often gratified in imputing to her obnoxious opinions, has sometimes added insult to injury, and, where her liberality should have been commended, has insidiously held up her supposed bigotry to public scorn and detestation. Let us not, however, on this account abandon her cause, or cease to vindicate her real sentiments, but rather persevere in our efforts with the firmness of men, and the temper of Christians, supported by the consoling assurance, that truth will not hang for ever suspended between calumny and falsehood, but will at length assert its genuine character; *Non semper pendebit inter latrones Christus; resurget aliquando crucifixa veritas.*”

MISCELLANIES.

Public Characters.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW.

SIR,

I HAVE often perused, with ineffable delight, the memoirs of those shining examples of talents and virtue, which do honour to the present age, and are related with such inviolable truth and impartiality, in those gratifying annual volumes, entitled “*Public Characters.*” Fortunate, indeed, are the worthies whose history stands already recorded in that inimitable work! For since the present administration, on coming into power, assumed to themselves all the rank, weight, and talents of the empire, no one, surely, will be so hardy as to dispute their claim: and thus the unfortunates, whose lives are yet unwritten, or, perhaps, are actually written, and had been promised insertion in the very next volume, must, in obedience to this sweeping monopoly, forego their pretensions to the rank, weight, and talents with which the editor so liberally adorns the subjects of his biographical labours. The ministry, indeed, have not included all the virtues in their claim: but how can the ambition of a great mind be satisfied, with being presented to posterity, stripped of rank, weight, and talents; and clad in the thin covering of the virtues only? Indeed, on proper reflection, the virtues too are comprized in this exclusive appropriation; for either virtue and weight must be considered as synonymous terms, or we must suppose that virtue has no weight with our ministry, an insinuation which they may confidently appeal to their lives and characters to disprove. It is their modest delicacy alone, therefore, which has prevented them from challenging to themselves, among their other attributes, all the virtues, totidem verbis, as they might have done with the utmost propriety; for it is universally known and understood, that all the virtues hover round the heads of men of rank and power, just as naturally as crows fly to carrion.

In this dilemma, the editor of contemporary biography, must necessarily close that admirable work, which has long diffused so much delight through

through all classes of society. His pen, more potent than the spear of Ithuriel, which only presented objects in their own likeness, embellished all it touched with supernatural graces, so that posterity, in reading the *Public Characters* of the nineteenth century, will look back, with wonder and reverence, on the bright galaxy of virtues and talents that adorned our age, in the persons of merchants, Jews, aldermen, brokers, and demireps. But, alas! no more such bright constellations must rise in our hemisphere! Virtues and talents are now monopolized, and this delectable work must be discontinued for want of materials.

Having thus shown the impossibility of this gentleman continuing any longer to hold his public situation, I shall, according to true courtly usage, bring forward my own pretensions, to fill the department which he leaves vacant: and, as is proper, and customary, when one great man goes out and another comes in, I shall commence with decrying the measures of my predecessor, and then point out the superior advantages of the system that I mean to pursue.

Before the present monopoly of good qualities took place, the editor of "*Public Characters*" indiscriminately daubed all his personages over with them, so very thick, that the fine distinguishing traits were absolutely lost in the surrounding splendour; and that but for the names prefixed to each of his biographical delineations, it would have been absolutely impossible to distinguish them one from another. Now the plan which I intend to follow, is of a very different nature. It will not infringe upon the prohibition, of using virtues and talents out of the pale of the ministry; it will be much more consonant to truth; and, I flatter myself, more acceptable to the public, than any mode of writing contemporary biography which has hitherto been attempted.

The distinguishing characteristics of every mind, are as peculiar, as striking and as varied, as the lineaments of every face; and are capable of being portrayed with the same precision. A writer of ability need no more prefix the name of the party whose character he describes, than a painter need write under a portrait that of the man whose likeness it exhibits. If the resemblance be just, it will, in both cases, immediately be recognized, and full as well by the reader in the one, as by the spectator in the other. On this principle I mean to publish my lives; conscious that they will evince the hands of an artist, and that no one can mistake them. But the better to explain my plan, I beg leave to illustrate it by the following example.

Bravery has frequently been sullied by avarice. Great reformers have not always been distinguished by spotless piety in their own conduct. The disposition that tramples on the meek, often yields to the high spirited; and the possession of power generally leads to the exercise of favoritism and partiality. Every character has its light and dark shades; and men in the humbler walks of life, if they are deprived of the opportunity of having their great qualities held up to public applause, have at least the consolation, that their failings also escape public notice.

The preceding remarks are not inapplicable to the nobleman whose character we are about to delineate. In early life, he showed that ardent predilection for the navy, which has distinguished most of those illustrious heroes, to whose prowess Great Britain is indebted for the sovereignty of the ocean. The intrepidity which he displayed in various hard

fought actions was rewarded by successive promotions; and his well earned fame pointed him out to the command of the naval forces, in one of the most important armaments ever equipped by Great Britain against the colonies of her enemy. The expedition was crowned with complete success; but the laurels so nobly won by valour, were tarnished by avarice. Contributions were the order of the day. Friends and foes were indiscriminately pillaged; and the minds of the inhabitants, many of whom had invited us to our conquests, and joined us in achieving them, soon became so alienated, that on the arrival of a small re-inforcement of troops from France, they encouraged them to land, by the offer of their assistance; and after a series of bloody but unsuccessful combats, we were obliged to relinquish the most valuable of all our acquisitions. Nor did the mischiefs of this rapacity end even with these lamentable disasters; but a Neutral Power, justly incensed at the unwarrantable condemnation of all her vessels found trading with those colonies, and that, as deposed by the claimants, without even the observance of the regular forms of law, remonstrated with so much spirit and determination, that, to avoid hostilities, Great Britain consented to have the defect of her High Court of Admiralty, in which redress had been refused, revised by commissioners, nominated on both sides for that purpose, by whom awards were given to the amount of considerably more than a million of money, which was actually paid to compensate this power for the spoliation on her commerce.

No part of the prize money shared by the commander, whose memoirs were relating, or by his colleague, was ever refunded, to indemnify the nation in part; for the immense demands brought upon it by their cupidity; and the two noble families still fatten on these ill-gotten spoils.

It is with national pride, that we contrast with such rapacity, the disinterestedness and liberality shown by the commanding officers in the late important and successful expedition against the Spanish settlements in South America: a contrast equally honourable to themselves, and to the British character.

The splendid and brilliancy of the achievements in which our hero shared, dazzled, however, the public eye, and were the theme of general eulogium; while the oppression practised in those distant regions, was scarcely heard of; and the still small voice of truth, if raised in behalf of the injured, was overpowered and silenced, by rank, weight, and talents.

Our hero pursued his career of active service with unremitting ardour; and soon almost effaced the remembrance of these transactions, by one of the most splendid victories that ever graced the page of the British naval history: a victory over an enemy nearly double his force; in which consummate judgment and undaunted bravery were equally conspicuous. For this exploit he received the well-merited rewards of his sovereign, the thanks of Parliament, and the admiration of a grateful Country.

To his discriminating mind we also owe the happy selection to an important command, of an illustrious officer, who, like the Phoenix, soared to the sublimest heights in the regions of fame; and, at length, like the Phoenix too, expired in a blaze of glory.

With such obligations to the subject of these memoirs, it is painful to be under the necessity of again viewing him in a less favourable light; but the impartiality of our office obliges us to represent men as they are.

After

After some years retirement, he was invited, on a change of ministry, to superintend the civil administration of that branch of service in which he had been so long and so honourably engaged. In discharging the functions of this situation, we regret to say, that loud complaints were made, of his hauteur, vindictiveness, and partiality; of his giving away, by turns, to an-unaccountable caprice, and ungovernable violence of temper; and, it has been stated, that when high minded men have repaid his scorn with scorn, he has shrunk abashed from their manly resolution, and conceded to spirit what he had denied to justice. He set up too for a most violent reformer of abuses; carried his rage for making extraordinary savings in every branch of expenditure so far, that he is said to have left the department over which he presided almost without the supplies necessary for carrying on the public service; and prosecuted every petty peculator with the most unrelenting severity, perhaps fallaciously imagining, that he should do the same justice to his country, by making compensation for the spoils of the western hemisphere, out of the pockets of others, as by making restitution out of his own. From this office he soon retired, together with the administration that brought him in, to the general satisfaction of both the navy and the nation.

On a recent change he accepted of a high naval command; superseding in it a most meritorious officer, whose indefatigable perseverance in an arduous duty, merited a better return. However, on this his favourite element, the theatre of all that is great and glorious in his life, we trust that he will again distinguish himself by some fresh achievement, and add another trait to the bright side of his character.

I am not without hopes, Mr. Editor, that some of the present administration may be induced, by the above performance, to do me the honour of employing my pen. I need not say how zealously it is devoted to their service. But that we may come to a good understanding at the outset, I think it right to premise, that in all cases I expect to be paid beforehand. *Virtus post nummos*, is my motto and my rule; and I shall be prodigal of the former, in proportion as gentlemen are liberal of the latter. Each character shall be sent to the party for correction, till it has received the last finishing touches, and is heightened to his most perfect satisfaction; or, if gentlemen prefer writing their own histories altogether, the most inviolable secrecy may be depended upon. I shall not, like my predecessor, blab in my preface, that "voluntary contributions begin to pour upon us*;" or, that, "in a work of this kind, the wise and the good may be said to erect their own monuments*."

This letter, Sir, will serve both as a prospectus of my design, and as a specimen of my talents, (I beg pardon of the ministry for aspiring to talents, which belong to them exclusively;) I should have said, my qualifications for the execution of it. Let me request the favour of you, Sir, to direct your publisher, if any of your readers are disposed to favour my work with their patronage and encouragement, to take in subscriptions at the Anti-Jacobin office, for the *New Public Characters* of

ARCEBILAS.

* Vide Preface to Vol. III, PUBLIC CHARACTERS.

"Take Time by the forelock."

"Bis dat qui cito dat."

SIR,

NOTWITHSTANDING our present ministers have so justly challenged to themselves all the rank, weight, and talents of the empire, it may be doubted, whether former administrations have not equalled them in the vigour of their councils, and the brilliancy of their achievements; but in the great art of timing their measures, envy itself must admit that their merit stands unrivalled. Measures are judicious or injudicious, according to the circumstances under which they are adopted; and that happy presence of mind, which so far from being disconcerted by emergencies, then shines in its brightest lustre, and seizes the critical moment for action with intuitive decision, shows the consummate statesman, the genius born to triumph over every obstacle, and worthy to direct the councils of an empire.

The first great measure that distinguished, or rather preceded, their coming into power, was the impeachment of Lord Melville. Men of ordinary, timid minds, would have proceeded on the old system, of first accusing, then trying, then, if guilty, condemning and punishing. But they, despising common forms, condemned first, tried afterwards, and when the sentence of acquittal followed, the punishment having preceded the verdict, could not be reversed. No restoration was made to forfeited honours, no reparation to injured innocence; and by this bold and well-timed measure, the removal of a dangerous and hated rival was effectually secured.

After making the necessary investigation into the state of the national defence, they declared it perfectly inadequate; and that an absolute and immediate necessity existed of new-modelling the whole system. They decried the volunteers, as useless lumber, who would only encumber the regulars, block up the roads, and whose ranks would be repositories of panic in the hour of danger. The war secretary, with that commanding genius, which marks his character, abolished this useless and unconstitutional body of men in a breath. If I were not apprehensive of my admiration bordering upon impiety, I should describe the conduct of this god of war, by saying, *affavit Deus et dissipantur*. And this measure was so admirably timed, that after having saved the country the expense of 400,000 volunteers, he has not yet spent one shilling of the public money in embodying the 200,000 loosely drilled men, who are to be substituted for them; and at the same time has given our enemies a noble proof how much he despises all their menaces.

Their next felicitous measure was the negotiation with Buonaparté. Hanover had long been the great bone of contention. France had taken it, squeezed it as dry as a sucked orange, and then given it to Prussia, in consideration of her shutting her ports against British vessels, and thus assisting him in his grand project of excluding our commerce, altogether, from the continent of Europe. Our ministers first retaliated upon Prussia; but, recollecting themselves, adopted the better expedient of entering into a negotiation with Buonaparté, which, had it succeeded, would certainly have regained us this precious Electorate. Unfortunately, Prussia felt alarmed for her new acquisition, flew to arms to vindicate her

her right to it; and Lord Lauderdale, who staid at Paris to pick up the bone for which the two dogs were fighting, slunk home with his tail between his legs, because the dog who got it from the other, chose to keep it himself. But mark how well-timed was this long-winded negotiation, which some superficial observers have blamed ministers for protracting! They continued it through the summer, and broke it off at the approach of winter. While we could have fought, we negotiated; and only ceased to negotiate when we could no longer fight. Had this event taken place sooner, we could not decently have declined coöperating with our allies; by making a powerful diversion on the continent in their favour; but the lateness of the season then proved a sufficient excuse, and thus ministers wisely preserved our brave countrymen from the dangers that have been ever found to attend these hazardous expeditions.

What again could be so well-timed as their sending two ambassadors to the King of Prussia, one of whom, indeed, was unfortunately frightened back again, but the other of whom is to give us an account of his Majesty's military operations, now he has lost his army; or than their issuing a proclamation, encouraging our vessels to renew their intercourse with the ports of Prussia, when they were already in the possession of the enemy.

By the American Intercourse Bill, they allowed the Americans to trade with our West India islands on the same terms as our own British colonies in North America: but finding that the difference of 20 per cent in the premium of insurance there and back again, operated as a prohibition against the latter, with a sagacity which did them the highest honour, they corrected this little oversight the moment it was discovered, by writing to the commanders in chief on that station, requesting them to persuade the contractors to draw their supplies from Canada and Nova Scotia, at the sacrifice of this 20 per cent, which that disinterested class of men will, no doubt, readily make, for the good of their country.

They appointed the brother of a late Secretary of State, to take the military command from a victorious officer, because the rules of the service forbade a Major-General from retaining the command of a large army; and then they appointed one of the youngest Colonels on the list, who had never been victorious, to the command of a large expedition: thus leaping over the rules of the service in one instance, and entrenching themselves chin deep behind them in the other, to shew that great minds are not to be fettered by common rules, but may vary their conduct according to the circumstances of the moment. On the same principle, the well-timed suspicion of another general officer having deviated from his instructions, furnished an opportunity for sending out the brother of one of the present Secretaries of State to supersede him in his command. But not to dwell on innumerable instances of their timely attention to individual interests, I shall confine myself to topics of a public nature.

They are understood to have conceded to neutrals the right of carrying the produce of the enemies' colonies to their respective mother countries, at the very moment when the produce of our own colonies was excluded from every port in the continent of Europe; thus securing, to foreign colonies that market, for want of which the produce of our own colonies was lying a dead weight in our warehouses, to the great distress

of our planters, and of the commercial, manufacturing, and shipping interests connected with them.

When the manufactures of Great Britain were shut out from the continent of Europe, and their export to the West Indies greatly diminished from the unproductive returns made by the colonies, they chose that very crisis for the abolition of the slave trade, the whole purchases of which are made with manufactured goods, and, no doubt, will immediately carry the bill into a law, in conformity to the well-timed principle which regulates all their operations.

When the West India interest pointed out their distressed situation, and solicited their permission to substitute sugar for corn in the distilleries and breweries, they first proclaimed to England and to all Europe the quantity of sugar we had on hand, and then declared that the relief applied for could not be given; thus deterring the buyers at home from coming to market, and giving Buonaparté the satisfaction of hearing, that his project of sinking us down by the weight of our own imports, was in a fair train of proving successful.

They have given so many happy specimens of their art in timing their measures, that I expect, after having refused the recent application of the West India planters and merchants, when a compliance with it might have saved them, our manufacturers and ship owners, from ruin, and the public from a famine, they will wait until all these events have taken place, in order to shew the magnitude of the relief that they then will give us; in addition to which, we shall probably have to bless them at the same time for a peace with Buonaparté, which, under such circumstances, we cannot but feel ourselves happy to obtain on any conditions, and must consider equally well-timed with all their other measures.

I am, Sir, your humble servant,

CROCHET.

Hints to Masters and Apprentices, pointing out their respective Duties, for the mutual Advantage and Happiness of both. By a Magistrate, and a Member of the Society for bettering the condition of the Poor. Recommended to be put into the Hands of the Parties, when the Indentures are executed. 8vo. Pr. 10. 6d. Hatchard, 1807.

IN this little tract, are some obvious remarks, on the misconduct of Masters and Apprentices and the cause of them; which is properly traced to the neglect and reach of the Sabbath; and also one page of good advice, and another of salutary precepts. But whoever shall look into it, for the purpose of ascertaining what are the respective duties of Masters and Apprentices, will be woefully disappointed. The recommendation to Magistrates "to put a copy of this little work into the hand of both the master and his servant," would have come with a better grace, if the work had been printed for gratuitous distribution; but where sixpence is charged for a quantity of matter, which would easily be comprized in a single column of a newspaper, it will not be very unfair, nor yet uncharitable, to impute the recommendation to some other motive, than that of promoting the welfare of either master or servant.

A Narra

A Narrative of the much-lamented Death of Colonel Vittey, of Bath, who was killed at Reading, June 13, 1806, by fracturing his Skull, in leaping out of one of the Bath Coaches, in consequence of the Horses running away: with the Substance of a Conversation (just before the Event took place) between him, and J. Bain, Minister of the Gospel, Father-Street, Barlox, Essex. Most affectionately dedicated to his bereaved Lady and Children. The Second Edition, corrected and enlarged. 24mo. Pr. 40. Gd. Button.

MR. Bain has, with the best intentions, and with a truly Christian spirit, stated the particulars of a conversation, which occurred between himself and Colonel Vittey, who was a fellow-passenger with him in the Bath-coach. The conversation, as may be supposed, was of a religious nature; and the part which the Colonel took in it, shewed that his mind was deeply impressed with the important truths contained in the sacred writings. The author's interview with the Colonel's family after his death, is affectingly described; and Mr. Bain means so well, and is evidently a man of real good disposition, that we forbear to exercise the severity of criticism on his work; or to notice the quaint expressions which occur here and there, and which sufficiently mark his profession. We cannot, however, but reprove him for the bold assertion, that the successors of Mr. Cadogan at Reading, *did not preach the Gospel*; for his words either signify that, or have no signification at all. We are sure, that upon reflection he will be sorry for having made an assertion, which is contrary to truth. The fact is, that Mr. Cadogan was a Methodist; and that he fed his flock with such high-seasoned food, that after he left them, their stomachs were so depraved, that they could not relish the plain and homely viands of the Gospel, which his successors administered to them. We remember well the whole of the controversy on that occasion. Again we must tell Mr. Bain, that *predestination*, in the sense affixed to it by the Calvinists, or by the Calvinistic Methodists, is *not* the doctrine of the Church of England: nor can we conclude without asking him, if he approves the doctrines of that Church, as we must infer from the sentiments promulgated in this tract, how he can justify his separation from it, and to involve himself in the "Sin of Schism?"

The singular and interesting Trial of Henry Stanton, B. of the Eighth (or King's) Regiment; on Charges for unofficer-like Behaviour, as preferred against him by Lieutenant-Colonel Young, commanding the Second Regiment. Tried by a general Court-Martial, held at Lancaster, August 14, 1805, and several subsequent Days. The Conduct of these Officers, of the Second Battalion of the above Regiment, who were combined against Mr. Stanton, is exposed; and their Examinations, as taken on Oath, together with the Defence set up, to contradict their Testimony, by his Friends, are correctly exhibited. The Whole tending clearly to evince the injurious Treatment which Mr. Stanton sustained. 12mo. Pr. 166. Bristol printed; Egerton, London. Meylor, Bath, &c. &c. 1806.

THE motive of this appeal to the public is certainly laudable; to defend the author's reputation from the shafts of calumny. And he has here

here made out a case, which has convinced us that he has been harshly treated, to say the least of it. The charges against him were four in number. The first, for threatening to post Ensign Lloyd in the Billiard room, for not paying some money which he had won from him at play; and for afterwards shaking his stick at him; the said Ensign having, however, in the interval, called him a *fool* and a *blackguard*. The second, for walking about the streets of Doncaster in his uniform, after having been ordered by his Commanding Officer not to wear it. The third, for going to a Ball, when in a state of arrest, though at large, and after being prohibited from going by his Commanding Officer, for dancing at the said Ball, and for disturbing the amusement of the room, &c.; and the last, for afterwards behaving in a manner unbecoming the character of an Officer, by insulting the Surgeon of the Regiment, on his return from the Ball.

On the third charge it appears, that the mayor of Doncaster had invited all the officers of the regiment to a ball, the invitation being sent to the Colonel; Mr. Stanton, not having the same invitation communicated to him, wrote to the mayor, to know whether it was a general invitation or a partial one; and being informed it was general, he resolved to go. The commanding officer, however, apprized of his intention, sent him an order not to go. Mr. Stanton replied, that he should not go as an officer, in uniform, but as a private gentleman, in which capacity he conceived he had as much right to go as the Colonel himself to the ball; he accordingly went; and was going down a dance very peaceably with a young lady, when Lieutenant-Colonel Young went up to him, and ordered him to leave the room: he continued dancing, however, and when he had gone down three couple more, the Colonel repeated his order, and, as one witness positively swore, *seized Mr. Stanton by the collar*. He then was going quietly out of the room, when the Surgeon of the Regiment went up to him, and threatened to *kick him out*. We do not profess to understand the articles of war, and therefore shall not presume to question the decision of the Court Martial; neither do we profess to know what is deemed *officer-like behaviour*. But certainly it does appear to us, that if the Colonel's behaviour was *officer-like*, there is no man in his senses, with the feelings of a gentleman about him, who would place himself in a situation to be exposed to it. Is there any man who could allow another to stop him abruptly, when engaged in dancing with a lady, and to order him to leave the room?—Surely the Colonel might have staid till the dance was over, and might, even then, have spoken privately to his subaltern!—It is perfectly ridiculous in the Colonel to charge the Ensign with disturbing the amusement of the room, when it was perfectly clear that he himself had occasioned the disturbance. One witness deposed, that at York (where the Surgeon was convicted for an assault upon the Ensign,) the Colonel swore that it was by the *particular orders* of the Mayor, that he turned Mr. Stanton out of the ball-room: whereas the direct reverse of this appears, by the evidence produced on the Court-martial, to have been the fact. The Ensign, however, was found guilty of most of the charges, and sentenced to be cashiered! We must repeat, that it is not for us to arraign the decision of such a court: but it does appear to us very strange, that the irregular conduct of one officer should have been punished with the utmost severity, while the, at least equally, if not
more

more irregular conduct of others, should have been suffered to pass without notice. The most remarkable testimonials are here given to the character of Mr. Staunton, by a number of very respectable persons.

A Philosophical Essay on the Game of Billiards; wherein the Theory is minutely examined upon Philosophical Principles, and familiarly exhibited by easy Transitions from Causes to Effects, with Plates, illustrating the several Propositions advanced; and the Practice shewn in all its Variety. With copious Observations and Directions. By an Amateur. 8vo. Pr. 60. Meyler, Bath; Robinsons, London. 1806.

This is not merely a curious and ingenious, but a truly scientific essay of the Game which it proposes to elucidate. From the perusal of it, we should conclude the author to be not only an amateur but a connoisseur. He explains himself with the greatest facility, and with the greatest simplicity; and though his book will not form a pleasing study to novices, to players who have made a certain progress in the knowledge of the Game, it affords both amusement and instruction.

DIVINITY.

Hints for the Security of the Established Church. Humbly addressed to his Grace the Archbishop of Canterbury. 8vo. Pr. 40. Hatchard. 1806.

BY two descriptions of persons, this zealous Advocate for the security of the Established Church will be called an *alarmist*;—by the methodical enthusiasts; and by those who are of no sect or religion whatever. He begins by reminding the Primate of the open declaration of that arch-enemy to the Church, the late Doctor Priestley, who, exulting in his promised triumph over the establishment, did not hesitate to declare, with an impudence almost peculiar to himself, that a train of gunpowder was gradually laying, grain by grain, for its demolition, and he is of opinion that the danger has continued to increase, and that it has now risen to an alarming height. This danger he states to proceed from various causes. The first cause which he notices, is the non-residence of the clergy. His observations on this point are well-entitled to serious attention.

“The law recently enacted, has provided against one glaring instance of delinquency, in the non-residence of incumbents; but, in so doing, it was doubtless the object with the legislature, to secure the personal superintendence of a parochial minister, wherever invincible obstacles did not present themselves. This object, however, is as yet but imperfectly attained: many are the cases wherein non-residence is legalized: and where that happens it will be observed that provisions are made for a resident curate. The provision, it is true, is thus made; but the law does not so immediately touch the individual, as to exact an adequate compliance with it; and, I fear, it will very extensively be found, that the curate is rarely a resident beyond the few hours exacted by the Sunday duty.

“Let it not however be supposed, that I am here censuring this respectable part of the ecclesiastical community. Where this default oc-

curs,

cars, it is, in most instances, to be imputed to the misconduct of the principal. The salary is too small to afford a competent means of residence, and the curate is thus compelled to accumulate engagements to enable him to support his place in society. This, it will be observed, arises not from defect in the law, but from evasion. True; but if the law were made more pointed, the evasion would be less practicable. Yet, as it now stands, the case is not without its remedy; and I take the liberty to observe it should be strenuously applied. It is certainly eminently desirable that all possible delicacy should regulate the proceedings between a Bishop and the clergy of his diocese: yet still circumstances of so suspicious a cast sometimes glide into these transactions, that delicacy itself should give way in favour of a conscientious discharge of duty. Bishops do not seem to be sufficiently impressed with the idea, that an individual, who will undertake for a most solemn charge, and will avail himself of every trifling subterfuge to escape the labours of it, is not of a principle to be much depended on. Such is the case with many who solicit the licence for non-residence. And it may be apprehended, that although the licence stipulates for an adequate salary to the resident curate (a salary which shall enable him to reside and occupy the deserted post of the incumbent), yet, by private contract, this provision is evaded, and the curate, rather than offend, engages in further duties, all of which he cannot properly discharge. It is on this subject that the words of an eminent prelate are on record, that if "he discovered any similar collusions, he would let the parties know that there were canons and statutes, and would bring them under the clutch of them *." There are then canons and statutes applicable to these cases: the evil has attained sufficient magnitude to require that they be put in immediate force."

It is certainly too true that the provisions of the Residence act are very often evaded. We could name a beneficed clergyman, who has two livings, one in the diocese of Rochester, and the other in that of Winchester; to each living is annexed a good parsonage house; but the rector lets both of them, and is himself in a lodging, on one of his livings, and leaves the other without a resident curate. If such abuses as this are tolerated, it is in vain to pass acts for enforcing Residence, and it is equally in vain for Bishops to deliver charges expressive of their resolution to enforce such acts. They are subversive of the discipline of the Church, and extremely prejudicial to religion itself.

The next cause of danger which the author considers, is the non-residence of some of our Prelates. To whom he alludes, we profess ourselves at a loss to conjecture; one of them, indeed, passed some time in Italy; where he so far lost the prejudices of the Reformation, as to think, on his return, that a Popish prostitute was a proper guardian for a young protestant female of quality; but we did not know, nor are we disposed to believe, that there is another Bishop on the bench who can be charged with improper absence from his Diocese.

The author next calls the attention of the Primate to a more abundant source of danger, the growth of schism, and the increase of schismatics.

* Bishop Horseley's Charge to the Diocese of Rochester. Not having the work at hand, the words are quoted only from recollection.

"The danger which at this time threatens the Established Church, arises principally from the prevailing irregularities which characterise this eventful period. A spirit of insubordination has long since shewn itself; and, although it has been checked, as far as it seemed to interfere with the prosperity of the State, yet has it been alarmingly progressive in its hostility to the church. At the same time that this is the case, so specious is the veil behind which this spirit frequently conceals itself, that it is not always easy to detect the imposition. Modern dissent takes altogether a novel form. Schismatics do not at present dissent on account of their principles, but they so arrange their principles, that they may dissent. The motive is not even disguised,—hostility to the church; not to the principles, not to the faith—but to the church itself. It may be fit consideration for the Legislature, whether the true object of their attack may not lie somewhat deeper. Now, my Lord, the peculiar mischief of the case is, that the existing laws do not reach it. I need not point out to your Grace that feature of peculiar excellence in our happy constitution, which favours the gradual amelioration and extension of its laws, as the times vary and circumstances require. The times have materially varied since the days of King William, and circumstances imperiously demand some law, explanatory at least of the Toleration act."

Then follow some very proper remarks, on the circumstances under which, and the persons in favour of whom, the toleration act was passed.

"At the time when that statute was enacted, the case of the Dissenters was very different from what it is at present. They were then an acknowledged and definite body of people. In their character of Dissenters, they had been previously liable to pains and penalties. Not to sanction their tenets, but to relieve them from the effect of existing statutes, this act was passed. And it is honourable to the clergy of that day, that the measure had their sanction. I conceive those of the present by no means desire, either that its spirit should be abridged, or its provisions restrained. But, my Lord, when at that time the Legislature granted relief, they granted it to persons and principles, which were known; and it was enabled, in consequence of this knowledge, to frame such cautionary provisions, as should give freedom of worship to individuals, and at the same time guard both Church and State from the effects of a misplaced and dangerous lenity. The words of the act sufficiently prove this; for they specify the prevailing tenets, and apply the specific and appropriate relief. Moreover, as a safeguard, it stipulates for publicity in the place of worship, a test of loyalty from the teacher, and, as I conceive from the spirit of the act (although it is certainly not clearly expressed in the letter), it exacts a limitation of the services of the teacher to his appropriate congregation. Thus did the dissenters enjoy, on the subject of divine worship, all the protection which had previously been given to the Establishment. In this view of the subject, the respectable Dissenters themselves are equally interested with the Members of the established Church, in maintaining the fair principles of this act. Break through it, according to the modern attempt, and the hedge which fences the privileges of the Dissenters is equally broken down, as that which defends the Church.

"Allow me, in the next place, to point out the modern practice of encroachment, founded on a perverted interpretation, and, I must add, gross abuse of this law. The Presbyterians, Independents, and other Dissenters

Dissenters of the ancient denominations, remain, as they did, obedient to, and deriving protection from, this act. But we have witnessed the rise, in our day, of a vast and threatening separation, under the general denomination of Methodists; involving, however, wide ramifications and diversities of opinions, but all united in one point,—hostility, inveterate hostility to the Church; with whom, nevertheless, in many instances, they profess to unite in faith.

“These separatists, taken on a general principle, are formed into a community, or rather, I should say, communities; subjected to their own laws, and competent, by means of internal regulations, to enforce their own provisions. They submit to the public existing laws, as far as they are compellable; whilst, at the same time, they undermine them by their subtle and fanatical evasions. But in one essential particular they have systematically departed from what I conceive to be the intention of the toleration act; or, if it is not, what clearly ought to be so; unless the Legislature would countenance the laying of that destructive train, which being intended to blow up the Church, will probably carry with it a considerable portion of the State likewise.

“Two principles of arrangement, which have been heretofore unknown, distinguish and characterize their system. The one is, rarely to permit the residence of a minister with a congregation beyond the term of a single year. This is a politic provision, having a two-fold object;—in the first place, thereby to prevent the minister from so far ingratiating himself with his audience, as to attain the hope of establishing himself with them, independent of the controlling society; and, in the next, to flatter and increase the flock by the charm of novelty. It may be doubted whether the provisions of the toleration act are not hereby violated; for the invariable expression in that act is, ‘any preacher or teacher of any congregation;’ which seems to imply one permanently settled in that character; and would moreover appear to place such an individual in a parallel situation with the minister of the Establishment, who is permanently appointed to the cure of a specific charge, and, without a special enlargement of authority, cannot extend his service beyond it. If such be a reasonable interpretation of the spirit of this act, it were difficult under it to deduce a sanction to this loco-motive ministry. And it may further be pronounced highly objectionable, if not dangerous, in other points of view. It is dangerous to the State, for it deprives it of that great source of protection against mischief, which arises from a knowledge of the individual who is commissioned to teach. It is dangerous in a lower degree, as it fails of the social advantages which might accrue from so important a connection as that which is supposed to exist between a congregation and a minister, engaged not merely from religious, but social motives, to labour for its welfare.

But another part of their system is fraught with still deeper mischief; and as a more flagrant outrage on the existing laws. There would appear at the present time to be established in this country a regular *propaganda societas*, under Methodist protection. And the manner of carrying on their proceedings is as follows: A town, which, according to previous report, seem to afford a prospect of success, and where heretofore no Methodist society was traced, is selected for the experiment. Here a congregation is clandestinely secured, a meeting established, and duly registered.

gistered. No appropriate minister is however appointed, but a missionary is sent down for the Sunday, and perhaps for one evening in the week: on the other days he employs his time in the circumjacent villages, as the prospect of success may offer. Here the meeting is held in such places as can be most readily obtained without licence; and a congregation, first procured by stratagem, is afterwards invited to join the meeting in the adjacent town. But invariably, as the ground-work of future success, an attempt is made to set the parishioners at variance with their established clergyman, by undervaluing his labours, and misinterpreting his motives. But, in these cases the variety of teachers is still greater than in the former instance: for these missionaries are rarely the same for two succeeding weeks. Indeed I have heard that for the most part they are only probationaries, or young men, who are but commencing their ministry; and from the reports which have reached me, of the display of their talents, I am inclined to favour the opinion. On this subject, however, I must add one piece of information, which has been reported, and which I trust will not be lost, viz. that they are always most active and insinuating, where there is no resident minister; or where he is said to be indolent or inattentive to his charge. *Vas est ab hoste doceri.*

“Here then, my Lord, is an evil, of sufficient magnitude to alarm, reduced into system. Your Grace knows that it is a system, which in the time of your predecessor was authoritatively made the vehicle of threatened attack on the Established Church. I allude to a proposal, which was insultingly and insidiously made, about six years since; which was probably communicated to your Grace officially, as it was to me only in confidence. I conceive no unbiassed person will say that such proceedings are not in direct violation of the Toleration act, both in spirit and letter. That act was intended for the relief of tender consciences; and was applicable to those who had previously established systems, which they could not pursue, but at their peril. But here, the minister does not grow out of the congregation, as was evidently the presumption in the act of King William; but the minister goes about to form, to seduce, to trepan a congregation, as an object of private convenience and profit to himself; and the act which yields him a licence to preach, becomes not, as it was intended, a relief to a tender conscience, but a means of estrangement and seduction from the Established Church.”

This is a truth which we have often declined to impress on the minds of our spiritual pastors and teachers. The evil complained of is one of serious magnitude, and calls loudly for the interposition of the Legislature.—Not only have these vagabond teachers no fixed congregation; but it is purposely so contrived, that the same minister shall not preach twice successively at the same place: we have been in possession of one of their tables, in which their circuits are marked, and fixed as regularly as those of our Judges, with a view to this ruling principle of novelty. The remedy proposed by our author is the same as was proposed long ago in this work, “that a minister, preacher, or teacher, shall be licensed to and confined to his own appropriate registered place of worship.—Much mischief,” he truly adds, “would be obviated by this simple provision; and surely no dissenting minister could have just ground of complaint, that he was thus put on the same footing and condition with the clergy of the Establishment.” There could be no possible objection to a legislative

legislative regulation of this nature ; it would impose nothing repugnant to a man's conscience ; it would not, in the smallest degree, entrench on religious toleration ; but it would do much towards the correction of most gross and scandalous disorders, and towards the restoration of a little more decency and decorum than is at present observed by these miserable fanatics, who are the most dangerous enemies which the Church has now to encounter. Surely, then, that true friend to the Church, Sir William Scott, or some other member who has a regard for religion, will serve their country, by bringing a bill into the House to this effect ; unless, indeed, they are afraid that the scruples of that pious and devout character, the Treasurer of the Navy, may not be satisfied with the *limited* restrictions which they would wish to impose ; and that his *serious* and *sober* arguments would have so much weight with the House, (as they have had on former occasions,) as to induce it to reject the measure altogether.

The author next adverts to a different species of Schismatics, on which we have often taken occasion to dilate—*Schismatics in the Church*.

“ But, my Lord, I have entered on this digression, that I might call your Grace's attention to one further topic ; to an instance of a very equivocal species of separation, which I scarcely know how to characterize or define : nor can I understand under what law it seeks, rather can claim, protection. I allude to the case, wherein a Minister in episcopal orders (and who has consequently on his oath promised canonical obedience), officiates in a congregation, licensed under the Toleration act, according to the liturgy of the Church of England. Here is evidently a schism without a motive ; dissent, from a mere love of dissent ; dissent, if I may so speak, without dissent. It arises perhaps, in the first instance, only from a plausible speculation ; from the spirit, which has too much prevailed of late, of making a traffic of religion. But it cannot be allowed innoxious in itself : it is, moreover, of an excessively evil tendency ; for, more than any other case, it brings the Church itself into disgrace : in a word, it is positive schism.”

The declamatory Orator of the *Round-House*, in Blackfriar's Road, is a schismatic of this description ; and a lamentable thing it is, that so flagrant a breach of Ecclesiastical discipline is out of the reach of Episcopal authority. Surely Bishops should be invested with power to punish these refractory sons of the Church, who thus fly in the face of their venerable parent, and violate their vows, nay their *oaths*, of canonical obedience. But the author entertains apprehensions, alas ! but too well founded, that certain practices within the Establishment itself have tended to countenance, if not to sanction, the irregularities of which he complains : he means, the establishment of numbers of *private Chapels* in the metropolis ; to which he imputes, and with a great shew of reason, “ the increase of dissenting meeting-houses.”

“ For so radically evil are their construction and establishment, that they tend only to disgust the serious rich, and expel the poor. It is a subject only for private speculation ; and as the rich alone can pay, to them only is accommodation offered. But it is an horrid subject for speculation. If the Minister engages in it himself, he too commonly accommodates his doctrines to his audience ; seeking to please rather than

to instruct: his motives are apt to be suspected, and very little utility can be expected from his ministry. If, on the other hand, he be merely engaged by the proprietor, he is commonly sought out, not for sterling qualifications, so much as for popular manners and an airy elocution; as is sufficiently proved by the rapid characters which commonly occupy such situations. But the most weighty objection to these establishments is, that they are fashionable religious assemblies for the rich. The poor are excluded: and it is no less lamentable, that, in a considerable part of the metropolis, and in some other large towns, the middling and lower classes have no accommodation whatever for religious worship. They are thus driven to the conventicle. It is even to be wished that they should go there; for better is it they should so engage in the duties of religion, than not do it at all."

This is, indeed, a crying evil, which calls for the application of an immediate and an effectual remedy. These Chapels are objects of mere commercial speculation, whether owned by clergymen or by laymen; and many of them, we are sorry to say we believe all of them in Marybone, are *Extra-Episcopal*. To these places of worship the poor, to whom the Divine Founder of our Faith expressly ordered the Gospel to be preached, have no access; and the rich, who are the exclusive occupants, seldom hear that doctrine which is alone calculated to make them "wise unto Salvation." In a village not many miles from the metropolis is a Chapel of this description, the proprietor of which tacks D. D. to his name; every part of it is occupied by pews which he lets; and all accommodations for the poor, though repeatedly solicited, has been pestilentially refused; the mother Church is at a considerable distance. The Reverend proprietor's sole object is the increase of his profits; and by this principle has his re-election of a Curate been constantly regulated. To a gentleman, of whom the inhabitants highly approved, he lately refused to allow a stipend of *fifty pounds* a year; although his Curate would have had eight miles every Sunday to ride, to perform his duty, and must have hired a horse for the purpose. These things are highly disgraceful to the Church; and tend to the destruction of discipline, and the consequent growth of schism. The members of the Establishment, and, indeed, all serious friends to religion, are much indebted to the author, for directing the attention of our Prelates to a subject of so much importance; and certain we are, that our present Primate, who is in the prime of life and in full vigour of health, and who possesses every quality which his high situation requires, will give it his best consideration, and exert his utmost efforts to afford that relief which the urgency of the case so imperatively demands.

TO THE PUBLIC.

THE new Proprietors of this Work have already announced their full determination to conduct it, henceforth, with more spirit than it has lately displayed; but on the same Principles, *Religious, Moral, and Political*. Indeed, they have no hesitation to say, that their respect for those Principles, and their dread of seeing the Work fall into the hands of persons, who might render it the vehicle of far different Principles, constituted their sole inducement to make the acquisition. They have deeply lamented the comparative inattention, which has, of late, been evident in one very important department of the Review, — That which was devoted to a review of the animadversions of contemporary Critics. It was; at first, and for some time, conducted with vigour, ability, and judgment; and contributed, not a little, to check that spirit of disaffection in Politics, and to correct that leaven of Sectarism in Religion, which seemed at once to guide and to deform all the sentences of their critical tribunals. Indeed the words of the Royal Martyr might have been applied to these Critics, with almost as much justice, as to the *Reformers* of his day: “Many of their propositions savour very strong of the old leaven of Innovations.” It is resolved to restore this department to its primitive state; and henceforth, a given portion of each Number, (to be enlarged according to circumstances,) will be allotted to the *Reviewers Reviewed*. To *Correspondents* also, greater attention will be paid; and their communications, when admissible, will appear with the least possible delay. It was formerly asserted, by persons interested in the depreciation of the Work, that the *Anti-Jacobin Review* was established for promoting the views and purposes of a Party; and those persons, and the public in general, must long since have been convinced of the injustice, not to say *falsehood*, of such an assertion. It may not be unnecessary here, explicitly to state, that it will be conducted on perfectly independent principles, and with a perfectly independent spirit, influenced neither by Party nor by Prejudice; but guided by the most rigid impartiality, in the distribution of Censure and of Praise. —

LITERARY INTELLIGENCE.

THAT ingenious and entertaining writer, Mr. Pratt, has nearly finished a Poetical Collection, to be entitled, *The Cabinet of English Poetry*. New and enlarged Editions of his Poems, *Sympathy and Cottage Pictures*, embellished with engravings, are in a forward state of preparation: and two new works, the one entitled, *Great and Little Folks*; and the other, *Travelling Memoranda*, made in North Wales, may speedily be expected from his prolific pen.

CORRESPONDENCE.

THE Letters of X. X. will certainly have admission.

THE
ANTI-JACOBIN
Review and Magazine,

&c. &c. &c.

For FEBRUARY, 1807.

"As no human invention, perhaps, ever produced more important good (than the Art of Printing,) so none ever produced more, actual evil."

VAN MILDERT.

ORIGINAL CRITICISM.

An Historical View of the Rise and Progress of Infidelity, with a Refutation of its Principles and Reasonings: in a Series of Sermons, preached for the Lecture founded by the Hon. Mr. Boyle, in the Parish Church of St. Mary-le-Bow, from the Year 1802 to 1805. By the Rev. William Van Mildert, Rector of St. Mary-le-Bow, London. 2 vols. 8vo. Pp. 996. 16s. Rivingtons, London; Cooke, Oxford; and Deighton, Cambridge. 1806.

MR. Boyle's Lecture was, it is well known, founded at the latter end of the Seventeenth Century, when that worthy man appropriated an annual sum of fifty pounds, as a salary to some clergyman, resident within the Bills of Mortality, for preaching eight Sermons every Year, against notorious Infidels. A Collection of Sermons, preached in consequence of this institution, was made in the last century, and published in Three Folio Volumes; and some other detached sermons have since been given to the world. We perfectly concur with the learned author of this work, in the opinion, that the publication of sermons, so preached, must have been in the contemplation of the Founder; whose laudable intention of supplying an antidote to the poison of Infidelity could otherwise have had but a very partial and circumscribed effect. The great inducement of this pious Chris-

tion to establish the Lecture in question, was the rapid growth of impiety which he witnessed, in an age when, from the evident tendency of extremes to approximate, men had been led to pass from Puritanism to Infidelity. He wisely judged, that the insulated effects of individuals would do little towards the cure of an evil, for the promotion of which great parts, spirit, activity, and perseverance, had combined; and that the hope of an effectual remedy could alone be derived from a regular and systematic exposure of the fallacies, perversions, artifices, and falsehoods of the enemies of the Christian faith. To him, therefore, are we indebted for some of the best discourses in the English language; and, in no small degree, for the dissemination of those good principles which, notwithstanding the increased and increasing depravity of the times, have preserved us from the dreadful calamities which have afflicted, in a peculiar manner, those nations in which the noxious plant of Infidelity had taken the deepest root.

In the *first* Sermon, from the fifteenth verse of the third chapter of *Genesis*, Mr. Van Mildert opens the origin of that conflict between "the power of God unto salvation," and the machinations of Satan to frustrate the purposes of the Creator, which gave rise to the grand scheme of Redemption. He prudently abstains from all useless inquiry into subjects beyond the reach of human faculties; and passes over the *origin of evil* as a question, the discussion of which, as experience has too fatally proved, is frequently productive of Impiety and Atheism, and which, at best, can only tend to produce that implicit reliance on the Supreme Being, which ought to characterize every Christian.

"But, however hopeless may be the attempt to assign, upon abstract principles, such reasons for the permission of evil, as will satisfy a sceptical enquirer; we may venture to affirm, that there is nothing in the phenomena of the moral world, which discredits what has been revealed concerning it in the holy Scriptures. The fact that evil does exist, is indisputable. All that we are able to discover, or rather to conjecture, on this point, without the light of Revelation, is, that the very existence of evil seems to indicate some personal agent of a malignant nature, by whom it is occasioned; while it appears no less certain, that a Being of infinite perfections would not suffer this to take place, but for the sake of producing such ultimate good as could not otherwise be so effectually obtained. To judge of the necessity or expediency of this dispensation, is not possible for man, without an intimate knowledge of the Divine counsels, or clearer information respecting the facts with which it is connected, than the light of nature can supply. Revelation, however, gives us such an insight into the subject, as may enable us to repel any surmises injurious to the Divine honour, though not to satisfy irreverent curiosity. The sacred writings declare, that there is a malevolent Spirit, who, being *deposed*, for his own offences, to the lowest depths of misery and despair, is become the instigator of sin and wickedness in others; that he was permitted, even in Paradise, for the trial of our first parents' integrity and obedience, to tempt them to rebel against their Maker; and

and that, since the fall, he is still suffered to put the faith and virtue of their descendants to continual proof, and to pursue, though not without restraint, his insidious machinations for their ruin:—but that all this is ordained by the Almighty, for the purpose of displaying hereafter, in a more conspicuous and convincing manner, his wisdom, power, and goodness; since he will not fail so to over-rule the malice and subtlety of the Evil One, as eventually to rescue the faithful from his tyranny, and to effect the final destruction of this their relentless enemy. Thus do the Scriptures afford the solution of a difficulty not to be unravelled by human reason; teaching us to shut up all further enquiry into this mysterious subject in a full persuasion, that the time will come, when God shall “gather out of his kingdom all things that offend, and the righteous shall shine forth as the sun, in the kingdom of their Father.” (Matth. xiii. 41, 43.)

From this gracious promise, the good Christian may derive that consolation which is necessary to support him in the conflict which he has to sustain with our great spiritual adversary. Secure of his final defeat, we have every encouragement to “fight the good fight of faith.” While the prediction of the continual warfare which we shall have to support must prepare us for temptations, dangers, and difficulties. Another important inference is drawn by the learned author from this state of things, in opposition to the sophistry of ancient and of modern times.

“Moreover, the intimation here given of the trials and sufferings, which we are to undergo in the course of our spiritual warfare, directly overthrows the absurd theories, which vain sophists have endeavoured to establish, respecting the *perfectibility* of mankind in their present earthly state; since, it being thus declared, on divine authority, that an enmity shall continually subsist between the Serpent and the Woman, and between his seed and her seed; and also that the seed of the Serpent shall bruise the heel of the Woman’s seed, that is, shall, to a certain extent, be permitted to inflict evil on the human race; it necessarily follows, that, however certain may be the victory, which, through the power of his Redeemer, man shall ultimately gain over this implacable enemy, he can never hope to be, in this present life, entirely free from sin, or danger.”

This strange notion of *perfectibility*, so flattering to the pride of man, but so repugnant to the declared purpose of his Creator, could only arise from a miserable perversion of human reason, that fertile source of innumerable evils. It is of infinite consequence, in weighing the evidences of Christianity, to guard against the abuse of a gift which was intended to promote the eternal welfare and happiness of man.

“To prevent such a miserable abuse of the gift of Reason, it is of great consequence, that we should direct men to a right application of it in estimating the evidences of Revealed Religion. Happily for us, Christianity may well boast of its entire conformity to sound and unprejudiced Reason: not, indeed, to that Reason, which arrogantly assumes

a right of controverting even what is proved to be the Divine will; not to the Reason of the Atheist, who denies God; or of the vain Free-thinker, who sets his own understanding in competition with Infinity Wisdom; but to the Reason of the sober-minded and sincere enquirer after Truth, who is willing to receive whatever has the stamp of Divine Authority impressed upon it, "with meekness and fear." Upon the ground of such reasoning, the intelligent and judicious advocates of Christianity have never feared to meet their assailants. Often have they been challenged to the conflict: as often have they entered the lists and come off victorious: and though the enemy may still renew his attacks, the true Christian, knowing the strength and justice of his cause, will never be afraid to encounter him on fair and equal terms."

At the close of this preliminary Lecture the Author thus explains the plan of his work:

"In the first part of these Lectures, therefore, it is my design to take a summary view of the endeavours made to counteract the revealed will of God, in the times antecedent to the Christian dispensation; then to show the perverseness of both Jews and Gentiles, in their rejection of the Gospel, and their various efforts to overthrow it, from the time of our Lord's personal appearance on earth, to the downfall of Paganism in the Roman empire; afterwards, to continue the enquiry, through the middle ages, when almost the whole world was overspread by Mahometan and Gothic barbarism: then, to contemplate the new aspect which Infidelity assumed, on the revival of letters, and the introduction of the Protestant Reformation; and, lastly, having brought down the history of its progressive labours to the present day, to consider what expectations we may justly entertain, respecting the final issue of this tremendous contest.

"The historical view of the subject being closed, it is intended, in the second part of these Lectures, to enter upon a general vindication of the grounds and principles of the Christian Faith, in answer to the arguments most commonly urged against its authority and credibility. These arguments (whether deduced from reasoning *a priori*, to show the improbability, unfitness, and inutility of Revelation; or of reasoning *a posteriori*, to invalidate its evidences as a matter of fact;) will be distinctly considered, in order to expose their futility, and to show the spirit of perverseness by which they are generally dictated."

^b In pursuit of this plan, our Author, in his second Sermon, from the thirteenth of Matthew, 37, 38, and 39 verses, proceeds to consider the earliest efforts of the Tempter, in the seduction of our first parents, whom he justly regards as having been endowed, by their Creator, with every quality that was necessary to enable them to resist temptation, and to persevere in their duty.

"Hence it follows, that the first offence which man committed against the law of his Maker (whatever might be the subtlety employed to persuade him to the commission of it) was unquestionably a wilful and presumptuous offence, *i. e.* a transgression of some known duty, a departure

parture from the declared will of God, in compliance with his own will, and with the solicitations of an enemy both to God and himself.

“ Respecting the particular command, by the transgression of which our first parents fell from their native innocence and purity, it is simply recorded in the sacred word; without any additional information as to the inherent properties, or the mystical signification, of the Tree of Knowledge, or the Tree of Life: But we learn, that the Tempter succeeded in prevailing upon the victims of his subtlety to receive a very different notion of these from that which God had revealed to them; and that their believing in *him* rather than in God, was the cause of their sin and their condemnation. Thus it appears that the very first offence which man committed originated in a *disbelief of the Divine word*, wrought in him by the suggestions of the Evil Spirit. Unbelief produced disobedience; disobedience, condemnation to misery and death: and the only hope held out to him of a recovery from that state of guilt and punishment, was to be obtained through his belief in a new revelation, thereupon vouchsafed to him, and proposed as a fresh trial of his *faith*.”

The great caution which Mr. Van Mildert displays, in avoiding all discussions of unessential points, which it is necessary for him to notice, in the course of his lectures, is highly creditable to his judgment. In this sermon he takes a view of infidelity in general, and particularly of Heathen Idolatry, before the coming of Christ. His reasoning on this part of his subject is highly satisfactory and convincing. He considers Paganism as originating in a *wilful* departure from the truth; for as man was never, from his creation, left to himself, he must, of course, have had a knowledge of the true God; and, consequently, the introduction of false divinities can only be regarded as a wilful apostasy from him.

“ When we thus investigate the nature and origin of false Religion, its heinousness, as involving the guilt of presumptuous opposition to the Divine will, is hardly to be disputed. It is, therefore, but a vain apology for Heathenism (when we speak of its first birth and introduction) to treat it as the harmless invention of poor unenlightened mortals, labouring, with good intentions, but under invincible ignorance, to discover the true God, and to perform to him an acceptable service. Neither will it avail (for the vindication of the earliest apostates, at least, from the true Faith) to have recourse to those refined and specious theories, by which ingenious men have endeavoured to conceal the deformities of the Gentil: superstitions, under the semblance of profound mystical instruction; representing them as useful political institutions; nay, even dignifying the objects of Pagan worship with the appellation of “*elegant Divinities*,” and extolling them as the invention of wise and discerning minds. Whereas the fact appears to be clearly this, that mankind had been from the beginning in possession of the *one true Religion*; but that the founders of Heathen Idolatry “*forsook the Lord*,” that they might “*serve strange gods*.” This is uniformly the language of Scripture; and every thing that we can collect from history confirms the truth of this representation.”

The apologists for Heathenism, for in these days there is nothing, however corrupt, that does not find an apologist, will have some difficulty, with all their ingenuity, to answer the arguments of our Author, which are further supported by some strong proofs derived from a consideration of the *rites and ceremonies* of the Heathens, particularly of *Animal Sacrifices*.

In the *third Sermon*, the Infidelity of the Jews, and their opposition to the Gospel on its first promulgation, are considered. The text is taken from the 34th and 35th verses of the second chapter of St. Luke. In the *fourth*, from Psalm ii. verse 50. a view is taken of the opposition of the Heathens to the Gospel, from its first promulgation to the reign of Constantine. Among the means employed by these perverse men, for impeding its progress, *calumny* was not the least formidable; an engine which has been used in all ages for the same sinister purposes.

"Many of the slanderous accusations brought against the primitive Christians are of so disgusting and improbable a kind, that it were desirable to pass them over wholly in silence, did they not afford striking proofs of the ignorance, as well as the malice, of those by whom the Gospel was traduced. In addition to the inconsistent charges of credulity, superstition, enthusiasm, or brutish stupidity, on the one hand; and of obstinate unbelief, atheistical impiety, hypocrisy, and imposture, on the other; such was the strange misconception, or rather (as we must deem it) the wilful misrepresentation of the Gospel, by its Heathen opponents, that they continually reviled its disciples as murderers of infants, as cannibals, as addicted to incestuous practices, as pretenders to magic, as worshippers of the Sun, and as idolators paying adoration to the grossest objects. Nothing of this kind was omitted, which could by any possible perversion of the sacred doctrines of their Religion, be employed to vilify the devoted objects of their hatred, and induce the ignorant multitude to regard them as the most infamous of mankind.

"But besides these fouler calumnies, (which may seem rather to have been addressed to the lowest of the vulgar, than to men of knowledge and understanding,) invectives of a higher strain, and sophistry of a more subtle description, were employed, to ensnare the minds of those upon whom baser arts might not prevail. Literary talents, of no mean distinction, were exercised, in endeavouring to defeat a system, which threatened the downfall of the boasted philosophy of the Heathen world, no less than the destruction of those superstitions, with which philosophers, (however inconsistently with the character of honest men,) deemed it expedient to impose upon the ignorant. Christianity, indeed, had no enemies more inveterate than the inflated and proud philosophers, who had acquired, and were resolutely determined to maintain, possession of the public ear."

The Christian philosophers, or rather *philosophists*, of the last century, were not less inveterate in their enmity to the Gospel of Christ, than the Heathen philosophers of the early ages. In the *fifth Sermon*, the same view is continued until the end of the sixth century, and the downfall of Paganism. Here some very judicious observations occur

occur on the conversion of the emperor Constantine to Christianity; in respect of which event, the author, though he offers no decided opinion upon it, seems inclined to acquiesce in the truth of the account given by Eusebius; which is certainly less open to a suspicion of partiality, than that of Julian or of Zosimus is to an imputation of prejudice. The following sketch of the character of the great apostate Julian is ably drawn.

“ Julian had the early advantage of a Christian education; but, before his principles were well-formed, he fell into the hands of the most dangerous sophists, men bigotted to the reveries of Paganism, subtle, imposing, and unwearied in their efforts to crush the Gospel, and to bring back the reign of Gentile philosophy. Under the guidance of such men, a youthful mind, so vain, and so susceptible of flattery and falsehood, as that of Julian, could hardly escape contamination. But before he fell into these hands, and even whilst he was under the tuition of Christian instructors, he is said to have discovered a peculiar antipathy to the Gospel, and a partiality to Heathenism, which predisposed him to listen with eagerness to those who were most ready to lead him astray. His prejudices in this respect were also heightened by personal resentment towards his imperial relations; from whom, though professing Christianity, it must be acknowledged that he had experienced the most unnatural treatment.

“ These considerations, however, afford but a slender apology for one, whose talents have been extolled by his admirers, as far above the common standard of excellence. And even though we should estimate him (more justly, perhaps,) as a man of brilliant, rather than of solid parts, still it would not be easy to find an excuse for so miserable a perversion of them, as his apostasy from the Gospel exhibits. If, however, we are to doubt the sincerity of Julian's belief in Paganism, his understanding is only vindicated at the expence of his moral character; nay, he stands convicted as doubly a hypocrite, believing neither in Heathenism nor Christianity, yet occasionally professing both, maintaining with the greatest pertinacity opinions which he secretly despised, and persecuting one religion, only because it was irreconcilable with another, which he held in equal contempt.

“ But of Julian's attachment to Heathen Idolatry, and his belief in the grossest of its absurdities, his own admirers will hardly suffer us to doubt. They represent him as most scrupulously observant of its superstitions: and it is the boast of his great panegyrist, Libanius, that, far from admitting Polytheism only in a refined and mystical sense, he adopted it in its vulgar acceptation, with as much credulity as the most illiterate of his subjects.

“ If this representation of him be true, we cannot but consider Julian as thoroughly devoted to that Religion of Satan; and, in order to account for such strange infatuation in one who, in his infant years, had been trained to the Christian Faith, we must either suppose him to have been deceived, at an early period of life, by the malicious artifices of those who laboured to initiate him into its abominable mysteries; or that, being afterwards “given up to a reprobate mind,” the Evil Spirit was permitted to work upon him by diabolical illusions, and to plunge him
still

still further into the depths of perdition. Nor, perhaps, will they, who duly consider some very strange and mysterious transactions, recorded of Julian and his associates, be disinclined to adopt this latter opinion.

"But, as it is repugnant to all our knowledge of the Divine attributes, to suppose that God ever hardens the hearts of those, who have not themselves already hardened them, by wilful impiety and opposition to the truth; so do we find in Julian a striking instance of a man, determined, almost from the beginning, to involve himself in the sin of apostasy. What excuse, indeed, can be alledged for such a man; one who was accustomed to weigh, to examine, and accurately to judge, in all other matters; and who was only blind, wilfully blind, in the momentous concern of Salvation? He saw, he could not but see, the excellence of Christianity. He had every opportunity presented to him of examining its proofs, and appreciating its value. He had been accustomed to read the Holy Scriptures, to hear them explained and to observe with great exactitude the most solemn ordinances of the Church. Nay, even after his release from the restraints of tuition, he went so far as to profess some degree of reverence and zeal for the Gospel.

"But this dissimulation he only deemed it expedient to practise, so long as he was, in some degree, dependent upon others. No sooner was he raised to a joint share of the Imperial dignity, than he threw off the mask, and began to discover evident symptoms of that disposition, which, upon his succeeding to the undivided sovereignty of the Empire, displayed itself without reserve."

The means employed by this active agent of the Tempter, for the accomplishment of his purpose, and the effects which they produced, are succinctly but perspicuously expounded in the course of this discourse; the whole of which is written with considerable ability. The sixth Sermon exhibits the rise and progress of Mahometanism; of which, and of its founder, the following very judicious observations occur:

"The religion of Mahomet has this striking peculiarity, that it bears witness to the truth; while it propagates a lye. Though founded itself on imposture, it does not charge with imposture either Judaism or Christianity; but recognizes both as true. It admits the Miracles both of the Old and New Testament. It affects to reverence the authority of Moses and of Christ; but brings against their disciples the improbable charge of falsifying those Scriptures, which in common with them it professes to revere. Hence, some have considered this heterogeneous compound, rather as a system of Heresy, than of Infidelity; because it admits, in general terms, the pretensions of the Gospel, though it deprives it of its most important truths, by supposing a mutilation or interpolation of the Scriptures; the very pretext which Heresy usually assumes, to favour its own purposes. Thus we may easily account for the complacency with which modern Deists and Socinians appear to regard the Koran. They admire it, because it sets aside those distinguishing doctrines of the Gospel, the Divinity of Christ, and the Sacrifice upon the Cross; and prepares the way, for what the former are pleased to dignify with the title of Natural Religion, and the latter, with that of Rational Christianity.

"But

"But some writers, not apparently of this description, have taken pains to represent Mahomet rather as a well-intentioned reformer, or an honest enthusiast, than as a wilful promoter of falsehood and mischief. They acknowledge, that his zeal carried him into the most culpable extravagancies; but are willing to find an apology for its excess, in the opposition which was at first made to his almost laudable designs, and in the unwillingness of those, whose reformation he desired, to relinquish their ancient prejudices. Nay, they view him as a man, desirous, not only to reclaim his countrymen from Heathen idolatry, but to correct the errors and abuses which had crept into Christianity itself; and they incline to excuse the violence of his proceedings respecting the latter, as well as the former, by insinuating that it was become almost too corrupt to be reformed, by any other means than those which Mahomet employed.

"Thus, as if the purity of his motives were unquestionable, it has been remarked, that "his design of bringing the Pagan Arabs to the knowledge of the true God, was noble, and highly to be commended:" (Sale's Preface to the Koran, Vol. I. p. 51.) and the author, who thus writes, is much offended with the learned Prideaux, who more pertinently observes, that the Impostor "forced the Arabs to exchange their Idolatry for another religion altogether as bad." (Prideaux's Life of Mahomet, p. 67.) But, may we not justly ask, what there is "to be commended," even in the design itself, much less in the execution of it? Mahomet preached, it is true, belief in *one* God, to the exclusion of the numberless idols of Polytheism: but to this fundamental article of faith, he made it an indispensable addition, that they should believe in *him*, as the Prophet and Apostle of God. Where, then, is the difference, in point of religious truth and saving knowledge, between a Pagan and a Mahometan? When the Holy Scriptures declare, that "there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved, (Acts iv. 12.) but only the name of our Lord Jesus Christ;" is it to be supposed, that the disciples of Mahomet are acceptable to God, merely because they renounce the worship of Jupiter and Juno, or the service of Fo, or any such senseless idolatry? As there is but one God, so is there but one Mediator, and only one mode of Salvation, hitherto revealed to us: and as Salvation is of the very essence of religion, how can it be said, that Mahometanism is, properly speaking, more efficacious than even Paganism itself? If, however, it be contended, that to convert men from Idolatry, is to prepare them for the reception of the Gospel; it is obvious to reply, that this seems to have been very far from the design of Mahomet; nor do we hitherto see any such effects produced by his system; no people being more stubborn, and hardened against Christianity, than his blind and fanatical disciples."

Sale is not the only writer who has spoken favourably of this Anti-Christian code, which has been translated into French and Italian. A pretty subject for eulogy, forsooth, when its contents are duly considered.

"In this volume of perfection, are advanced, for instance, the following assertions: that both Jews and Christians are Idolaters; that the

the Apostles and Patriarchs were Mahometans; that the Angels worshipped Adam, and that the Fallen Angels were driven from Heaven for not doing so; that our Blessed Saviour was neither God, nor the Son of God; and that he assured Mahomet of this, in a conference with him and the Almighty; yet that he was both the Word and the Spirit of God: not to mention numberless absurdities, concerning the Creation, the Deluge, the End of the World, the Resurrection, and the Day of Judgment, too gross to be received by any but the most debased understandings. It is also intimated, in this extraordinary production, that all men were originally of one and the same Religion, but that God purposely caused and ordained them to be of different Religions, by sending among them different Prophets and Apostles. Now, this is to make God the direct Author of confusion, nay, of falsehood. For, all diversities of Religion are indications of error; and, though permitted to prevail, for the trial of men's faith, or for the punishment of those who "will not come to God that they may have life," (John, v. 40.) are evidently the work of him, whose employment it is to frustrate our salvation. What doctrine, then, can be more characteristic of the Evil Spirit, than this, which sanctifies error and disunion, as the work of God?

"Again; the points most insisted upon throughout the Koran, are similar to those which the Apostle denominates "carnal ordinances," (Heb. ix. 10.) and "weak and beggarly elements;" (Gal. iv. 9.) ceremonies of no intrinsic value, and such as, even under the Mosaic Law, were no otherwise efficacious, than as implying faith in the better things of the Gospel. Nay, many of the ceremonies of Mahometanism were borrowed (as has already been observed) from Heathen rituals; and were not only burthensome or frivolous, but absolutely profane and degrading to the Majesty of God."

In the *seventh* Sermon, the progress of Infidelity is traced during the middle ages. It includes, of course, remarks on the Eastern and Western Anti-Christ; Papal Usurpation, Scholastic Theology, Jewish Cabalistical Theology, and Atheistic Philosophers. The text is from the twenty-fifth verse of the thirteenth chapter of St. Matthew; "*While men slept his enemy came and sowed tares.*"

"Abundant also were the tares of heresy, of superstition, and of infidelity, which were sown, during this long night of spiritual darkness. Corruptions, both in faith and practice, increased to such magnitude and extent, as would seem almost incredible, to those who have not well studied the perverseness of the human heart. Sometimes, the most frivolous subjects of dispute sufficed to distract the Christian world, and to produce implacable animosity and hatred. At other times, the most pernicious errors were admitted without reluctance. For one entire century, the Church was divided between the advocates and the opponents of *Image Worship*; a contest, decided, at last, in favour of the votaries of Idolatry, who adhered to their superstitious veneration for images, relics, and every other soppery of Paganism, with far more tenacious regard, than they did to the essential doctrines of Salvation. In the next century, Polemical acrimony was roused, by a discussion of the knotty points of Predestination and Transubstantiation; the latter of which obtained

tailed a signal triumph over Reason and the Scriptures. Every error and corruption of Popery was, about this period, established and confirmed: and now it was, that in Pope Nicholas was remarkably verified St. Paul's prediction of "the man of sin," speaking of himself as God, and as the deputed Judge of the earth.

"It were almost an endless task, to detail, from the histories of this and the succeeding centuries, before the Reformation, the continual schisms and controversies between the Eastern and Western Churches; the rapid succession of Popes of the most infamous character; the almost universal ignorance and corruption of the Clergy; the lying wonders of monkish legends; the absolute prohibition to the Laity of the use of the Scriptures; the scandalous vices which hence prevailed among all orders and descriptions of men; the revival of old heresies, and the fabrication of new ones; in short, that almost general defection from purity and truth, which gave to the Christian world the appearance of a land where men "sat in darkness and in the shadow of death," rather than where the light of the Gospel had arisen, and "the glory of the Lord had been revealed."

Thus lamentably debased as the Christian character was at this period of mental darkness, it was rendered still worse by the spirit of philosophizing upon religious subjects, which now began to prevail; and to produce the most woeful effects. The Jews, too, joined the Saracens in opposition to Christianity; the Divine Founder of which this obstinate and perverse race most impiously blasphemed in their prayers to the Almighty. To these wretched men was the world indebted for that *Cabulistical Theology*, which was the offspring of corrupted hearts and bewildered imaginations.

"This strange and anomalous production almost defies analysis. It pretends to arrive at a knowledge of Divine things, not, as true philosophy would do, by deductions from some generally-acknowledged principles of science; nor, according to the rules of sound theology, by reference to truths made known through the medium of Divine Revelation; but, by a mixture of the most wild and incongruous tenets of various philosophical systems, with unauthorized traditions, presumed to be of Divine authority, yet totally irreconcilable with those Scriptures, which the Jews professed to reverence as the word of God. Hence a confused mass of Scripture, Tradition, Physics, and Metaphysics, in which the imagination was left to wander, without any guide to direct it, or any rule of interpretation, to preserve it from deviating into the most extravagant and pernicious errors.

"The origin of this singular phenomenon in the history of the human mind, it is difficult to ascertain. For, this system must not be confounded with the genuine Cabala, or Tradition, held by the ancient Jews, before the coming of Christ; which was rather a mode of interpreting the written Law and the Prophets, by commentaries of established authority in the Synagogue, than an attempt of unauthorized individuals, to frame for themselves, or to impose upon others, a code of Philosophical Divinity. But the Cabalistic Theology of the middle ages was evidently derived from more impure sources. In its earliest state, we may discover

discover in it many vestiges of the ancient Egyptian, Pythagorean, and Platonic systems. As we advance farther, towards the time of the revival of letters, either in the East or in the West, the subtleties of the Peripatetic philosophy give it a new aspect: and among the labours of its still more recent expositors, are found some tenets of almost every eccentric or mystical sect; contributing to render it a still more confused and inexplicable jargon.

"Such being the composition of Cabalistic science, we cannot be surprised, if it contain many things incapable of proof, many that are evidently repugnant to truth, and still more that are utterly unintelligible. To attempt an examination of it upon *Scripture* principles, were a waste of time; and to refute it seriously as a *philosophical* system, were hardly less so. That, in many instances, the Cabalistic writers themselves understood not what they wrote, is the most probable, and, perhaps, the most favourable, conjecture, that can be made for them; since, even in those passages which are most intelligible, we are continually disgusted by profane reveries, equalling, if not exceeding, in absurdity, the exploded follies of the Valentinians, the Gnostics, and other similar fanatics of older date. Nay more; it is evident, that whatever opinion may have been entertained, by the Jews themselves, of the sublime and recondite wisdom of this wretched attempt at Divine Philosophy, it is much more nearly allied to absolute Infidelity, to Deism, and even Atheism, than to true Religion. To instance in one point only, among many others. It is one of its fundamental principles, that every thing emanates necessarily from the Deity, as the fountain of its being, without any act of volition on the part of its Creator, and, at the expiration of its separate state of existence, is to be resolved again into the Divine essence. Here we see that dangerous *pantheistic* notion, which has nothing to support it but vain imagination: which is in direct contradiction to Holy Writ: and which, when admitted, strikes at the root of all Religion, by divesting the soul of its immortality, and the Creator of his moral and even physical Attributes.

"Can we, then, sufficiently express our horror and astonishment, that the Jews, the very people, whose Religion was wholly built on Divine Revelation, and upholden by a visible Theocracy, and through whom the knowledge of the Most High had been manifested to the world; should so far forget the original foundations of their belief, as to admit into their creed the wildest and most visionary speculations that ever issued from the human brain; and to substitute, for the pure doctrines of God's word, the most despicable remnants of false Philosophy and fabulous Tradition? What a melancholy instance does this afford, of the danger of corrupting Divine Truths by human inventions; and of the awful consequences of provoking the Almighty, by apostacy from the Faith, to give up his creatures to error and infatuation!"

In the *eighth* Sermon are traced the progress of Infidelity under the Protestant Reformation; efforts to overthrow the Reformation; and subsequent efforts to make it instrumental to the overthrow of Revealed Religion. The text from 2 Corinthians, vi. 15. is, "*What concord has Christ with Belial?*" This is one of the most able and impressive discourses in the whole collection. The preacher marks the causes

causes of the Reformation, and comments on the conduct of the Reformers, with a true Christian spirit, neither deformed by intemperate zeal on the one hand, nor disfigured by unwarrantable facility of concession on the other. He writes not as a controversialist, but as a minister of the Gospel. Of the difficulties which the Reformers had to encounter; of the principles by which they were actuated; and of their conduct, Mr. Van Mildert gives this just and candid account:

“The miserable corruptions of Christianity under the Papal usurpation, and the advantage taken of them by opponents of every description, have been already noticed. The few, whose zeal for pure Religion led them to seek a remedy for these evils, could not but perceive the almost innumerable obstacles presented to their view. Obloquy, or persecution, threatened them on every side. Those who adhered to the Papal system, from motives of worldly interest, were many and powerful. Of those who were willing to resist its encroachments, not a few were hostile to Christianity itself. From persons of this description no cordial co-operation could be expected, in any plan for the revival of pure Christianity, however ready they might be to join in the overthrow of its ambitious rulers. These obstacles must have been too evident, to escape the observation of the first Reformers; and hence arises a strong presumptive proof of their sincerity, in venturing to encounter such dangers for the Gospel's sake.

“Doubts, however, have been frequently insinuated, respecting the purity of their motives; and in a contest, where passion and prejudice had, on both sides, much room to operate, it is hardly to be expected, that the portraits of the principal actors in the scene, should be transmitted to us without some extravagant colouring. By Romish advocates, we cannot wonder to find them depicted in the most disadvantageous manner; while from Protestants, we may sometimes apprehend a concealment of their indiscretions or misconduct. But it is most remarkable, that Infidel writers seem to take peculiar pleasure in reviling their characters, and depreciating the value of their exertions. This may, perhaps, be accounted for, if we consider the nature of the contest between Christians and Unbelievers. When men are desirous of overthrowing Christianity, the more they are enabled to represent it as abounding with absurdities and superstitions, the greater is the probability of their bringing it into discredit. But, without such an advantage, it is scarcely in the power of Infidels to hold it up as an object of contempt. This seems to be the most probable cause that can be assigned, of the rancorous treatment, which the Protestant Reformation has experienced from Deistical writers. From this circumstance, therefore, we may form some estimate of its real importance to the support of Christianity itself: since our adversaries, who are ever intent upon the destruction of Christianity, are generally more quick-sighted, in discerning what is really favourable or inimical to the success of their plans, than those who are “at ease in Zion,” or indifferent as to what concerns its safety.

“But, it is by no means necessary for the vindication of the Protestant cause, (much less for our present view of the subject,) to prove, that the Reformers, though eminently distinguished by their virtues, as well

as their talents, were exempt from human failings. They pretended neither to absolute perfection, nor to preternatural powers: neither did they assert any Divine commission of an extraordinary kind, for the great work which they had taken in hand. They honestly and conscientiously urged their obligation to obey God rather than man, when their compliance with the arbitrary injunctions of the latter became incompatible with their clear and acknowledged duty to the former. They did not presumptuously oppose themselves to human authority. On the contrary, they regarded it with reverence, and submitted to it, whenever that could be done without endangering their salvation; and where it could not, they unaffectedly deplored the necessity of disobedience, and earnestly deprecated any measures which might tend to destroy the Unity of the Church. Such, at least, was the conduct of the principal Reformers, in the *outset* of their important work; however some of them might, *in after times*, depart from those principles of moderation, and of respect for authority, civil and ecclesiastical.

“ Nevertheless, it cannot be denied, that too much of human passion and infirmity was sometimes blended with these upright and laudable motives: of which the occasional violence of some chief leaders of the Reformation affords but too clear a proof. This we may readily allow, nor fear any advantage which may be taken of the concession; since it only proves, (what never was denied,) that the best of men, acting in the best of causes, are still *human*: and that, in the *most signal triumphs* of God’s faithful servants, there is ever so much weakness and imperfection discernible on their parts, as to show, “ that not unto *them*, but unto *his* name,” must be given the praise and the glory of their success.

“ But candour requires, that we should separate the views and principles of the Reformers themselves, from those of many who co-operated with them, through motives of interest and ambition. Many Potentates in Europe undoubtedly engaged in an opposition to the Papal power, from considerations of State policy, rather than of Religion. Nor can we wonder at this, when we reflect upon the inordinate ambition, with which the Roman Pontiffs had grasped at secular power, and the gigantic strides which they had made towards universal dominion. It rather ought to excite our admiration of that omniscient wisdom, which can make even the worst passions of our nature subserve his purpose, by bringing good out of evil. For, hence a host of foes were collected against the Romish usurpation, who regarded the Popes with jealousy and hatred, as their competitors for earthly Supremacy. But, however these might contribute towards the success of the Reformation, it were highly unjust to confound with such abettors of its cause, the excellent and illustrious characters, by whose labours it was more immediately effected.

“ It is evident, then, that we cannot form a just idea of this great change in the aspect of Religion, without regarding it as the work of an overruling Providence. Never, perhaps, (except in the great triumph of Christianity over Paganism,) was the Divine interposition more conspicuous, than in the success of the Protestant Reformation. When we consider likewise, that this great event appears to have been by no means the result of any preconcerted plan; but to have arisen, as it were, casually, out of the circumstances which presented themselves; one event leading to another,

ther, and one successful investigation preparing the way for further discoveries of truth; we are forcibly struck with the evidence thus afforded, of its being upholden by more than human power."

The learned author then proceeds to trace the early spirit of Reformation, as manifested first by certain churches of Italy and Gaul, which rejected some of the tenets of Popery; afterwards by the Albigenses and Waldenses, whom he ably vindicates from the aspersions of Popish writers; and by the Lollards in England; the Hussites in Germany; the Tramonantes in Italy; and the Bohemians, the Lombards, and the Turlupins, &c. He defends the Reformers from the charge of insubordination preferred against them by their adversaries, observing, in respect of those in England in particular, that they acted in complete *subordination* to lawful authority, the Reformation being carried on here under the direction of the Bishops, "who were Bishops as truly and apostolically constituted as any bishops upon earth; and who, in refusing to submit to the Papal power, refused only to sacrifice their own just authority to an usurpation as unjust in its principles, as it was corrupt in its practice." He remarks, that some of the most bigotted Papists openly ascribed the reformation to the scandalous abuses of power which prevailed in the Romish church; and even sometimes spoke of it "as a proof of the Divine judgments upon the Papal enormities."

"It is not, however, the less pertinaciously urged, by these writers, that the Reformation originated in pride, vanity, evil concupiscence, and the like; and they infer, that its success is not to be wondered at, because it flattered and encouraged these corrupt propensities of the human heart. They compare its progress with that of Mahometanism; to which they pretend that it bears a strong resemblance, in point of character and principle. But, not to mention that the weapons of its warfare were totally opposite to those which Mahomet employed, (the terrors of persecution and violence being generally exerted *against* the Reformation,) these charges are manifestly unfounded. They proceed upon an assumption, that the ordinances of the Romish Church, relative to fasting, confession, penance, celibacy, and monkish seclusion from the world, were productive of humility, self-denial, continency, and other Christian virtues; and that the unauthorized and monstrous doctrines which it forced upon the belief of mankind, were conducive to true faith and a pious submission of men's reasoning faculties to the revealed will of God. Whereas, in truth, these ordinances and these doctrines were unfortunately found to have an opposite effect: since, by imposing upon men burthens too grievous to be borne, and such as had no warrant from the Holy Scriptures, they tempted them either to rest in mere externals, or to assume an appearance of sanctity, while they secretly indulged in the grossest lusts of the flesh, as well as in the most presumptuous speculations of the understanding. That this was too generally the case, is not to be denied; although it may readily be acknowledged that, even in the worst periods of this corrupt Church, some splendid exceptions were to be found, in men eminent for piety of principle

principle and purity of conduct, and who truly adorned the Christian profession. But these, alas! appear to have been of rare occurrence. From those impieties and extravagancies, however, which were almost the universal result of the corruption of the Romish Church, the more correct principles of the Reformation tended to set men free; since while it exacted, from all, true Evangelical holiness, it released them from the unnatural and unscriptural restraints, which had only served as a snare and temptation to evil."

In further justification of the principles of the Reformation, the Author adds the following forcible arguments:

"To those who duly consider the nature and constitution of the Christian Church, the principles on which it is founded, and its importance to the very existence of the Christian Faith; and to those who have that regard for *sound doctrine*, which seems inseparable from a *firm belief* in the Divine origin of the Gospel; it will appear indisputable, that every thing which militates against the primitive authority of the Church, or against "the Faith once delivered to the Saints," has, so far, a tendency to overthrow Christianity itself; or, at least, to render it inefficacious. That the leaders of the Protestant Reformation did not *intend* any thing subversive of the primitive Constitution of the Church, is sufficiently evident, notwithstanding the repeated assertions of Papists to the contrary. The Protestants, generally speaking, resisted the Pope, not in his Episcopal character, as Bishop of Rome, nor as Metropolitan or Patriarch in the province over which he might have lawfully presided; but as an unlawful *Usurper* of authority, both spiritual and temporal, over provinces, nay, over kingdoms and empires, wholly *independent* of him. That he was justly chargeable with this usurpation, and therefore not entitled to their obedience, they proved from the clearest evidences of History; from the testimonies of the Fathers of the Church, and from the doctrine of Holy Writ. They opposed the corrupt tenets and practices of the *Romish Church*, not because they questioned the *power* of the *Catholic Church*, to decree rites and ceremonies, nor its authority in matters of Faith, subject to the written word of God; but because the *Romish Church* had arbitrarily imposed, both upon its own members, and upon members of other Churches, articles which could not be *subscribed*, and services which could not be performed, without a departure from the word of God, pay, without incurring the guilt of absolute impiety and Idolatry. In short, they argued, (as the Apostle did,) that there could be no concord between Christ and Belial; and that they were under a *necessity* of withdrawing from the *Romish Communion*, or, to speak more properly, of shaking off the usurped dominion of the Pope; because submission to it was rendered incompatible with their obedience to God.

"Thus far, we see nothing which can fairly be construed into an attempt to overthrow the Christian Church, no infringement of its legitimate authority, no intimation to set aside the Apostolical succession of its ministers, or to slight any one article of the true *Catholic Faith*. On the contrary, many of the warmest advocates for the Reformation are well known to have manifested an unshaken attachment to Episcopacy, as of Divine

Divine ordinance, and jealously to have adhered to all the great fundamental doctrines of Salvation.

"If these principles had been *universally* acted upon, vain, indeed, would have been the efforts of its bitterest enemies, to fix a stain upon the Reformation. But he, who is ever active to prevent evil from being remedied, or good from being carried into effect, found ready agents to promote his designs. From a resistance to oppression and usurpation, men of corrupt minds, and violent tempers, were easily led to "despise governments, and to speak evil of dignities," though rendered venerable by antiquity, and sanctioned by Divine institution. Others, from refusing to abide by the pretended infallibility of the Pope, in matters of Faith, were too easily carried into the opposite extreme, of regarding themselves as infallible: presumptuously framing Creeds of their own, however unqualified for the undertaking; measuring Scripture by the standard of their own Reason; and setting up private judgment, in opposition to all authority, human or Divine. Hence, the Christian world became again exposed to the inroads of Schism and Heresy; those two powerful co-adjutors of Infidelity, from whose influence, we may venture to affirm, that Christianity has experienced as much injury, as from the open attacks of its undisguised assailants."

The characters of the Anabaptists, the fanaticism of the Puritans, and the folly and absurdity of other enthusiasts, combined with the corruptions of Popery, at this period, to debase the pure religion of Christ, and increase the enemies of the Reformation.

The *ninth* Sermon is devoted to the Origin and Progress of Deism; animadversions on Herbert, Hobbes, and Spinoza; and on a new sect of Sceptics in the seventeenth century. In the *tenth*, the farther progress of Infidelity is portrayed from the beginning to the latter part of the eighteenth century; including remarks on Hume; the French philosophers; Gibbon, and others. The *eleventh* contains an account of the Infidelity of the present age; the French Revolution, Paine, Godwin, Geddes; Heretics, Schismatics, Jews, and Turks. And in the *twelfth* the Author recapitulates the foregoing view; exhibits proofs that Infidelity originates in the influence of the Evil Spirit; vindicates this doctrine from the imputation of Manicheism; shows the fulfilment of Prophecy in the whole of the History; answers objections, and concludes with an Inquiry into the future Events relating to the Church. But we must reserve our account of these last Sermons, which contain much interesting matter, and of the contents of the Second Volume, for a future Number.

(To be continued.)

Dr. Pinckard's Notes on the West Indies.

(Concluded.)

WE have already remarked, in our early observations on Dr. Pinckard's "Notes," the accommodating mode, which, throughout the

work, he appears to have adopted, of stopping aside to indulge himself in reflection, however remote it may be from the subject. This "travelling out of the record" may very well correspond with the notes of his "common place book" made at the time of observation; but when these "Notes" were about to receive an embodied form, a greater attention should have been paid to arrangement, the want of which, most certainly, constitutes a prominent defect in the performance. By this plan, or rather this want of plan, the mind of the reader is fatigued by constant repetitions, by a breaking in upon the current of thought, without any apparent motive for the intrusion.

We still have in view the tribute of praise which we paid to the author for his candour towards the humane conduct of Mr. Waith, and Mr. Doughan. Impartiality to Dr. P. obliges us also to mention, that there are several recorded instances in his work of the most refined cruelty exercised toward the slaves.

An affecting scene, which the author witnessed at a public sale of Negroes, at Berbice, is narrated in Vol. 2. p. 328: we cannot withstand the temptation of extracting the passage.

"In the course of the sale, a tall and robust negro, on being brought into the auction-room, approached the table with a fine negress hanging upon his arm. The man was ordered to mount the chair. He obeyed, though manifestly with reluctance. His bosom heaved, and grief was in his eye. The woman remained in the crowd. A certain price was mentioned to set the purchase forward, and the bidding commenced: but on the slave being desired to exhibit the activity of his limbs, and to display his person, he sunk his chin upon his breast, and hung down his head in positive refusal—then, looking at the woman, made signs expressive of great distress. Next he pointed to her, and then to the chair, evidently intimating, that he desired to have her placed by his side. She was his chosen wife, and nature was correctly intelligible. Not obtaining immediate acquiescence, he became agitated and impatient. The sale was interrupted, and as he could not be prevailed upon to move a single muscle by way of exhibiting his person, the proceedings were at a stand. He looked again at the woman; again pointed to the chair, held up two fingers to the auctioneer, and implored the multitude in anxious and suppliant gestures. Upon his countenance was marked the combined expression of sorrow, affection, and alarm. He grew more and more restless, and repeated signs which seemed to say—'Let us be sold together. Give me my heart's choice as the partner of my days, then dispose of me as you please, and I will be content to wear out my life in the heavy toils of bondage.' It was nature that spake, and her language could not be mistaken! humanity could no longer resist the appeal, and it was universally agreed that they should make but one lot. A second chair was now brought, and the woman was placed at the side of her husband. His countenance instantly brightened. He hung upon the neck of his wife, and embraced her with rapture, then folding her in his arms, and pressing her to his bosom, he became composed; and looked round with a smile of complacency, which plainly said, 'Proceed!—I am yours, yours, or yours! Let this be the associate of my toils, and I am satisfied.' The bidding

bidding was renewed! They exhibited marks of health and strength, and, quickly, the two were sold together for 1650 guilders."

For the honour of the national character, we must not omit to mention, that the men and women who attended this sale, were neither the men and women of Britain, nor of a British West India Colony. They were the produce of another country, the inhabitants of which, when settled in tropical regions, but too often exhibit a bluntness of feeling, an insensibility to the softer emotions of refined humanity, that is truly lamentable, and astonishing. Mr. Barrow, in his Travels in Southern Africa, has accurately delineated the character of this people, as exhibited at the Cape of Good Hope; "By indolent habits, excess of food, and fondness for indulging in sleep, they become no less gross in their persons, than vulgar in their manners. A young lady described the Cape and its inhabitants," observes the same intelligent writer, "in a very few words: *De menschen zyn moie dik en vet de huizen moei wit en groen.* 'The people are all nice and plump; the houses are all prettily white-washed and painted green.'" He further expresses his belief, that "there is no country in the world that affords so large a proportion of unwieldy and bulky people; and I am certain there is none where the animal appetites are indulged with less restraint, the most predominant of which are eating and drinking, or where the powers of body and mind are capable of less exertion." Dr. Pinckard, it is true, has not told us, that the houses of the Dutch colonists of Demerara are either white-washed or painted green; but we may reasonably infer that the inhabitants, particularly the females, are all nice and plump.

At an entertainment given by the British officers to the *polished* part of the inhabitants of Demerara, we have the following relation of Dutch female breeding and delicacy:

"The lady at my right elbow was very large, and of true Dutch figure. Her person may be well described in two words, broad and bulky! By some accident she had sprained her wrist, and this formed a ready apology for appealing to my particular attention, which, from not being in the habit of, eating supper, I could the better devote to her service: but I must fear to note to you the fact I have to relate, lest you should imagine that I assume a traveller's privilege, and indulge in the marvellous at the expense of a fair associate guest. Let me therefore premise, that in what follows, the boundaries of sober truth are not out-stepped one single iota; for I not only helped the lady to her meats, and poured out her wines, but was further called upon to cut her food into small pieces, ready for the fork, by which I had the opportunity of observing really every mouthful.

"Scarcely had we taken our seats, before my fair neighbour requested to help her to a glass of claret, of which I found a full bottle standing before us. The ceremony of a gentleman drinking at the same time not deemed essential; I therefore tasted but very lightly: yet it happened, and without the bottle being once removed, that,

before the supper was at an end, the gentle lady was compelled to have recourse to a sound glass or two of Madeira, to supply the deficiencies of our empty bottle! with this, her eating was in no degree at variance, for she commenced by forming a solid stratum of two heavy slices of fat ham, after which I helped her from no less than *fourteen* other dishes, of each of which, to my surprise, she partook with seeming appetite! Such a supper I had not before seen swallowed by man, woman, or any thing in human shape! and though satiated, not satisfied, she afterwards desired me to reach towards her several of the dishes of fruit, from each of which, after liberally tasting, she *privately* gave a portion to a female slave, who was standing at her back; and when she rose to leave the supper room, I observed *under her chair* a loaded plate of fruits and sweets, which, without doubt, the negress had received instructions to convey home to regale her mistress on the morrow. This, by the by, is a custom, which I have more than once seen practised by foreign ladies, both Dutch and French.

"But what will surprize you most is, to know that after this *light supper*, my lady of Turkey stomach *briskly* resumed the merry dance! and, when I retired at five o'clock in the morning, she remained tripping it away as light as—Batavian clay!"

To what a state of degradation must a people be reduced, where such behaviour is common, and is not considered as any violation of those laws of decorum which hold together the polished societies of Europe. From such degraded beings as these, wallowing in sensuality, and absorbed in the grossest ignorance, it ceases to surprize us that their conduct is harsh and cruel towards the negroes. Unrestrained indulgence in animal appetite has always been an enemy to the virtues, it dries up the holy springs of benevolence, it blasts all the charities. From the preceding specimen of Dutch manners, no wonder that Dr. P. complains of the deficiency of literature in the Dutch settlements of Guiana. The inhabitants render themselves totally unworthy of those attainments which constitute the best food of human life.

We have now to notice the author's observations on the seasoning of yellow fever. This dreadful depopulator of these fine regions, it appears, is most destructive to Europeans in the month of August; and those who are exposed to the sun, and whose employment subjects them to fatigue, fall early victims to this rapid march of death. Dr. Pinckard himself, notwithstanding the utmost precaution, and the commendable temperance, was assailed by this frightful malady: the sensations which he experienced, under the highest paroxysm of the disorder, cannot be better conveyed than in his own words.

"All the violence of disease now rushed in upon me, hurrying on towards rapid destruction. The light was intolerable, and the pulsations of the head and eyes were most exasperating—conveying a sensation as if three or four hooks were fastened into the globe of each eye, and so person, standing behind me, was dragging them forcibly from their sockets back into the head, the cerebrum being, at the same time, detached from its membranes, and leaping about violently within the cranium.

wear

wearying pain occupied my back and limbs, and in particular the calves of my legs, feeling as if dogs were gnawing down to the bones, while a tormenting restlessness possessed my whole frame, and totally prevented the slightest approach to ease or quiet. The skin was burning, and conveyed a pungent sensation when touched: the pulse was quickened, but not very full: the tongue was white and parched, with excessive thirst, and constant dryness of the mouth, lips, and teeth. I knew not from which I suffered most, the excruciating pain, the insatiable thirst, or the unappeasable restlessness; for all were equally insupportable, and either of them might have sufficed to exhaust the strongest frame. Combining their tortures, they created a degree of irritation amounting almost to phrenzy; and which, but for the means used to alleviate it, must have destroyed me in a few hours. No place nor position afforded a moment's rest. I rolled about the bed—turned every instant from side to side—placed my head high—laid it low—threw my limbs from under the sheet, hung them over the side of the bed—tumbled off the clothes, and moved about incessantly to find a resting place; but all in vain—no ease was to be found, not even a momentary respite was granted from this excessive torment."

The gentlemen of the medical profession will no doubt be anxious to learn the remedies prescribed for his recovery. Dr. P: who held no very high opinion of the local practitioners, was his own physician. Bark, opium, and the cold bath, effected his recovery. And here we cannot but remark, the uncommon defect of information on this most interesting subject, the Yellow Fever, which pervades that part of the work, in which it was to be expected to be treated with professional skill. Loose observations, it is true; lie here and there, and apparently made by an inquisitive and ardent mind. What we object to, and we are anxious to be understood, is, that these observations are of too general a nature, and too much scattered throughout the various letters of the work, to afford much assistance to that respectable faculty of which Dr. P. has the honour of being a member. The conviction of this truth appears to have flashed across his mind towards the close of the performance. The last letter but one of the work contains a summary of the preceding remarks on the causes and symptoms of the Yellow Fever. But we acknowledge that this summary does not satisfy us.

We have little to add concerning Notes on the West Indies, which has not been anticipated from our preceding observations. That the work is extremely amusing, we are willing to admit: but that it is likely to fill a chasm in useful knowledge, we very much doubt.

A Collection of Songs, Moral, Sentimental, Instructive, and Amusing; selected and revised by the Rev. James Plumptre, M.A. Fellow of Clare-hall. 12mo. 2 Vols. PP. 940. 9s. 6d. Rivingtons. 1806.

IN the Twenty-second Volume of our Review, (P. 167, *et seq.*) we noticed

noticed the first collection of this description of Songs, and bestowed on it that degree of commendation which both the design and the execution of it seemed to us fairly to deserve. The present collection is considerably enlarged, and published in a much more convenient form. The worthy Editor tells us, in his preface :

“ The present publication is intended principally for the higher class of readers, to occupy a place in the parlour window, or in the elegant bookcase of the breakfast-room or drawing-room. It forms a collection of short poems on moral and religious subjects, applicable to the general purposes of life ; and however inferior some of them may be in point of composition, the far greater part are written by authors of established reputation in the literary world. The volumes may be useful to others, besides singers, either to take up and employ a leisure moment, when waiting for a party to collect together, for reading aloud for the amusement of a part of a winter's evening, as a companion in a summer-walk, or as a collection for young persons to commit to memory : and there are very many of them not as yet set to music, to employ the talents of the musical composers.”

This is a just description of the collection, which is calculated to afford amusement without ribaldry, and instruction without tediousness. In our review of the first collection, we started some objections to the song of *Gleaning*, “ in the persuasion that the respectable Editor of this useful volume will take it (the subject) into his serious consideration.” The Editor has fulfilled our expectations, by adverting to the subject in the preface to the present volumes ; and, it is but justice to our readers, to lay the arguments on either side before them.

“ A critic of sound principles and candid judgment (see the Anti-Jacobin Magazine and Review for Oct. 1805. Vol. xxii. p. 175.) having objected to the song on *Gleaning* (see Vol. 1. p. 94.) I think it right to state his reasons, and to offer a few considerations in answer. “ Perhaps some objection might be made to Mr. P's song entitled *Gleaning*, as it tends to impress the poor with a mistaken notion, that God has given them the right to glean ; whereas the fact is, that they have no right whatever to enter upon the land of another, much less to take any thing off it ; and that the permission to glean may either be granted or refused by the holder of the land ; every ear of the corn in the field being his property, and his alone. This question, about which we should have thought there could exist no difference of opinion, has been decided in a Court of Law upon an action of trespass. It is certainly of consequence that such a mistake should be corrected ; in order that the poor may know, that when they are *allowed* to glean, they are *enjoying a favour*, and not *exercising a right*. It is needless to point out the bad effects of a mistake of this nature : they are too obvious to escape the most superficial observer.”

“ That the poor have no *right* to glean in the fields of another, without his *permission*, both by the common law of the land, and by the law of God, I am ready to allow. But, after reading the passages in the law of Moses relative to *gleaning*, it seems to me evident that God intended this

this as one means of providing for the poor: "When ye reap the harvest of your land, thou shalt not wholly reap the corners of thy field, neither shalt thou gather the gleanings of thy harvest. And thou shalt not glean thy vineyard, neither shalt thou gather every grape of thy vineyard; thou shalt leave them for the poor and the stranger: I am the Lord your God." (Levit. xix. 9, 10. See also ch. xxiii. 22.) "When thou cuttest down thine harvest in thy field, and has forgot a sheaf in the field, thou shalt not go again to fetch it: it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless; and for the widow: that the Lord thy God may bless thee in all the work of thine hands." (Deut. xxiv. 19. See also ver. 20—22.) These passages are so strong, and the reasons for continuing the bounty being the same, as God has declared, that "the poor shall never cease out of the land," (Deut. xv. 11.) I cannot but think, that the farmer, who should forbid the *honest* and *civil* poor to glean in his fields, would be guilty of an act highly offensive to God. At the same time, as the law of the land does not confirm this command of God, the poor are not to claim it, but to consider it as a *favour*. Even Ruth, under the Mosaic law, did not consider that she had an undeniable *right*, but asked *permission*, "I pray you let me glean, and gather after the reapers among the sheaves;" (Ruth ii. 7.) and this I conceive to be implied in the song, in the *epithet generous* being applied to the farmer; an idea which I have more than once inculcated in my discourses from the pulpit at the season of Harvest: "This, which was commanded by the law of Moses, the *voluntary benevolence* of your masters continues to you:" and it is best, perhaps, that it should be so. Had the poor a right to *claim* it, it would be too often, as with the poor's rate, demanded on the one side with insolence, and given on the other "grudgingly and of necessity," (2 Cor. ix. 7.) The voluntary continuance of the bounty, with the view of pleasing God, and of securing his blessing upon the remainder, should create mutual benevolence, blessing "him that gives and him that takes;" or, rather, should excite in the breast of the farmer that most delightful sensation, experienced in the conviction of the truth of "the words of our Lord Jesus: it is more blessed to give than to receive."

"The insertion of the following verse, after ver. vi. will, perhaps, explain the matter in question more satisfactorily to all parties: it was not written till it was too late to give it in its proper place:

VII.

"For 'tis not by the law o' th' Land,
As rightly do we ween,
But God, who makes their hearts expand,
When farmers let us glean:
And a gleanng when we go, &c."

The reverend Editor having here conceded to us all that we contended for, we feel little disposed to pursue the subject; we cannot, however, refrain from observing, that whatever God intended "as one means of providing for the poor," in the state of society which prevailed in the early ages of the world; we have no reason to believe, that, in very different times, and in a very different state of society, he intended that the same means of provision should continue. *Then there*

there were no *rates* for the maintenance of the poor as there are *now*; and, under the present system, it would be highly unjust (*humanely speaking*) to adopt, as one means of providing for the poor, that which would be *exclusively* burdensome to *one* class of the community; because, upon every principle of justice, the burden ought to fall equally upon all, according to their respective abilities. We wish Mr. P. had also directed his attention to the question of *advantage*; since we are convinced, that he would have found that the time of the poor might be employed, with more profit to themselves, and certainly with greater benefit to the community, than in *Gleaning*. And this is assuredly a point well-worthy of consideration.

We shall select two or three of the songs, "in this collection, not because they are *original*, but because they exhibit good specimens of this species of composition, and may serve to convince the writers of *nonsense-verses* in our modern operas, that *Poetry* or *Versé* is not necessarily connected with *stupidity* or *ribaldry*.

THE HUMBLE ROOF.

From the Opera of "THE LORD OF THE MANOR."

BY GENERAL BURGOYNE.

I.

"When first this humble-roof I knew,
With various cares I strove;
My grain was scarce, my sheep were few,
My all of life was love:
By mutual toil our board was dress'd,
The spring our drink bestow'd,
But when her lip the brim had press'd,
The cup with nectar flow'd.

II.

Content and Peace the dwelling shar'd,
No other guest came nigh,
In them was giv'n, tho' gold was spar'd,
What gold could never buy:
No value has a splendid lot,
Unless the means to prove,
That from the castle to the cot,
The *all of life is love*."

THE DOVES.

BY COWPER.

I.

"Reas'ning at ev'ry step he treads,
Man yet mistakes his way,
While meaner things whom instinct leads,
Are rarely known to stray.

One silent eve I wander'd late,
And heard the voice of love;
The turtle thus address'd her mate,
And sooth'd the listening dove:—

II.

“ Our mutual bond of faith and truth,
No time shall disengage;
Those blessings of our early youth
Shall cheer our latest age.
While innocence without disguise,
And constancy sincere,
Shall fill the circles of those eyes,
And mine can read them there.

III.

“ Those ills that wait on all below
Shall ne'er be felt by me,
Or, gently felt, and only so,
As being shar'd by thee.
When lightnings flash among the trees,
Or kites are hov'ring near,
I fear lest thee alone they seize,
And know no other fear.

IV.

“ 'Tis then I feel myself a wife,
And press thy wedded side,
Resolv'd an union form'd for life
Death never shall divide.
But, oh! if fickle and unchaste,
(Forgive a transient thought,)
Thou could become unkind at last,
And scorn thy present lot;

V.

“ No need of lightnings from on high,
Or kites with cruel beak;
Denied the endearments of thine eye,
This widow'd heart would break.”
Thus sang the sweet sequester'd bird,
Soft as the passing wind,
And I recorded what I heard—
A lesson for mankind.”

PASSION'S SIVAY.

From the Opera of “NEW SPAIN.”

BY MR. SCAWEN.

I.

“ To shun the gay and gawdy bower,
To seek the hut obscure and low,
To laugh at fame, to fly from power,
If mighty love but will it so,

Is but poorly to obey
 Passion's sweet but rigid sway.

II.

To deem the flint a bed of down,
 The wild woods' fruits delicious food,
 To mock mankind's united frown,
 If such to mighty love seem good,
 Is but poorly to obey
 Passion's sweet but rigid sway."

This last song was written, not by Mr. Scawen, but by the Rev. Dr. Schoen, one of the chastest and best poets of the present age.

Memoirs of Richard Cumberland, with a Supplement and Index.
 2 vol. 8vo. 11. 1s. 6d. Boards. *The Supplement and Index*
printed separately in Quarto to complete the former Edition. 5s.
 sewed.

IN our Review for March last, we recommended this interesting piece of Biography to our readers in the strongest terms, and we are happy to see, by the early appearance of this new edition, that the judgment of the public has so promptly sanctioned our praises. The author avails himself of the opportunity offered him by this republication, and has filled up a chasm in the latter part of his narrative: a part which appeared to us, and we dare say to most readers, to be obviously defective: we shall give the author's own reasons for this addition, and the more so, as the passage is otherwise very creditable to him for his attention to his first purchasers.

"February 19, 1806. I am this day seventy-four years old, and having given to the world an account of what I have been employed upon since I have belonged to it, I thought I had said quite enough of an humble individual, and that I might have been acquitted of my task, and dismissed to my obscurity; but certain friends, upon whose judgment and sincerity I have all possible reliance, tell me that I have disappointed their expectations in the narrative of what I have been concerned in since I came from Spain; a period, which, being more within their own time, might, as they conceive, have been made more interesting to them, and to the rest of my readers.

"It may be so; nay, I have reason to believe it is so, for I am conscious, that I was impatient to conclude my work, and was intimidated by the apprehension of offending against that modesty of discourse which becomes me to hold, when I have no better subject to talk upon than myself.

"In deference to their judgment, I shall now attempt to fill up that chasm, which they have pointed out in my imperfect work; but the volume which is in the hands of the first purchasers, and which I have disposed of to them with all its errors, I consider myself in honour bound to

to abide by; as I hold it not correctly fair to recommend a second edition by any means that may contribute to degrade the first; I therefore leave untouched all which the liberal patrons of my book are already possessed of, and now tender to them a few additional pages, which they may, or may not, attach to their volume, as they shall see fit.

“ If therefore I have written indolently of this latter period of my life, it was not because I had been more indolent in it, for I might have said, without offence to modesty, that I have been much more active as a literary man since I have ceased to be busied as an official one; but it was because I had fallen into heavy roads, and like the traveller, who, wearied by the tediousness of the way, puts four horses to his chaise for the concluding stage; so did I hasten to terminate my task, shutting my eyes against every object that would have operated to prolong it.”

As in the former work, so in this supplement, Mr. Cumberland continues to relieve the narrative by the introduction of many contemporary characters, which he sketches with the most interesting fidelity, and in a style of the highest point and pleasantry: in this Mr. Cumberland's talent is certainly inimitable. In perusing these pages, we lament to learn that any cause for irritation, however slight, should have arisen between characters so universally respected for their amiable habits as our author and Mr. Hayley: but we find that the zeal of the former, in vindication of his illustrious grandfather, Dr. Bentley, having led him to comment rather pointedly, though, in our opinion, by no means illnaturedly, upon some reflections of Mr. Hayley's in Cowper's *Life*, a rejoinder has issued forth in a supplement to that work, which Mr. Cumberland thus brings before the public. We cannot refrain from expressing a wish that some mutual friend of these veteran bards will undertake the task of restoring them to their former good opinion of each other: a task by no means difficult, we imagine, when the good sense of the parties is considered.

P. 4. “ I have, unfortunately for myself, given offence to Mr. Hayley, and put him to the trouble of *stopping the press*, whilst advancing peaceably towards the completion of its labour, merely to make room for me in his *Supplementary pages to the Life of Cowper*, and with no other cause in view that I can comprehend, but to shew the world that he can be angry without cause. The passages he alludes to in my memoirs, are in the hands, if not in the recollection, of my readers. As they gave umbrage to him, I wish, I could extinguish them; but that is not in my power, and he has made them necessary for my exculpation; to them of course I must appeal; to his pages there is no need that I should make any reference, for all the world will read what Mr. Hayley writes. Still I must think, that in the judgment of all men who have read us both, I shall stand acquitted of any purpose to affront Mr. Hayley; for surely, I may hope there cannot be a chance that any man besides himself can so misconstrue and pervert the compliment I meant to pay him.

“ He doubts if I deserve the praise he gave me; I doubt so too, and my doubts were prior to his; I believe he also doubts if I am justified in publishing his verses. I confess I am at his mercy upon that account; yet

yet he gives me reason to hope he cannot be very angry with me, when I can quote his own authority in extenuation of my fault, for he says—that *the praise of Cowper is so singularly valuable from the reserve and purity of his disposition, that it would almost seem a cruel injury to suppress a particle of it, when deliberately or even cursorily bestowed.* (page 4 add. pages *Cowper's Life*.) Now, why it should be ‘almost a cruel injury’ to suppress Mr. Cowper’s praise, and any thing like an offence to publish Mr. Hayley’s, I do not comprehend: I have ever paid my testimony both publicly and in private to Mr. Hayley’s genius, and how then can I be supposed insensible to his praise? Though I should profess myself even as vain of his applause as I could have been of Mr. Cowper’s, there is one man at least in the world, who methinks might in his heart be moved to pardon and excuse my error. I must confess, however, that if Mr. Hayley had treated me no better than his hero has treated his *three kittens* in the *Colubriad*, I should not have esteemed myself justified in exposing his *lusus poeticus* to the ridicule of the reader.

“I had not the happiness to know the hero of Mr. Hayley, and I am not quite sure that I have a clear conception of his character from his biographer’s description of it; for when I am told in one page of the *reserve and purity of his disposition*, and in another close ensuing, of his *unsuspecting innocence and sportive gaiety*, I am rather puzzled how to reconcile these seeming contrarieties: especially when I am again informed of a *peculiarity in his character, a gay and tender gallantry, perfectly distinct from amorous attachment*. A reserve of this nature was indeed a peculiarity in the character of this gentleman; and whilst the ladies had nothing to apprehend from his *gay and tender gallantry*, his male acquaintance, who enjoyed the *unsuspecting innocence and sportive gaiety* of his disposition, very possibly overlooked the *reserve* of it, and found him a very pleasant companion with the property most characteristic of a very dull one.

“Now, I want all that respect for the *gay reserve* of the departed poet, which should cause me to appreciate his praise above that of the living one; and with all the reverence that I can summon, for a *gallantry so perfectly distinct from amorous attachment*, I cannot bring myself to honour Cowper, as a poet, one whit the more for his non-amorous gallantry, or Mr. Hayley, in the same light, one atom the less, though any one should officiously suggest that his *gallantry* may be of a different complexion. I have nothing more to offer in my own defence.

“On the part of Doctor Bentley, I shall hope that Mr. Hayley describes his character with no better precision than he does the reserve of Mr. Cowper, when he stigmatizes him as *an arrogant critic, subject to fits of dogmatical petulance, an imperious Patagonian Polemic*.—These would be hard words in some men’s mouths; but I would fain convince the author of *The Triumphs of Temper*, that I have not been less edified than delighted by his poem; and as the natural suavity of his disposition has induced him to promise that my Grandfather *shall rest in peace for the present*, I can assure Mr. Hayley, that I should credit him for his mercy, if I could feel any horror of his vengeance; but when I know he cannot disturb that rest, over which he presumes to arrogate a dispensing power, I must put the best interpretation on his language that it will bear,

bear, and calmly tell him—if it was not nonsense, it would be something worse.

“ But when Mr. Hayley, after venting these invectives against Doctor Bentley, is pleased to announce to the world, that he meditates *to pay his respects to him again, if Heaven allows him life and leisure to write such a preface as he wishes to prefix to the Milton of Cowper*.—It seems to me, that in this ingenious gentleman had not stopt the press at all, or only stopt his pen before he wrote this vaunting and inveterate paragraph, it would have been a rescue to his reputation. Let the public now decide betwixt the station, which Mr. Hayley finds in literature, and that which my ancestor once held, and say if I have cause to tremble at the flourish of this proud challenger's trumpet: no: I am well aware, that although a gnat can sound a loud horn, it is but a little insect; and I am confident that *arrogance and petulance*, when charged upon my ancestor by one so open to the rebound, will neither penetrate nor fix, but return back to the place from whence they came.

“ In the mean time, I hope that Mr. Hayley, who piously refers his purpose to the will of Heaven, may have *life and leisure* allowed to him for all worthy undertakings, and wisdom to abstain from all ridiculous ones; and as for this meditated preface, which he brandishes over the ashes of dead Bentley, I hope he will wish to write nothing but what will do himself credit, and then I hope it will be just such an one as he *wishes to prefix*; but if it shall be his pleasure to attack him with a repetition of hard names and foul language, and calls that *paying his respects*, I trust there will be found some friend to truth and good manners, some temperate defender of the real character of that good and benevolent man, who will bring his rash assailant to a better sense, by convincing him how very little oil will serve to suffocate a wasp.

“ Mr. Hayley calls Dr. Bentley *the god of my infantine idolatry*. I have simply related what I knew of him as a boy. I hope there was nothing fulsome or extravagant in my puerile anecdotes, and trust I have neither made him a god, nor myself an idolater. I do not charge that upon the biographer of Mr. Cowper, though in me, as an infant, such weakness would be more excuseable than in him as a man. Still I own myself impressed with a warm, and heartfelt respect for the memory of my grandfather; but it is a respect ‘on this side of idolatry;’ and when I said of Mr. Hayley, that he was one of the last men living, who should disparage Bentley, it was because I regarded him as one of the best classical scholars of his day.

“ In conclusion, I declare, that I never meant to give offence to Mr. Hayley; and, as I think, he had no shadow of a right to take offence, I cannot consider myself bound to apologize.”

A well drawn character of Mr. Pitt as an orator follows this Philip-
pic. Mr. C. contrasts him with Cicero; but on his merits, as a states-
man, he is totally silent. We have also a very interesting sketch of
Lord North, “in the darkness of his latter days,” in which the author's
goodness of heart is as conspicuous as are his candour and critical judg-
ment, evident from this short passage, page 44.

“ I could instance a very ingenious contemporary, who is both a poet
and

and a scholar of no common rank, a man withal of modest conversation, and, amongst my acquaintance, one of the very last to whom I should impute a natural depravity of mind; yet it must be confessed, the muse of Mr. Moore is by no means pure, and he is a writer of love-songs much too highly coloured. I am not amongst his intimates, yet I have seen enough of him to be persuaded it is not his character to do purposed mischief; but having, together with the gift of poetry, the grace of song, and his style of composition in music being professedly that of the tender and compassionate, he falls into the habit of suiting his words to his strains, and addresses soft love ditties to imaginary mistresses. That he can write gravely, solidly, and sublimely, no critic, who has read his volume, will deny. There are passages, particularly in his Epistles, that are conceived in the true and genuine spirit of poetry. Had he been less tenacious of quantity, and thrown aside some loose disreputable trash, that takes from value what it adds to bulk, no critic could have wounded any feelings, that a gentleman should own. He gives a reason why he did not do this, which would have disarmed most men of their severity; but if he has really mixed too much of levity with his better matters, (which I am afraid is the fact,) let him remember, that he owes an atonement to candour; and as he has youth for his apology, and genius for his resource, let him urge his muse upon some nobler undertaking, and when he has subjected his composition to the review of his correct and judicious friend, Mr. Rogers, he may surrender himself without fear to the criticism of the world at large."

There is some sprightliness in the retort upon our brother Reviewers in the north, which induces us to transcribe the passage from p. 48.

"There is a northern junto of periodical critics, who have rendered themselves extremely formidable to us poor authors, and to whom such of us as have viands at command, offer them up, as Indians do their oblations to the devil; whilst they, who know that we do not incense them out of love but fear, receive our knee-worship with indifference, and despise us for our meanness. I have not the honour of being personally acquainted with any one of these gentlemen, but I perceive that my sheets amongst others have been taken into their laundry, and have gone through the usual process of *mangling*. I am truly and sincerely obliged to them for the great consideration they have had for the feeble fabric of my manufacture; on which they have bestowed so very gentle a squeezing, as not to break a thread, that was not rotten before they handled it, nor make one hole but what a housewife's hand may darn. In short, though it is so much my wish to be well with them, I cannot compliment them on their sagacity, forasmuch as they have not hit upon a single fault in my imperfect work, that was not much too obvious for any common marksman to have missed.

"They say with a great deal of natural good manners, that they should have been better reconciled to me, if I had talked more of other people and less of myself. This marks a delicate attention to certain feelings, which I am proud to find they give me credit for, and I wish as much as they can do, that I could have discovered the happy means of being

being my own biographer without egotism ; but having been induced by reasons, which I have not scrupled to confess, to render an account of my life and writings, whilst I am yet living, and personally responsible for the truth of what I have written, I endeavoured to the best of my power to lighten a dull topic by digressions, wherever I could avail myself of an opportunity ; and if these gentlemen found those digressions the more tolerable part of my performance, so did I also. They seem as if they had written for the very purpose of confirming me in my own opinions."

" The friends who know with what hesitation I yielded to their advice, and undertook this task, can witness that I did not expect to make my own immediate memoirs entertaining to the public ; yet every reviewer, who has condescended to notice them, (those of Edinburgh excepted,) have [has] had the charity to make me think they had read me with complacency. But they were my countrymen ; they could feel for my motives ; they could allow for my difficulties ; they had too much manliness of nature to endeavour at depressing me, and forbore for a time to be critics, for the gratification of exhibiting themselves in the more amiable character of gentlemen.

" I understand that these acrimonious North-Britons are young men ; I rejoice to hear it, not only for the honour of old age, but in the hope that they will live long enough to discover the error of their ambition, the misapplication of their talents, and that the combination they have formed to mortify their contemporaries, is, in fact, a conspiracy to undo themselves."

Mr. Cumberland informs us, that he is engaged upon a novel, which he hopes " may interest the scholar as well as the idler ; something which gravity may read without contempt, and modesty without a blush ;" no one is more capable of executing such a task ; and it has often appeared as matter of surprise to us, that his attempts in this species of writing had not been followed up by something on which he would devote the attention requisite to the polish of a superior work of the kind. It also appears, that he is employed upon an epic poem, in conjunction with his friend Sir James Burges, to be entitled the *EXODIAD*. The subject, which is certainly true, is the history of Moses, from his leading the Israelites out of Egypt, to his death upon Mount Nebo, [Not Horeb, as printed, we suppose by mistake, in the work before us.] An epic poem, at seventy-five, is certainly an extraordinary undertaking ; and which, if executed with spirit, Mr. C. may challenge the history of our literature to produce a parallel to. There are many judicious observations relative to our theatre in these pages ; a subject which Mr. Cumberland is thoroughly competent to discuss, and on which his opinion will, we have no doubt, be explicitly depended on. In page 62, he says,

" The living actors can do justice to the living authors, let them write as well as they can, and as much better than they do write, as it shall please Heaven. If their wit provokes them to attempt a comedy, the danger will not be, that any part shall be too good
for

for the performer, but that the performer shall think himself too good for the part. I am satisfied it is not in my power to name the time within more than half a century past, when the stages of Drury-Lane and Covent-Garden have been better furnished with comedians than at the present hour. Perhaps it is to be lamented, that their influence is such, as to induce an author to make greater sacrifices, and pay more attention to the particular persons, whom he has in view to represent the characters of his play, than to the general interests of the play itself; and though I would not be understood to insinuate, that an actor or actress should not have the privilege of declining certain parts that may be tendered to them, yet I am fully warranted to remark, that they exercise that privilege much too frequently, and upon too frivolous objections. They are become exceptious to a degree, that the stage in former times had no idea of; and this unaccommodating caprice reduces the author either to sacrifice the harmony of his composition, out of flattery to their freaks, or, by submitting to the rebuff, put his play upon its trial, with the discouraging circumstance attached to it, of having begged its way through the repugnant heroes and heroines of the green-room. It may not be unreasonable in some cases to expect compliance; but when the director of the theatre concurs with the author in deciding on the cast, either the performer must do his duty, or the writer should withdraw his play, and give his reason to the public.

“ But it is not in this particular only, that the conduct of our theatres seems to need some further regulation; there might, in my opinion, be a better mode adopted than what they now pursue, in treating writers for the stage, and passing judgment on the manuscripts referred to them. As there can be no premeditated offence in the person who makes suit to be accepted, there should be nothing that can wound his feelings in the manner of rejecting him. He has an equitable right to know the judge, that passes sentence on his work; and there cannot be a good reason why that judge should only be heard to speak through the organs of the prompter, and commit the manuscript to be sent back to its owner, with a note from that servant of the side-scene so uncourtously concise, that it would barely serve to warn an actor to rehearsal.

“ If it were to be wished that he, whose first proposal does not suit, should not be tempted even to propose again, a more effectual method of accomplishing that end, can hardly be devised. The flame of that dramatic passion must be very strong, which the prompter's extinguisher, thus applied, cannot put out: but if an easy intercourse between parties, mutually interested to serve one common cause, ought in all good policy to be furthered, every thing that can give disgust and irritation, should with caution be avoided; for in every pursuit where ambition is praise-worthy, attempts should be encouraged.

“ Conducted as the business now is, the ruler of a theatre may well complain of the burthen of his office: but if a judicious and respectable person was sought out and specially appointed for the purpose of receiving, reading, and reporting upon, dramatic compositions, tendered for acceptance, all cause of complaint on the part of the *genus irritabile* would be removed, and there would be no accumulation of the obnoxious mass of manuscripts, that occasion so much trouble to the holders, and give such matter of complaint to the authors, who are destined to

be tantalized by long expectations, and at length dismissed by short answers. This person, if duly qualified for his office, will readily distinguish such performances as are evidently inadmissible, and in the disposal of these nothing more will be required than expedition, and a courteous manner of declining the offer; whereas now, when manuscripts of this description are suffered to lie upon the shelf, though they have no title to be accepted, their owners have still just reason to complain of delay.

"There will of course be other tenders made with more respectable pretensions, but which, nevertheless, upon the whole, it may be judged expedient to decline; in these cases I should conceive it right to qualify their rejection with such general observations and remarks, as may not only soften disappointment, but convey instruction: candour of this sort would inspire ambition; and if there was a spark of genius in the writer of a piece so treated, it would cherish and improve it.

"When a drama shall be judged worthy of acceptance, it must still, from the nature of all human compositions, be found capable of improvement; how many novelties are improvidently brought forward, whose general merit is so glaringly defaced by obvious errors, or stilled by disgusting and unnatural excrescences, which, in their passage to the stage, might and ought to have been corrected and lopt off! It is then, if the author is not deaf to all advice, and unobservant of effect, that in the course of the rehearsals he may give the finishing touches to his production; and how much depends upon the proper conduct, and enforcement of those rehearsals, I need not observe; they certainly demand attention, and I suspect they need reform; for what between the affected carelessness of some performers; and the real indolence of others, the play is in part kept out of the author's sight, who is told that such and such an actor will be present at representation; or, in other words, that he will get his part, when he can no longer put it by, and speak out to his audience in self-defence, though he has muttered and slurred it over to his author at rehearsal through mere laziness or self-conceit. But neither these nor any other remarks, how apposite soever, can be said to be in place, while the stage is so pre-occupied by spectacle! As a gaudy equipage will attract notice, though it shall carry a dull company within side of it, so will fine scenery and rich dresses hide the nakedness of nonsense, and sweet melodies impart a grace even to the lamest and most wretched metre.

"If nature can hardly be upheld by Mrs. Jordan, or Shakspeare by Mr. Kemble, what author in his senses will attempt a comedy more legitimate than *Forty Thieves*, or a tragedy more serious than *Tom Thumb*?"

"We have passed over such particulars as relate principally to the author and his family, confining our extracts to circumstances of a general tendency: though there are many others of the former description in these pages, which would have tempted us to extend the length of this article, did we not know that few purchasers of the former work will neglect to append this supplement to it: to which a very useful article is added; namely, a copious index.

A complete Dictionary of Practical Gardening, comprehending all the modern Improvements in the Art, whether in the raising of the various Esculent Vegetables, or in the forcing and managing of different Sorts of Fruits and Plants, and that of laying out, ornamenting, and planting, Gardens and Pleasure-Grounds: with correct Engravings of the necessary Apparatus, in Buildings and other Contrivances, as well as of the more rare and curious Plants, cultivated for Ornament or Variety: from Original Drawings by Sydenham Edwards. By Alexander Macdonald, Gardener. 2 vol. 4to. 3l. 10s. or 6l. 16s. 6d. with coloured plates. Kearsley. 1807.

A DICTIONARY of this kind was certainly much wanting, as, since the publication of Miller's, numberless improvements have been made in the art of Gardening; and indeed, whether from the alteration of *Climate* or of *Style*, it would be highly unsafe to follow his directions in respect of the management of a Kitchen Garden. For the acquisition of useful information on this subject, the form of a Dictionary is indisputably the most convenient and the best; as a man may instantly refer to any article which may be the object of his present attention. And, indeed, the same may be said of *Geography*: a good Geographical Dictionary, by the bye, including all the late discoveries, would be a most useful publication.

"While," says the author in his preface, "almost every other department of useful science has been arranged and brought into a more accessible and convenient form, in the shape of a Dictionary, that of *Gardening* has remained nearly without assistance in this respect. The present is, however, an attempt to render so important and beneficial a branch of knowledge more easy and comprehensible, by better and more correct explanations of the various objects which it embraces; by more full and accurate descriptions of the different plants and processes; and by more clear and distinct delineations of the various modes of culture and management by which they may be raised, preserved, and brought to perfection, in the easiest and most expeditious manner. The execution of this undertaking, from the difficult and imperfect state of the art, has been attended with considerable labour and trouble."

We cannot agree with Mr. Macdonald, that the art of Gardening is in so imperfect a state as he represents it to be in; on the contrary, we are disposed to believe that it has advanced pretty nearly to all the perfection of which it is capable; certainly Horticulture has been very greatly improved within the last twenty years; and, indeed, without that improvement, such a book as that now before us could not possibly have been produced. We proceed with the quotation:—

"But the author hopes, from the practical knowledge which he possesses, and the various sources of information of which he has been enabled to avail himself, that it has been performed in a manner that will not be found

found less useful in directing the practical Gardener, than those who are not so conversant with the nature of the subject, as bringing them more fully acquainted with the various methods that have been commonly employed, as well as those which have been suggested by modern experience.

"In addition to these advantages, the work also affords others that are probably not of less utility to the horticulturist; those of having accurate REPRESENTATIONS of many of the most rare and curious plants that are cultivated in shrubberies, or other parts of pleasure-grounds; and in green-houses and stoves, engraved from original drawings of the plants, by the best artists, as well as of all the different improved buildings and other contrivances employed in the raising, forcing, and preserving the various sorts of fine fruits and tender vegetables, taken from those made use of in actual practice with the greatest economy and success."

We have looked over the work with the greatest attention, and are enabled to say, that the author has not here overrated its merits. It contains all the information that can be required, respecting the Kitchen and Flower-Gardens; Shrubbery and Pleasure-Grounds; Hot-Houses, Green-Houses, and Melon-Pits; and all the best and most approved writers on the subject have been diligently consulted, and the most useful parts of their productions carefully extracted. In a word, the author has great merit for the industry and judgment which he has displayed in his compilation, as well as for the practical skill which he has manifested in the composition of many of the articles. The engravers have done justice to the plates, which are executed with neatness and accuracy; though two or three mistakes have been made in the names of plants on the copper-plates: e. g. *Lenna* for *Senna*; and *Traxinella* for *Fraxinella*. The colouring of the plates, too, a matter of no small importance in subjects of natural history, is in general very good. The only exceptions which we have noticed, are the following. The *Scarlet Azulea* is too highly coloured; the red of the flower is much paler than it is in the plate. The yellow of the *Laburnum*, in pl. 18, is not sufficiently vivid. The red of the *Scarlet Lychnis* is too dusky. And in the *Double Purple Groundsel* we have a dark red instead of a light purple. With these exceptions, the coloured plates are highly creditable to the artist. And, upon the whole, we recommend this work as containing a great body of useful information, on the subject of Horticulture, in all its branches, perspicuously arranged.

Reasons for not making Peace with Buonaparté. To which is added a Postscript. By William Hunter, Esq. Second Edition, corrected. 8vo. PP. 119. 2s. 6d. Stockdale. 1807.

THIS is one of the best written political tracts which has fallen under our cognizance, for a considerable time. The author writes with equal spirit and impartiality; his notions of policy are liberal

and enlarged; his conceptions are eminently just; and his principles strictly sound. He begins by admitting, in their fullest extent, the evils inseparable from a state of war, and shows that a just sense of them invariably accelerated the conclusion of peace, in times antecedent to the French Revolution. But the present times, he truly observes, are widely different, and consequently demand the adoption of different measures, and the pursuit of a very different system of policy. He draws the comparison, or, rather, the contrast between the two periods, so ably, that it would be unjust to give it in any words but his own.

“Such was usually the case whilst Europe remained an organized community. The maintenance of the old federative system so nearly balanced the strength of different states, or sets of states, and the preservation of that system was so firmly supported by habit and opinion, that the means of war were circumscribed, and its evils mitigated. Although the occasion of much intermediate suffering, yet it was not distinguished by any peculiar ferociousness of enmity; and, when it ceased, affairs were speedily restored to their former prosperity. Governments retained their political rights, and the bulk of mankind their civil liberties. Even small states flourished without danger of extinction, and a sense of justice predominated, amidst the excesses of revenge, the triumphs of strength, and the accidents of fortune. When peace returned, equity and moderation returned with it, and as far as remuneration could be made, it was seldom denied. Whilst this liberal system of hostility was adhered to, half its horrors vanished, and the calamities with which it was unavoidably attended, were, in some measure, atoned for, by the heroic virtues which it inspired, and the splendid achievements which it displayed.

“It has most unfortunately been the fate of the present generation, to witness a total change in this prescribed mode of waging war, and to see all the mild and honourable maxims of preceding times deserted, for the substitution of sanguinary cruelty, implacable revenge, and inordinate ambition. One man has, for some mysterious purpose, been selected as a scourge to the rest of his species. His success, aided by the pusillanimity of his adversaries, and by a concatenation of events which baffles all explanation, has enabled him to practise hitherto with impunity, all the meanness, and tyranny, and violence of his nature on prostrate humanity. From the outrageous and intolerant maxims which he adopts, the social world is reduced to that melancholy state, that war becomes preferable to peace—All hope of accommodation is dismissed from the character of an antagonist, and independence can only be preserved by resistance.

“Such is the position in which what still remains of Europe, and particularly this country, is placed relatively to France: and I have no hesitation in saying, that as long as mankind is cursed with the usurpation of Buonaparté, that is, as long as France remains obedient to his iron rule, there can be neither honour nor advantage, nor even safety, in repose. Whilst he is suffered to live in authority, we may as well throw away a useless scabbard, for we can only sheath the sword to our shame or our destruction.”

In this sentiment, and, indeed, in nearly all his sentiments, excepting those on the subject of the slave-trade, we perfectly concur with this intelligent writer; and, in fact, the line of argument which he has adopted throughout the tract before us, is that which we have often taken up ourselves, when we have had occasion to discuss the same topics. Mr. Hunter justly considers the league formed by the commanding genius of Mr. Pitt, a few months before his death, for repressing the insatiate ambition of the Corsican usurper, as eminently calculated to produce the accomplishment of that desirable end; and as failing only through blunders and miscarriages, which it was impossible for Mr. Pitt either to foresee or to controul. This truth we have frequently laboured to impress on the minds of the public; who, we suspect, are more disposed to admit it now, than they have been at any preceding period. Our author's observations on the conduct of that illustrious statesman, whose eminent services are at length acknowledged even by his political enemies, reflect credit as well on his principles as on his judgment.

“ That ignorant people should be determined in their opinions of the prudence and utility of plans by their result; that they should consider that plan wise which is successful, and that one destitute of all wisdom to which fortune denies her suffrage, is not surprising—but that men of enlightened understandings and clear perceptions should declare themselves to be under the influence of the same inconsequential conclusion, savours more of jealousy or want of candour, than of that defect of judgment to which we might otherwise be inclined to impute their declarations. It must nevertheless be admitted, (if we reflect a moment,) that a very bad plan may meet with fortune, and a very good one be defeated in its operation, in the same manner as a very bad action frequently reaps the reward to which a very good one is alone entitled. Yet the plans and the actions remain unaltered. They still retain the same inherent qualities, the same intrinsic merits, the same sound or prejudicial principles. All that depends on man in speculating on the contingency of future events, is to deliberate coolly, to resolve firmly, to ~~cease~~ ^{repress} inactivity, to repress rashness, to weigh times and circumstances, to quadrate ends with means. When he has done this, he has done all that can be expected from him; and, having faithfully discharged his duty, whatever may be the event, he stands completely justified. The body of diplomatic correspondence which was laid before parliament, proved how ardently, and how beneficially, Mr. Pitt had laboured for the glory of his country, and the deliverance of the civilized world. Those papers completely evinced that every thing which depended on the councils of this country was effected; that no precaution was overlooked; that every arrangement or combination which could be supplied was observed; and that nothing which political sagacity could accomplish or devise was omitted. Subsequent mistakes may have been committed, but Mr. Pitt was already on the bed of sickness, exhausted by previous intensity of application, by mental anxiety, and bodily pain: and his colleagues in office were deprived of the assistance and superintendence of his matchless genius. Had he, happily for his country, ~~had~~ his defence would have wanted no other advocate than

than himself. Disdaining those little arts to which other men so generally resort, he never courted popularity by flattering the ruinous prejudices of the people. He only wished to be judged by the purity of his intentions, and the utility of his measures. His whole life was dedicated to the service of his country, and in the service of his country he died. The last words which his lips pronounced, were an ejaculation expressive of the fervour and sincerity of his patriotism. His long and eminent services entitle him to the everlasting gratitude of this nation; and, when party feeling and animosity shall have subsided, he will be admired as much for his political address, as for his financial dexterity, the intrepidity of his mind, the splendor of his eloquence, or the integrity of his views. Posterity will do him justice; and his posthumous fame will shine forth in the estimation of future generations, with unclouded lustre."

This is a tribute of justice to the father of a confederacy, which is justly characterized as "one of the most formidable that ever sprang up in the European commonwealth;" a confederacy which could only have failed through a combination of stupidity, cowardice, and treachery, that could not possibly form the ground of a rational expectation. Mr. Hunter very ably traces the conduct of Buonaparté on that occasion, and clearly demonstrates, that it was equally devoid of political sagacity and of common sense; and that his success was owing not to his own skill, judgment, or foresight; but solely to the absence of all these qualities in his opponents. He adduces incontrovertible facts in support of his argument; and draws from such premises the most legitimate deductions. He then follows the usurper to his imperial cabinet, and marks the workings of his mind in the bosom of peace. All favourable as the treaty of Presburgh was to his views, if those views had admitted of limitation; all flattering as it was to his ambition, if that ambition had not been insatiate; he had no sooner concluded it, than he betrayed the utmost contempt for all its provisions; and began to extend the limits of his already enormous power, by new acts of aggression, as unprincipled as they were unprovoked.

"Under such circumstances, what is to be done? Let me simply ask, Is any confidence to be placed in the faith of such a man, or can there be any hope of security, or salvation but in war?"

"People immediately exclaim: Is then this war to be eternal? By no means.—But as long as Buonaparté lives, that is, as long as his political life endures, unless his ambition be corrected and confined by a material diminution of his power and success, and by the consequent dissolution of all his dependent governments, and the deposition of all his mushroom kings, I cannot conceive any possible result from peace, but injury and insult, leading inevitably, in the course of a few months, to aggravated hostility.

"If there were any chance of the durability of peace, even if it could not be obtained without considerable sacrifices, I should be among its warmest advocates; because I should then contemplate, at no distant date, the subversion of Buonaparté's sway. Having founded a military government on the wrecks of civil liberty and domestic happiness, he can only support

support it by an innumerable armed force, which armed force can only be kept in subordination by the occupations which stimulate its activity, and the privileges and plunder which reward its toils. These pursuits and inducements withdrawn, the weapon which has been raised for his defence would recoil on its author, and be turned to his destruction. However, therefore, he may be desirous of a short cessation of hostilities, the better to arrange his future projects, he has proceeded too far in the career of atrocious ambition to stop, and war would to a certainty return, the moment it suited his purpose.

“Has not this been the uniform consequence of every peace which has been concluded? His restless and arrogant disposition grasps at universal controul. When states have been sufficiently weak, he has compelled them, without ceremony, to join with him in league, that they might administer to his wants by their own impoverishment, and flatter his vanity by their own degradation. When they have been too strong for this kind of irksome discipline, in the hope of reducing them to the proper standard, he has, by unremitting insult and aggression, again forced them to take up arms. We have, ourselves, hazarded an experimental peace, highly advantageous to France, and productive to us of nothing but danger, disgrace, and expense. But if we suffered materially from our last act of pacification; if, with all the reluctance of the government of the country to resume hostilities, they were not then to be avoided; what fair prospect of better success can we figure to ourselves at this moment? Has any radical change in the character of this usurper taken place, so as to warrant us to frame such an opinion, or to cherish such hopes? Has he discovered less ambition, less arrogance, less cruelty, less injustice? Have the execrations of mankind penetrated to his heart, and awakened there any feelings of shame, or honour, or remorse? Has he betrayed any signs of contrition for past enormities? Has he shewn any desire to atone for the innumerable crimes which he has committed, for the torrents of blood which he has shed, for the mighty mischiefs which he has occasioned, for the dreadful calamities with which he has afflicted the living generation? On the contrary, does not every act of his life bespeak a more rooted habit of wickedness, a more sovereign contempt for the just prejudices of mankind, a more determined resolution to coerce obedience, a more extended sphere of barbarous and intolerant ambition? Is then the public law of Europe to be annulled by the mere mandates of this turbulent upstart? Are the dismissal of hereditary kings from their thrones, and the elevation of base-born scoundrels to their stations, to be regarded as occurrences no longer entitled to resistance or notice? Are the dissolutions of old governments to be supplanted by the daily innovations of caprice, and the continent of Europe to be bound in the fetters of military despotism, without remonstrance or murmur? Is every corner of the earth to be ransacked for proscribed individuals to feed the voracity, and, as far as human life can effect it, to glut the base revenge and sanguinary cruelty of this outrageous assassin? the question is—Is all this to be tamely endured, or valiantly resisted?”

If resisted, it may be destroyed; if endured, it will destroy every other state. Happily for this country, the provident adoption of the
new

new plan of finance, will enable us, with scarcely any perceptible increase of our burdens, to resist this horrid system of outrage and desolation for a much greater length of time than it is at all likely to last. So large a portion of mankind are apt to be led away by the splendour of victory, and to sink in the end accomplished the *means* by which it was obtained, and consequently to adopt the most pernicious errors, and the most false estimates, that we are always happy to see the attention of an able writer directed to such aberrations from sound judgment and right reasoning. Mr. Hunter, at least, has formed a very correct estimate of Buonaparté's character.

“Buonaparté has at length so far revealed the execrable atrocity of his character, that every vice and crime, which have hitherto been committed by man, have been perpetrated by him; not by chance, not from necessity, but from gratuitous depravity and wantonness. No mask can any longer cover his hideous deformities; but all that suffering humanity can dread from the snares of perfidy, the contrivances of meanness, the chains of tyranny, the exactions of avarice, or the tortures of cruelty, may be expected as the matured fruits of his domination. Beyond his present destructive limits he cannot well go. With all his ingenuity, he must nearly have exhausted variety, and can scarcely deviate into a new crime.

A man who acquires an ascendancy over others in the same rank of life, whose ambition is equally aspiring, and whose designs are equally adventurous, must, undoubtedly, be distinguished by some qualities in which the others are deficient, and to which his superior success is attributable. But when I hear people prostituting the epithet of *great* in its application to Buonaparté, I confess that I feel a mixture of horror and indignation which no language can communicate. That Buonaparté is an extraordinary man, I admit:—That his fortune has been far beyond every example which the history of mankind has unfolded, I allow: but that there is any one ingredient in his character, which entitles him to the distinguishing appellation of *great*, I totally deny. A truly great man is brave, generous, humane, magnanimous, and just; temperate and mild in prosperity; in adversity firm and undaunted; accomplishing his purpose with the least possible mischief; mindful of benefits; forgetful of injuries;—moderate even in the midst of conquest; and the moment an enemy is subdued, extending to him the protection of a friend. If this portrait of greatness be correct, need I add that Buonaparté, in every prominent feature of his character, is the exact reverse. Blood-thirsty, tyrannical, treacherous, revengeful, capricious, ungovernable in his rage, implacable in his resentment; and these unruly passions not unfrequently disgraced and disfigured by all that is contemptible in thought, and ridiculous in action. This *imperial* man can buffet and fret, and storm and abuse, and, in the workings of his infuriated temper, can hack and gash chairs with penknives, tear dispatches, kick his attendants, and break tables and windows by corporal exertion. These are literal facts, of which any one who visits his apartment, in the Tuilleries, may be convinced. This is what he can do in his cabinet, and, were he there confined, his mischief would not exceed that of many other maniacs. But when such a demon is invested with

with absolute power; when he is provided with the resources of an immense empire; when he has 600,000 men in arms, and at his disposal to execute his licentious decrees; when he can prowl about unhurt in quest of prey; and fix upon any victim, within the range of his domination, which his pampered appetite chuses to select; the fate of those who are exposed to his fury is so truly deplorable, the danger of tolerating such excesses is so eminently perilous, that no rational being can pretend to say that resistance to such a ministration is not among the most sacred duties of human action.

“There is a baseness of spirit in the conduct of this man, that is perfectly unintelligible. To the softer emotions, his breast is inaccessible. He wars with human nature: he deals in promiscuous wickedness. Nothing can disarm his vengeance, or assuage his malice. Neither sex nor age is sheltered from his fury, and to the supplications of innocence, or the tears of beauty, he is equally inexorable. The arrest and barbarous treatment of Mrs. Spencer Smith, a few months back, merely because she was the wife and sister of two English gentlemen who have the honour of having excited his hatred, have shewn the extent of his persecution. Even the common courtesy of a gentleman is totally unknown to him. When Mr. Fox, not long before his death, personally applied to him for the release of three or four friends, among the number of those who had been so long and so unjustly detained in France, he peremptorily refused. If the request had been made by some clerk in office, or any other person of as little consequence, it would have stood a better chance of success.”

If the powers of the continent had entertained such just views of the conduct of Buonaparté, and of its consequences, Europe would not have to deplore her present degraded situation. Yet we are not very sanguine in our expectations of resistance to his tyranny, from the wretched slaves over whom he exercises a despotic sway; though we know that he is an object of general detestation to all classes of people in France. Should he, however, meet with any signal defeat in Poland, and should Austria, released from the operation of her fears, and urged to a proper sense of her situation, arm against the common enemy; 'tis possible that his subjects, at present awed by his power, may rise against their oppressor. But this is an event on which our hopes and expectations are, we confess, at variance. Mr. Hunter draws a true picture of the present state of France, and contrasts it with the situation of the people under the monarchy. He represents it as one vast scene of oppression, extortion, distress, and misery. The increase of taxes, though carried to an enormous extent, is inadequate to defray the monstrous expenses of Buonaparté's government. The people, from inability to dispose of the produce of their industry, are reduced to the necessity of paying the imposts in kind; and such is the derangement of the finances, that nothing but a second national bankruptcy can afford even a temporary relief. It is the usurper's policy, therefore, as well as his interest, to carry on a war which supplies a pretext for maintaining his troops at the expense of other nations. It is probable,

table; then, that his tyranny, and his acts of aggression, will end but with his life. Of his destructive progress, and of the wretched lot of those people who fall within his grasp, the following is an accurate description:

“Such has hitherto been the uninterrupted course of his fortune, that the guidance of those measures of discretion and forbearance, which usually determine the actions of other men, are unacknowledged by him. When he commands, he expects implicit obedience; and any question about his right, or resistance to his authority, is regarded as an act of contumacious folly, necessarily incurring the degree of chastisement which he thinks proper to inflict. Crowns are disposed of by a decree, and people are transferred from the rule of an illustrious dynasty to the despotic sway of any base-born vagabond whom he deigns to appoint. The facility with which he has reduced to practice these extravagant whims, has certainly (as far as the mere act is concerned) in some degree seemed to warrant the experiment; but I feel as confident as that I am now writing, that the day of retribution will arrive, and that all this disgusting history, which we cannot reconcile to reason, and which we can scarcely reconcile to truth, will be corrected and reversed. It is not possible that mankind can for ever continue besotted and imbruted to such a degree, as to allow themselves to be thus trampled on; as to tolerate the measure of their present miseries; as to suffer this ferocious family to occupy the thrones of their lawful kings. The love of liberty, the love of honour, the love of virtue, is implanted by nature in the breast of man. These principles, when strengthened by culture and habit, can never after be eradicated; and although, from mistaken notions, they may, for a time, be directed towards unworthy objects of affection, judgment must at length rectify error, and early attachments must inevitably return. Wherever the members of this detested family have been delegated; wherever their base and malignant passions have been excited; all the glowing illusions which had been previously conjured up, have speedily vanished, and the curses of mankind have uniformly attended them. Fatal experience has totally reversed the imaginary benefits which were expected, and for the loss of a native and hereditary monarch, they find themselves delivered over to the grasp of a needy and profligate adventurer, who, uncertain how long his fortune may endure, makes the most of his precarious property, and shares it among adherents as rapacious as himself. I can conceive nothing in the lot of humanity so truly calamitous as that of the miserable people, whose fate, by their own supineness and credulity, has been resigned to the mercy of so infamous an usurpation.”

We have frequently taken occasion to expatiate upon the immense advantages which Buonaparté has derived from the absolute control which he has established over the press on the continent; and we have, again and again, called upon our government to adopt some means for counteracting these effects, so highly pernicious to us. Mr. Huttner thus enlarges on this subject:

“The unfounded rumours which he so industriously circulated through the medium of a venal press, and which produced, at the moment, so potent a diversion in his favour, can no longer mislead the
GROSS

gross credulity of his disciples. Their practical sufferings have, long since, expunged the fanciful pictures which his seductive colouring had traced, and he may now disseminate his infamous calumnies without adding to the number of his proselytes. It is inconceivable what a destructive influence the periodical papers which were issued, and spread through the Continent by Buonaparté's creatures, produced. The universal thirst for knowledge, unguided by judgment, which prevails, particularly among the Germans, disposed them to believe what they read; and the cause of England, suffered as it was to remain without a literary champion, the grossest and most scandalous falsehoods were currently received as truth. Much has been lost to the allies by the uncontradicted manner in which he was permitted to propagate invented stories to their prejudice, and, in an inverted strain, to panegyrisé the justice and mildness of his own government. The extent of his rule has falsified his assertions, and evinced the baseness of his views, and the inanity of the expectations of his votaries. Those German philosophers, who have been, for so many years; plodding over the solution of theorems on government, and diving into the absurdest speculations on religion and morals; who have been vainly promising to themselves so many advantages from the various revolutions in which they have so materially assisted, must now dismiss their perilous researches, and be guided by the sure, but modest light of past experience. They must now quit those dangerous labyrinths in which they have so long been entangled, and return to the investigation of truth through the paths of reason.

“ But how, it will be asked, are we to hope for any thing like freedom of expression on the Continent, whilst Buonaparté's authority is allowed to extend in every direction, and the magnanimous assertor of truth is torn by hired ruffians from the protection of his country's laws, and consigned without trial to an ignominious death? His headstrong passions seem, however, in the instance to which I allude, to have propelled him to surpass most of his other acts of brutal indiscretion; and the fate of a Nuremberg bookseller has augmented the number of his enemies more, perhaps, than any other individual murder, whether of Toussaint, the duke d'Enghien, general Pichegru, or captain Wright. Shortly after the mock trial, and subsequent murder of this amiable and gallant prince, a caricature was exhibited at a print-shop in Paris, in which was represented the headless trunk of the duke d'Enghien throwing out a stream of blood on Buonaparté and his two royal brothers, who were standing opposite, and fastening their eyes on the remains of their illustrious victim. Underneath was written, *Voilà les princes du sang d'Enghien*. A severity of satirical truth which, it may be believed, neither escaped notice nor punishment. The freedom of the press is one of those privileges so deeply interesting to human nature; it is one of those possessions to which civilized man is so entirely attached, as the most energetic instrument with which he can be entrusted for the preservation of every enjoyment derived from the nature and constitution of the social union, that he who attempts to suppress it, invokes to arms every advocate for liberty, and every friend to virtue. As it was designed by Providence that the soul should govern the body, so, in a free state, is the pen more powerful than the sword. When, therefore, all inquiry is stifled,

stified, and all information prohibited, reason is subdued; the order of nature is reversed; and corporal strength triumphs over mental debility. Hence is derived the perpetuation of tyranny, which can only be insured by crushing the vigour of the mind. Buonaparté feels this, and has acted accordingly. But mankind is too enlightened to be so constrained: genius will break asunder the stoutest chains which despotism can forge, and he who thus attempts to fortify himself, must inevitably perish."

If the freedom of the press had been allowed to subsist in France, the reign of Buonaparté's tyranny would have been short indeed. For such freedom, as for every other vestige of civil liberty, this country is now the only refuge. Let us guard, then, this invaluable privilege, as a precious gift, to which we are chiefly indebted, under Providence, for the possession of our religious and political rights; and let us show a determined resistance to all new-fangled doctrines, which, under the pretence of explaining its nature, have a direct tendency to destroy its substance. *Obsta principiis* was one of the wisest maxims of antiquity; we cannot show our wisdom better than by a strict adherence to it; and every *decision*, or *dictum*, therefore, which trenches on the liberty of the press, should become the subject of immediate discussion, and, if proved to be unsanctioned by law, as some recent dicta assuredly are, should be firmly opposed, ere it become a precedent, and receives the force of a law.

Having taken a comprehensive view of the policy and conduct of the French government, Mr. Hunter comes to this conclusion :

" I am therefore, for all the strong, and, I trust, unanswerable reasons, which I have enumerated, decidedly for the continuance of war: and if the efforts for its deliverance, which the Continent seems again resolved to risk, should again miscarry, I should still declare for war. In war, as long as the odious usurpation of Buonaparté continues, I see nothing but glory and security; in peace, I see nothing but danger and disgrace. If we make peace, even that kind of peace which, in the opinions of many men, would be a favourable one, can we avail ourselves of any of those advantages with which peace ought to be, and usually is, attended? Can we place any reliance on Buonaparté's assurances? Can we repose any confidence in his honour? Can we be morally certain that he will not, even within the space of a month after the signature of an accommodation, commit some egregious act of insult or aggression, that must instantly terminate in open hostility? Could we, under such circumstances, venture to disarm; to reduce our war establishment, or to repeal our war taxes? If, therefore, no one individual benefit can result to us from pacification, why attempt it? If we are to sustain all the inconvenience and expense of hostile preparation, why disable ourselves from annoying an enemy whose inveteracy nothing can mollify, and who, through the feverish duration of a nominal peace, would only be enabled to exert it more detrimentally against us?"

We believe that Mr. Hunter has been misinformed respecting the success

success of Mr. Windham's military plan; and, indeed, the confession of that minister, in the House of Commons, that he did not look for any *present* advantage from its adoption, amounts to an acknowledgment, that it has not yet succeeded. Alluding to a pamphlet, which, on its first appearance, was reviewed by us at considerable length; he says:

“ That gloomy pamphlet, which was published a few months ago, entitled, “ An Enquiry into the State of the Nation, which was written with some eloquence of language, and some ingenuity of thought, but with no force of argument, and little regard to truth, has been, in all its leading speculations, completely refuted. It was at the time confidently asserted, that Mr. Fox was its patron, and approved unreservedly of the sentiments which it detailed. This, however, I never believed; because the despondency which it encouraged was unworthy of his great mind, and the unbecoming abuse which it indirectly levelled against his illustrious rival, must have been totally foreign to the acknowledged candour and liberality of his nature.”

We can assure the author, that we had very good reason for believing that the pamphlet in question was written, if not under the immediate dictation of, at least in direct conjunction with, *Lord Holland*, to whom the proofs were sent for alteration or correction. If, therefore, it was incorrectly stated, that the proofs were also shown to Mr. Fox, (and there was pretty good authority for so stating it,) it is highly probable, that Lord Holland would not have suffered such a pamphlet to be published, without some communication with his uncle on the subject; and it is equally probable, that, had Mr. Fox not approved it, it would not have been published at all. This argument is not to be overthrown by any reference to Mr. Fox's “candour and liberality.”

On the *American Intercourse* Bill the author entertains the same opinion, as nine-tenths, at least, of his Majesty's subjects.

“ There is, however, one departure from sound policy with which the present administration may be most justly reproached, and that is, in the marked favour which, since their accession to power, has been extended to the Americans. The American intercourse bill is a violation of the navigation act of a nature highly detrimental to the naval and commercial interests of Great Britain. The extent also to which the Americans are allowed to trade to our East India settlements, and to transport to Europe, from the remaining colonies of our enemies, their most valuable productions, is equally impolitic and unjust. By this indulgence, our naval officers are deprived of the usual chances of fortune, and the commerce of the country is essentially injured. It is among the merchants a subject of universal complaint. The strange credulity with which the oaths of perjured American captains are listened to, in our court of admiralty, is also matter of general surprise.”

The tract was written *before* the fatal battle of Auerstadt; and the “ *postscript*” after. In the latter, the author, with equal strength and justice,

justice, condemns the conduct of the King of Prussia, and deploras its consequences.

"After the events which have successively happened, during the revolution of the last five funereal years; after the manner in which most of the continental sovereigns have shown themselves lost to every feeling of glory or interest; we are almost induced to resign in despair every expectation of generous and manly exertion. Whilst indeed there is so much fortune, activity and skill, on one side, and so much stupidity, treachery, and cowardice, on the other, it is in vain to look forward to any thing like successful resistance. Under such circumstances it is idle to speculate on human action; and, as far as the continental nations are concerned, we must, I fear, leave them, until the excess of their sufferings bring them back to a just sense of duty, and stimulate them cordially to unite in deliverance from an intolerable yoke. Great as Buonaparté's fortune and power may be, with them the means of redress must ever reside.

"Whilst this cloud of uncertainty is hovering over the European continent; whilst it is so impossible to penetrate through the gloomy atmosphere which is floating around it, or to frame any rational conclusion respecting the efforts on which it may yet resolve, in order to extricate itself from its present subjugation; the plans of Buonaparté are less problematical. That he himself will at last be overset by his inordinate schemes of conquest, and, in his fall, subvert the empire which he has reared, I have always been, and still am, confident. How much more misery and ruin he may be allowed to occasion, I shall not attempt to define; but that his wickedness and mischief will increase in mathematical proportion to his power, I will venture to pronounce as an unerring axiom.

"He is evidently elated, beyond all bounds, at his unprecedented success, and the childishness of his folly keeps pace with the madness of his ambition. Equally a contemner of the ordinances of God and man, with unparalleled audacity he sets them at equal defiance. Plunder and death are his ordinary watchwords; the one administering to his prodigality, the other feeding his revenge. The horrors which the French troops have committed at Lubec, Wirtzburg, in the principality of Hesse, and in many other parts of Germany, are not to be described. Church and state, rich and poor, the matron and the virgin, decrepid age and helpless infancy, have been the indiscriminate victims of their cupidity, their cruelty, and their lust. Nor is the meanness of his character lost in the enormity of his more atrocious vices, which rather act as reflectors to place it in a more glaring point of view. Every public act partakes of the baseness as well as of the injustice and cruelty of his disposition. What can evince a stronger sense of a sordid and vindictive soul, than the unmeasured exultation and the unrelenting rigour with which he persecutes his fallen foes? The most execrable tyrant that ever cursed the earth was generous and merciful to Buonaparté."

Mr. Hunter is perfectly correct in his opinion, that the tyrant's object is, to establish a military government throughout Europe, of which he will be the despotic chief. And, if his fortune be equal to his

his efforts, every lawful monarch will be dethroned; and his own spurious, breed, or his needy vassals, will be appointed to succeed them. Among his notable schemes, for promoting the happiness and welfare of mankind, it is here said, that he means to grant permission to Roman Catholic Priests to marry; "and that he destines his own mother, who, a few years ago, was a washer-woman in Corsica, to be the lovely bride of his Holiness the Pope." It would be a hopeful union; and there can be little doubt, from the complacent spirit of the present Pope, that, if Buonaparté be resolved on its accomplishment, it will be carried into effect; we are only surprised that he has not found in his domestic circle, a consort for the Dey of Algiers; and another for the Grand Signior; since he would then form a family-compact that would make that of the *House of Bourbon*, which set all Europe in flames, sink into absolute insignificance.

We have allotted more room to this pamphlet than we generally allow to similar publications; but the subject which it discusses, is one of the first political importance, involving the fate, not only of this country, but of all Europe; and the ability with which the author has treated it, while it entitles it to public approbation, justifies the time and attention which we have been led to bestow on it.

A Charge delivered to the Clergy of the Diocese of Durham, at the ordinary Visitation, in the Year 1806. By Shute, Bishop of Durham. 4to. Pr. 18. Rivingtons. 1807.

THIS charge is rendered peculiarly interesting and impressive by the circumstances under which it was delivered. At the close of it, the venerable Prelate takes, as it were, a last farewell of his clergy; reminding them, that, as he has already passed the usual age of man, it is improbable that he may meet them again at the distance of four years. These may, therefore, be considered as the last sentiments of a dying Christian, who, having bidden adieu to the cares and the pleasures of this world, is looking forward, for his promised reward, to another and a better world. Thus it must be inferred, that the Bishop spoke from his heart, that he weighed well the subject of his discussion, that he sought not to add strength to his arguments, by exciting senseless apprehension, or vain alarms, and that he uttered not a syllable that was not the result of mature deliberation, and of the truth of which he was not fully and absolutely convinced.

His Lordship begins by adverting to a former charge, in which he had imputed the tremendous calamities which the French Revolution has produced, to the corruptions of the Church of Rome; to its wide departure from the simplicity of the Gospel; and from the unscriptural nature of many of its institutions and doctrines. Without inquiring, how far this imputation was warranted, or not, by the circumstances which preceded, accompanied, and followed that dire event, we fully agree with the Bishop in the deduction which he has drawn from it

namely,

namely, the necessity of cultivating spiritual religion, with more zeal, ardour, and perseverance, than ever. In this charge, he considers the nature of spiritual religion itself; points out the opposite errors of the Romanists, and of the Protestant Dissenters; and explains the grounds of our separation from the Church of Rome.

After some preliminary observations, of the truth and justice of which it is impossible for a sincere Christian to doubt, he properly observes :

“ In the important concern of public worship, the Romish Church and our Dissenters have taken the opposite extremes. The Romanists have oppressed the simplicity of the Gospel, under a load of ostentatious pageantry. They have carnalized the ordinances of God, by impure and unauthorised admixtures. Our Dissenters, on the contrary, in reforming the reformed, have been led, by their zeal to simplify and innovate, into many indecent and unscriptural habits. They have deprived religious worship of many interesting auxiliaries, without adding any thing to its spirit and its truth.

“ The zeal of both parties in support of their own system should teach us a lesson of diligence in ours. The zeal of the Romanist, especially, should operate as a strong caution against indifference to the corruptions of their Church. The indulgences granted to them of late years should not, in our minds, relax the force of those principles on which the Reformation was founded. We must not suffer our supineness to become an occasion of reproach to us, that the venerable Fathers of the Reformed Church have sacrificed their lives in vain.”

He then proceeds to consider the grounds of separation from the Romish Church, which he classes under five several heads.

“ The reasons of our separation from the Church of Rome rest not in trifling concerns of external discipline, but on points essential to the purity of the Christian Faith, or highly important to the interests of morality, and the due advancement of religious knowledge. Our Church separated from the Romanists, because the doctrines and ordinances of their Church were derogatory,

1. “ From the honour of God the Father ;
2. “ From the mediatorship of the Son ; and
3. “ From the sanctifying influences of the Holy Spirit ;
4. “ Because by authorising the sale of indulgences and pardons they encouraged the most scandalous irregularities of life ;
5. “ Because both by performing the services of the Church in Latin, and by locking up the Scriptures in the same language, they violated the express command of Holy Writ, and obstructed the diffusion of Christian Knowledge.”

On the first ground of separation, the Bishop's arguments, as far as they go, are incontrovertible ; but, we think that they might, and ought to have been carried farther. We shall extract the passage :

“ Our Church separated from the Church of Rome, because its usages were derogatory from the honour of God the Father. In the second precept

precept of the Decalogue God has expressly commanded "Thou shalt not make to thyself (for the purposes of religious worship) "any graven image, nor the likeness of any thing, that is in heaven above, or in the earth beneath." The Romanist may alledge that images were used by them only to excite strong religious feelings, and are never intended as objects of worship. But the experience of every age and nation, in which images have been introduced, abundantly demonstrates that idolatry is the inevitable consequence. Nor is this a common case of an argument against the use of an institution merely from the abuse of it. In this instance the abuse is unavoidable. However the heads of a Church, or the wise and well educated, may distinguish between the object, and the aid of religious devotion, yet it is morally impossible to preserve the distinction in the minds of the people. To us it cannot be surprising that the same superstition, which could induce any one to believe, that bread and wine (mere bodily elements, of earthly manufacture) were converted into the real body and blood of Christ, should without much difficulty, worship a creature-image instead of the Creator.

"To disguise such repugnance to the letter of God's commandments, an artifice was adopted in Romish books of religious institution, as contrary to the honour God, as image worship itself. In the enumeration of the Ten Commandments the second is wholly suppressed, and the number ten completed by dividing the tenth into two; and this in direct violation of the injunction which was given by Moses for the entire observance of the Decalogue."

We knew that the Popish missionaries, in China had so mutilated the Commandments, by the suppression of the *Second*; but we were not aware, that the priests of Europe had dared to be guilty of a similar violation of the injunction of the inspired legislator. In respect of *image worship* much more might be said; but, it ought not to be left unnoticed, that the injunction, in the *Second Commandment*, extends farther than to the mere prohibition of *worshipping* images; it expressly forbids all external marks of respect or adoration; "thou shalt not bow down to them, nor worship them." The act of *bowing down* to images is evidently regarded as an outward mark of worship, and is, therefore, expressly forbidden. And, that the Romanists do always bow to the *Cross* and to the *Images*, in their places of worship, is certain.

In considering the *second* ground of separation, the Bishop adverts to the prayers offered up by the Romanists to the Virgin, to Angels, and to Saints; which he truly regards as "derogatory from the mediatorship of Jesus Christ, from the only name under Heaven, whereby we must be saved. Christ ever liveth to make intercession for us; and he *alone* is our intercessor with the Father." It is certainly needless to press these arguments on Protestants. His Lordship then directs his attention to the practice of imposing penances, and purchasing pardon.

"The imposition of penances, as purchases of pardon, and remedial of past sin, was a denial of the efficacy of the great sacrifice which Christ made for us by his death. It was natural for heathens to fall into this
 NO. CIV. VOL. XXVI. L superstition,

superstition, who knew no other method of expiation. The shedding of blood for the remission of sin was, with the Jews, by God's own ordinance, a type of the great atonement; and continued till, in the fullness of time, Christ offered up himself a sacrifice and propitiation for the sins of all mankind. That one propitiation once made, to require other means of expiation, by penances here, and by purgatory hereafter, was to seduce from the grace and truth that came by Jesus Christ. It was, as it were, again substituting the blood of bulls and of goats instead of the blood of the everlasting covenant. It was carrying us back from the Gospel to the Law, and depriving us of the inestimable advantages which the law of Moses could not give us. The covenant, which Christ made for us by his death, was an EVERLASTING covenant. It was by a sacrifice once made, and did not require renewal like the daily typical sacrifices of the Jews. This great superiority of Christ's atonement over the Jewish expiations is strongly marked by St. Paul, as one of the distinguishing excellencies of the faith of Christ. Yet the Romanist, in his erroneous notion of transubstantiation, supposes the body of Christ to be offered at every celebration of the Lord's Supper. As much, therefore, as St. Paul's doctrine raises the value and dignity of Christ's sacrifice, so much this usage of the Romish Church detracts from it. The denial of the cup to the Laity at the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, in as much as it is a direct violation of Christ's express commands, is derogatory from the honour of our Saviour. He said "Drink ye ALL of this." He did not limit this command to the Apostles then present, any more than the other injunction relative to the bread. To withhold, therefore, the cup from the Laity, is not only an unjust deprivation of their rights, and a daring mutilation of that holy Sacrament, but also dishonours Him who instituted and ordained it."

Never surely were such presumption and temerity (to use no harsher terms) evinced by Christian Priests, as are manifested in this refusal of the Sacramental Cup to the Laity. It has a tendency to make them suppose, that Christ's blood was not shed for *them*, but for the Clergy alone. The custom originated in days of darkness, when *Priestcraft*, truly so called, was at its height; when the Clergy, not satisfied with that influence and respect which the sacredness of their station, and the sanctity of their lives, could scarcely have failed to secure, aspired to obtain, over the minds of the Laity, a total and absolute ascendancy and mastery; in order to preserve them in a state of complete subjugation, that they might guide them, at their pleasure, and mould them to their will. In the accomplishment of this notable plan, which was calculated to convert free and rational agents into mere animal machines, and to keep them in mental and corporeal slavery, the Priests paid but little attention to Scriptural injunctions. "Search the Scriptures," said Holy Writ; "You shall not be allowed to read them," said the Romish Priests: "Drink ye ALL of this" Cup, said the blessed Founder of our faith; "Ye shall not taste it," said the fraudulent and daring perverters of his word. They thought, vain men, that by this exclusive appropriation of the Cup to themselves, of that Cup, which, according to their own interpretation, contained the blood

blood of their crucified Redeemer, they should raise their own consequence in the eyes of their deluded followers, and ensure their unqualified and active obedience to their commands, whatever they might be. They pretend not to justify this scandalous abuse by any text of Scripture. Strange, indeed, would it be to look *there* for an excuse for the palpable violation of a positive command of God; but they support it on mere motives of expediency, and affect to regard it as a matter of indifference to the Laity, and as a point of *discipline* which the Clergy have a right to regulate and controul. Some, indeed, have been so infatuated as to contend, that Christ, having addressed himself only to his disciples, when he said, "Drink ye ALL of this," did not intend that the Cup should be given to any other; but as the same argument, or rather allegation, would apply equally to the *Bread*, the use of it only tends to prove the extent to which human prejudice will be carried, in support of a favourite position. At the same time these very men must admit, that the Cup was given to the Laity in the primitive ages of Christianity, when the *intention* of our Saviour (if that could, for a moment, admit of a doubt,) must have been best known; nay, it was so given, in the very times of the Apostles themselves, and by their hands! Besides, we would ask Dr. Troy and Mr. Plowden to reconcile this acknowledged deviation from the practice of the Primitive Church, with the motto which they, with equal consistency, modesty, and truth, assign to their own Church, *semper eodem*! From the *mutable immutability* of the corrupted Church of Rome, may Heaven, in its mercy, protect us!

Having gone through the different grounds of separation, the worthy Prelate adds:

"The recollection of these reasons should be sufficient to inspire us with gratitude to the pious, learned, and magnanimous Fathers of the Reformation. It should make us careful to prevent the contagion of erroneous and pernicious institutions; and zealous to maintain our national Church, which it has cost so much learning, and so many lives, to establish.

"The desolating fury of the French Revolution has driven into this country numerous societies of the Romish Church. The Christian spirit of our Church, and the lenity of our laws, has [have] encouraged them to settle in this land of charity and freedom. The education which the English Catholics used to seek in foreign countries, they now have it in their power to obtain at home in ample seminaries of their own communion. Various other civil privileges and indulgences have, within these few years, been granted them by the Legislature.

"It becomes an urgent duty on the Ministers of the Church of England to guard it, as far as in them lies, against any ill consequences, which may be likely to result from this apparent encouragement of institutions, which they must condemn on principles of truth and conscience, and of fidelity to their profession. I therefore earnestly recommend to you, frequently to dwell in your discourses on the indispensable duty of observing the whole law un mutilated and unaccommodated to our own

usages; on the purity and spirituality of Christian worship; on the one sacrifice of Christ once offered; on the inefficacy of all other means of atonement for sin; on Christ, the only Mediator and Intercessor; on the duty of searching the Scriptures; and of diffusing the knowledge of them among the poor; on the sole infallibility of God, and of his written revelation."

The encouragement of Popish institutions is more than apparent; we have again and again proclaimed the existence of a regular *Nunnery*, in the diocese of London, in which English young ladies are not only educated, but allowed to *take the veil*; and a larger sum than usual has been granted this year for the Popish college of Maynooth in Ireland. Other sums, too, are annually granted to Dissenters, while not a six-pence is given out of the public purse for the erection of Protestant Parochial Churches, or Chapels of Ease, though the great want of them is universally felt and acknowledged.

It is fortunate for the Right Reverend Author of this Charge, that he is not an *Irish* Bishop, nor yet in the road of preferment; for his *presumption*, in thus exposing the pernicious Errors of Popery, would infallibly prove a bar to his promotion. All such exposure, in Ireland, has been expressly forbidden by Vice-regal Authority; by the Authority of the present Head of that House, which forms the constant theme of praise to the Whigs, and which is incessantly coupled with their tributes of admiration to that most decided and inveterate enemy of the Church of Rome, KING WILLIAM! Such is Whig-consistency! Unless the members of the Church of England unite, seriously and resolutely, in support of the Establishment, and in resistance of those dangers which now threaten it from various quarters, the consequences may be dreadful. *Fas est et ab hoste doceri.*

"The Romanists, and the Dissenters from our Church, afford us an example of zeal and union, and perseverance, which well deserves our imitation. In recommending zeal, it may appear needless for me to caution you against want of charity toward those who do not belong to our communion. Our defect lies more in lukewarmness, and indifference, than in bitterness of spirit. Yet the caution is more necessary at present, in proportion to the greater need of vigilance on our part in counteracting the effects of the unremitted endeavours, which are employed by Romanists and Dissenters to promote each their own cause. Be zealous, then, in the discharge of your duty, but be charitable. Charity is certainly not incompatible with the most active zeal against erroneous and defective institutions."

That these excellent admonitions may produce the desired effect, not only on those to whom they were more immediately addressed, but on the whole body of the established Church; and that the venerable monitor may live to witness it, we most devoutly wish.

POLITICS.

Three Letters to that greatest of political Apostates, The Right Honorable George Tierney, one of the Representatives of the Borough of Southwark; along with a correct State of the imperfect Representation of the Commons of the United Kingdom. 8vo. Pr. 88. Crosby and Co. 1806.

THESE Letters are signed S. F. Waddington, and appear to have been written in different weeks, in the month of August last, previous to the dissolution of Parliament. Our readers will collect from the title-page that the author is a *plain spoken man*; he disclaims all classical knowledge; aspires not to elegance of diction; but professes to deal in strong facts, and powerful reasons. His grand object is to hold up the President of the Board of Control, as an apostate from the principles which he professed when he first offered himself as a Candidate for the Borough of Southwark. He accuses him of having been an advocate for Reform, when in Opposition, and a friend to Corruption, when in Place. And from these and other offences, he deduces his unfitness to represent the Borough. Whether the Electors were convinced or not by the arguments of Mr. W. we pretend not to decide; but they certainly adopted his conclusions, since they rejected Mr. Tierney.

Mr. W. tells us, that he once served in America, under General Robertson; and that, at the same time, the present *Treasurer of the County of Surry*, Mr. Alcock, (the friend of Mr. Tierney, and the Commandant of the Borough Volunteers,) served on board the frigate, *Alliance*, Commodore Barry, in the service of the *United States!!!*—Surely Mr. W. must make a mistake; it cannot be that an Englishman should have served on board a rebel ship! Our author, who speaks plain language to every body, after reproving Mr. Tierney for his silence, when the vote of thanks was moved by Sir H. Mildmay to the volunteers, adds, “Was it nothing for half-witted ministers, actuated solely by interest and by opposition, to withhold [from] them their Country’s gratitude, after debasing their officers in rank? It was most unpardonable in ministers; and I fear will be” [it has been] “attended with great ultimate discontent. But after the shameful speech of the Secretary at War, Major Pott, who has no idea of *military jobs*, was perfectly correct, and His Majesty, with a due consistency with his admiration of the Volunteers, ought to have dismissed his War-Minister.”

Mr. W. is an advocate for *Annual Parliaments*; and he gives the report of Mr. Tierney’s Committee, in 1793, on the unequal representation of the Commons. This Report is written with great ability, and what is more extraordinary, considering the subject, with great temper. Though we differ toto cælo from the conclusions of these Gentlemen, we cannot but praise their ingenuousness. The account of *Parliamentary Patronage*, as conveying information at once curious and useful, we shall extract; premising, however, that there are some inaccuracies

racies visible in the list; and that, since it was made out, (in 1793,) a change of property has of course taken place in many instances.

“ PATRONAGE OF PEERS.

NAMES OF PATRONS.	NOMINATIONS.	INFLUENCE.	Total Members returned by Peers.
Earl of Lonsdale	{ 1 Appleby	2 Westmorland	7
	{ 2 Cockermouth		
	{ 2 Haslemere		
Lord Mount Edgcombe ..	{ 1 Bosiney	1 Fowey	6
	{ 2 Iestwithiel		
	{ 2 Plympton		
Lord Elliot	{ 2 Liskeard		6
	{ 2 Grampound		
	{ 2 St. Germain's		
Duke of Newcastle	{ 2 Boroughbridge	1 Newark	6
	{ 2 Aldborough	1 East Retford	
Marquis of Buckingham ..	{ 2 Buckingham	1 Buckinghamshire ..	6
	{ 2 St. Mawes	1 Aylesbury	
Lord Aylesbury	{ 2 Marlborough		4
	{ 2 Great Redwin		
Duke of Northumberland ..	{ 2 Launceston		4
	{ 2 Newport (C.)		
Duke of Marlborough ..	{ 2 Woodstock	1 Oxfordshire	5
	{ 1 Heytesbury	1 Oxford	
Earl Fitzwilliam	{ 2 Malton	2 Peterborough	5
	{ 1 Higham Fer.		
Marquis of Lansdown	{ 2 Calne	2 Wycombe	4
Lord Sidney	{ 1 Whitchurch	2 Ludgershall	3
Duke of Devonshire	{ 2 Knarsborough	1 Derbyshire	4
		1 Derby	
Duke of Bedford	{ 2 Tavistock	1 Bedfordshire	4
		1 Oakhampton	
Marquis of Stafford		1 Staffordshire	4
		1 Litchfield	
Lord Hertford	{ 2 Orford		2
Lord Abingdon	{ 2 Westbury		2
Duke of Norfolk		1 Arundel	3
		1 Grantham	
Duke of Rutland	{ 1 Bramber	1 Scarbro'	4
		1 Newark	
Duke of Richmond		1 Chichester	2
		1 Seaford	
Lord Radnor	{ 2 Downton	1 New Sarum	3
		1 Monmouthshire ..	
Duke of Beaufort		1 Gloucestershire ..	3
		1 Huntingdonshire ..	
Lord Sandwich		2 Huntingdon	3
Marquis of Bath	{ 2 Weobly		2
Lord Egremont	{ 2 Midhurst		2
Lord Westmoreland	{ 2 Lyme Regis		2
Lord Cornwallis	{ 2 Eye		2
Duke of Grafton		1 Bury	2
		1 Thetford	
Duke of Dorset	{ 2 Grinstead		2
Numb. of Peers, Patrons & Nominate	62	36	Total 100

NAMES OF PATRONS.	NOMINATIONS.	INFLUENCE.	Total Members returned by Peers.
Brought forward 28 62		36	100
Duke of Bridgewater	2 Brackley	2
Lord Beverley	2 Beeralston	2
Lord Camelford	2 Old Sarum	2
Lord Foley	2 Droitwich	1 Worcestershire	3
Lord Bute	1 Bossiney	1 Cardiff	2
Lord Portsmouth	1 Andover	1
Lord Orford	1 Castle Riding	1
Lord Malmesbury	1 Christchurch	1
Lord Hardwicke	1 Ryegate	1 Cambridgeshire	2
Lord Somers	1 Ryegate	1
Lord Townshend	1 Tamworth	1
Lord Harrowby	2 Tiverton	2
Lord Darlington	1 Winchelsea	1
Lord Bulkeley	1 Beaumaris	1
Lord Powis	1 Montgomery	1
Duke of Bolton	1 Totness	1
Lord Spencer	{ 1 Oakhampton	{ 2
.....	{ 1 St. Albans	{ 2
Lord Falmouth	2 Truro	2
Lord Thanet	1 Appleby	1
Lord Guildford	1 Banbury	1
Lord Camden	1 Bath	1
Lord Powlett	2 Bridgewater	2
Lord Grosvenor	2 Chester	2
Lord Bathurst	1 Cirencester	1
Lord Shaftesbury	1 Dorchester	1
Lord Berkeley	1 Gloucestershire	1
Lord Brownlow	1 Grantham	1
Lord Pembroke	2 Wilton	2
Lord Oxford	{ 1 Radnorshire	{ 2
.....	{ 1 New Radnor	{ 2
Duke of Manchester	1 Huntingdonshire	1
Lord Pelham	1 Lewes	1
Duke of Portland	1 Nottinghamshire	1
Lord Usbridge	1 Milbourne Port	{ 1 Anglesea	{ 3
.....	{ 1 Caernarvon	{ 3
Lord Exeter	2 Stamford	2
Lord Warwick	2 Warwick	2
Lord Petre	1 Thetford	1
Lord Clarendon	1 Wootton Bassett	1
Lord Bolingbroke	1 Wootton Bassett	1
Lord Carlisle	2 Morpeth	2
Lord Onslow	1 Guildford	1
Lord Walpole	1 Lynn	1
Lord Grimston	1 St. Albans	1
Duke of Leeds	1 Penryn	1
Numb. of Peers, Patrons 71 88		72	Total 163
The Treasury nominats 1 Queenborough	1
Ditto	1 Dover	1
Ditto	1 Rochester	1
Ditto	1 Plymouth	1
Ditto	2 Windsor	2
71 Peers & the Treas. nomin. 90		77	Total 169

PATRONAGE OF COMMONERS.

NAMES OF PATRONS.	NOMINATIONS.	INFLUENCE.	Total Members returned by Commoners.
Wm. Drake, esq.	2 Agmondesham	2
Lord Clive	2 Bishops Castle	1 Ludlow	3
Rev. Mr. Holmes	2 Newport (Ha.)	3
.....	1 Yarmouth (Ha.)
Sir J. St. Aubin, bart.	1 Helstone	1
— Rogers, esq.	1 Helstone	1
W. Pakeney, esq.	4 Weymouth, &c. ...	5
.....	1 Shrewsbury
R. Barwel, esq.	2 Trigon	3
.....	1 Winchelsea
P. C. Crespiquy, esq.	2 Aldborough (Suffolk)	2
.....	2 Calington
— Trefusis, esq.	1 Ashburton	3
Sir H. Bridgman, bart.	1 Wenlock	2
.....	1 Wigan
J. Buller, esq.	2 Saltash	4
.....	2 West Looe
— Buller, esq.	2 East Looe	2
Sir Francis Buller, bart.	1 Totness	1
Sir R. Clayton, bart.	2 Blechingly	2
Sir T. Dundas, bart.	2 Richmond	2
Sir F. Deering, bart.	2 Romney	2
Sir T. Frankland, bart.	2 Thirsk	2
Sir H. Burrard, bart.	2 Lymington	2
Sir H. Calthorpe, bart.	1 Bamber	1 Hindon	3
.....	1 St Michael's
Sir F. Basset, bart.	1 Paurn	2
Sir J. Honeywood, bart.	2 Steyning	2
Sir F. Sykes, bart.	2 Wallingford	2
Sir J. Vanneck, bart.	1 Dunwich	1
Sir F. Barrington, bart.	1 Newtown (Hants)	1
Sir R. Worsley, bart.	1 Newtown (Hants)	1
Sir C. Hawkins, bart.	1 St. Michael's	1
Sir R. Palke, bart.	1 Ashburton	1
Sir G. Yonge, bart.	1 Honiton	1
Sir C. Davers, bart.	1 Bury	1
Sir S. Fludyer, bart.	1 Chippenham	1
Sir W. W. Wynne, bart.	1 Denbighshire	1
Lord Westcote	1 Bewdley	1
Lord Middleton	1 Whitchurch	1
Sir C. Gould Morgan	1 Brecon	1
W. Jolliffe, esq.	2 Petersfield	2
J. Robinson, esq.	2 Harwich	2
— Wilkins, esq.	2 Mahnesbury	2
R. Troward, esq.	2 Ilchester	2
W. Praed, esq.	2 St. Ives	2
T. P. Leigh, esq.	2 Newtown (Lancashire)	2
W. C. Meddycott, esq.	1 Milbourne Port	1
J. Calcraft, esq.	2 Wareham	2
J. B. Church, esq.	2 Wendover	2
Lady Irwin	2 Horsham	2
Mrs. Allanson	2 Rippon	2
Sir Jonathan Philips	2 Camelford	2
Thomas Lister, esq.	1 Clitheroe	1
P. A. Curzon, esq.	1 Clitheroe	1
Commoners, Patrons 48 65		22	Total 87

NAMES

Names of Patrons.	Nominations.	Influence.	Total Members returned by all members.
Brought forward	48 65	22	87
John Mortlock, esq.	2 Cambridge Town ..	2
C. Anderson Pelham, esq.	2 Grimsby	2
J. F. Luttrell, esq.	2 Mincehead	2
B. Barnc, esq.	1 Danwich	1
J. Bond, esq.	1 Corfe Castle	1
H. Banks, esq.	1 Corfe Castle	1
E. Lascelles, esq.	1 Northallerton	1
H. Pierce, esq.	1 Northallerton	1
R. Ludbroke, esq.	1 Gattau	1
W. Cutrie, esq.	1 Gattau	1
W. P. Ashe A Court, esq.	1 Heytesbury	1
B. Howard, esq.	1 Castle Rising	1
George Hunt, esq.	1 Bodmyn	1
Lord Milford	1 Haverfordwest	1
C. Forester, esq.	1 Wenlock	1
J. C. Jervoise, esq.	1 Yarmouth, Haits,	1
C. Sturt, esq.	1 Bridport	1
G. Rose, esq.	1 Christchurch	1
W. Evelyn, esq.	1 Hythe	1
St. C. F. Radcliffe, esq.	1 Hythe	1
T. W. Coke, esq.	1 Derby	1
T. Anson, esq.	1 Litchfield	1
W. Lee Antoine, esq.	1 Marlow	1
T. Williams, esq.	1 Marlow	1
R. Middleton, esq.	1 Denbigh	1
Philip Rashleigh, esq.	1 Fowey	1
C. Tudway, esq.	1 Wells	1
J. Dawkins, esq.	1 Chippenham	1
H. Penton, esq.	1 Winchester	1
R. Peele, esq.	1 Tamworth	1
James Sutton, esq.	2 Devizes	2
— Whittaker, esq.	2 Shaftesbury	2
Sir P. Burrel, bart.	1 Boston	1
Jos. Irénonger, esq.	1 Andover	1
W. Beckford, esq.	1 Hindon	1
Sir J. Carter	2 Portsmouth	2
E. Bastard, esq.	2 Dartmouth	2
Edward Milward, Esq.	2 Hastings	2
Thomas Lamb, esq.	2 Rye	2
P. Stephens, esq.	1 Sandwich	1
Lord Mulgrave	1 Scarbro'	1
R. Gamou, esq.	1 Winchester	1
Right Hon. T. Harley	1 Leominster	1
Commoners 91	82	57	Total 139

" Note.—During the last 15 years (1793 to 1806) many of the Peers have been advanced in rank, and the honours of the Peerage have been conferred on many of the Commons."

We must observe in conclusion, that Mr. W. takes great liberties with his mother-tongue; both in her *Phraseology* and *Orthography*.

An Address to Richard Brinsley Sheridan, Esq. on the public and private Proceedings during the late Election for Westminster; including the State of Domestic Politics at the Commencement of the New Parliament; with a View of the "Letter to the Earl of Moira," on certain Accusations against His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales; and occasional Remarks on the Prince of Wales, Marquis Wellesley, Earl of Moira, Sir Francis Burdett, Messrs. Whitbread, Sheridan, T. Sheridan, Paull, Moore, Britten, Elliot, &c. By the Author of Mr. Fox's Title to Patriot, &c. disputed. 8vo. Pp. 64. 2s. John Joseph Stockdale. 1807.

MR. Sheridan. has here met with an opponent who has industry to search for, judgment to ascertain, and spirit to publish, strong and severe truths, such as, no doubt, he thinks the times and the occasion require. His opening paragraph explains his motive and intentions; "Your return to Parliament for the city of Westminster, by measures so profligately abandoned, and so destructive to the constitution and true interest of the country, rises upon the attention of mankind too powerfully to render silence venial." He then alludes to a former publication, which we have not seen, in which he animadverted on Mr. Sheridan's *comitancy* in first declining to stand for Westminster, on the ground of his attachment to his constituents at Stafford, and in afterwards (an interval of a few weeks only having elapsed) offering himself for that city. In the former instance, too, Mr. Sheridan pronounced a panegyric on the noble name of Percy; but no sooner was it known, that the Duke of Northumberland, with a proper regard to his own dignity, refused to let his son become the colleague of such a political Quack, "than (says the Author to Mr. S.) his Grace became subject to those unwarrantable epithets [which] you seldom fail to lavish on men inauspicious to the means of augmenting your interest, or [of] inflating your ambition."

He then proceeds to describe the business of the election.

"On the first day of election, the outrageous, and perhaps justifiable, insults you met with from your creditors, and the exasperated independent Electors, furnished you with a modest hint of their estimation of your pretensions to confidence, and that you stood on the same ground, which you and Mr. Fox had always branded with the foulest abuse, against every candidate supported by treasury influence, an influence which you have aided by outrages amounting to an apology for every species of electioneering violence hitherto known in this country.

"The city of Westminster was in a state of constupration for fifteen days, the suffrages of the people were violently seized, and dragged to the hustings, with the same *inclination* and *alacrity* that debtors follow Sheriffs' Officers to prison. It is true, you had the assistance of many persons of high rank and consideration, connected with you in the pursuit of titles, places, pensions and contracts; in fine, the most disgraceful scenes of iniquity have been practised to procure your passport to the House of Commons, for an Election it cannot be called, unless you can get rid of the idea, that *choice* is a necessary ingredient in its legal interpretation.

"The mandates of power never assumed such peremptory aspects; the floodgates of corruption were thrown open, and the whole city deluged

lured by public violence, private intrigue, audacious bribery, and unblushing perjury. To such a Colossus of unconstitutional menace, to such a Leviathan of unexampled outrage, who was opposed? Mr. James Paull. A man almost unknown in Westminster, a man of no party; no demagogue of a faction; of no eminence in vice, without the ability to corrupt; or the power to command; no opulent friends, no pecuniary support; no Right Honourable Committee, or public prints in his pay; no sycophants, parasites, or place-hunters, to await the reward of their iniquity, or to look up to his Election as the signal of recompense; a man destitute of reasonable pretensions, or hope of success against Sir Samuel Hood, or any other character more eligible than yourself. He built his hopes solely upon that reprobation of your conduct, which rang through the country; it was not any merit of his own, but the *demerits* of the Treasurer of the Navy, that procured him 4500 independent voters. With respect to Mr. Paull, you cannot suppose me an advocate for the man; abstracted from his being a candidate for Westminster, I care as little for *him* as I do for *you*; and, if one had been tossed in a blanket, and the other in a horse-pond, neither would have been indebted to my exertions for a release. You must have remarked, that, in my Letter to the Duke of Norfolk, I have treated Mr. Paull with much asperity, at least with a sufficient portion to convince any man that my wishes for his success were *then* not of the most sanguine complexion.

"There are a variety of circumstances attending this contest, that mark the spirit of Englishmen, who know the value of freedom, and who have displayed a steady and intrepid perseverance, in manifesting a detestation of the means by which you have acquired your return: in short, Mr. Fox's Election, that cost near 200,000*l.*, and ended in the ruin of a number of the Prince of Wales's tradesmen, the impoverishing [of] a certain Duke, and other men of high consideration, can never be mentioned in a comparative sense, unless to exhibit the turpitude of yours in a more flagitious point of view."

The spirit in which this author supports *principles* without regard to *men* is highly creditable to him. He thus comments on the *loyalty* of the Treasurer of the Navy:

"One of your banners displayed to the uninformed and gazing crowd—your *Loyalty*! It will, therefore, not be deemed impertinent to inquire, at what period of your life it began: did you give any symptoms of it, in the Bacchanalian toast given by the Duke of Norfolk: "The Sovereignty of the People!" did you retract the insult you then offered your King, by abetting the damnable, seditious doctrine of Mr. Fox, when he declared, in the House of Commons, that the most amiable Monarch that ever swayed the sceptre of the British throne, was but "The Creature of the People!" by which you endeavoured to exalt the frantic shouts of a lawless lunatic rabble, paramount to the Constitution itself?

"When Heaven threatened the nation with its awful displeasure, through the sides of His Majesty's sacred person, what *then* were your effusions of loyalty? were they manifested in the fell ambition of yourself and colleagues, to place the functions of royalty in hands, that the constitution would not consent to entrust it? Was it not the aim of Mr. Fox, and the members of his desperate faction, to seize the government

ment of the country, by means that every honest man shudders to contemplate; the consequences of which might have led, in times hereafter, to all the civil wars, and disasters, that marked the bloody contest between the Houses of York and Lancaster? You do not want penetration, and PERFECTLY UNDERSTAND ME. Has not the whole tenor of your life (twelve months excepted,) composed a systematic hostility to the peace, honour, and dignity of your Sovereign? I ask you, Sir, in the face of the world, if the tranquillity of that system has ever been disturbed by one visible token of loyalty? So much for your affection and fidelity to our beloved Sovereign. And now a few observations on your patriotism, and love of your country.

"When the horrid principles of the French revolution filled all Europe with lamentations, were not your speeches, in the House of Commons, pregnant with the most strenuous efforts to encourage the butcheries that exterminated the Royal Family, the nobility and priests, demolishing every vestige of religion and morality, and desolating one of the fairest countries in the known world? I ask you, Sir, who were the men that maintained such detestable doctrines, such monstrous principles, such dreadful sentiments towards loyalty, as induced Mr. Burke to exclaim, (addressing himself to you,) "let us keep French Atheism from our hearts, and their daggers from our throats:" and again, addressing himself to you, he said, "if these be the sentiments of the honourable gentleman, I cut with him in politics for ever!" and I believe Mr. Burke religiously kept his word. If such was the opinion of that gentleman, who knew you perfectly, where shall I look for a man, who can give me a more satisfactory account of your affection for genuine liberty? But, I have not leisure to negative every sentiment of loyalty you have uttered, in the course of twenty years; perhaps a reader, not furnished with the opportunities I have had of knowing them, will be enabled to give a shrewd guess at your temporary loyalty, which, by the bye, I believe, was a mere electioneering trick.

"All the hellish purposes of that desperate traitor, O'Connor, are fresh in the memory of mankind; nor is the veneration you entertained for his principles wholly forgotten by the generality of his Majesty's faithful subjects; but, as I have treated those transactions with no small degree of severity in my Letter to his Grace of Norfolk, I shall add little more at present, than your own account of the admiration in which you held the most dangerous traitor this country ever knew. A proof of that treason will stare you in the face, page 57, in that Letter. Peruse the diabolical Manifesto, and have the goodness to proceed to page 61, where you will recognise your opinion of that treason, and, being upon oath, I think, few people are prepared to disbelieve your testimony, as it was given at a time when the treasuryship of the navy was as distant from your grasp, as the welfare of your country was from your meditation. I will ask you, Sir, if there is an honest loyal man on earth, after reading Mr. O'Connor's treasonable edict, and your extatic encomiums upon the author's principles, who can, for a moment, mistake your genuine sentiments of loyalty, or love of natural, or political liberty?"

It is highly proper, that men who only display their *Loyalty* when in place, and who make such a forward boast of it, should be reminded of their past disloyalty. The sincerity of such men is a just object of suspicion.

picion. Besides, it is, on other accounts, important to keep in view the conduct of all pretenders to patriotism, at a time when men's principles were put to the test; when the country was threatened with attacks from abroad and with rebellion at home, and when, of course, it required the united talents, and the combined efforts, of every good subject.

We concur with this writer, in the opinion, that if Mr. Jeffery's stupid and interested attack on the Prince of Wales had not been forced into notice by the miserable sycophants and parasites of the Prince, it would, very soon, have been consigned to oblivion. But we think him rather unfair in his remarks on the letter of Theophrastus to Lord Moira; the author of which certainly knew his own object best, and had a right to adopt what appeared to him the most proper means of accomplishing it.

Adverting to the charges preferred by Mr. Paull against the Marquis Wellesley, of which he entertains a very just opinion, he contends, that Mr. Paull was not the principal in that transaction, but the agent, the mere tool of a faction. His reasoning on the subject is both new and curious.

"To get at the origin of this dreadful business, I shall commence my inquiry with asking, who Mr. Paull was, at the time he was introduced to the Prince of Wales? If he is a Tailor's son now, he must have been something very like a Tailor's son THEN: his rank in life, though respectable, could never inspire him with the presumption of forcing himself into the company of the Heir Apparent of the crown; who was it, then, introduced him? and for what purpose? The Prince of Wales, you, and every man, know, is one of the most accomplished gentlemen in Europe; and, though His Royal Highness might find amusement in the company of yourself, Mr. Fox, and others, whose companionable qualities have been pleasing, in the circles of wit, and literature, yet Mr. Paull, if we are to believe you, was an unlettered, unaccomplished, and ignorant man, of plebeian origin; possessing no eminent accomplishments to render him an acceptable companion to the Prince of Wales. It is clear, from all the consequences that followed, his introduction to His Royal Highness originated in some motive that had taken possession of a sanguinary faction, then in open hostilities to the existing government of the country; the Marquis Wellesley had attached himself to that government, by an importance of character few men possess, which if added, as an additional weight to the *then* administration, bade fair to annihilate a desperate faction, in the full vigour of democratic violence. With respect to Mr. Paull, it is evident that he was the mere machine, by which the prosecution was worked; the tool or implement in the hands of Mr. Fox, yourself, and associates; and, in order to procure the sanction of the Prince of Wales to the desperate undertaking, the charges against the Marquis Wellesley were painted in such blood-stained colours, as were most likely to work upon the amiable feelings of His Royal Highness. And, who were they painted by? Not Mr. Paull; he was simply the pallet and brush bearer to the diabolical Artists, who executed the dreadful picture; and, that he might not relax in the part allotted to him, you contrived to strengthen his nerves, by the approbation of the Heir Apparent to the crown. Matters being thus prepared, the attack upon the Marquis began, and the progression of exaggerated *ex parte* evidence,

in

In the House of Commons, was diligently promulgated through the nation; but, doubting whether the acts of cruelty exhibited against the Marquis would not be defeated by his universal character for humanity and benevolence, the old faction trap was set, with the stale, though luring bait, of public ruin. The Marquis was charged with having incurred a debt of four millions sterling, to be raised by taxes on the people of this country; and, that twelve or twenty millions more, must be raised from the same source.

"The part which the Prince of Wales took in the business, appears to have been wrung from his sympathising heart, sensibly touched by a story of well-wrought woe; but, from whom did His Highness receive that story? was it not from the rhetorical figure of your own representation?

"Thus much, Sir, for the proceedings on the score of guilt; we will now turn our thoughts to the plea of innocence. In the midst of this criminal career, the country was electrified by the agonising sensations, caused by the death of Mr. Pitt; that lamentable event furnished fresh employment for the exercise of Mr. Fox's friends; a new discipline was to be adopted, and the pursuit of the Marquis Wellesley abandoned, upon the same principle, that Pirates quit the chase of a single vessel for the sanguinary hope of plundering a whole fleet. Every exertion was made to alter the course, even the scudding sails of imposture were set to facilitate a more prosperous voyage; a voyage fatally accomplished. In plain terms, Sir, we all know, that Lord Grenville, having His Majesty's confidence, was entrusted to form a new administration; the virtues of the Marquis Wellesley rendered him dear in the estimation of Lord Grenville; and the conditions on which Mr. Fox, yourself, and others of your party, were to be included in that administration, was the abandonment of all further prosecution against the Marquis; and you all promptly determined, that the CERTAIN plunder of the nation was far preferable to the risk of pillaging an individual. This compromise having taken place, you had no further use for your little ferret, Mr. Paull; the scent of a setter, and the industry of a terrier, were equally unnecessary; for, without his assistance, the whole warren came within the grasp of stancher hounds.

"The spirit of primitive christianity took possession of your hearts; and, with all the enthusiasm of inspiration, you pronounced the Marquis perfectly innocent; confessed your errors; and, in the pious tone of repentant sinners, acknowledged your offences before God and men, imploring forgiveness in methodistical strains of hypocritical piety: and, as if you were afraid to trust your souls to the mercy of God, or your bodies to the execrations of the people, the Prince of Wales was again brought forward to sanctify your damnable apostacy, and screen you from the vengeance of the people, whom you had made the stalking-horse to cover your aim at the Marquis Wellesley. What possible language you could use to induce His Royal Highness to believe, that the man who was a monument of abomination a few days previous to Mr. Pitt's decease, could, immediately upon that event, be converted into a saint, or a demi-god, I know not; nor do I believe it can be accounted for by any sophistical argument, within the geography of your own eloquence. There is but one possible way, consonant with rationality,

to reconcile the absurdity; and that is, by adopting the sentiments of the Ecclesiastic, who had risen from the humble situation of a fisherman to that of Pope; who, in token of his humility and mean origin, exhibited his net upon every stage of his preferment; but on the acquisition of the Pontificate, it was remarked, the token of his former profession did not appear, to which he wittily replied: "the pursuit of virtue is over, the fish is caught."

"Now, Sir, a word or two for Mr. Paull; and if these words will not make you blush, your bronze must be impenetrable: leaving the justice or injustice of his accusation of the Marquis out of the question, he certainly possesses one virtue that you have shook hands with, and bade adieu many years since; *he has at least preserved his consistency*; and that too under all the perilous circumstances of your attempts to debauch it."

Certainly Mr. Paull has the merit of consistency, of which his opponents cannot possibly boast. As to Lord Wellesley, it was always our opinion, that the charges against him originated in the same motive which gave birth to the prosecution of Lord Melville. The Marquis was known to be a statesman of great eminence; and it was feared, that, on his return from India, he would be appointed to a high situation in the government, and would so strengthen the administration, as to exclude the Foxites; and, therefore, it was resolved to keep an impeachment hanging over his head. Had the Foxites remained in opposition, Mr. Paull, we are persuaded, would have had no cause to condemn them for desertion. Marquis Wellesley would have experienced precisely the same treatment as Lord Melville, and with the same justice; for we believe them both to be equally honourable, and equally innocent.

"With respect to Marquis Wellesley's innocence, no man can entertain the shadow of a doubt, who has read a work entitled, *A VINDICATION OF THE JUSTICE AND POLICY OF THE WARS IN INDIA*. This masterly performance contains an accurate history of all the transactions that fell under the administration of the Marquis Wellesley; of course includes those impeached by Mr. Paull. The authenticity of this work is stamped with the great credit and ability that has marked the character of the writer from his infancy, the now Sir George Dallas, (as it is said.) To attempt any thing by way of criticism on, or even commendation of the work itself, would be only blurring with ignorance the fairest pages in the English language; I shall, therefore, only observe, for the information of those who have not read it, that they have lost the gratification of being convinced, that the Marquis's conduct, as Governor-General in India, was justifiable upon every principle of sound policy, every laudable attention to the interest of the East India Company, and to this country; and that whatever acts of severity were enforced under his administration, they were the effects of imperious necessity. If they were not, what excuse can the government of this country make for putting Despard and O'Coigley to death, whose offences partook of the same turpitude with those who became the objects of punishment in India? In fine, Sir George Dallas's intense application to all the minutiae, necessary for the elucidation of the Marquis's conduct, form the completest exculpation that the force of evidence is capable of producing; an exculpation that you, and all his malevolent accusers, have been compelled to acknowledge; for,

if

if you have not acknowledged it, what epithet can I use to increase the indignation of mankind, against the authors of that accusation?"

Speaking of the distribution of places, after Mr. Fox came into power, the author says,

"I cannot help remarking, that it borders on national disgrace, when we see places, even in a *military department*, bestowed on idlers, strolling players, and other characters, possessing no plea for the preference given them; whose whole lives are unmarked by a single trait of loyalty, wholly talentless, and the direct reverse of every qualification necessary to fill their situations; while brave men, who have spent their best days, and blood, in the service of their country, are consuming the winter of life in mortification and penury."

If this be the case, the author will render an acceptable service to the public, by printing, as he promises to do, a list of places, with the names of the holders annexed, with appropriate comments. In his animadversions on Mr. Sheridan's late Tavern-speeches, he introduces some incidental remarks, on a transaction which, some months ago, made a great noise in the capital. We shall quote the passage, because it places that transaction in a new light.

"In electioneering phrase, you reverted to the state of Buonaparté's poll—God of Heaven! what could I say on that occasion! But let me get rid of the heart-rending thought, by asking, who were the voters on that miscreant's poll? Had we not poll books opened in this country? Was not his principal agent, O'Connor, canvassing both England and Ireland? And who composed his diabolical Committee? Read my Fetter to the Duke of Norfolk, and you will see. Is it possible, that a truly loyal, independent man, who has the good of his country at heart, could summon fortitude enough to endure the effusions of such damnable hypocrisy? You, Sir, are a privy counsellor, and I sincerely wish the privacy was confined to that honourable station. Two o'clock in the morning, under particular circumstances, is a well-chosen hour to be communicative. There are men, Sir, to whom the most trifling communications may produce the most important advantages. The hour that Lord Lauderdale set off for France, and the result of his negotiations, previous to his return, were circumstances known to *very few*, and perhaps very improper to be known to *that few*, until officially announced. Your own sagacity will amply anticipate any further explanation; for, AT THIS MOMENT, my lips are securely locked, and the key in possession of another. However, a total silence upon the subject would amount to some degree of criminality; as one of the most amiable men in the country, perhaps in Europe, has been accused of giving improper intelligence, and for an improper purpose. This his Lordship has, upon oath, denied. And I have a stronger reason for giving FULL credit to his affidavit, than any other man in the kingdom, yourself and some one or two persons excepted. Upon his Lordship's application to the Court of King's Bench, the author of the charge was called upon to show cause why a criminal information should not be filed against him: the application was made early in the Term; and the Rule, as in other cases, must have expired in four clear days; yet we have heard of no further proceedings; and it is publicly said, that the affair is compromised. I could wish, for the noble Earl's reputation, the charge had met an ample investigation.

as I am perfectly satisfied it would have manifested his innocence; a circumstance devoutly to be wished. But, Sir, that would not be the only gratification the public would receive, for the result must have brought forward the guilty object. As to the information Mr. Charles gave to Lord Grenville; the man who doubts its truth knows little of the grounds which justify my suspicions; but this is not the moment of explanation.

"The whole tenor of Earl Moira's life ought to inspire mankind with sentiments no way favourable to the establishment of an insinuation against his honour. The man who has exhibited such an uniform contempt for money, is not very likely to adopt unworthy means to obtain it. In saying thus much, I gratify my own feelings; a gratification that will be much increased, if what I have said weighs but a feather in the scale that preponderates with his Lordship's innocence; and I do most sincerely lament that the confidence and unlimited friendship you enjoy, should ever be the cause of diminishing the well-earned popularity of so amiable a man. I have said thus much, for the purpose of removing any suspicion of guilt, that might attach itself to the circumstance of the business being dropped. That intelligence, similar to Mr. Charles's statement, did transpire, cannot be denied; and *you*, of all men, are very ill-qualified to dispute its authenticity. But I am apprehensive, Mr. Charles has been led into a statement somewhat incorrect; a circumstance that will draw upon him very little of *your censure*."

The inuendoes here are too plain to be misunderstood; and truly may we say, that Mr. Charles was *mistaken* in so material a part of his statement, as the identity of the *person* who gave the intelligence in question. Unquestionably no one who knows Lord Moira, could for a moment suspect him of any thing, in the smallest degree, mean, base, or dishonourable. His generous contempt of money, his boundless munificence and liberality, render it impossible for any suspicion of the nature here alluded to, to attach to his Lordship.

Subjoined to these observations is the manifesto of O'Connor, or rather the message of the Secret Committee of England, to the Executive Directory of France; and Mr. Sheridan's and Mr. Whitbread's evidence on the Trial of O'Connor at Maidstone. The concluding remarks in pp. 59, 60, on the increase of the poor, are wholly foreign from the subject; and they present a picture greatly overcharged. The poor of the metropolis are not in the state in which they are here represented to be; their earnings, generally speaking, (for exceptions, of course, there must be,) are fully adequate to the comfortable support of their families; but the fact is, that they spend a considerable portion of them at the alehouse; and appropriate more to the purchase of gin for themselves than for that of meat for their families. These remarks, too, are highly objectionable in other respects; and should the Tract run into another edition, which is very probable, the author would do well to suppress them, particularly as they rather weaken than strengthen his arguments. The pamphlet is loosely and carelessly written; and consequently abounds with inaccuracies. Some of these have appeared in our quotations; and we subjoin a few others. "Each of which *are* [is] either larded, &c." p. 23. "Four-fifths of this pamphlet *is* [are] most certainly,"

certainly," &c. *ibid.* Cuckold for Cuckolded, p. 48. Infidelism is repeatedly used for Infidelity.

Advantages of Russia in the present Contest with France, with a short Description of the Cozacks. 8vo. Pp. 66. Jordan & Maxwell. 1807.

WE have ever maintained that the French were less likely to oppose the Russians with success, than they were to oppose any other nation; and we have assigned our reasons for entertaining such an opinion. Similar reasons are adduced by the author of this track, who enters at length on the subject, and uses such arguments as must convince, we think, every man who is open to conviction. His brief account of the Cozacks is curious, and we shall extract it, as a fair specimen of the author's ability.

"Wherever I have mentioned Russian soldiers, I never meant to include under that denomination, all those irregular auxiliary troops known under the name of Cozacks, who form a distinct and separate body. The name *Cozacks* is general, and applied to all those tribes, which follow the same irregular, and almost optional method of warfare. The particular bodies of the Cozacks are distinguished from each other, not by the different nations which compose them, but by the places they respectively inhabit. Thus the *Don-Cozacks* take their name from the river Don; the *Ouralian Cozacks* from the Ouralian mountains; the *Cozacks of the Ukraine* from the name of the country; and the *Zaporavian Cozacks*, from the cataracts of the Dnieper, as the word *Zaporavian*, or more properly *Zaporog-tzi*, signifies, in the Russian language, 'people living beyond the Cataracts.' The two former are chiefly the Colonists of Great Russia, and the two latter are formed of Malo-Russians; these wear no beards. The Zaporavians shave even their heads, and leave only a small piece of hair upon the crown, long enough to reach the nose, and pride themselves upon every thing that is opposite to civilization, and to the common feelings of man. There was a time, when no woman whatever was permitted to live in their society; and though they have begun lately to have more intercourse with the sex, still they retain strongly the marks of their former ferocity. They may be called, with great propriety, a gang of desperate outlaws, of robbers, and all sorts of public offenders, who are suffered to exist merely on account of the mischief they do to the enemy.

"The *Cozacks of the Ukraine* and the *Don-Cozacks*, are more advanced in civilization; the latter are seen sometimes with, and sometimes without beards; but the former exist now merely in name, applied indiscriminately to all the inhabitants. There are also *Cozacks* formed into regular regiments, but of these we need not speak. Excepting the *Cozacks of the Ukraine*, and the *Zaporavians*, the Tartars and Calmucks are so interspersed with all other *Cozacks*, that they form the most conspicuous and prominent feature in them. The Calmucks seem to be the real descendants of the ancient Scythians, who dwelt on the borders of the Don (Tanais). Their features are broad and flat, with a pair of small, fiery, and piercing eyes. They are of small stature, very robust and active, and expert in the use of the bow and arrow, which they to this moment use with astonishing dexterity. They are extremely skilful in managing unruly

unruly horses, and are hired expressly for that purpose by the horse-contractors for the army, who have to choose these animals out of the wild studs bred chiefly by the land-proprietors in Little Russia. A Calmuck rides straight into the midst of these ungovernable creatures, and after a horse is singled out, which is to be taken, he throws a loop round his neck with such expertness, that, though the distance is considerable, as the ferocious animal will not suffer any one to approach him near, he seldom misses his aim. No sooner does the loop fall upon the horse's neck, than the Calmuck fastens with amazing rapidity the other end of the rope to the saddle he sits upon, in such a manner as to prevent all possibility of the horse's escaping. The unruly savage thus surprized, struggles hard, but the other horse which the Calmuck rides, takes such a position by the direction of the rider, that the strength of the captive is exhausted, and he, at length, suffers himself to be led away, and is soon tamed. The Tartars, who are like Calmucks in their persons, are so intermixed with them, as to be seen every where together. They eat horse-carcasses, without any other preparation of the flesh, than the warming it under the saddle of the horse they ride upon. For this reason they have in general two or three horses with them; so that their provisions, and the means of travelling, proceed with them, without any incumbrance. Both these nations or tribes are extremely numerous among the Don-Cozacks; and all together form those terrible warriors, whose aspect alone is sufficient to dismay an enemy not accustomed to such a sight.

"These Cozacks are a valuable appendage to a regular army; they are its guides and satellites. It is their particular business to obtain intelligence from the enemy, which they do sometimes in the manner that a Calmuck takes a wild horse. As soon as a Cozack comes near enough to the object of his search, he throws a loop round his waist, fastens it to his saddle, and gallops off with the prisoner. All the outposts are formed chiefly of the Cozacks. They are constantly upon the look-out, and cut a conspicuous figure in skirmishes. The *lucre of gain*, that is, of booty, is the main spring of their actions; and a Cozack will seldom flinch from attacking two opponents, if he sees the probability of plunder. Their chief and most destructive weapon is a long lance, suspended upon a sling from the waist. When they are upon attack, they let the lance down to the level with the stirrup horizontally, and, after drawing it back with the right foot, to which the butt-end is fastened, they hurl it forward with the same foot, with such force and destructive aim, that it generally proves fatal to the enemy. They are, besides, armed with a gun, a brace of pistols, and a sword something in the shape of a Turkish sabre. Though in their military appearance they preserve a certain degree of uniformity; still their dress, according to their fancy or means, makes the scene, whenever they march in a body, truly grotesque and variegated. Though they are irregular troops, still they have a certain order, a certain principle of rude discipline; they have officers regularly appointed and obeyed. Their horses are so diminutive and apparently weak, that they seem more calculated to be carried than to carry; yet a Cozack, whether through prejudice or real conviction of their excellence, never will, never was known to part with his horse, nor exchange it for one ever so valuable, unless it is of the same breed. These horses are a race altogether anomalous; for, whether fed luxuriously, or sparingly, they maintain invariably the same

niggardly appearance, and, like the Russian soldier, can exist almost upon nothing, which may perhaps account for the Cossack's attachment to them. The Cossacks are allowed to preserve their own government, and they stipulate in return to furnish the Emperor, at their own expense, with whatever number of men he may require, on the condition of being allowed to make booty. Such is their thirst for war, as the means of plunder, that when the number required is inconsiderable, so that they must cast lots who should go, a serious quarrel is frequently the consequence, of not being included in the number. He that returns home without booty or has not been in action, is viewed by the women in a despicable light; so that their manners and mode of living all tend to make them warriors. They think it charity to kill their own comrades, when wounded past recovery, or likely to fall into the hands of a merciless enemy. They disperse in such small parties, that it is almost impossible to stop their incursions; and, for this reason, they are the most dangerous set of men that can enter a hostile country. Nor are they less so to a routed enemy; for, though they do not fight in the line, they are the first in pursuit of him, and the last in desisting from it. They performed wonders in Italy, under the command of Suwarrow, who knew better than any other Russian general how to employ them to the best advantage."

The pamphlet appears to have been written in haste, as it exhibits several grammatical inaccuracies. The *lucré of gain*, in the above quotation, is an unwarrantable expression: *lucré* and *gain* are synonymous terms: it should be the *lust* or *desire* of gain.

EDUCATION.

Letters on Natural History; exhibiting a View of the Power, Wisdom, and Goodness of the Deity, so eminently displayed in the Formation of the Universe, and various Relations of Utility, which inferior Beings have to the Human Species. Calculated particularly for the Use of Schools, and young Persons in general of both Sexes; in order to impress their Minds with a just Idea of its great Author. Illustrated by upwards of One Hundred engraved Subjects applicable to the Work. By John Bigland, Author of "Letters on Universal History;" "Letters on the political Aspect of Europe," &c. 12md. Pp. 464.

WE are always pleased to find a man of real information, knowledge, and talents, combined with a religious and moral disposition, devoting some portion of his time and attention to the instruction of youth. That instruction is an object of the greatest importance to society, yet has it been, too generally, considered as beneath the notice of men of high and useful attainments; we mean, the mode of conveying it through the medium of books.

"The Study of Nature," says the author, in his Preface, "is the basis of religion; and in the primitive ages of the world, previous to the epoch of revelation, mankind had no other guide to direct them to a knowledge

knowledge of the existence and attributes of the Deity, than the contemplation of his works: These, indeed, exhibited such evident proofs of his power, his wisdom, and his goodness, as were sufficient to convince rational creatures that a self-existent and infinite Being was the source and origin of all existence. This St. Paul so well understood, that he condemns the Gentiles, because, after having recognised the Supreme Being in the works of the creation, they neglected to worship him, and considers them as inexcusable; "Because," says he, "the invisible things of Him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his Eternal Power and God-head."

A better motive for directing the minds of youth to the study of Natural History could not be assigned. The plan of the present work will be best described in the author's own words.

"If this work had been designed for the use of those who make the knowledge of natural history the principal object of their pursuit, the Linnæan system would certainly have been adopted and strictly adhered to; but it is calculated for students of a different description: for those who, without having leisure to devote themselves wholly to the study of this science, would not wish to be totally ignorant of the world in which they live. To instruct the youthful mind, in regard to the most important subjects of inquiry in the system of nature, is the avowed design of this undertaking; and, indeed, a volume of so small a size cannot be supposed to be intended for the use of the professed naturalist. Without, therefore, pretending to criticise the works of other writers on this subject, or to depreciate their merit, which in many is conspicuous, it will not be amiss to say something of the manner in which it is here treated."

"In the first place, a view is given to the young student of the grandeur of the universe, and of the structure of the solar system. Some of the most striking objects which this globe presents, such as seas, mountains, volcanoes, &c. are next brought forward to his inspection: his attention is then called to the winds, tides, exhalations, and other remarkable phenomena of the earth and the atmosphere; and afterwards to the principal metals, minerals, and other subterraneous productions. All these being described in a manner equally plain and concise, a sketch is given of the beauties and utility of vegetation; and a general view of the inanimate creation being thus exhibited, the transition is made to animated nature, which, as it is to youth the most entertaining branch of natural history, occupies the greater part of the work. The most striking and interesting objects of the animal kingdom are delineated; the creatures which are peculiarly curious in their conformation or habits, and especially those which are most formidable or most beneficial to man, are particularly selected and described. Those of general utility, or the greatest commercial importance, and those, which frequently occur in conversation or reading, in the relations of travellers, and in the sacred or classical writings, are considered as the most interesting subjects of investigation."

In this arrangement the author has displayed great judgment; as, indeed, he has in the execution of the work. But judgment is not the only good quality which he has manifested in the performance of his task; for he has suffered no opportunity to escape him of blending religious and moral lessons with his instructions. He has adopted the epistolary form,

form, in preference to all others, as he thinks it is calculated to introduce his subjects in a more agreeable and impressive manner; and, at the same time, to give the young student some notion of the art of Letter-writing. Mr. Bigland deserves the highest praise for the total exclusion of all indelicate expressions; and, indeed, of every thing which could have the most remote tendency to contaminate the youthful mind, from his work; and the more so, as works of this description are generally objectionable on the score of indelicacy. His letters, therefore, may, with great safety, and with a certainty of affording much valuable instruction, be put into the hands of youth of both sexes. Having said thus, we shall exhibit a specimen of his style and of his manner of drawing useful and important deductions from his lessons, from his concluding letter. The passage, too, is valuable on another account, as it contains some very just reflections on the treatment of the brute creation.

"We are now come to the conclusion of our survey of the wondrous works of the Deity displayed in the stupendous system of nature; and I am fully persuaded that you, as well as myself, will reluctantly take leave of so beautiful, so variegated, and so magnificent a view. At the close of our excursions, however, let us not have to reproach ourselves with having uselessly rambled through the immense fields of creation. The object of all physical research ought to be moral and intellectual improvement; and indeed the study of nature, exalting our admiration, is peculiarly adapted to inflame our love for the architect of the universe, the self-existent author of all existence. Our love of the Creator cannot, however, be more appropriately displayed, than by the exercise of universal benevolence towards his creatures. This important moral truth I have every where endeavoured to inculcate; and let it, my dear Sir, be impressed on your mind, and kept in your memory, that

"——— the poor beetle that we tread upon
In corporal suffering feels a pang as great
As when a giant dies."

"This effusion of poetry speaks no other language than that of accurate philosophy; for there is every reason to believe, that the sensations of many of the most diminutive insects are as exquisite, and, consequently, their sufferings as acute as those of larger animals. The writhings of the poor worm, on which we accidentally tread, evidently show the pangs which it feels, shock the heart that is endowed with sensibility, and force it to lament the step which fortuitously caused these sufferings. Horrible, however, to relate, parents too frequently indulge their children in the wanton sport of torturing poor insects in a manner at which humanity must shudder. Although this horrid propensity may, at that early period of life, be attributed to want of reflection, yet, if indulged, it may settle into a habit of cruelty, and render their hearts callous not only to the sufferings of the brute creation, but to those of their own species. A parent, indeed, who encourages his child to torture a poor helpless insect, ought not to wonder if he afterward see him a murderer of his fellow-creature, which will very probably be the case, unless a want of courage, strength, or opportunity, prevent the exercise of his cruelty, or the terrific dread of the gallows restrain his hands.

"What

“What more advance can mortals make in sin,
So near perfection who with blood begin?”

DRYDEN.

“The supreme court of judicature at Athens, to its eternal credit, punished a boy for putting out the eyes of a poor bird that unfortunately fell into his savage hands; and parents and masters should never overlook an act of cruelty towards any thing that is endowed with life and sensation, however minute and contemptible it may seem. No creature is mean or insignificant in the eyes of the universal Parent, the Creator of all beings:

“With Him no high nor low, no great nor small,
He fills, He bounds, connects and equals all.”

“Yes, my dear Sir, great and little, important and mean, are relative terms, and distinctions of our own, which have no existence in the all-comprising view of the Creator and Governor of the universe.

“The consideration that all the felicity of animals is confined to the short period of the present life, without any hope or compensation in a future state of existence, ought to be an additional inducement to treat them with compassion. We ought to imagine every inoffensive animal which our wanton cruelty would deprive of existence, addressing us in the mouse’s affecting petition:

“But if this transient gleam of light
Be all of life we share,
Let pity plead within thy breast
This little all to spare.”

“These moral sentiments, so strongly inculcated by reason, are decidedly corroborated by Religion, sanctified by Scripture, and impressed by the discourses of Him from whom all Scripture derives its authority, and all true Religion its origin. The Redeemer of mankind reminds us that his Heavenly Father takes care to feed the ravens, and that the sparrows are not overlooked in the universality of His providence; which is sufficient to convince us that we cannot, without offering a gross insult to the Creator and Sovereign of the world, wantonly ill-treat any of His creatures, all of which are objects of His parental solicitude.

“In the system of nature, it is ordained by an arrangement, for which you will recollect the reasons already assigned, that animals should be conducive to the support of one another, and that all of them should be subservient to the interests of man, or at least subject to his reasonable will, although not to his wanton cruelty. When, therefore, we are obliged to kill any of them for our food, or to destroy for our safety such as are noxious, we ought to dispatch them expeditiously, and to render the pang of death as short and easy as possible.”

The Manual of Youth. Containing, 1. Sixty Fables, French and English, ornamented with One Hundred and Twenty Cuts, representing the Subjects of the Fables in the French Part; and furnishing, in the English Part, a Series of Elementary Lessons in the several Styles of Drawing. 2. Remarks on Rhetoric, with various Examples on the different Styles, Figures, and Tones. 3. A large Collection of Extracts, in Prose and Verse, selected from the most approved Authors,

thors, English and French. By J. Ouesseau, A. M. 12mo. Pp. 420. 8s. Symonds. 1807.

IT was certainly a new thought, to combine a *drawing-book* with a French Grammar, and a *Treatise on Rhetoric*; and one which could only be expected from the inventive fertility of a Frenchman's brains. But, putting the *Elementary Lessons of Drawing* out of the question, which lessons consist in a number of indifferent wood-cuts, this Manual may serve as an useful school-book, for boys learning the French language. Several of the Fables, Monsieur Ouesseau informs us, "are founded on what are commonly called Æsop's Fables; but they have been treated in a manner which emboldens the editor to assert, that he has borrowed scarcely more than their titles." To this we answer, *tant pis*. What he means by Fables, which are commonly called Æsop's Fables, we are at a loss to conjecture; are they not the Fables of Æsop? As for the attempt to give the world an *improvement* of Æsop's Fables, it ought to receive praise for its boldness, whatever censure it may extort for its presumption. For our part, we confess, we are humble enough to be satisfied with these apologues; the wisdom and ingenuity of which, the wisest of the ancient Greeks were not ashamed to admire, and which have caused equal admiration from all succeeding ages to the present times. Nor has the specimen which M. Ouesseau has afforded us of his skill in the composition of Fables, in the least disposed us to accept him as an adequate substitute for the Grecian Fabulist. Two of the Fables, in this book, are his own. The *Two Rose Trees*, and the *Hawk and the Pigeons*. In the former we are presented with a dialogue between the Rose Trees and the Gardener; one of the Rose Trees submits to the *pain* of pruning, from a conviction of its tendency to do it good; the other, obstinate and perverse, resists the efforts of the Gardener, and remains unpruned. The consequence is, that in the Spring, the first bore abundance of Roses, and was an object of admiration to the whole village; while the last, became "yellow, withered, dying with shame and misery; and they were obliged to pluck it up and throw it on a dunghill." The moral of this fable is obvious enough, that boys should submit to correction for their own good; but Æsop (barring the gift of reflection and speech, &c. to his birds and beasts,) never outraged nature; he was always consistent; not so M. d'Ouesseau; for it requires not the skill of a florist to know, that although a rose tree which is pruned will bear more flowers, and make stronger shoots, than one which is not pruned, yet the neglect to prune it will not occasion it to wither and die. In the other fable he translates "s'abbatit doucement;" "stooped softly," it should be "hovered gently round."

The *Remarks on Rhetoric*, are short, single, and easily understood; and the examples are appropriate. The *Extracts* are very judiciously selected. We suppose they are intended to exercise the student in the necessary art of translation.

Etymological Exercises on the Latin Grammar, in Two Parts. By the Rev. William Johns. 18mo. Pp. 50. Longman and Co.

MR. Johns has had the advantage, which is possessed but by few writers, of submitting his rules to the test of experience. He is humble
in

in his claims, but, as is often the case with genuine humility, meritorious in his efforts. He expresses a hope, that his book "will be found of some utility in initiating boys into the etymological part of Latin Grammar; in which they ought to be well versed, before they attempt to write constructional exercises." We are persuaded that it will prove of great utility in facilitating the attainment of this elementary knowledge; and be the means of saving considerable trouble, as well to the masters as to the boys.

The History of Mary Westley; or, The Warning. 24mo. Pr. 40.
Hatchard. 1806.

THIS is a well-told tale, replete with natural incidents, containing many just and useful reflections, and conveying an excellent moral. It is intended chiefly for the instruction of females in the lower classes of life, who, we are sorry to say, stand most woefully in need of such instruction; and we hope that the opulent will be induced to purchase it, and to distribute it as extensively as possible. The story is that of the daughter of poor but honest parents, having a family of ten children, who goes to service. Her mistress, a worthy woman, takes pains to improve her naturally good disposition, by supplying her with a Bible, and other religious books, and by giving her much salutary instruction. During the absence of her mistress, however, Mary is persuaded by her fellow servant to go to the Fair; all her good resolutions fail her; she is tempted, and falls. Repentance follows, but she refuses to marry her seducer till convinced that he also has repented. The remarks interspersed throughout this little narrative, are greatly superior to those generally found in similar publications. The subject of *bribing* children to perform their duty, affords the intelligent author an opportunity to impress the following lesson on the minds of his readers:

"Though we must not always look for rewards when we do right, but do it because it is our duty; yet sometimes it *does* happen, that a good action is made the means, through God's providence, of bringing us the thing we wish."

When a man has seduced a woman, he is generally led to suppose, that, by marrying her, he not only makes her ample satisfaction, but makes ample atonement to his offended God for the sin which he has committed; or, rather, thinks that he has committed no sin at all. Not so the heroine of this tale, whose conceptions of right and wrong, of virtue and vice, it would be well for the debauchees and their victims, for the adulterers and adulteresses, in higher life, to adopt. "Mary thought very justly, that though the world thinks a woman who has forfeited her chastity, may recover her character by marrying her seducer, she is not less a sinner in the eye of God, and by marrying an unprincipled man she might be led into other crimes." A woeful instance has recently occurred in high life to exemplify the justice of this lesson. A young woman of good family gave her hand to one man while her affections were bestowed on another. In this she was guilty of the worst species of perjury and of prostitution. The parents, who urged her to marry, were highly criminal, and morally reprehensible for all her subsequent sins. The

nan

man too who took her knew that he had not her heart, and was therefore a brute of the worst description. After her marriage, she met with her first lover, who seduced her. A divorce ensued; she married her seducer, and she has since left him to commit adultery with another. If any of the parties were possessed of feeling, they would experience a just, though not an adequate, punishment: at all events, this fact shows the danger of marrying an unprincipled man, and being thereby led into other crimes; and the equal danger of marrying an unprincipled woman.

The Pantheon, or ancient History of the Gods of Greece and Rome, for the Use of Schools, and young Persons of both Sexes. By Edward Baldwin, Esq. 12mo. Pr. 360. 6s. Hodgkins. 1806.

THE utility of a work of this kind cannot be disputed; the Heathen Gods are so interwoven with every species of poetry, that, without some knowledge of them, it is impossible to understand many a common song; but the adventures of these imaginary deities are so replete with impurity and immorality, that it requires no common care in a writer to render an account of them fit for the perusal of young persons. It is also of great consequence not to lead them astray by false representations of the influence of the religion of antiquity on the moral conduct of life. On this the author makes the following observation:

“By the word God, I need not tell you that we understand a powerful being, whom we cannot see, but who nevertheless is continually interfering with our concerns, bestowing upon us the various blessings of life, and sometimes punishing us for our faults.

“When the thoughts of men are turned to invisible beings who have power to benefit or hurt them, they unavoidably become anxious to obtain their favour.

“The opinion which different nations entertain concerning the natures and characters of their gods, constitutes their speculative religion; the means they employ to obtain the favour of these beings, constitute their practical religion or worship.

“To obtain the favour of the gods, the Greeks built temples, or edifices, to which they resorted at stated times, when they designed to recollect with reverence the characters and powers of their gods.

“In these temples they placed statues of the gods, that, by the sight of them, their fancy might be awakened, and their minds held attentive.

“In these temples they also offered sacrifices; that is, killed some of the most beautiful of their animals, and presented the first fruits of the earth, with costly gums and odours, in honour of their gods.

“In the temple, and ordinarily immediately before the statue of the god, was placed an altar, that is, for the most part a square pillar of stone, of inconsiderable height, but much greater bigness, upon the top of which they lighted a fire, and burned the fruits of the earth, and certain parts of the animals which they sacrificed, with costly gums and odours.

“For the due performance of these sacrifices there was a body of men called priests, who were clothed in white and other appropriate vestments, and were regarded by the people with peculiar veneration.”

Common

Common readers lie under a great disadvantage when they come to the history of the gods of Greece and Rome: in poems and songs, such as are now written, Mars, and Venus; and dimpling Cupids, and jolly Bacchus, make so trivial a figure, that it is with difficulty we can be brought to think of them seriously, as the elements of a national religion: gods whose worship is obsolete, are like kings in exile, and excite very different emotions, from what they did when they were carried in state, and surrounded with a regiment of guards.

"That you may have a due conception of the seriousness and sincerity of the religion of the Greeks and Romans, it is proper I should tell you that Machiavel, the famous Italian political writer, ascribes the long course of the Roman prosperity in the first place to their religion; and he affirms, that 'for several ages, the fear of God was never more conspicuous than in that republic:' and Cicero, the great Roman orator, gives it as his opinion, that 'the Spaniards in numbers, the Gauls in bodily strength, the Carthaginians in subtlety, and the Greeks in genius, had exceeded his countrymen; but that there was one thing in which the Romans went beyond all the nations of the earth, and that was, in piety, and religion, and a deep habitual persuasion that all human concerns are regulated by the disposal and providence of the immortal gods.'"

This, in the first place, is an eulogium on idolatry, and attributes much good to an institution which is forbidden by a commandment of the Almighty, under the express penalty of his heavy displeasure; and, if we believe in the divine oracles of God, we ought rather to impute the fall of the Romans to their veneration for these idolatrous ceremonies, than impute with Machiavel their long successes to it. And the words of Cicero are obviously the words of a hypocrite; for though from the light of his own reason, and the writings of Plato, who seems to have caught some faint ray of divine truth, he was at least inclined to believe in a state of future retribution, it is obvious from many of his works, that he was not sincere in the belief of the idolatrous religion of his country.

Surely the loves of the Gods should not be detailed, as they are in Chapter xxiii, in a work like this; and the fable of Cupid and Psyche, which is an imitation of the sacred account of the fall of man, and is not mentioned by any classical author, might have been omitted. The author might also well have spared his trouble in representing all the gods of Homer as allegorical personages, that being long ago given up by all sober critics.

Some knowledge of the heathen mythology, as we have already observed, is a necessary part of the education of those who are not to pursue classical studies, where they will be acquired of course; but the mind of the pupil should be continually impressed with the idea of its falsehood and absurdity; and be shown, that many of the fables, such, for instance, as the war of the Titans, and Deucalion's flood, are gross corruptions of Scripture history, which is surprizingly left quite unnoticed by Mr. Baldwin.

MEDICINE, SURGERY, &c.

Anatomical Examinations. A complete Series of Anatomical Questions, with Answers. The Answers arranged so as to form an elementary System of Anatomy, and intended as preparatory to Examinations at Surgeons' Hall. To which are annexed Tables of the Bones, Muscles, and Arteries. 12mo. 2 vol. Pp. 575. 10s. 6d. Highley, London; Constable and Co., Edinburgh. 1807.

THESE examinations form a most useful vade-mecum for the medical student, whom it cannot fail materially to assist in the pursuit of his studies. But the use of them cannot be better explained than by the very intelligent author's own words.

"Anatomy must be learnt in the dissecting-room; it is only there, aided by competent teachers, that the student can acquire such information in this branch of his studies as will be practically useful to him. But in this pursuit the memory may receive great and important assistance from a short and clear account of the various parts of the body, to which the learner may refer, when the teacher is absent, or when alone he meditates on the subject of his labours. His memory may be further most usefully exercised by questions well adapted to the subject; they will lead him to form a just estimate of his acquirements and deficiencies, and this practice will give him a familiarity with examinations, the want of which often embarrasses those, who present themselves before the college of Surgeons.

"With a view to afford the anatomical student this species of assistance, the following work has been composed.

"The first part contains a complete and systematic series of examinations, where numerical arrangement will render it easy to refer to the respective answers in the second part.

"The second part is an elementary system of Anatomy, which may be read and consulted without any regard to the numerical references to the first.

The conception of this plan of elementary instruction is ingenious; and the author is entitled to great credit for the correctness which is visible in its execution.

A Practical Treatise on the superior Efficacy of Stizolobium or Cowhage, (the Dolichos pruriens of Linnæus,) internally administered in Diseases occasioned by Worms; wherein are exhibited a concise Statement of the Symptoms of the Disease, and the Uncertainty of most other Vermifuges now in Use. To which are added some other indigenous Anthelmintics of the West Indies; and several Cases not published in any of the former Editions. By William Chamberlaine, Member of the Royal College of Surgeons, London, &c. 12mo. Pr. 134. Highley.

THE chief object of this treatise is to recommend the use of Cowhage in the cure of Worms; though no inconsiderable portion of it is allotted to

to a general description of the different kinds of Worms, and of the well-known symptoms of the disorders which they produce. The author recommends the Cowhage to be exhibited in the form of an electuary. It is frequently used in the East and West Indies; and respectable testimonials are adduced in proof of its safety and efficacy. Cowhage is admitted in the Edinburgh Pharmacopœia.

Poems to Thespia. By H. Downman, M.D. 8vo. Pr. 106. True-
man and Son, Exeter; and Cadell and Davies, London.

TRUE to his Thespia as Petrarch to his Laura, Dr. Downman's muse is the very mirror of Courtenay. Her strains, however, are not to be censured for monotony, nor rejected for dulness; nor are they disgraced by those meretricious ornaments, and by that gaudy tinsel, the frippery of the Della Crusca school, which betray a poverty of thought, and a sterility of sense. They are, on the contrary, plain, simple; and unaffected; breathing the language of pure affection, without sacrificing sense to sound. We shall select two specimens, in proof of the justice of our opinion.

" I was not form'd for glory's arduous ways,
To toil with Science in her ample reign,
To bask in proud ambition's golden rays,
Or view with dauntless eye the carnag'd plain.

To some, Heav'n wills the scenes of public life;
As party bids assiduously to move;
To others, clamour and forensic strife—
To me, a mind, all indolence and love.

Unhappiness and care to Kings I give,
Expos'd they stand to every stormy gale;
On yonder hill's green side secure I live,
Or haste with pliant footstep down the vale.

Enough for me to join my Thespia there,
Arm lock'd in arm through shady woods to roam;
To tread unconscious, lost in rapture dear,
Nor gain till latest eve our distant home.

With her on turf, or mossy bank recline,
At her command invoke the artless muse,
Her unaverted cheek oft press to mine,
Or, as she smiles, my inmost soul effuse.

Such were the strains, which in the jocund prime
Of years, when fancy takes delight to dream,
I sung, nor spent a thought on future time,
Where rural Alpheus winds his scanty stream.

I sung,

I sung, each object pleas'd my partial sight,
The eddying rivulet, the new-shorn flock,
The meads with varied flowers of lustre bright,
The verdant hillock, and the barren rock.

Yet, thro' by kindest nature form'd, to stray
The sweet oblivious haunts of peace along,
Fate's tyrant voice, and unrelenting sway
Uge my slow step to join the bustling throng.

Resistance fails, and while I turn my eyes,
To catch, ye well known Scenes! a parting view,
Though I with unimagi'd fondness prize
Your lawns, and fairy glades; at once adieu!

But, oh, my Thespia! there the imperfect sound
Remains suspended on my trembling tongue,
Cold drops of limpid dew my brow surround,
And every nerve and sinew is [are] unstrung.

Again receive me to thy warm embrace;
Oh! were my bosom rivetted to thine!
The pangs we feel, the future ills we trace,
Nor language can express, nor thought divine."

"There are, who think mankind impell'd to rove,
By nature, vagrant as the uncertain gale,
Who laugh at vows of constancy and love,
As shadowy fictions, or a dotard's tale.

To these, my Thespia, silence is the best,
The only answer can be justly given;
Let them enjoy their dull unmeaning jest;
Can creeping mists pollute the face of heaven?

They know not love, nor e'er his semblance knew;
Intent on vulgar sources of delight,
Reason and truth elude their grosser view,
Clad in unborrow'd lustre, mildly bright.

In fashion's bowers they waste their transient day,
And lest a gleam of sense might touch the soul,
To ceaseless dissipation homage pay,
And giddy, drain her various-mingled bowl.

For them let secret pity drop a tear,
And nobly conscious of sublimer joys,
Self-satisfied her happier fortune bear,
And leave to change and vanity their toys.

Assur'd the darling object ne'er can tire,
 True love to each external good is blind,
 Fix'd is the wavering pinion of desire,
 Thought answers thought, and mind embraces mind.

Who judge like us, like us who feel, to those
 Can wealth, or pomp, an added blessing give?
 Their tender sympathy more rooted grows,
 Till memory fades, their warm affections live.

Them should a smiling progeny amuse,
 The infant race their mutual cares employ;
 This gift should wisest Providence refuse,
 No pining discontent embitters joy.

Nor time, nor adverse fortune can divide
 The attractive, firm, uninterrupted band,
 In strictest knot of cordial union tied,
 And safe from every power, but death's command."

Musical Dramas, with select Poems and Ballads. By John Rannier.
 12mo. PP. 289. Allen.

THE Dramas are, *The Cottage of the Cliffs*, taken from the ancient Ballad of Gill Morrice, from which the tragedy of Douglas is also taken; *The Exiles*; *The Deserted Tower*, taken from an old song in Percy's Collection, (as the other is,) called *The Heir of Linne*; *The Convent*, taken from Mr. Lewis's *Monk*; *The Lowland Lassie*, acted once for a benefit; and *Job*, a sacred Drama in three parts.

The dialogue of these Dramas is sometimes in prose, and sometimes in blank verse, according to the dignity of the persons, a practice which we shall not venture to condemn, as it is authorized by Shakspeare and all our earlier dramatic poets; but why songs are inserted in pieces intended for reading, and not for representation, we do not exactly see. Songs in a Drama, to those who prefer sound to sense and interest, may be very agreeable; but they, undoubtedly, abridge the pleasure of those who come to the Theatre to be amused with interesting dialogue and situation; and pathetic or humourous incidents; and in Dramas that are performed, we should wish them to be printed, if it were not impossible to follow the singer, and comprehend the words of the air, if we had not them before our eyes; but in Dramas intended for the closet, they are merely excrescences that disfigure the composition.

In the dialogue there is little either to praise or censure, and the Poems and Ballads partake of that quality which Horace declares to be inconsistent with the character of poets. We must farther remark, that those parts of the Dramas which are obviously blank verse, are printed as prose.

DIVINITY.

A Charge delivered at the Primary Visitation of the Reverend the Archdeacon of Sarum, on the 9th, 10th, 11th, and 12th of July, 1805. By the Reverend Charles Daubany, Archdeacon of Sarum, 8vo. Pr. 32. Rivingtons.

WE know not by what accident this excellent charge has remained so long unnoticed. It contains a variety of truly apostolical injunctions, and displays all that sound and deep knowledge with which every former production of this venerable author abounds. He first notices on the origin of the office of Archdeacon; then traces the use which it was destined to answer, and marks the neglect into which it has fallen, through the relaxation of discipline, so remarkable in later times. He next delineates the features of the present age.

“The character by which the present day is distinguished, is a relaxation of religious sentiment, which has generated more or less a licence of opinion inimical to existing establishments, by inculcating on the mind of the individual a dangerous belief, that his own private notions, however imperfectly formed, provided they are sincerely maintained, are to constitute the standard for his own actions. This principle, which supersedes the experience of all ages, and the wisdom of every human institution, though inadmissible under, because incompatible with, any civil government, is still considered to be a principle which may be safely exercised without controul in the affairs of religion.

“It is not my present business to mark the line within which this principle of private judgment may be exercised; but, after adverting to its present prevailing influence, in the production of an almost total indifference with respect to all modes of worship, to point out, consistently with my duty, the means best calculated to counteract the evil to which it too generally leads.”

These means, then, this pious labourer in the Lord's vineyard proceeds to indicate; and endeavours to enforce the adoption of them; for truly does honour of the evil “whether the cause from which it springs be admitted or not, the obvious suggestion of sound wisdom will be, that the best antidote against it should be timely provided.” The effect to be expected from the alarming separation from our Church, should it be carried to that extent which present appearances almost justify us in apprehending, must be the ultimate destruction of our establishment. For should the time ever arrive, which God forbid, when the number of Christians without the walls of the Church shall exceed in any degree that of those assembled within them; the ground of public opinion on which every establishment, *as such*, stands being withdrawn, I see nothing, according to the general course of things, that can prevent its falling to the ground. In this view of our subject, the language of the wise Cato becomes most applicable to the situation in which we Ministers of the Church are at this time placed.—“*Hoc nisi provideris ne accidat, ubi evenerit, frustra judicia implores.*”

Mr.

Mr. D. disclaims all intention of introducing this subject for the purpose of creating alarm; but asserts, that the prophecies of the New Testament justify the expectation of perilous times in the *latter* days; and thinks that the signs of the *present* are such as to create an apprehension that those days are come. He considers the alledged grounds of separation from the Church, by the larger description of Schismatics, which are not objections to its fundamental doctrines, nor even to its forms and ceremonies; but, forsooth! to the *in-sufficiency* of its Ministers.

"Now, were this complaint brought forward only by ignorant irregular preachers, with the view of securing to themselves a more numerous congregation, by a studied depreciation of the labours and qualifications of the established Minister; though in such case, so far as the complaint might operate on the public mind, it would be by no means to be disregarded; yet, when it is considered as occasionally proceeding from those who are not only qualified to form a more correct judgment on this head, but who would moreover be thought to be well-affected to our establishment; it constitutes a complaint, which challenges the most serious attention. And although general charges are rarely the product of candid or judicious minds, and, in this case, are much more readily brought than substantiated; still, if they become personal charges, by being made to bear on the professional conduct of each individual concerned in them, they may certainly be turned to profitable account.

"The charge generally brought against the present Ministers of the Church is, that the GOSPEL is not preached by them. It scarce need to be observed on this head, that, should that be the case, we may, Brethren, of all men, have the most serious responsibility to look forward to. But instead of dismissing this charge, as containing altogether notorious calumny, which, in most instances, we trust, we should be warranted in doing, let us admit it to be, in some degree, well-founded; if it be only for the sake of the advantage to be derived from a more diligent attention to those points of professional duty, in which it presumes us to have been wanting. It was the remark of a very sagacious writer, that "the success of sects has, in general, been owing to their making greater pretences to purity and gospel perfection than the established Churches; and to their both teaching and practising some necessary duties, which established Churches have too much neglected in the corrupted state of Christianity." Should this remark apply in any degree to the present state of things in the Church, the obvious inference from it will be, that even those who differ from us most, may, in some points, exhibit models not unworthy of imitation; and therefore, although we cannot approve their principles, we may still derive something from their conduct.

"And though I dare not absolutely say with a great authority, that 'were the common people nourished with the sincere milk of the word by their proper pastors, they would refuse a drink of doubtful quality mingled by a stranger; or that, under such circumstances, our churches would be thronged, while the walls of the Conventicle would be deserted;' because, alas! in the disgraceful annals of modern itinerancy, many proofs in point might, I fear, be brought against me; still I do not hesitate to say, that no advantages of education, however on other accounts desirable, will compensate for a radical defect in the discharge of our ministerial office; and that even those '*blue uproned men*,' (as Bishop

Hall calls them) 'who never knew any better school than their shop-board,' should they actually preach the truths of the Gospel, with whatever inconsistent, offensive, and even blasphemous positions those truths may be mixed, will not fail to draw away hearers from the most dignified and learned divines, who preach them not. For in this case, my Brethren, we are concerned about *essentials*, which admit of no alternative. Whilst the public mind is become so estranged from that confident respect, which heretofore generally attached to the commission which we bear, that we must expect, at this time, to receive credit for nothing but for the real intrinsic value, considered in the evangelical sense, of the doctrine we deliver."

This is truly Christian advice; but we very much fear that the high authority, here quoted, has drawn a false conclusion from his premises; indeed our experience enables us to contradict the assertion; for, certain it is, that a wild enthusiast who does not preach the Gospel, and whose harangues resemble more the ravings of a maniac, than the admonitions of a rational being, has sufficient influence over the multitude, to draw them from their Parochial Churches; and this, not unfrequently, where no possible blame can attach to the Clergyman.

The Archdeacon's advice, respecting the behaviour of a Clergyman, is so truly excellent, that we cannot forego the pleasure of transcribing it.

"It is a remark not uncommonly made, that what may be done by a Christian without offence, may also, without impropriety, be done by a Clergyman. But this remark is certainly founded in error; an error which, in its application to our present subject, may be productive of most important effects. The example of the Clergy is at all times necessary to enforce the precepts [which] they inculcate. A Minister of Christ, therefore, should abstain from *apparent*, no less than from *positive*, evil; because his influence on the public mind should be preserved in as unimpaired a state as possible. Should therefore his indulgence in pursuits and amusements, in themselves indifferent perhaps, when considered with respect to others, tend in any degree to lessen that reverence for his character, which is essential to the effectual discharge of his important office; should he not be able to restrain himself from temporary gratification that is to be enjoyed at such an expense, with what grace will he preach to others the necessary practice of self-denial on still more important occasions? To all such cases, the doctrine of *expediency*, on the authority of St. Paul, strictly applies. For in matters which may affect the salvation of others, admitting that they are allowable in themselves, the charity of our religion calls on us to respect even the scruples of our weaker brethren. It is the position of St. Paul, that 'when we sin against the Brethren, and wound their weak conscience, we sin against Christ.' 1 Cor. viii. 12.

"When, therefore, (to make use of the language of pious Bishop Bull,) it is considered how many ways there be, whereby a man may involve himself in this guilt; as not only by an openly vicious example, but even by a less severe, prudent, and wary conversation; not only by actions directly criminal, but by lawful actions to weak brethren; not only by a gross negligence and supine carelessness, but by every lesser remission of those degrees of zeal and diligence, which are requisite in so important

important an affair; in a word, by not doing all that lies within our power to save the souls committed to our charge; when we consider this, no minister of Christ will dare to plead not guilty before the great Judge of Heaven and Earth."

There never existed a greater necessity for impressing these truths on the minds of our Clergy, than at the present day; not only on account of the increased, and increasing number of enemies to the Church, but on account of the visible relaxation of discipline, and the consequent looseness and levity of manners. When we see a Clergyman, by a shameful abuse of his talents, translating an immoral song into a dead language; when we see him frequenting the haunts of fashion, parading the circles of the great, giving feasts and routs, and, in short, leading a *worldly Life*; we cease to wonder at the contempt in which he is holden. Amusements should be the *recreation*, and not the *business*, or the *study* of a clergyman; and he should be particularly select in the choice of them. He should, above all, be deeply impressed with the conviction, that the life of a *Priest* and that of a *Man of the World*, are utterly incompatible. —This charge reflects great honour on the worthy Archdeacon, to whose professional labours the public are infinitely indebted for much valuable instruction, calculated to make them "wise unto Salvation."

REVIEWERS REVIEWED.

DR. PRIESTLEY'S LIFE AND THE EDINBURGH REVIEW.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW AND MAGAZINE.

SIR,

THAT part of your Review which is allotted to strictures on the Reviewers, I have always looked upon as one of the most useful portions of your incomparable work. The animadversions on that heretical, but, alas! popular work, the Edinburgh Review, are highly proper and necessary, in an age so inclined to heresy, both political and religious, as the present. Permit me to add a few strictures on two passages in the last Number of that dangerous and deceitful work. In page 149, the following passage from that atrocious work, the Life of Dr. Priestley; viz. ("I used to make no scruple of maintaining, that there is not only the most virtue and happiness, but even the most true politeness, in the middle classes of life,") is quoted with approbation; and not only so, but it is said to be the opinion of all the intelligent part of the *MIDDLE CLASS*, and they even suspect that it has made some converts among the higher orders. We cannot sufficiently commend the modesty with which they intimate their *suspicion* that such an opinion is entertained by some of the higher ranks. It cannot be supposed that *their associates* are of a very elevated description. They think fit, however, notwithstanding their obscurity, to tell the people of England, on the authority of the arch-fiend of heresy, and of their own pot-companions, (for such we suppose they mean, when they talk of *the intelligent in the middle ranks*,) not only

that they are happier, not only (as has before been insolently said) that they are more virtuous than their superiors, but even that they are more polite than that part of mankind to which a celebrated orator has given the appellation of the Corinthian capital of civil society. It is a lamentable thing that there is no law which can reach the promulgators of such opinions as these, so flattering to the levelling spirit which marks the present most degenerate age; but on that very account it becomes the more a duty to animadvert upon them with the utmost severity. The other passage, which it was my design to comment upon, is in page 202. In the Review of *les Templiers*, in which they dare to assert, as if it had been a thing universally allowed, that it is not for man to punish heresy!! Has not the wisdom of our ancestors then made laws against heresy? and shall we presume to arraign them? Shall ordinary crimes meet with severe punishments, even in an age so dissolute as the present, and shall this greatest of all crimes go unpunished? But it would be a waste of argument to reason with those who hold such notions; to state them, is sufficient to expose their flagitious absurdity. Unwilling, therefore, to occupy any longer portion of your invaluable miscellany.

I remain yours, &c.

ANTIHERETICODEMOCRATICUS.

BISHOP OF GLOUCESTER'S THOUGHTS ON THE TRINITY, AND THE MONTHLY REVIEW.

TO THE EDITORS.

GENTLEMEN,

Canterbury, Nov. 21, 1805.

HAVING often thought your time well employed in reviewing the criticisms of other Reviewers, I beg leave to call your attention to the Monthly Review for October last, wherein the Bishop of Gloucester's Thoughts on the Trinity are, I think, injudiciously and unjustifiably treated. I have read *Sherlock and Trap* with great satisfaction, as well as a more modern Publication, *Jones's Catholic Doctrine of the Trinity*. These, and others, together with the above work of the Bishop, have convinced me, that the Trinity in Unity is to be worshipped. But our Reviewer says, that the arguments of the learned Bishop are fallacious and delusive; and though he allows, "we are enjoined Baptism in the name of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit," he adds, "are they any where said to be three persons constituting one Godhead? that we have not Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, mentioned together, except in the baptismal ordinance, and in a Doxology or benediction at the end of one of St. Paul's Epistles. For 1 John v. 7, is now abandoned by all the learned as spurious." He then asserts, that our Saviour, after the miracles which he did upon earth, and foretelling his death and resurrection, did not by his appearance again after death prove his nature divine: notwithstanding our Saviour has said, when speaking of himself, I and my Father are one. And as to the Apostle's Creed, he remarks, "That man must be a keen discernor of mysteries, who can see the Trinity in this Creed; which respects not the eternal generation of the Son, but merely the generation of Jesus Christ in the womb of Mary!" For my own part, I always thought, that in repeating that Creed I declared my belief in God the Father,

Father, God the Son, and God the Holy Ghost. I hope and trust that you, or the very able, learned, and respectable Bishop himself, will soon take such notice of these and other criticisms as they seem to me loudly to call for; and am, Gentlemen, with great respect,

Your most obedient Servant,

FIDELIS.

THE MONTHLY REVIEW.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW.

SIR

IN a criticism in the last Monthly Review on the Poems of a certain Miss Laura Sophia Temple, among several objectionable rhymes, we find *break* and *wake* objected to; how would this sagacious critic pronounce *break*? would he give the diphthong the same sound as it has in *weak*? and, on the same principle, would he call for a pound of beef *steaks* at Dolly's? If we are to judge of rhymes by the eye, and not by the ear, as directed by general use,

Quem penes arbitrium est et jus et norma loquendi :

then we may say that *rough* and *bough* are good rhymes, and *blow* and *dough* bad ones.

I am, &c.

A. B.

MISCELLANEOUS.

THE PICTONIAN PROSECUTION.

AFTER our concluding article on this topic was published, we learnt that the Privy Council had made a *second* Report, on the subject of the charges preferred *jointly* against Colonel Picton and Commodore Hood, by that wholesale accuser Mr. William Fullarton, F. R. S., highly honourable to the parties accused. Any person, who had laboured through the ponderous productions of the accuser's pen, would easily conceive what his feelings would be, on the total frustration of his projects, the failure of his promises, and the disappointment of his hopes. But we are not prepared to say, whether he has had again recourse to his prolific pen; because, if he had, it is possible that the deformity of its past offspring would deter any Printer from giving birth to its new produce; though persons who could write a decent hand could certainly have been found in the neighbourhood of Hounslow, to transcribe what it might have been deemed imprudent to print.—Be that as it may, some friend or parasite of this worthy gentleman, has found means to usher into the world (unknown, beyond all question, to Mr. Fullarton,) the notable production of some prostitute muse, with a view, no doubt, to gratify those amiable propensities which are so manifest in all his literary progeny.

It

It is to this production that a correspondent alludes in the following letter *.

" TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW AND MAGAZINE.

" SIR,

" A SPECULATIVE observer presumes, with becoming deference, that it is indispensably incumbent upon Mr. Fullarton, F. R. S., (the versatile pens of whose partizans are no less prolific, classically, in verse, than his own is in prose, as is fully exemplified by *his* sophistical productions in quarto, and *their* satirical effusions in demi-folio, transmitted, most courteously, to the members of his Majesty's Privy Council,) unequivocally to explain, in order to obviate unfavourable insinuations and interpretations, by virtue of what private instructions he was authorized to investigate, with the aid of his associates, the conduct of Colonel Picton, retrospectively; during his administration at Trinidad, having been superseded as governor, by the government being put in commission, and appointed a subordinate commissioner. Otherwise, it is conceived, he cannot but subject himself to be considered as an invidious, insidious accuser, or informer; nay, even supposed possibly to have been actuated by motives not very honourable, arising from disappointment in his

* To some of the copies of this precious effusion, sent by *post* to different noblemen, was prefixed the following *elegant and charitable jeu-d'Esprit*! Mais quel Esprit? L'Esprit de — Non; — L'Esprit de son Genie, le Diable!

" The first petition laid upon the table of the House of Lords by Lord Walsingham had at the top of the list of names that of THOMAS PICTON, whose unprecedented cruelties have added tenfold miseries to the unhappy African captives, and call aloud upon a British Parliament to put an end to this inhuman traffic, which *under such* British governors deluge our colonies with human blood."

So that Colonel Picton cannot, as the proprietor of a considerable estate in Trinidad, which will not only be materially injured, but totally ruined, by the abolition of the slave trade, (a measure by which *real justice* will be sacrificed at the shrine of *spurious humanity*.) avail himself of the privilege of a British subject, and petition Parliament on a matter in which his own interest is most essentially involved, without being liable to these dark and fiend-like attacks on his character. But such is the spirit which has marked all the proceedings against this gallant and worthy officer; who has had a criminal inquiry and a criminal prosecution hanging over his head for three years; during which time he has been held to excessive bail, no less than *Forty thousand Pounds*, while his absence from his colonial estate, and his inability to follow his professional pursuits, together with the enormous expense of the prosecution, for having suffered his lenity to inflict a slight punishment where his justice should have consigned the thief to the gallows, must have impaired his fortune in a very great degree. There is no punishment which the law could inflict, for any crime short of felony, so severe in its effects as that which has already been experienced by Colonel Picton, whose *innocence* has been proclaimed

his concerted views of emerging from indigence to affluence; for it appears evident to demonstration, that no such power, or authority, was legally invested in him, as first commissioner, by the public and authenticated commission, under the Royal Sign-Manual.

February 5, 1807.

PHILO-VINDEX."

We, too, have been honoured with this production, which is a most malignant libel upon many leading members of the Privy Council, who have attended the investigation of Mr. Fullarton's charges. As we think nothing can mark, in such strong colours, the genuine spirit with which the prosecution, or rather *persecution*, of Colonel Picton, was undertaken and pursued, as the paper before us, we shall not scruple to reprint it.

THE PICTON VEIL;

OR,

THE HOOD OF WESTMINSTER.

" See GRENVILLE lead the mighty troop
Of legal Statesmen in a groupe;
Dimly he views them through his Glass*,
And drills his followers as they pass,
The outward vision true 'tis dark
But had great justice's vital spark
Clear'd from dull mist the mental sight,
His Conduct then, had stood the light.
It bodes our country little good
When murder's cover'd by a Hood.

And lo! where humbled in the dust
Sits him, who holds the sacred trust,

proclaimed by the decision of one of the most competent and most honourable tribunals in the country; sanctioned too by the approbation of His Majesty! And who, and what is the man so used? As brave and as skilful an officer as any in his Majesty's service; one who has secured the applause and esteem of some of the highest ornaments of his profession; a man, with a richly-endowed and highly-cultivated mind; of most enlarged and honourable sentiments; of mild and gentlemanly manners; ardent in his pursuits; firm and resolute in the discharge of his duty; but humane, charitable, generous, and good! Such is the man whom Mr. William Fullarton has dared, in his various memorials, to brand as a murderer, as an enemy to the human race!!!—Let this self-sufficient and foul-mouthed Scot learn, from one who espoused the cause of his opponent solely from a regard to truth, and from a sense of justice, that while he would glory in the name, character, and qualities of THOMAS PICTON, not the wealth of Ireland, nor even that of India, could induce him to take those of WILLIAM FULLARTON.

" Lord Grenville wears spectacles."

Keeper

Keeper of Conscience to his King,
His own seems lost, no power to sting;
 Or it had whisper'd in his ear:
 Will't thou a murd'rer dare to clear
 And plead to the *Great Judge* of all
 That to obey proud Grenville's call
Justice and *Mercy* both must fall.

It bodes our country little good
 When murder's cover'd by a *Hood*.

Next *ELDON* comes of palsied mind
 But *half* to good or ill inclin'd,
 Still not like *ENSKINE* did he yield,
 For *three whole years* he kept the field,
 Feebly held justice with a *straw*
 Nor sanction'd murder by a law.

It bodes our country little good
 When crimes are cover'd by a *Hood*.

SIDMOUTH, who at the Board *presides*,
 By Grenville's *Fiat* he abides,
The Doctor's conscience feels no *Qualm*
 An *Opiate* has procur'd a calm.
 'Tis Grenville must the risk endure
 Should *too much blood* require a cure.

It bodes our country little good
 When murder's cover'd by a *Hood*.

ANSTRUTHER also must attend
 As Grenville's and *Lord Wellesley's* friend,
 His part to shelter *eastern crimes*,
 Guilt he won't see in *western climes*,
 His principles *must meet the times*.

It bodes our country little good
 When murder's cover'd by a *Hood*.

Here follows a stiff *legal plant*
 Master of Rolls, *SIR WILLIAM GRANT*,
 In Politicks tho' quite *ajar*
 He hopes to heal the recent scar
 If yielding now to the *great flood*.
 He helps to screen a *man of blood*
 In measured words and accents slow
 He sets at nought, *sad scenes of woe*.

It bodes our country little good
 When murder's cover'd by a *Hood*.

See! *CASTLEREAGH* with dauntless front
 Who in *Hibernia* bore the brunt
 Of *flogging, torturing* without end.
 In *soul alli'd* as *Picton's* friend,
 No wonder he his voice should raise
 To sound aloud a murd'rer's praise,

It bodes our country little good
When crimes are cover'd by a Hood.

Recorded on the list of fame
SPENCER, high stood thy honour'd name
Brought now to hide a culprit's shame,
Grenville has got thee joined with *Knaves*
To turn free Britons into slaves
Who under thee, *once rul'd the waves*.
It bodes our country little good
When murder's cover'd by a Hood.

Say MOIRA by what *Ordinance*
Dost thou with visage black advance?
'Tis *fear* has bound thee fast in chains,
Leads thee to shroud the *ghost of Haynes**,
And with fresh guilt *renew* thy stains.
It bodes the country little good
When murder's cover'd by a Hood.

WINDHAM, thy *metaphysic* mind
That turns and twists, excuse can find,
For *Baiting Bulls* or human kind,
Though *great* they call'd thee, yes, 'tis fact,
Now thou art *dwindl'd* down t'enact
Proud Grenville's purpose, or the *Training Act*.
It bodes our country little good
When murder's cover'd by a Hood.

Oh! FULLARTON the *brave* and *good*
With noble firmness, thou withstood
Torture and waste of human blood.
Long may a God of mercy spare
Thy life unto thy country's prayer,
'Gainst tyrant foes to prove her shield
Either IN COUNCIL or the field.

The very malice of the Devil is here displayed; whoever wrote it is nearly allied, in spirit, to the father of lies. Colonel Picton, against whom there is a criminal prosecution still pending, (carried on by Mr. Fullarton's Attorney,) is called a *murderer*, and some of the highest and best characters in the kingdom are branded as partisans of *murder*! The man who could write or circulate, or cause to be written or circulated, such a paper as this, under such circumstances, must have a heart as depraved, corrupt, malignant, and wicked, as the wretch who way-lays the unsuspecting traveller and stabs him in the dark. The allusion to the execution of the rebel Haynes, by command of Lord Rawdon, is rather

* "Colonel Isaac Haynes, executed by Lord Rawdon, without trial, in America."

unfortunate, though the motive of it be too obvious to escape notice. That transaction was highly honourable to that noble officer, who ever was, and is, incapable of any act incompatible with the finest feelings of honour, or the most rigid principles of justice. It is well known that Haynes had been taken prisoner, (and might, in the first instance, have been executed as a *rebel*,) and released on his parole; which he had, like numbers of his perfidious countrymen, most treacherously broken. His life therefore was doubly forfeited; and if Lord Rawdon had not made an example of him, he would have been guilty of a gross breach of duty. The words printed in Italics, "*executed without trial*," are borrowed, no doubt, from Mr. Fullarton's precious quartos of literary lumber; in which, as our readers will recollect, the arch-accuser first expressly charges Colonel Picton with having executed persons without trial, and afterwards asserts, that he did not mean to say that any person had been executed without some kind of trial. If Mr. Fullarton had taken the trouble to question his friend General Maitland, who commanded at Saint Domingo in the last war, that officer would have convinced him, no doubt, of the necessity which sometimes exists, for a military commander to make signal examples of severity, and to execute criminals *without trial*. Or, if he will seek for a less exceptionable example, he will find it in the conduct of that ever to be lamented officer SIR RALPH ABERCROMBIE, whose humanity no man will dare to impeach. The executions without trial in Trinidad were like those in America and the West Indies, acts of necessary severity, sanctioned by martial law, and prescribed by military Duty.

The idea that LORD SPENCER could join in any scheme for turning "free Britons into slaves," could never have entered the head of any human being, who knew any thing of the heart and mind of that worthy nobleman; which are calculated to do honour to any station in which it may please his Sovereign to place him. Nor could any one in whose bosom malice and disappointment did not quench every honest and honourable feeling, so libel LORD CASTLEREAGH, who is generally beloved in his native country, and who deserves to be beloved by all who know how to estimate the best qualities of human nature. But it were an endless, as indeed it is a superfluous task, to point out all the lying inventions of this miserable Poetaster, whose finale, however, it must be admitted, is admirable; for there, after having condemned some of the best and most honourable men, he, with perfect consistency, praises Mr. Fullarton, F.R.S. "Fullarton the *brave and good!!!!*" *Risum teneatis amici?* Not knowing what progress the little convicted thief and prostitute, the mulatto Louisa Calderon, has made under the tuition of the Honourable Mrs. Fullarton; we cannot say whether she is yet capable of weaving such a *black veil* as that before us; it savours so much, however, of *persecuted innocence*, that we are more disposed to assign the honour to her than to any one else; indeed, it exhibits a kind of *mulatto metre*, neither one thing nor the other, neither poetry nor truth.

It must be observed, in conclusion, that the Paper is published without the necessary addition of the *Printer's name and place of abode*. This the *law* imperatively requires; but this the *Poetaster* peremptorily forbade; but as we value the former rather more than the latter, we hereby promise a

REWARD

REWARD OF TEN GUINEAS (to be paid by the Publisher of this work) to any person who will supply such legal proof as shall be sufficient to convict the Printer who has been guilty of such a breach of the law.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE JOHN SULLIVAN.

SIR,

MEN who are conscious of having vulnerable points in their public characters, should be careful how they place themselves in situations that may lead to a retrospect of their past conduct. Well had it been for you, had you exercised this prudent caution. But in stepping forth to vindicate your fair fame, against the aspersions of Colonel Draper, for the part which he charged you with having taken in the extraordinary proceedings against Colonel Picton, you appear either to have flattered yourself, that time had kindly thrown the veil of oblivion over certain past transactions, or arrogating that respect to authority which is due to virtue alone, to have thought that rebutting the accusation by a positive affidavit, would at once decide the cause, and lay your assailant at your feet.

In our endeavours to discover truth, respect to rank and station should not carry us so far as to make us lose sight of probability; and when affidavits of different persons stand in direct contradiction to each other, we ought impartially to consider the motives and interests of the respective parties. Here, on one side, we have Dr. Lynch swearing positively to a fact, which you, on the other side, by your oath, as positively deny. He, totally unconnected, and even unacquainted, with either Colonel Picton or Colonel Fullarton, you the intimate and confidential friend of the latter. He, having no interest whatever in the question, charging you with insidious insinuations against the honour of an officer, whose praises, both from his Sovereign and his superiors in every department were then resounding in your ears;* you, having every thing dear

to

* *Extract from Lord Hobart's Letter to Colonel Picton, dated June 29, 1801.*

"The ability and zeal you have uniformly shown in administering the affairs of the island of Trinidad, and the honourable testimony borne to your conduct by the commander in chief of His Majesty's forces in the Leeward and Windward Charibbee Islands, on every occasion, have induced his Majesty to appoint you to the civil government of that valuable island; and I have the satisfaction of enclosing herewith your commission, and the instructions under His Majesty's sign manual, for your guidance in executing the duties of that office."

Another, dated July 9, 1802.

(Communicating to him the determination of His Majesty's ministers to put the government of the island into commission.)

"The experience of your conduct, from the time the island was first placed

to man at stake, to vindicate yourself from this imputation. Your affidavit, too, putting the proceedings in a shape which precludes all inquiry into the real facts or merits of the case. A civil action, in which a justification might have been pleaded, not a criminal information, which bars it altogether, is the mode of prosecution to which a mind conscious of right, and anxious for complete vindication, would naturally have been expected to resort; for deceit may seek a covering, but truth goes naked and without disguise.

When conflicting testimonies are put into the opposite scales of justice, the weight of character will incline the balance; and this reflection led me to inquire into your past conduct. Had that been ever pure and noble, had a high sense of honour distinguished your career through life, had integrity stamped your conduct in every public situation, I should have declared it impossible that you could in this instance have acted so unlike yourself, and at once have acquitted you of the imputation.

The experience which I have had of mankind, has taught me to judge of men by their associates; and I confess, that on this principle, my expectations of finding any very immaculate character among those who honoured Mr. Fullarton with their friendship and patronage, were not very sanguine. I gave the public the result of my first researches, (which fully justified this sentiment,) in the history of your transactions with the ship *Elizabeth*; intimating my intention to resume the correspondence, when the trial of your criminal information against Colonel Draper should have taken the seal off my lips. Thus much, however, I may and will now say, that, in my humble opinion, no impartial man, who has attended to the conduct of Colonel Fullarton from his first arrival in Trinidad *, (even

placed under your charge, has induced His Majesty to select you as one of the persons to whom this important trust shall be confided."

Another, dated July 19, 1802.

"The first official notification I have received of any dissatisfaction at your government, has been from yourself; and I can only observe, that the zeal and ability you have uniformly shown in maintaining the security and tranquillity of the island, during the very critical period of your command, would alone call upon me to receive any accounts of that kind with the greatest circumspection."

Lieutenant-General Grinfield, Commander of the Forces in the West Indies, to Lord Hobart, dated August 11, 1803.

"Circumstances unexpected by Colonel Picton, or by any other person, have placed him for a little time in a disagreeable situation; but I am fully persuaded his general conduct has been such as will convince the world of his merit, and his fame will rise the higher for the unmerited persecution under which he now labours."

* In less than six weeks after his arrival, on the 12th of February, 1803, he made the following motion in council:

"That there be produced a certified statement of all the criminal proceedings which have taken place since the commencement of the late government;

(even as described by himself,) and noticed the various unguarded admissions in his writings*, can otherwise than suspect that a plan was concerted against the honour of Colonel Picton; and that his colleague would not have acted as he did, unless he had been previously assured of powerful support and protection.

In resuming my correspondence with you, I did intend, in the discharge of my duty as a good subject, at once to have appealed to those who preside over the affairs of the state, whether a man who had demeaned himself as you have done in your concern with the ship Elizabeth, was fit to hold any situation of public trust or emolument, or to be admitted into the councils of his Sovereign? The period for this appeal is not yet ripe; but the summary of your narrative of attested facts shall not pass without immediate notice.

It is, I believe, the first instance on record, of any man premising what he evidently meant as his vindication, with an absolute avowal of the whole offence of which he was accused: unqualified too with one single sentiment of shame or contrition, but urging, as his sole extenuation, that others were as bad as himself. Are vice and virtue then convertible terms? and does the former change its nature, and lose its turpitude, when sanctioned by example? As Regulus justly says, in Metastasio,

“ Dunque un delitto

Scusa è dell' 'altro:' E chi sarà più reo

Se l'esempio e' discolpa? †

You tell us, with all the sang froid imaginable, that “in the year 1772, the embarrassed state of the company's affairs in England having made them judge it expedient to limit the remittance through their treasury to a very small amount, and at a very reduced exchange, the necessities of their numerous servants abroad compelled a very general recourse to foreigners; and the French governors of Pondicherry and Chandernagore became the medium of British remittance between India and Europe, and continued to be so until 1775, when they failed in debt to British subjects nearly one million sterling; and that it is in evidence upon the records of the company, that your negotiation with the French house of Admyrauld, relative to the ship Elizabeth, in which you ultimately became interested,

government; together with a list, specifying every individual, of whatever country, colour, or condition, who has been imprisoned, banished, fettered, flogged, hanged, burned, or otherwise punished; also specifying the dates of their respective commitments, trials, sentence, periods, of confinement, punishments, and of all those who have died in prison.” Vide Colonel Fullarton's Statement, &c. p. 44.

* “Nothing but indications of coinciding sentiments, on the part of Commodore Hood, could have encouraged me to undertake *a task so delicate and so arduous.*”

“From the moment of my first arrival in Trinidad, I felt so much delicacy on the subject of the relative situation in which I was placed by superseding Governor Picton.”—Vide same work.

† Is then one crime an excuse for another? who shall hereafter be guilty, if example be a justification?

was

was concluded in September 1776; that it was undertaken *solely with a view to the remittance of property from India*; and that the mode of remitting through foreigners had originated in a necessity which the exigency of the company's affairs had imposed upon ALL their servants."

Now either these assertions are true, or they are false. If they are true, what a scene of iniquity is here disclosed! Speculation and rapacity carried on to such an extent, by the servants of the East India Company, that in three years they lost near a million of money, illegally remitted through the channels of the governors of Pondicherry and Chandernagore alone; exclusive of the remittances made through the medium of all the Danish, Swedish, and Dutch settlements; and those in British ships, which probably were as considerable as they thought they might decently acknowledge to be their honest earnings. Indeed, when it is recollected, that about the same period fortunes were made in India with such rapidity, that one of the company's servants actually came home one of the richest of the very rich men of the east, before even the return of the ship in which he went out, we cannot wonder at the magnitude of these clandestine remittances through foreign and illicit channels; and may be tempted to give more credence to this part of your narrative, than is consistent with the honour of the British name or nation. But if your assertions are false, they are the most audacious libel on the servants of the East India Company, that ever issued from the pen of any man who laboured to degrade others to a level with himself; and they give every gentleman, whose character may be affected by them, a right to call upon you to except him by name from the slander. It would be but justice in you, to prove your assertions at the expense of the guilty alone, and exculpate the innocent, by printing a list of the names of the parties, and the sum in which each was interested, to the extent of nearly this million of money; so that the public may know the men, who thus violated their duty to their employers, and infringed upon that charter which they had solemnly covenanted to preserve inviolate.

Your connection with Messrs. Admyraulds does not appear to have been so entirely the result of accident, as you wish to have it understood. Surely it would have been more desirable for you, in your improved state of health, to have taken your passage for England, where you might have received the affectionate attentions of your relatives and friends, than to throw yourself among strangers and foreigners. Even if the climate of France had been recommended for your complaints, would you not rather have flown to breathe the balmy air of Montpellier, than have staid among the pestiferous marshes of l'Orient, had not considerations of interest detained you there? You admit that the necessities of the company's servants in India had compelled a very general recourse to foreigners; and the failure of the French governors of Pondicherry and Chandernagore in 1775, would naturally lead them to look out for new mediums of remittance in 1776. Is it uncharitable then to suppose, that you had been previously apprized of the secure channel which might be found for drawing your property from India through Messrs. Admyraulds, and that your object in embarking for l'Orient was to make the necessary arrangements in person with those gentlemen for so doing? It would seem too as if the way had been paved for this negotiation; for you only landed at l'Orient in August, and you say it was *ultimately* concluded

concluded in September. Indeed, Mr. Mowbray declared, in his examination, taken at Madras, that your partner and fellow passenger, Mr. Whitehill, asserted his motive for taking a share in the ship to be, his wish to serve two French gentlemen, one of whom was Captain Crozat; a pretty plain proof that they were not new acquaintances, and that this was no casual but a premeditated concern.

Though you plead guilty to the charge of illicit trade, you take extraordinary pains to justify yourself from any imputation of a more serious nature, by stating a variety of circumstances which you say are in evidence upon the records of the East India Company.

You first state, that "the Elizabeth sailed from France in March 1777, at a period of *profound peace*," which is not exactly in unison with what immediately follows, "that she was destined for the most limited voyage, namely, to the coast of *Coromandel only*, and that express orders were given for her being dispatched, *at the latest*, in the spring of 1778." Why this so limited destination? Why these express orders for her immediate return, unless some apprehension of hostilities then actually existed? Besides, it appears from the examinations taken at Madras, that she was principally loaded out with French troops, and military stores for the use of the French government. None of these circumstances being indications of *profound peace*, I was led to look over a file of newspapers, as being the best criterion of the impression which then prevailed on the public mind; and taking up the Morning Post for the year 1777, I had got no farther than the 7th day of January, before I found the following paragraph:

"Extract of a letter from France, dated Dec. 28. They have given orders to arm all the ships in France, and to press all their seamen, in all their ports. They have taken sixty at Calais, three hundred at Dunkirk, and two hundred at Boulogne, besides numbers at the other ports; and have given orders for taking thirty thousand boatmen on the different rivers. All these preparations menace an approaching war; and the more so by a letter shown at Calais from Paris, saying, orders are to be given for the land forces preparing by the 1st of January, and for augmenting the companies from one hundred and sixty to two hundred men each. They talk much of assisting the Americans by the 1st of February. The latter, by Dr. Franklin, have lately made very advantageous proposals to France, which have been accepted."

"Nothing shows clearer the apprehensions ministers have of a war, than the little time the Admiralty has given for the building of frigates, which are put out to the merchants' yards."

Whatever then may be in evidence upon the records of the East India Company, it is in evidence upon the records of the daily papers, that so far from this being a period of *profound peace*, France was making great naval and military preparations; that her assisting the Americans was the subject of general expectation, and, that Great Britain was increasing her navy with all possible expedition. Two months more elapsed, after all these warnings, before the French armed ship *Elizabeth* sailed from port l'Orient, loaded with French troops and military stores for Pondicherry; and you, not only a British subject, but a servant of the East India Company, and then at home, with every opportunity of communicating with Messrs. Admyraulds, still retained your interest with
than

them as an owner of this ship. Had you no feelings of compunction? or, confiding in the secrecy and discretion of your associates, did you say to yourself with the venerable abbot of Boccacio, when the frailty of his nature was at once assailed by temptation and opportunity,

“ Egli non sapra, persona mai, é
Peccato celato e mezo perdonato.”*

You farther state, “ it is in evidence that the governor of Pondicherry, did, by an act of coercive authority, impress this ship, the Elizabeth, into the service of the French government; in which service she was held by two succeeding acts of similar coercion, which acts placing her under the immediate controul of the commander of the Pourvoyante frigate, unhappily occasioned her to be assisting to that frigate in the capture of the Osterley Indiaman.” I entertain no doubt, but that such acts of the French governor did exist. They probably were suggested, as prudential expédients; and from the good understanding that subsisted between him and your brother owner, Mr. Whitehill, then governor of Madras, were very easily procured. But unless her going on this cruise had been preconcerted at Madras, in the expectation of hostilities taking place, how came the Elizabeth to be furnished with intelligence of the precise period at which the British ships were to be dispatched, (as the French commodore boasted to Messrs. Parry and Barwell, was the case,) and with a set of British signals? The examinations taken by the Court of Inquiry at Madras, prove that no person but the Governor, or those to whom he gave his confidence, had access to the signals, or could give copies of them. Indeed, the man who can profit by a crime, is the most likely man to commit it; and no other person was ever suspected. As far as that internal evidence, on which the truth of Divine Revelation itself rests, can impress conviction upon the human mind, these circumstances prove, that this cruise was planned at Madras, and that it owed its success to the foulest treason.

You then tell us, “ it is also in evidence, that you continued in Europe from August 1776, until February 1781; that is, nearly two years subsequent to the unfortunate capture of the Osterley: and when you were informed by a letter of the 5th June 1780, that the house of Admyraulds had made a claim in favour of the ship Elizabeth to a share in the prizes, you did instantly, upon the receipt of the said letter, utterly disclaim and renounce all participation therein, and withdrew yourself altogether from every concern with Messrs. Admyraulds.” In confirmation of the latter part of this statement, you subjoin the following notarial attestation, which I have translated, as it contains matters not undeserving of notice. “ This day appeared before the undersigned, Counsellors and Notaries of the Chatellet at Paris, the Sieur Francois Gabriel Admyrauld, merchant, of Rochelle, but now residing at No. 14, Rue St. Joseph, St. Eustache; who being desirous of doing homage to truth, and of complying with the wish expressed by Mr. John Sullivan,

* No one will ever know it, and the sin that is well concealed is half excused.

has declared and certified by these presents, that the said Mr. John Sullivan, originally concerned with him this appearer, and the late Mr. Pierre Gabriel Admyrauld, his father, in fitting out the ship *Elizabeth*, Captain Crozat, to trade in India, has received no share whatever of the prize money arising from the capture of the British ship *Osterley*, taken by the *Pourvoyante* frigate and the said ship *Elizabeth*; that as soon as Mr. Sullivan was informed of this capture, and of the right which the owners of the *Elizabeth* had to share in it, he expressed himself to this appearer and his father, joint owners of her, in a letter, dated the 20th of July 1780, to the following effect:

"That however considerable his share of the prize-money might be, as it was taken from his own nation, he could not reconcile it to himself to receive it; that rather than enrich himself by the misfortunes of his countrymen, he would resign his share to the other owners; that he desired at the same time to be no longer considered as having any concern in the said ship, and requested us to release him from it, only requiring the reimbursement of his advances with interest, at the rate of 5 per cent. per annum. That this proposal having been accepted, and carried into effect, purely and simply without any other advantage to Mr. John Sullivan, he thenceforth became a stranger to the said ship *Elizabeth*; had no longer any claims upon, and really had no share, directly or indirectly, in the division made between the other owners of the proceeds of the said ship, nor of those arising from the capture of the ship *Osterley*. In witness whereof, &c."

No man of common observation can avoid noticing, that this renunciation made in July 1780, was imperiously prescribed (to say nothing of the original impropriety of the engagement,) by the state of public affairs, long before the *Elizabeth* sailed from France in March 1777; and your laboured detail about the protracted dispatch of the ship in India, and hostilities having unexpectedly commenced, is completely refuted by the extracts from the public papers, which I have already quoted. It now appears, that though the war had actually broken out in 1778, though the *Elizabeth* had been sent to cruise as an armed French ship, though she had captured the *Osterley* in the month of August of that year, that no overture was made on your part to relinquish this concern till the 20th of July 1780; and then under what circumstances? After the Directors had ordered an investigation at Madras, into the transactions respecting the ship *Elizabeth*, in consequence of the letter written to them by Mr. Thomas Parry, (now Deputy Chairman,) and Mr. Daniel Barwell, passengers on board the *Osterley*, at the time of her capture, stating, that she belonged to *British owners*. After suspicions had already gone abroad against you, and when the pains and penalties of treason might have attached upon you too, had you received the prize-money, which you now make a merit of having relinquished.

Even then you coupled this proposal with a stipulation, that your French partners should reimburse you all your advances with interest at the rate of 5 per cent. per annum. As there had been "unforeseen delay in the provision of the goods for the return cargo, and the period for the dispatch of the ship had been protracted," it is evident that this delay and protraction of her voyage must have occasioned great expense and disadvantage to the owners; and therefore we may fairly presume, that the loss upon this ship would have been considerable, but for the

capture of the *Osterley*. This occurrence made it the interest of your partners to accept your renunciation on the terms you proposed; and thus you contrived at last, to reap some advantage from this prize money, by securing to yourself an indemnification against loss, though a prudent regard to consequences induced you, however tardily and reluctantly, to give up your full share of the profit.

On a review of all the circumstances connected with this transaction, I am willing to believe that you originally engaged in it, as you aver, "solely with a view to the remittance of property from India;" but surely you were not to learn, that principles obta should be the great rule of moral conduct; or that the man who engages in one unlawful act, is often imperceptibly, and almost inevitably, led to the commission of others. I could illustrate this reflection, by the narrative of a case, probably within your own recollection: the love of money, (that root of all evil,) was supposed to have led to illicit trade, illicit trade to treason, treason to perjury, and perjury to suicide. An expurgatory affidavit, therefore, may not always be a proof of the innocence of the party; it may be resorted to, as the last dreadful expedient of guilt driven to desperation.

You lay great stress on the testimonials given you of the faithful discharge of your duty in the high situations you held in the Company's service. Lord Macartney, speaking of you, says, *His mind is awake to every object within his reach, or within his view*; and if his Lordship alluded to lucrative objects, perhaps your concern in the ship *Elizabeth* might have suggested to him the propriety of this eulogium. But have you no recollection of a circumstance, which induced that nobleman, at a different period, to express unequivocal and unfavourable sentiments of your conduct? I should refresh your memory, but that the discussion in this letter would lead me too far from my immediate object. The Council of Madras too join in your praises: but you have yourself invalidated the effect of their testimony, by declaring all the Company's servants to have been violators of their duty; and partners in iniquity must not be permitted to vouch for each others characters. You are here in a miserable dilemma. You must either recant the stigma you yourself have fixed upon these men, or you must resign all credit from their testimonials in your favour. Indeed, when we have seen such a person as Mr. Fullarton, publish a volume of similar testimonials, (the number of which has been swelled by your own signature too,) * we may correctly judge how far we ought to estimate their value.

You lay great farther stress on the favourable impression entertained of your conduct by the Court of Directors, who reinstated you in the service of the Company, after having directed an inquiry into the transactions respecting the ship *Elizabeth*, in which you were suspected of being implicated; and a majority of whom, after having mulcted you 4,000*l.* for your concern in these transactions, you say, separately assured you of their support, if you succeeded in an application to the Minister, with a view to obtaining the government of Madras. Your canvassing the Directors for this important appointment, after what had so recently passed between you and them, does infinite honour to your modesty; and I presume your ingenuity must have converted the affair of the ship

* Note Col. Fullarton's Statement; Appendix of Testimonials.

Elizabeth

Elisabeth into a strong ground of pretension to their favour on the established maxim, that an old smuggler makes the best custom-house officer. As the Directors, like other great men, may, perhaps, sometimes make promises without intending to fulfil them to the very letter, and as this hopeful project was not carried into execution, I shall dismiss it without any farther comment; but the circumstance of your having been reinstated in the service of the company, being notoriously matter of fact, requires some explanation.

The practice that has been too prevalent in the direction, of expiating almost all offences by fines, instead of dismissal from the service, has, I fear, from the light you have thrown upon the subject, originated in a conviction that abuses among their servants are so general, so deeply rooted, and so strongly supported by powerful interest at home, (probably of men who teach their successors the arts by which they themselves rose into fortune,) that a system of severer justice could not be carried into effect. As Solon said of the code of laws which he framed for the Athenians, 'they are not the best that might be, but as good as the Athenians are able to bear.' This system, however, obviously encourages the servants of the East India Company to defraud them; for if they succeed in the attempt, they pocket the whole of their ill-gotten gains; if they are detected, they are only obliged to refund them in part. One of their captains was fined 2,000*l.*, a few months ago; and having good interest, was almost immediately afterwards appointed to a Bombay and China voyage, the profits of which will probably compensate him tenfold. This is a mockery of justice, and destructive to the best interests of the Company. Applying these remarks to your case, what does your being reinstated in the service prove? That the Directors were satisfied with your conduct? No such thing. Their general system, which I have just explained, completely disproves the assertion.

If my remarks are thought to convey any reflection upon the conduct of the Directors, they must look to you for reparation. You have branded all the Company's servants who were in India, at a certain period, with the charge of having violated the trust reposed in them; nor have you given any reason to presume that the practice has since altogether ceased. Now, Sir, I ask you, whether a considerable proportion of those very Directors who passed sentence on you, had not formerly themselves been in India in the service of the Company? A reference to the list of those who were in office in the year 1788, will prove this to be the fact; and if, by your own account, your accomplices sat among your judges, no wonder that your sentence was so lenient. Indeed they seemed themselves to think it required some apology, by offering reasons as extenuations of your offence, which appear as aggravations of it, to every unprejudiced mind. The first, is the great length of time that had elapsed since the transactions happened. You perhaps can tell us, by whose influence and intrigues, their orders to investigate those transactions had so long been baffled. The next, and only other, is, your general merits in the important stations which you had held under the Company. But the higher the example, the greater the mischief, and the more exemplary should be the punishment. Unfortunately, in the first part of your defence, you have imputed self-interest and corruption to the very men, from whose favourable disposition towards you, you attempt afterwards to derive advantage; and until a clear explanation is given of the mysterious

terious part of this transaction, over which the Directors *felt themselves constrained to throw a veil*, you being reinstated in their service, may be imputed to far different motives from such as would re-establish your fair fame in the public estimation.

Imprudent, unhappy man, whose weak attempt at vindication furnishes fresh grounds of accusation against yourself! You have rashly threatened * to appeal to the public. Your accuser will follow you to that tribunal: and if you already writhe under the lash of just censure, what will your feelings be, when those temporary considerations which at present restrain his pen, as well from the development of other facts, as from the observations arising out of them, no longer bind him to forbearance? He gives your friends too warning, that in aiming his blows at you, some of them may chance to fall on those by whom you are surrounded and protected.

Feb. 10, 1807.

VALERIUS PUBLICOLA.

The Rev. J. Wheeler's Vindication of himself against the Charges of VERITAS, in a Letter to a Friend.

DEAR SIR,

THE well known liberality of your mind, and your rooted aversion to indiscriminate censure, have induced me, on the present occasion, to apply to you, though not of the Catholic persuasion, to enable me, by your kind and friendly co-operation, to wipe from my character, as well as from my religion, aspersions, at once the most scandalous and unmerited, which, without the smallest provocation on my part, have been recently cast both on the one and the other. Your sentiments and mine, it is true, my dear Sir, on religious matters are not in every respect precisely the same: but the difference which subsists between us in our mode of thinking, does not create any jarring or unpleasant discord in our feelings, capable of disturbing that delightful concord, which results from the harmonious concert of hearts attuned to each other, and beating together in perfect unison to the celestial chord of universal charity. The cause which has given rise to this application, is a most illiberal and unjust attack which an anonymous writer has thought proper to make in the Anti-Jacobin Review for the Month of December 1806, page 446-7, both upon myself, and the religion which I have the honour to profess. This attack is contained in a letter to which is affixed the signature of *Veritas*: and in a narrative written by the same author, which he professes to have taken nearly *verbatim* from the *Times Newspaper*. Each of these papers I shall consider separately, and I hope I shall be able to prove to the complete satisfaction of every candid and impartial mind, that the allegations adduced are as destitute of foundation, as they are scandalous and shameful. In the Letter it is asserted, that the report of the trial which took place on the 4th of July, 1806, as published in the *Times*, has since been rendered authentic, by a letter from Mr. Wheeler, exculpating his Bishop, but leaving the charges against himself unanswered, and even undented, of course acknowledged true before the pub-

* The Narrative and Statement of Facts, addressed to the Court of Directors in 1788, by Mr. Sullivan, and said to be reprinted in 1807, has not yet been announced to the public.

lic. But that letter neither undertook, nor was it intended either to exculpate the Bishop, or to answer the charges brought against myself, but was merely an introduction to the subsequent clauses which had been before omitted in the statement of the trial, and the insertion of which I then conceived a sufficient vindication of the characters of all the parties accused. Did the anonymous writer mean to include in the letter which I published the clauses which immediately follow it, and to assert, that in *them the charges against me are left unanswered and even undenied?* If so, he has hazarded an assertion, which I know not by what means he will be able to justify. For in the very first of these clauses, Sir V. Gibbs, my very able advocate, is stated to have assured the court on my behalf, (I may also add, at my very particular and urgent request,) that the charges brought against me were wholly without foundation. In the second, Lord Ellenborough is represented to have observed in substance, that the accusations against the defendants were to be considered of no weight until they were proved by evidence. And in the third and last clause, Mr. Clifford, who was counsel for Dr. Milner, is said to have positively and specifically declared, that no such influence as had been ascribed to me, was ever used in the sacred rite of confession. How then could the author of the anonymous letter so far forget himself as to assert unequivocally that the charges against me had been left unanswered, and even undenied? How could he presume to fix the signature of truth to the most gross and manifest deviation from it? How could he betray such a want of respect for the public at large, as to wish to persuade it, that charges which had been indignantly and energetically repelled, had been acknowledged true at its awful tribunal? How, in opposition to the authoritative decision of the learned judge upon the bench, could he dare to give weight to groundless allegations, which his Lordship had declared to be of no weight at all? And though even the charges had remained unanswered and undenied, would any candid and unprejudiced mind give credit to them unless they were supported by some substantial proof? Does silence under such circumstances necessarily imply the consciousness of guilt? Are there no other motives whatever, which may dissuade a person of a quiet disposition to obtrude himself upon the public in vindication of his character? May not his love of peace, his aversion to notoriety, or even his contempt of the imputations themselves, be sufficient to prevent him from making any reply? For my own part, did I not feel myself called upon by a duty which I owe to my religion, which, together with myself, is meant to be involved in the guilt with which I am charged, I am not certain that even the present attack, scandalous and unjust as it is, would have provoked me to utter a word in my defence, though in the estimation of the anonymous Letter-writer, and of such, as, like him, judge without candor, and condemn without proof, my silence might cause me to pass for a self-convicted culprit.

Having said thus much concerning the contents of the letter, I now proceed to the consideration of the subsequent narrative. In the first place, then, as the anonymous Author professes to have *taken the trial nearly verbatim from the Times Newspaper*, I would ask him, in what part of that daily print mention is made of Dr. Milner's *chicanery with Mr. Taylor*, or of the *horrid oaths* which he has stated him to have uttered? The fact is, that no such expressions, nor any thing tantamount or similar to them, are any where to be found in the statement which that paper

paper has given of the trial. And it is no more than what in justice is due to Dr. Milner, to assert in his vindication, that his profound sentiments of religion, and his high respect for the sacred character with which he is invested, would never allow him to degrade himself by such irreligious and immoral conduct.

The next circumstance mentioned is equally devoid of truth with that which I have just adverted to. It represents Mr. Gabb to have been introduced by me as apothecary to the family of the prosecutor during the declining state of his since deceased wife. Whereas, it is a well known fact, that Mr. Gabb's family, and that of the Prosecutor, had been on terms of intimacy, (I speak much within bounds, when I say) for more than a twelvemonth before Mrs. Taylor's illness, and that Mr. Gabb had attended her in his professional capacity, long before her confinement. That Miss Maria Gabb assisted the prosecutor's wife, during her last illness, I do not wish to deny. She had a sincere regard for that truly estimable and excellent woman; and was anxious, by her cares, her assiduities and attentions, to administer to her every comfort, which her situation would admit of. And I appeal to the Rev. Mr. Green, brother to the late Mrs. Taylor, and to Miss Green, her sister, if the services which Miss Maria Gabb rendered to their common sister, were not in their estimation highly meritorious? But to pretend, without any foundation whatever, that they were done *with a view of becoming her successor*, is an assertion to which I disdain to make any reply, and which must argue in a person who should not be ashamed to utter it, an indelicacy of feeling, and a baseness of disposition, of which the most illiterate peasant would blush to be thought capable. With respect to what is afterwards advanced, that I was constantly whispering the praises of Miss Maria, and extolling no less her sister Miss Anne, when the first project failed, whom I am supposed to have attempted to introduce to the prosecutor, (though it is well known, that I was at the distance of 240 miles from town when the courtship commenced, and was not acquainted with it till my return to London, which was about a fortnight from that period;) those assertions, as they are destitute of truth, so they are too ridiculous to merit a serious refutation. I shall therefore pass them by with the contempt they deserve, and baste to the consideration of a more weighty charge, which, on account of its tendency to injure my character, and to reflect disgrace on the religion which I profess, I deem worthy of more particular attention.

The charge in question imputes to me, in the actual performance of one of the most sacred duties of my profession, a shameful prostitution of my ministerial functions which, if true, would subject me, and that too, most deservedly, I am willing to acknowledge, to the utmost severity of reprehension. I will give it, as nearly as possible, in the words of the anonymous Letter-writer. After having observed that an epistolary correspondence had subsisted between Miss Anne Gabb, and Miss Anne Taylor, the prosecutor's daughter, and that it was material to secrete many of the letters which the latter had received from her young female friend, (for such Miss Anne Gabb was at that period,) he states, *that in order to get possession of this correspondence when Miss Taylor was making her confession to Priest Wheeler, he refused to grant her absolution, unless she delivered to him all the letters! that the young lady, with more firmness than could be expected, resisted the demand, but that, on consulta-*

tion

tion with her father, he advised her to accede to it. Such is the very grave and momentous accusation which I am now called upon to answer, and I am ready to confess, that it does not materially differ from what was brought forward against me by the prosecutor's leading counsel in the Court of King's Bench, on the 4th of July, 1806; of course, and indeed as that eminent Counsellor declared most pointedly, by the particular instructions of his client.

In order to a full, and, I hope, satisfactory refutation of this very serious charge, it is necessary, my dear Sir, that I should make you acquainted with certain measures, subsequent to the trial, which I judged it to be expedient to adopt for the vindication of my character. A very short time had elapsed from that curious trial, (which terminated in the acquittal of all the parties accused, and that too, the most honourable that could be, on the sole evidence of the prosecutor himself,) when, after having taken the advice of my friends, I drew up a letter, which I presented to Dr. Douglas, the Catholic Bishop of the London district; and in which, having stated to him the opprobrious charge which had been brought against me in the Court of King's Bench, I solicited a fair and impartial investigation on the subject. The Bishop very properly acceded to my request.

He was attended on the occasion by half a dozen of the most respectable Catholic clergymen in London, one of whom is known to be Mr. Taylor's particular friend, and who was selected on that very account by my special desire. Mr. Taylor and his daughter were also previously informed of the investigation which was to take place, and it was signified to them that their presence would be desirable on the occasion. An inquiry, and that too a most rigorous one, as I wished it to be, was actually instituted by the gentlemen already alluded to. Both Mr. Taylor and his daughter made their appearance. And the result was such, as the consciousness of my innocence could not but induce me to anticipate. It terminated in a full and complete conviction of my exemption from the smallest blame; and, to use the words of one of the respectable clergymen present, which I now have by me in his own hand-writing, of my never having used any such influence as had been ascribed to me, but of having acted prudently, honourably, and as becomes an enlightened minister of the Gospel.

Having delivered to you this statement, every word of which I can prove to be true, it is proper for me to observe to you, that even Miss Taylor herself, in her allegation on this occasion, did not pretend that I refused to grant her absolution, unless she would deliver up the letters, or that I exercised the influence of which I am accused, during the time of confession; but she positively and repeatedly asserted, that it was afterwards, when that sacred rite of our communion was entirely concluded. But, my dear Sir, even that charge, mollified as it is, and disvested of some of its most aggravating circumstances, I do most flatly and unequivocally deny. And I declare most truly, and am willing, if necessary, to confirm my declaration by the most solemn appeal to Heaven, that not only I never did employ any influence, direct or indirect to obtain at any time the letters in question, or any other papers or letters from Miss Anne Taylor, or from any other person, but that I never even had it in contemplation so to do. For my part, I am at a loss to conceive any inducement which could have suggested to me the thought

thought of applying for them. The letters alluded to I had never seen, I was ignorant of their contents; I was never requested to apply for them, nor was any wish to recover them intimated to me. What motive then could possibly prompt me to engage in such an undertaking? Besides, the letters were never given up to me, but, as I understand, to Miss Anne Gabb herself, at her own particular request, when by mutual consent an exchange of letters which had passed between herself and her friend Miss Taylor took place; and that too full six or seven weeks after the latter addressed herself to me for the last time in my professional capacity. Truly, if the cession of these letters is to be ascribed to my influence, it must have been very slow and gradual in its operation! It is worthy also of remark, that Miss Anne Taylor, after having applied to me for the last time as the director of her conscience, (which was on the 4th of June 1801,) passed the remainder of the day in company with Miss A. Gabb.

Now, as she was at that time on terms of the strictest intimacy with her, is it not probable, that, had I behaved to her in the manner as above described, she would have given some intimation of it to her friend? Not a single word, however, did she let drop on the subject. Moreover, Miss Anne Gabb herself is ready to declare, that it was not till after that day, viz. the 4th of June, that she began to entertain any wish to have her letters restored to her. How, then, could it occur to me to ask for them, at a time, when not only I had never seen them, was totally unacquainted with their contents, and had never been desired to apply for them, but when even Miss Anne Gabb herself, the person supposed to be principally interested in the surrender of them, had not yet, I do not say expressed, but conceived even in mind, a desire of recovering them? But though I could not possibly have had any reason whatever to use the influence which has been attributed to me; can the same be said of my accuser, the daughter of the prosecutor, in charging me with the exercise of such influence? May not she have been actuated, in this business, by some motive foreign to that of zeal in the cause of truth and justice? May not her affection for her father, and her wish to serve him, have so far influenced her understanding, as to persuade her that that remarkable sentence disclaiming any promise of marriage on the part of her father, and quoted by the anonymous writer, was inserted in one of Miss Anne Gabb's letters, though Miss Anne Gabb herself most positively denies it? And not being able to produce the letter, (for it never *was* produced, nor does the statement given in the Times newspaper, from which the anonymous writer pretends to have taken his account nearly *verbatim*, assert that it was;) may she not have been induced to imagine that I had prevailed upon her to deliver it up? The force of imagination is known, from experience, to have a very powerful influence upon some minds. Must it not, also, appear very extraordinary, that the father should not only have allowed, but *advised* his daughter to part with a letter from which he might have demonstrated from her own acknowledgment that he was under no engagement to Miss Anne Gabb?

Let then the evidence on each side of the question be fairly and impartially weighed. On the one hand, you have the bare assertion of one private individual, without even the shadow of a proof in support of the charge. On the other hand, you have my positive denial against it, which I am willing to confirm by oath. Had I nothing more to add in my defence, surely my denial should, in strict justice, be deemed of equal
might

weight with that individual's assertion. And, therefore, setting aside every other circumstance, candor, it might be thought, would, even in that case, prescribe, at least, a suspension of judgment, and could never authorize that rash, hasty, and inconsiderate condemnation of my conduct, which the very candid and charitable author of the anonymous Letter has not hesitated to pronounce. But I have added, in fact, a great deal more in my defence. For I have produced in my justification the strongest collateral evidence which the circumstances of the case will admit of, and sufficient, I trust, to procure for me a favourable verdict at the bar of every candid and impartial judge. Should misrepresentation and calumny, however, those restless enemies to the repose and happiness of mankind, still persist, in spite of every thing, to discharge against me their envenomed shafts, I will endeavour, at least, to render the tranquillity of my mind inaccessible to their assaults, by encompassing it around with an adamant rampart, with the inward approbation of conscious rectitude.

Hic murus aheneus esto,
Nil conscire sibi, nulla pallescere culpa.

And mindful of that solemn and consoling declaration of the Divine Author of the Christian Religion, in his admirable sermon on the mount, *blessed are ye, when men shall revile you and persecute you, and shall say all manner of evil against you falsely for my sake.* It shall be my constant study to await with patient and submissive resignation that great day of general manifestation and final retribution, when the Sovereign Judge of the universe will, in the language of the Apostle, *bring to light the hidden things of darkness, and will make manifest the counsels of the hearts; and then shall every man have praise of God.*

Not content with aspersing my character, the anonymous Letter-writer has also proceeded to attack, and that too in the most injurious terms, that particular tenet of the Catholic Religion usually denominated *auricular confession*; which he has not hesitated to call the *iniquitous and abominable rite of the Popish Church*. Was this zealous champion of the glorious Constitution of his country in Church and State aware, that by these unguarded and opprobrious epithets, which he has thought proper to affix to the rite of confession, he has not only employed a language which the principles of his own Church do not authorize him to use, but censured with asperity, what that Church, both in her public offices, and her instructions to the faithful, unequivocally recommends; and controverted in the most unbecoming and disrespectful manner the avowed sentiments of the most learned and celebrated divines of his own communion? In perusing the Thirty-nine Articles, in which several of the doctrines of the Church of Rome are rejected and condemned, I do not find a word to the disparagement of confession. In the Communion Service, as it is given in the Book of Common Prayer, it is recommended by the minister in the following words: *Wherefore, if there be any of you who by this means cannot quiet his conscience heretofore, but requirerth further comfort or counsel, let him come to me, or to some other discreet and learned minister of God's word, and open his grief, that by the ministry of God's holy word, he may receive the benefit of Absolution.* And in the order of the visitation of the sick, the minister is likewise directed to move the sick person to make a *Special Confession of his sins,*

if he feel his conscience troubled with any weighty matter. In the Second Part of the Sermon on Repentance, in the 2d Book of Homilies appointed to be read in Churches, in the time of Queen Elizabeth, and pronounced by one of the Thirty-nine Articles, to contain *godly and wholesome doctrine*, the same practice is declared to be proper in some circumstances. Of the great number of Protestant Theologians, who have written in favour of Private Confession in particular cases, I shall select two, whose authority, whether we consider the high rank which they held in the Church of England, or their acknowledged abilities and learning, must certainly be allowed to have great weight. The divines to whom I allude, are those two very learned and respectable dignitaries, Dr. Tillotson, Archbishop of Canterbury, and Dr. Sharpe, Archbishop of York. The former of whom, in his 107th Sermon, has these remarkable words: *as for our confessing our sins to men, both Scripture and reason do in some measure recommend and enjoin it.* And the latter, in language still more forcible, inculcates the same doctrine, in the Seventh Sermon of the 7th volume of his works. *No one Protestant, says that eminent Prelate, as far as we can judge by the public declarations of their faith, is against Private confession. Nay, they are so far from being against it, that they advise it and recommend it in sundry cases, as a most excellent instrument of repentance.* From the authorities which I have cited it appears, that the main difference between the principles of the Protestant and Catholic Church on the subject of Private Confession, is this, that whereas the former judges it to be *expedient in some cases*, the latter declares it to be a duty of *indispensable obligation*, whenever a person, whose conscience labours under the guilt of heinous sin, which is called mortal, is able to have recourse to it. But if the rite be in itself, as the anonymous writer pretends, iniquitous and abominable, the practice of it, instead of being deemed in some cases expedient and salutary, should be rejected in every case as unlawful and intolerable. Thus has this inconsiderate man, in attempting to inculcate the Catholic Religion and its ministers, incautiously involved his own Church, together with its most venerable prelates and divines, in the same imputed guilt.

Such, my dear Sir, are sometimes the consequences of that contracted bigotry, that vulgar prejudice, and despicable illiberality to which you are known to entertain so decided an antipathy. The good effects of Private Confession, whatever the anonymous Author may think, are great and numerous. Through its means sinners are oftentimes reclaimed, the afflicted are consoled, enemies are reconciled, property is restored to its right owner, and reparation made to injured character. And were the author of the letter and narrative, which I have undertaken to refute, a member of that church on which he has bestowed such a profusion of illiberal abuse, he may rest assured, that no clergyman of the Catholic persuasion would grant him absolution; unless he would consent to make a retraction of the scandalous, unfounded, and unjust charges, by which, without the smallest degree of provocation, he has attempted to injure his peaceable and unoffending neighbour in the estimation of the public. As to what he has asserted respecting the dark schemes, the tyrannic power, and the alarming influence of Popish priests, the imputations are really too ridiculous and absurd to deserve notice. I shall therefore conclude this long epistle, by observing to you, that you may judge of the accusations which are frequently adduced against the Catholic Church and

and its adherents, from the specimen which has been given on the present occasion; the generality of which, if duly examined, would be found to be equally devoid of foundation with those which I have used my endeavours to refute.

I remain, dear Sir;

Yours very sincerely,

Clinto,
January 20th, 1807.

J. WHEELER.

Remarks on Shakspeare.

SIR,

IF at any time you should happen to have a page or two of your valuable miscellany undevoted to more important matter, and shall think the following remarks on our great bard and his commentators worthy of insertion, I shall be glad to see them occupy the vacant space. Shakspeare certainly is overlaid; and, as Steevens observes, in one of his notes,

"Ipse lates penitus congesto corpore."

It is, however, his merit that has brought this inconvenience upon him; since every one wishes to contribute, to the utmost of his power, to elucidate an author who gives such general pleasure, and who so well deserves that illustration which, in consequence of the lapse of time, and the variation of our language, is become, in a great degree, necessary.

The edition from which I quote is that of 1803, *Twenty-one Volumes* 8vo!!

Should these remarks be thought worthy your acceptance, I shall occasionally offer a continuation of them, I am, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

London, 1806.

SIGMA.

Remarks on the TEMPEST, and on some of the Notes of SHAKSPEARE'S Commentators.

Tempest, Act 1, Scene 1, Note 1, P. 5, Dr. Johnson observes, that this naval dialogue is, perhaps, the first example of sailors' language exhibited on the stage. In "*Hycke-scorner*," (see Hawkins's *Origin of the English Drama*, vol. i. p. 87,) we meet with this passage:

"Hycke-Scorner

Ale the helme ale ver shot of vere sayle vera,
Frewyll.

Cockes body, herke, he is a shyppe on the see."

See also Pp. 104, 105 of the same volume:

"As leaky as an unstanch'd wench. [Unstanch'd, Steevens is willing to believe, means *incontinent*. Probably it does; and not that only, but a wench suffering the consequences of incontinency, afflicted with a gonorrhœa, and therefore *leaky*. So stanch, is, actively, *to hinder from running*, and in a neuter sense, *to cease from running*. See St. Luke, viii. 44. "And immediately her issue of blood *stanch'd*" &c.

Act 2, Scene 1, "*It (sleep) seldom visits sorrow*." [Dr. Young seems to have had this passage in his recollection, in the opening of his *Night Thoughts*:

———"Balmy Sleep

——— the wretched he forsakes,

Swift on his down pinions flies from woe,
And lights on lids unsullied with a tear."

Act

Act 4, P. 145, "*Some lime.*" [In addition to Steevens's note at the bottom of this page, it may be observed, that in Jonson's *Bartholomew Fair*, Winwife asks the cut-purse, "*How now, Lime-trig? hast thou touch'd?*"

Act 5, P. 162. "*— Now all the blessings
Of a glad father compass thee about.*" [Psalm xxxii. 10.
"Mefey shall compass him about."

Act 5, P. 168. "*His mother was a witch; and one so strong
That could controul the moon, make flows and ebbs.*"
[*Illa reluctantem curru deducere lunam.*

TWO GENTLEMEN OF VERONA.

Act 2, Scence 1, P. 210. "*How now, Sir, what are you reasoning with yourself?*" [Dr. Johnson very justly explains *reasoning* by *discoursing, talking*, and says, it is an Italianism. It may be so, but the word is frequently used in this sense both in the Old and New Testament. Take one example only: St. Luke v. 22. "*What reason ye (λογίζεσθε) in your hearts?*" Messrs. Steevens, Henley, and especially Malone, have shown in their notes, that Shakspeare, to use the words of Dr. Caius, "*has pray his Bible well,*" having not only transferred many of its beautiful sentiments, but also much of its peculiar phraseology.

Act 3, Scene 1, P. 238. "*Heap in your head
A pack of sorrows, which would press you down,
Being unprevented, to your timeless grave.*"

[Is it improbable that Shakspeare here had in his recollection the pathetic expostulation of Jacob, (Gen. xlii. 38.). "*Then shall ye bring down my gray hairs with sorrow to the grave.*"

Act 3, Scene 1, P. 243. "*What lets.*" [Let for hinder was very common in our author's time. "*We are sore let and hindered.*" 4th S. in Adv. Liturgy. One of Archbishop Cranmer's injunctions is, "*concerning the Letters or Hinderers of the Word of God.*"

Act 3, Scene 1, P. 245. *Phaeton*, &c. [Might not Shakspeare have found "*this scrap of mythology*" (see Steevens's note,) in Golding's translation of Ovid?

Act 4, Scene 4, P. 289. *Such a colour'd periwig.* [This "*adscititious ornament*" is mentioned among other articles of women's dress, under its original title "*perruque*," whence "*periwig*" is derived, by Ben Jonson, in his *Epicæne*, Act 1, Scene 1. "*Is it for us to see their Perukes put on, their false teeth,*" &c. "*Fonce followed a rude fellow into a chamber, where the poor madam, for haste, and troubled, snatch'd at her Perruke, to cover her baldness, and put it on the wrong way.*" I have not Whalley's edition, and cannot, therefore, tell whether it has been remarked that these speeches of true-wit, as well as many others of his, are translated from Ovid. Art. Amal. Lib. iii.

Act 4, Scene 4, P. 292 *Unseeing eyes.* ["*Eyes have they, but they see not.*" (Psalm cxv. 5.) "*Because they seeing see not.*" (Matth. xiii. 12.) S.

To the most Rev. the Archbishop of CANTERBURY.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACE,

MEN in power are perpetually subjected to applications and addresses from the needy and the speculative part of mankind. To him who

COR-

consider himself as acting for the public, the opportunities of promoting the good, and correcting the evils of mankind must form his most substantial pleasures. He will not consider the party to which the object may be long, but his character and his distress; nor will he turn a deaf ear to advice which may proceed from an unknown source. But to him who considers and values his office and his station in a commercial point of view; who thinks, that every step he rises should be estimated only as it promotes his private interest; to him, every call either upon his person or his time; that is not immediately connected with the business of his office, will be considered as impertinent and obtrusive, and will be "shuffled off," with a haste and impatience nicely balanced against the consequence and the interest of the person who makes the application. With the fullest conviction that your Grace does not belong to this latter class, I take the liberty of making this intrusion; confident that you will listen to me with patience; will consider with attention what I may have to say; and, as far as you are able, promote the correction of evils, although they may be pointed out to you by an anonymous correspondent.

When I disclaim all pretension to discoveries which have escaped the penetration of other men, as well as to the invention of remedies for abuses which no other man has had the sagacity to apply, your Grace may justly demand my reasons for this public address; they are briefly these: To act the part of a ~~memorandum~~ ^{memorandum}, not indeed to your Grace, but through you to the community at large; to point out some abuses and irregularities in the Ecclesiastical Polity, which every one sees, which every one laments, which every one wishes to be corrected, and yet which no one attempts to correct. And yet they are what all are concerned to correct, and what all may assist in correcting. If these things are not corrected and amended; and that speedily, it is to be feared that they will finally bring down upon us that destruction, which, to every serious mind, appears to be suspended over our heads.

Your Grace must be well aware, that even men in the high stations of life are not always able to reform those irregularities, which they may see and lament. Every minister of the Gospel, from the Primate to the Curate, must frequently have undergone the severe mortification of feeling his own inability in this respect. It requires a strong and general union to correct long standing abuses. An union, not of power only, but of judgment also, "lest with the tares the wheat be rooted up." Now such addresses as this; and the following ones, which I hope to have the honour of making, through the medium of your Grace, though they may not immediately affect their object, yet will they from time to time serve to rouse the attention of the public, and may eventually succeed by repetition.

As loyal motives, and the peculiar cast of the times have, no doubt, restrained many a virtuous pen from holding up to public view the abominable conduct of some persons of exalted rank, lest they should be the means of fanning into flame the yet glowing embers of sedition; so the fear of affording a triumph to the enemies of our religious establishment, has no doubt prevented many from urging the necessity of—I will not say reform, but amendment. It by no means follows, that by acknowledging the existence of some irregularities we shall thereby concede anything to our adversaries. Whatever partakes of humanity, must partake of

of imperfection. The human body may have some defects, which, if corrected in time, may neither injure the vital parts of the constitution, nor the beauty and symmetry of the person. Intemperate zeal in the cause of religion, like every other species of intemperance, must be bad in its consequences. It is my intention to address your Grace, from time to time, on ecclesiastical persons and places. While I express myself with calmness and moderation on these subjects, and with that deference and respect which are due to your Grace's public and private character, I shall hope to gain from your Grace a patient hearing, and from the public at large, that co-operation and assistance which it is my object to obtain.

I have the honour to be,

Your Grace's most obedient servant,

near Wolves, Jan. 3, 1806.

FREDERIC DE COVECEY.

THE DEATH OF BUONAPARTE.

A DREAM.

WAS it a dream that o'er me came;
As late upon my couch I lay;
Or, fill'd with a prophetic flame,
Did Fancy but the truth portray?
Methought I stood transported far
Amidst Moravia's fields of war,
Where, by the hated tyrant of the world,
Ambition's bloody standard was unfurl'd,

'Twas closing day, and sinking fast,
The Sun with pallid orb declin'd;
Swift to the horizon scudding past,
The scatter'd cloud's obey'd the wind:
Portentous lightnings flash'd—the west
Seem'd with a lurid radiance dress'd;
While here and there an ebon cloud unroll'd
Its giant form cast in fantastic mould.

Mix'd sounds of horror fill'd the air;
The battle's dreadful din prevail'd;
The yells of fury and despair,
The groans of death my ear assail'd;
But soon th' exulting victor's shout;
Joyful proclaim'd the total rout,
Still deeper sounds of warlike triumph rise,
“Europe rejoice, for Buonaparte dies,”

Sudden methought his form appear'd
Swift rushing o'er the shad'wy glade;
Now was his brow commanding rear'd,
And now he shrunk as if dismay'd.
Safe from pursuing foes at length,
His trembling sinews lost their strength;
And as a rocky glen, his footsteps found,
O'ercome, he sunk exhausted on the ground.

It chanc'd a rifted oak lay near,
 (Once the proud monarch of the wood,)
 Whose vig'rous roots for many a year
 Firm, 'gainst the wintry storms had stood :
 Prostrate at length the fallen trunk,
 As prone the hatred tyrant sunk,
 (His splendor faded, and his glory fled,)
 Became the pillow for his throbbing head.

Disturb'd and broken slumbers came,
 Deep horrors lab'ring at his breast :
 Convulsive spasms ran o'er his frame,
 As by some inward fiend possess'd.

And, lo! before his half-clos'd eyes
 Some dreadful vision seems to rise—
 A train of fancied forms that slowly pass,
 As guilt to conscience holds the faithful glass.

First Jaffa's murder'd troops appear—
 From Syria's coast their spirits come,
 To strike the Tyrant's heart with fear,
 To blast his hopes, to seal his doom.
 Each as he pass'd indignant frown'd,
 And pointing shew'd his mortal wound ;
 Not gain'd in honourable war,
 But giv'n by Treachery's hand more cruel far.

Next Gallia came, thy hapless hands,
 Their pallid shades show stalking on,
 In vain on Egypt's burning sands,
 Tyrant! for thee they dare to die!
 When sickness rag'd, ungrateful Chief!
 Thy hellish hands prepar'd relief,
 The conscious tyrant starts—his eye-balls roll,
 And, terror-struck, turn from the poisonous bowl!

Then pass'd with slow and solemn gait,
 D'Enghien, thy foully murder'd shade ;
 Victim wike of fear and hate,
 As birth adorn'd, and honour sway'd ;
 Vincennes, thy dark, ill-omen'd wood
 Beheld the dreadful deed of blood ;
 But Gallia dar'd not meet the hero's look,
 And foreign hands the murderous level took.

Last in the sad procession came
 (That memory's horrid pencil drew,)
 A youth already known to fame,
 And dear to Briton's valiant crew.
 The Temple's blood-stain'd walls can tell
 The direful secret how he fell ;
 What base assassin dealt the deadly blow,
 That laid, alas! the captive hero low.

He bears no more—his heaving breast
 A thousand horrid tortures tear,
 He starts from sleep, by Hell possess'd,
 And rushes on, he knows not where.
 He treads the rocky verge of death,
 The deafening torrent foams beneath;
 Headlong he falls amidst the awful roar
 Of elements, and sinks to rise no more.

G. C.

TO THE EDITOR.

SIR,

THE above was written prior to the fatal battle of Austerlitz*, at the close of the year 1805, and when better things were hoped for. Though, unhappily for mankind, the accomplishment seems far off, yet as the dream is most certainly in strict sympathy with the feelings of every man in Great Britain, and almost every man in Europe, you may, perhaps, think it not unworthy of appearing in the pages of the Anti-Jacobin, which have ever been devoted to the execration of the tyrant.

I am, Sir, your humble servant,

10th Jan. 1807.

G. C.

EPIGRAM on Two deceased Statesmen.

Non WINDHAM, not DOYLE, sed *Veritas* loquitur.

BRITANNIA's boast, her glory, and her pride,
 PITT in his country's service liv'd and died;
 Firmly resolv'd at length like Pitt to do,
 Fox ~~never~~, to serve his country—Fox died too.

TO CORRESPONDENTS.

"The *Uti Possidetis*, and the *Status quo*," shall certainly appear in the next Number; and we shall be happy to receive farther communications from the same intelligent and able correspondent.

* The recent defeat of the tyrant in Poland, will, we trust, tend to realize the author's hopes, at least, it will give an additional interest to his *Dream*.

THE
ANTI-JACOBIN
Review and Magazine,

&c. &c. &c.

For MARCH, 1807.

Qui veut être prudent doit se ressouvenir
De ne promettre rien qu'il ne puisse tenir.

ORIGINAL CRITICISM.

Letters to a Young Lady, in which the Duties and Character of Women are considered, chiefly with a reference to prevailing Opinions. By Mrs. West, Author of *Letters to a Young Man*, &c. 3 vol. 12mo. PP. 1462. Longman and Co. 1806.

THE duties and character of women are subjects of such vast importance to society, whether regarded in a religious, a moral, or a political point of view, that every author who directs his mind to a consideration of them is entitled to particular attention. But whatever subject Mrs. West undertakes to discuss, must, independently of the interest naturally attached to it, derive an adventitious consequence, from the justly acquired celebrity of the author. That celebrity, arising out of strong talents, judiciously exerted, and sound principles, properly applied, imposes a duty upon critics, to examine all her productions with a severely scrutinizing eye; that no beauty may pass undiscovered, and no defect escape unexposed. In order, then, to discharge this duty, as far as we are able, we shall make each of the three volumes before us the subject of a separate article. On an attentive

NO. CV. VOL. XXVI.

P

perusa

perusal of the first, to which our present comments will be limited, we have found not a little to commend in the *matter*, and very much to censure in the *manner*. This volume contains, a prefatory address, in which the author assigns her reasons for writing the present work; and five letters. The first of the letters contains a sketch of the design; the second, treats of the original destination of women; the third, of the change of manners in the middle classes; the fourth relates to absurdities and licentiousness among women of fashion, a copious and almost an exhaustless theme; religious knowledge, and the *peculiar notions of Calvin*, form the subject of the fifth. Our readers will, probably, be disposed to inquire what the last of these topics can have to do with the duties or character of women? and we confess our inability to give a satisfactory answer to the question. In her first letter Mrs. West expatiates, with great truth and equal feeling, on an evil which we have long deplored, and to which we have vainly endeavoured to direct the public attention.

“ The society which young women who are devoted to a life of fashionable amusement frequently meet, creates a species of danger which in the present times is most truly alarming. The unblushing effrontery with which women of doubtful or lost character obtrude themselves upon public notice, is a marked characteristic of the age we live in, that was unknown to our ancestors (except perhaps, [certainly] in a profligate reign,) and strongly demonstrative [demonstrates] that the out-posts of female honour are given up. What can more tend to debase the purity of virtue, and to enfeeble the stability of principle, than to find that a notorious courtesan retains all the distinctions due to unspotted chastity; nay, even to see her pointed out as a most engaging creature, with a truly benevolent heart; while all retrospect of her flagitious conduct is prevented, by the observation, that we have nothing to do with people's private character? Can we wonder, that, since the age is become so liberal, profligacy should not feel the necessity of being guarded in its transgressions?

“ If we turn from these flagrant violations of divine and human laws, which even the grossest depravity cannot justify, nor the most subtle sophistry palliate; may we not, in the licensed freedom of modern manners, trace many deviations from rectitude and delicacy? To what description of conduct must we refer that marked attention which married women permit from fashionable libertines? Is it compatible with any of the peculiar traits of the matronly character, prudence, decorum, and consistency? What is that mode of dress which they sanction by their example, the expense to which they devote their fortunes, or the amusements to which they sacrifice their time? A young woman who now adventures into the labyrinth of life, has more to fear from the seniors of her own sex, than from male artifices: the Lovelaces and the Pollexfons have not indeed totally disappeared from the circle of fashion; but it is not youthful beauty, and virgin innocence, that now attract their pursuit. While the sprightly spinster waits till the coquettish wife dismisses her wearied Cecisbeo, to yawn out an unmeaning compliment to the immature attractions of nineteen, she must console her chagrin by resolving to take the first

first offer that she can meet with, provided the creature possesses the requisites of wealth and fashion, to enable her to revenge her present wrongs on the *past* generation of beauties, and in her turn to triumph over the *succeeding*."

This is a melancholy, but, unhappily, a *true* picture of modern profligacy, as displayed in the conduct of females in those particular instances. Though the remarks be general, yet the most careless observer of public manners will find no difficulty in applying them to individuals. Often have we exclaimed against the daring attempt to break down the barriers between virtue and vice, by the admission of vicious characters into virtuous company. The practice, however, to the disgrace of the age be it said! still continues to prevail, though less so in the *particular instance* which extorted our strongest animadversions, than formerly; but even here, the amendment has proceeded less from a sense of shame or of duty, than from a very different cause and motive. This is an evil, the cure of which depends more on women than on men; and, if the former neglect to apply the remedy, which they hold in their own hands, their criminality will be great indeed. In some cases, it is true, the fault may rest wholly with the latter; for we have heard of men, who have been base enough, either from vanity or from interest, to compel their wives and daughters to court the society of a prostitute and adulteress; but it can scarcely be doubted that the same men would connive at prostitution or adultery in their daughters and wives; for the same sordid and dishonourable motive which leads them to degrade themselves and their families, in the one instance, would scarcely fail to produce a similar effect in the other. As to the licentious demeanour of married women, it is, like many other vicious practices, an imitation of the *French*. Every body knows that, in France, even in its best days, the marriage tie, generally speaking, was treated with contempt; and that the marriage ceremony was considered as a dispensation from the observance of virtuous conduct, and as a license for systematic profligacy. From a more polluted source it could not possibly spring.

In this letter also are some good observations on the effect which the kind of *reading* (for it cannot be called *study*) most in vogue has on the female mind. Many of them imbibe that doctrine, so admirably calculated to afford nourishment to vanity, the doctrine of *human perfectibility*; others read only to kill time: and frivolous and trifling as they will; probably, be rendered by devouring, with avidity, the ordinary trash of a circulating library, we agree with Mrs. West in giving them a decided preference over the "petticoat philosopher who seeks for eminence and distinction in infidelity and scepticism, or in the equally monstrous extravagancies of German morality." Precious wives these female philosophers would make!

In the second letter some judicious remarks occur on theatrical entertainments.

"Surely it is in our power to banish from the stage, not only what is offensive to decency, [the licentious song of *Miss Bailey*, for instance,] but what is seductive to principle. I even think that we might extend our proscription to what is grossly unnatural and absurdly improbable; at least we might only leave a sufficient quantity of these latter qualities to exhilarate the spirits, and relieve the sombre effect of graver scenes. I have no *austere* design of banishing [to banish] wit, or even broad humour, from our theatres. The laughable equivocal, and all the extravagance of low character and absurd situation, shall retain their place: they are truly English, and may be so managed as to be perfectly innocent; I only declare my hostility to practical jokes, extravagant grimace, irreverent allusions to sacred subjects, and, above all, to that inexhaustible fund of profane swearing, which liberal actors always keep at hand to supply the mental poverty of *necessitous* authors. I enter my strongest protest against the *wit* of an oath; and, maugre the authority of Sterne, I even doubt its *benevolence*. Surely, the ancient expletive of a cough, or an application to the cambric handkerchief, were better substitutes for the barrenness of the author's brains, or the inattention of the prompter. The vocabulary of blasphemy is too limited to permit the lover of novelty to enjoy his darling gratification; and, as Acres humourously observes, 'Nothing but their antiquity renders oaths respectable.' The most that can be done by the brightest imagination, is to ring changes upon a few worn-out curses, by way of proving *its* supreme contempt for the institutions and religion of *its* country, [the country of an imagination!] and of instructing a full assemblage of high-born beauty in the language of Wapping and Billingsgate. In private society, the presence of a woman is considered by all well-bred men as an insurmountable restraint on this impious propensity: does the number of the offended diminish or increase the insult? are the penalties which our ancestors wisely imposed on prophaneness *suspended* in the theatre? These reflections are equally appropriate to those species of literary composition to which female cognizance or dominion extends. By steadily opposing and limiting the circulation of what is reprehensible, we might teach authors and publishers to feel a respect for public morals."

That this advice, to extend the censorial powers of females over the theatrical and literary world, may be followed, we heartily wish. Certainly women have it in their power to do much good, in this respect, as in numberless others; and they who feel conscious of possessing the power, without having the will of the resolution to exercise it, have a serious weight of responsibility cast upon them. Adverting to those female assertors of the *rights* of women, who have appeared in these later times, and who, in their furious zeal to assert *imaginary rights*, have wholly lost sight of *real duties*, our author observes:

"Though I am inclined to think highly of my own sex, (so highly, that I fear all my claims in their behalf will not be readily allowed,) I confess that I can see nothing in the Utopian scheme of an Amazonian republic, which is not in the highest degree absurd and laughable. My conviction,
that

that we should make wretched generals, patriots, [not wretched patriots surely?] politicians, legislators, and advocates, proceeds from *my*, never having yet seen a private family well conducted, that has been subjected to female usurpation. Notwithstanding any degree of science or talent, which may have illuminated the fair vicegerent, the awkward situation of the good man in the corner has always excited risibility, and awakened such prying scrutiny into interior arrangement, as has never failed to discover 'something rotten in the state of Denmark.' For, alas! it is not only the temperament of our virtues which indicates the necessity of our being shielded from the broad glare of observation; there is, generally speaking, (and, you know, Providence acts by general rules both in the natural and moral world,) too much impetuosity of feeling, quickness of determination, and locality of observation, in women, to enable us [*them*] to discharge public trusts or extensive duties with propriety. The warmth of our hearts, overpowers [*counteracts*] the ductility of our judgments; and, in our extreme desire to act *very* right, we want forbearance and [the spirit of] accommodation *, which makes our best designs often terminate exactly opposite [*oppositely*] to what we proposed. The qualities that [*which*] we possess are admirably fitted to enable us to perform a second part in life's concert; but when we attempt to lead the band, our soft notes become scranell and discordant, by being strained beyond their pitch; and our tremulous melodies cause a disgusting dissonance, if they attempt to overpower the bold full tones of manly harmony, instead of agreeably filling up its pauses."

There are much good sense and plain truth in these reflections, however unpleasant they may sound in the ears of a Woolstoucroft, a Robinson, or a Hayes.

The subject discussed in the third letter is one of the first importance; as a change of manners, which tends to destroy that virtuous distinction which the *middle classes* in this country have ever enjoyed, cannot be viewed without the most serious apprehensions of approaching ruin. "The middle classes," says Mrs. West, "where temperance, diligence, and propriety used to reside, the favourite abode of rectitude, good sense, and sound piety, have undergone a change within the last fifty years which must startle every considerate mind; so far as it relates to women, either as to the cause, or [as to] the cure, it presents a topic demanding our close attention."

The first cause assigned by our author for this alarming change, is the vast influx of wealth in the commercial world, and the use to which that wealth is applied. The citizen vies with the peer, in pomp, splendour, and expense; while his wife and daughters ape the females of fashion. Hence the distinctions of society are confounded; a breach is made in the social pyramid; and the whole edifice is disfigured. If

* When a person *wants accommodation*, he wants to be accommodated himself, and not to accommodate others, which Mrs. West meant to say.

these servile imitators continue to thrive, in a worldly sense, it is well if the spirit of imitation do not extend from the follies to the vices of their superiors; and if their resources fail, as is very often the case in a contest between the precarious profits of commercial speculation, and the certain returns of hereditary wealth, ruin ensues, and the daily papers proclaim the splendid imprudence of bankrupt folly.

It is a too prevalent evil in these classes of society to outlive their means; and to assume an appearance and a mode of life *above* the situation which they occupy. Upon such extravagance, and its effects, Mrs. West makes many pertinent and sensible remarks. The following contrast between the sleep of the peasant and the restlessness of fashion's devotee, is well drawn.

“ ‘The sleep of the labouring man is sweet,’ says the inspired penman; and surely nothing is so delightful, as, after a day spent in the peaceful exercise of some honest calling, to sink upon our pillows, conscious of well-meant endeavours, and confiding in that God who has promised to accept them. Fatigue of this kind never injures the animal frame; it is repaired by rest and refreshment; and the morning, which renews the ~~debts~~ *debts* for exertion, revives the *power* of compliance. The fatigue which arises from excessive dissipation is of another description. The exhausted body has lost the ability of resuscitation; the clamour of music, the clashing of carriages, assail its feverish slumbers; the mazes of the dance, and the glare of theatrical scenery, still flit before the frequently unclosed eyes; the passions are not yet calm in the throbbing bosom, envy enumerates the ornaments of a rival, and chagrin dwells upon the slight curtesy of an opulent acquaintance. The votary of pleasure rises unrefreshed, and a listless yawning morning is the penalty which she must pay to nature for having forced her beyond her ability. These are the moments that engender spleen; the dissatisfaction that [which] she feels is averted from herself, on whom it ought to fall; but as she really is unhappy, it must fix somewhere. Very probably she will discover that her evening's chagrin was owing to her husband, who either *looked* as if he did not wish her to go out, or *prevented* her [from] making a more pleasant party, or *restrained* her expenses, or *dropped* some harsh expression which broke her spirits, always meek and tenderly sensitive. Perhaps the children are troublesome, cross, humoursome, and want more attention than she has leisure to give them; or perhaps the French governess may be negligent, the Abigail impertinent, or the cook tipsy. It is happy when the disease fixes in some remote part, and only prompts the sufferer to treat her family with a detail of the cruel usage that [which] she has undergone; and a pathetic explanation of the extreme hardship, that she, who has such a relish for pleasure, should never be permitted to taste it. Mistaken creature! who told thee that this world was made for butterflies? Call me not too severe, nor suppose that I overcharge the portrait; I know the depravity of the human heart too well, (shall I own too experimentally?) not to be convinced, that they who have no time for self-consideration, and religious communing, may be esteemed fortunate if they do not fall into still grosser faults and misfortunes.”

Another

Another great cause of the change of manners in the middle classes, is the practice of educating girls in such a manner as not only not to qualify them for the situations which they are destined to hold in life, but an utterly to disqualify them for such situations. But the subject of education being discussed by Mrs. West in a subsequent volume, we shall reserve our own observations upon it, until we come to notice that part of her work; and in the mean time we shall extract another passage or two from the letter before us.

“ Let us look back on the times that are just past, and estimate the present by them. Soame Jenyns's popular description of the embarrassment of a country knight's family at an unexpected visit, would not now suit the domestic situation of a creditable farmer. Several steps in society have therefore been passed in the progress of refinement since the publication of Dodsley's *Miscellanies*. I have heard a well-attested tradition of a country lady, who was the heiress of large possessions, and, what was then called, genteelly educated. It was determined by the females of the family, met in council upon the occasion, that she should appear in the great hall clear-starching lawn ruffles, when she received the first visit of a favoured admirer. It is impossible to calculate how many degrees of manners are here passed, since the few who still continue to be notable blush to be thought so; yet this event happened about the beginning of the last century. I need not multiply anecdotes of this kind; the archives of every family can supply numerous attestations in point.”

Some just remarks follow here on the change in the mode of living of the lower classes of society, which is great and striking. And, it is lamentable to know, that the profligacy and depravity of workmen and artisans have increased in exact proportion to the increase of wages! But this is a topic too pregnant with important consequences to be satisfactorily discussed in a cursory manner.

“ These,” says our author, “ are the effects [certainly not the necessary effects] of flourishing trade, and prosperous manufacture: Are they symptoms of national prosperity, or internal decay? Allow me to quote the words of an eloquent writer, (Dr. Middleton,) who, having observed that this country was flourishing in all the arts of civil life, remarks, that ‘ perhaps it is running the same course which Rome had done before; from virtuous industry to wealth; from wealth to luxury; from luxury to impatience of discipline, and corruption of morals; till, by a total degeneracy, and loss of virtue, being grown ripe for destruction, it falls a prey to some hardy oppressor; and, with loss of liberty losing every thing that is valuable, sinks gradually again into its original barbarism.’ Such an oppressor seems near at hand.”

We trust there is no *such* oppressor at hand; that we are running much the same course as Rome formerly ran, and as is here so eloquently described, is too obvious a fact to admit of a doubt; but there are circumstances so dissimilar, in the situation not only of this country, but of the surrounding nations, and particularly of that nation which the oppressor alluded to governs, and those of ancient Rome and of her enemies;

mies; that no parallel, we think, can be fairly drawn between the two countries. We admit, indeed, that a perseverance in vice and profligacy *may* draw down the vengeance of Heaven upon this kingdom; and that it *may* seem good to the Almighty to employ such an instrument of punishment, as the blood-stained tyrant of France; but this admission is very different from the conclusions drawn from a similarity in the moral and physical circumstances of the two countries.

In her fourth Letter Mrs. West proves that she has formed a very correct estimate of that strange compound of impudence and folly, ycleped "The Rights of Women;" the passage is too good, not to be quoted.

"I have quoted from a book, which, by supereminent absurdity and audacity, exposed to profound contempt the principles that [which] it meant to support. It, indeed, amazed and confounded for a day; and it received all the assistance which an elaborate analysis could bestow, to elevate it into lasting celebrity. It was soon found, however, that the times were not sufficiently illuminated to bear such a strong doctrine; and the disciples of the school of equality have since found it more convenient to gloss, and soften, and misrepresent. The same democratic principles, however, pervade many popular works, especially dramatic performances, to which the *privileged* orders (as the nobility and gentry are cabalistically called) have most unwisely lent their patronage; and that not merely by countenancing the author, or applauding the scenic representations, that [which] are deeply tainted with the leaven of democracy. Party rage may now boast the same sacrifices as public virtue formerly enjoined; and though we have not our Curtii or our Decii, who *immolate* themselves to save their country, we have many men of birth and rank who seem inclined to pile their possessions and honours on the very brink of a precipice, to exalt the union of a faction which they espouse. The first people in the kingdom have not scrupled to support, not merely the *equality*, but the *supremacy* of the mob, during the frenzy of a democratical contention for parliamentary honours; and thus they virtually signed the testimonial of having long usurped unjustifiable ascendancy, and the certificate of their deserved degradation; little thinking that the sentiments and principles which they instilled into their clamorous adherents, would abide with them, and produce serious effects, when the temporary purpose for which they were promulgated was forgotten. How far ambitious motives may justify gentlemen in thus endeavouring to *assassinate* [rather to commit suicide on] their own importance, is not the present question. [It is a matter which does not admit of a question.] Modern patriotism may determine that it is noble to reverse the part of Sampson when he was prisoner among the Philistines, and to pull down the pillars of your own state, when you find that you cannot climb into its upper story. But since our sex are happily *prevented* from engaging in those turbulent scenes, by native delicacy, by regard to their general reputation, and even by their fears, I do not feel myself called upon to vindicate them from the charge of being accessory to that general contempt for their superiors, which is so marked a feature among the populace. Imbibing the spirit of Mrs. Candour, in that masterly

terly (though, in some respects, dangerous) play, 'The School for Scandal,' I am resolved 'let the newspapers say what they please of canvassing beauties, haranguing toasts, and mobbing demireps,' not to believe one syllable, and if 'I repeat such anecdotes,' it is only to usher in my observation, that the world is grown so censorious, it even credits *impossibilities*. I wish I could acquit the illustrious culprits of every other proof of their being concerned in a conspiracy against their own order and consequence, with as much expectation of being *credited*, at least by my country readers.

So far Mrs. West has, most disinterestedly, pleaded the cause of rank and hereditary wealth, against some of their most unworthy possessors; for unworthy indeed are they, who, ungrateful for the temporal blessings which they have derived, not from their industry, exertions, or merit, but solely from the bounty of that good Providence whom it hath pleased to place them in such a situation of life; and who has given them the good things of this world, not to be used for their own pleasure and advantage exclusively, but for purposes of general good; for to whom much is given, of him will much be required. Mrs. West's sentiments on this subject are so correct, that it would be unjust not to extract them.

"But though I profess myself a steady advocate for that gradation of wealth and rank, which, if not positively appointed by God in Scripture, is there shown to have been nearly co-eval with the world that [which] we inhabit; and which is not only the natural consequence of the moral government of the Almighty, but also the medium through which he thinks fit to convey a greater portion of happiness to the human race than it could otherwise enjoy; I am not so infatuated, as to maintain that the blessings of education, wealth, rank, leisure, authority, and reputation, are granted to a few with uncontrollable occupation; but rather that their possessors should employ them to the benefit of the whole community; that such as labour may not have cause to reproach those who rest, for being drones in the state. The God and Judge of the whole earth does not bestow his spiritual or temporal blessings by any arbitrary rules of unconditional preference. When a talent is given to any one, an account is opened with the giver of it, who appoints a day in which he will arrive, and redemand his own with usury."

She then proceeds to show, that the lot of the great and the wealthy is not so enviable as the poor are apt to imagine; that enjoyments, possessed without limits and without reserve, soon pall upon the sense, leave a thousand evils behind them; and that, independently of the great responsibility attached to the possession of worldly advantages, it is but rarely that they produce that happiness which is the object of every man's wishes in this world, and the legitimate end of all his pursuits. Having dismissed this subject, she next directs her attention to that passion for *notoriety*, which *vanity* engenders, which *folly* foment, and to which *vice* ministers.

"Aware of the evanescent nature of that celebrity which is only founded

founded [founded only] on expensive inventions, some ladies of high ton have cherished the Satanical ambition of becoming pre-eminent in vice. Adopting the horrid sentiments ascribed to the Prince of Darkness; they declare, by their actions, that 'to reign is worth ambition, though in Hell.' They have, therefore, torn off those coy disguises in which sinners of past times enveloped their enormities, and with unblushing fronts have proclaimed to the questioning world, that they 'dare do every thing, because they dare.' Their contempt of reputation, and bold defiance of mankind, were soon discovered by a species of writers that [who] are fellow-labourers with those whom I mentioned in the beginning of this letter; these wishing to reduce the world to an equality in insanity, as the former do to introduce equality of misery. Aware that this marked effrontery of character shocked the feelings of all beholders too much to gain converts, they invented a set of phrases, which softened its atrocity, and at the same time preserved its publicity. I know not where this new mode of language originated; but as it consists in nothing but the *inversion* and *perversion* of terms, it cannot be considered as any great proof of genius. It has been as eminently successful in the diplomatic papers, and other state fabrications of our Gallic neighbours, as the wand of Mercury in Dryden's *Amphytrion*; and has actually either dreamed the world to sleep, or taught them [it] that 'black is not black, nor white so very white;' so that, though a sound more threatening than the Indian war-hoop bellowed in their ears, they persist in calling it the peaceful lullaby of their innocent rocker. John Bull's natural aversion to *Mounseer's* cradle has hitherto prevented him from being completely swaddled; but his disposition to believe that people are what they call themselves, makes him run some danger of being duped by a misconception of the words patriot, honour, and independence. The principles of John's wife have been attacked in a stronger manner by those liberal apologists for vice and folly, who, setting out, perhaps, with a mis-application of a Scripture text in praise of mercy, or enjoining charity to repentant sinners, soon proceeded to infuse into the unwary mind a *charity that is not Scriptural*, by apologizing for sinners *who do not repent*, nay, who glory in their crimes. Hence the unreflecting, but well-meaning reader, who possesses much candour and little information, is led to believe, that the perjured adulteress, from whom she shrunk with abhorrence, may be a most *amiable, elegant, interesting* creature, with only *one* failing: but then that heart was so benevolent, so condescending to the wishes of others, or perhaps so sincere, so incapable of disguising its own emotions, that it could not sacrifice what it felt to be its *invincible* propensities to the opinions of the world; which, after all, (for nothing is certain,) are, perhaps, only founded on the dictates of prejudice. Here the guileless readers, whom I have supposed attending to this new ethical lecture, will, perhaps, start; that they are then gently reminded, that freedom of thought is the indisputable privilege of the inhabitants of this country; that many learned men (and here a long list of well sounding names will be introduced, blending the obscure with the celebrated, to swell the pomp of evidence, and misquoting without the fear of detection,) men most *exact* in moral conduct, and most celebrated for social virtues, have *doubted* whether, all things considered, the present aspect of the world might not be considerably improved, by a *departure*

ture from those very *rigid* rules which were built on a too literal interpretation of the Jewish classics, and early Christian writers*. A few shining examples, such as Aspasia, Sappho, and Ninon de l'Éclos, will then be brought forward, to prove that women may be very eminent for taste and science, and continue to be much respected, who have not strictly adhered to the decorums prescribed to the sex. It will then be allowed, that these severe tenets have expedience to recommend them, and, therefore, they are highly necessary for the great body of the people, who, if the cords of discipline were relaxed, might run into gross depravity; from which the refinement natural to cultivated minds, and polished manners, will inevitably preserve that part of our species which might properly claim to be exempted from law, as being incapable of giving law to themselves. These well-bred authors will then proceed to call your attention to the improvements which philosophy has introduced into the arts and sciences of late years, preparatory to the bold assertion, that morals are a science, and as much capable of improvements and discoveries, as mechanics, chemistry, or astronomy. They will then enter that metaphysical maze, in which plain sense is sure to be bewildered, and talk to you concerning the origin of moral obligation; but whether you are taught that it is self-love which vibrates from the centre to the extremity of social being, or whether you are assured that ethics originated from man's preposterously surrendering his natural rights in order to procure the doubtful blessing of society; in either case the freedom of man, as an agent, is preserved, and his right to do wrong, if he judges that wrong to be expedient to his well-doing, is implied. Some few, indeed, of these apostles of falsehood have re-adorned the old necessitarian system, and by making the human race the passive machines of overruling fate, have contrived to transfer our crimes, either to our nature, or to the stars; but this scheme wants the gloss of novelty.

"The principles thus laid down, the application follows. What would be highly criminal in the footman, and the chambermaid, becomes a pardonable *levity*, when referred to the actions of those whose rank in life secures the world from the political consequences of their indiscretions. The opprobrious terms of preciseness, uncharitableness, narrowness of sentiment, and littleness of soul, will be employed to deter you from thinking unfavourably of those *soft* indiscretions, which, though they may be somewhat wrong, hurt nobody else, and are accompanied by all the amiable virtues, and all the alluring graces. Perhaps, indeed, these apologists of licentiousness may proceed so far as to affirm, that it is not vice, but virtue, to obey the dictates of nature, and that the conscious mind is its own awful world. This, with an observation that no characters are faultless, that we must take people as we find them, that many mean very well who act a little indiscreetly, and that chastity is apt to be scandalous, and religion morose, includes, I think, most of the arguments which these seductive advocates of candour employ, to mislead innocence and excuse guilt."

This spurious liberality has made a great progress, not only in the

* "These denominations have been most irreverently applied to that book which is dictated by the Spirit of God."

upper, but in the middle, classes of society; and some, who profess to make it the rule of their conduct, have even the impudence to stigmatise its opposers as deficient in Christian charity; as if to give encouragement to vice constituted any part of that charity, which the blessed Founder of our religion inculcated on his disciples; and, as if an indiscriminate association with the virtuous and the profligate had not an immediate, a necessary, tendency to encourage vice. Strip vice of the odium, and take from it the temporal *inconveniencies*, which naturally attach to it, and the number of its followers will speedily be increased. The author traces the progress of this bastard candour; and having remarked, that many persons, who are in the frequent habit of violating God's commandments, are nevertheless constant in their attendance at church, she expresses a wish that the ministers of the church would exert their authority, and banish all notorious profligates from the house of God. On this two questions would arise; first, as to the *practicability* of the thing; and, secondly, as to its *expediency*. A minister may, indeed, and certainly *ought* to, refuse to administer the sacrament to one whom he knows to be leading a life of abandoned profligacy; but we know of no power which he possesses, to shut the doors of the church against any man; and, even if he did possess the *power*, we should very much doubt the propriety of exercising it; since to prevent the sinner from hearing the word of God would be to deprive him of the strongest stimulants to amendment of life, and of the most powerful inducements to forsake his sinful ways. Mrs. West, however, is perfectly right, in contending that vice does not alter its nature with the rank of the person by whom it is practised. Indeed, if there be any difference, it is this, that vice is more criminal when practised by persons in the higher stations of life; because, besides the duty imposed upon them, in common with all their fellow-creatures, to avoid vice, they have another duty superadded, to set an example to their inferiors:

“ The grosser vices receive no exaltation from being clad in ormine; their nature is so very brutish that their combination with rank, splendour, and affluence, cannot *diminish their hideous aspect*, [cannot render their aspect less hideous,] or lessen the contempt of those who know that it would be very easy to rise to such ‘a bad eminence.’ The dutchess who has violated her marriage oath, who is discarded by her husband and married to her gallant, is but the same degraded creature as the porter’s wife who is transferred at Smithfield to a new purchaser.” She is *more* degraded, because the Smithfield transfer, all infamous as it is, does not, of necessity, imply a *previous* commission of adultery; whereas, in the case of the prostitute of quality, an act of adultery must be committed before she can be at liberty to marry her paramour. “ The reproachful epithets that [which] we bestow upon the vulgar sinner, are by her scornfully *rebanded* to [retorted on] her dignified co-partner in guilt; and let not the offender who has only birth and wealth to boast, flatter herself that the world in general thinks those distinctions sacred. Public opinion is not yet so illumined as the ear-tickling flatterers of greatness represent; and if they value their possessions more than they do

do their vices, they must rejoice that 'many thousand knees' in Britain 'have never yet bowed to the false gods' of sophisticated morality. The virtues of probity and chastity are closely allied; and prescription will be found to be but a feeble support, where the solid pillars of affection and respect are undermined. But to return from, I hope, an improbable contingency, to what really happens: though the opprobrious epithets which the adulteress merits may not reach their own ears, they echo through a space commensurate with the circle which she was originally intended to enlighten and inform. She is there estimated, not by those arbitrary rules, which her own depraved associates' decree shall supersede common sense and moral obligation, but by the principles which, when she lies upon her death-bed, she will own are the unswerving dictates of rectitude and truth. At the bar of public opinion, the titled courtesan receives little mercy. Every plea which might be urged in favour of the poor night-wanderer, who offends for bread, turns into an aggravation of the guilt of her who *courted* temptation. The friendless outcast, whom no one acknowledges, sins, deeply sins against her own soul; but she who was hedged in from ruin by fortune, fame, and family, involves a host of distinguished connexions in her disgrace, and stamps a stigma of opprobrium on every part of her (perhaps till then unsullied) lineage. The penniless prostitute is precluded from repentance; for will any one afford her an asylum, to try if that repentance be sincere? The prostitute of high life has only to stop in her shameless course, and to retreat to that retirement which is ever ready to shelter her disgrace and confirm her contrition. The former was most likely the child of ignorance, who knew little of good or evil till experience taught her a severe lesson, by which she became wise too late. Her passions were probably unrestrained by discipline or precept, and some seducer spread a snare for her personal chastity, before reflection and observation taught her its value. I fear I shall say too much, if I suppose that the noble wanton has been early trained in the principles of truth and holiness; but we must allow, that she has been taught the necessity of restraining her passions, accustomed to respect the opinion of the world, and to regard those decorums in her *outward* manners, which awe the licentious. If she was a wife, (and I grieve to say, that in high life the major part of lost characters belong to the matronly order,) the libertine was deterred from 'assaying by his devilish art to reach the organs of her fancy,' by the apprehension of those large pecuniary mulcts by which the law has lately attempted to deter adulterers, holding out the certain prospect of long imprisonment, or banishment from their native country, to that tribe of led captains, and 'second brothers to men of quality,' who are most apt to range themselves in the ranks of cecisbeos and gallants. But whether the lady be wife or spinster, she was equally defended by those laws of honour which compel the fashionable rake to be an expert swordsman before he aspires to be a seducer; and few of our gay Lotharios would choose to run the gauntlet with husbands, fathers, and brothers, unless pre-assured that the guardians of their Calista's honour, 'fierceness and pride, would soon be charmed to rest,' and the yielding fair one be content to give up all for them.

"Such are the inferences which common sense always draws from a story of criminal intrigue; and, however the eloquence of the bar may seek

seek to divert indignation, and ingeniously palliate the frail fair one's guilt, by representing her as the victim of her gallant's unremitting assiduity, or as being so supereminently endowed with taste, eloquence, and beauty, that all who behold her must love; this rigid consor, inflexible as a British judge on the bench of justice, adheres to the honest bluntness of her original conclusion: taste, eloquence, and beauty, are too common adjuncts of polished society to disarm her security; and she determines that there must be a great degree of criminal levity in the conduct of a woman of rank and fortune, before any man, especially a mere opera bounger, or genteel dependant on the family, could dare to assail her with a criminal proposition. Here, therefore, the term seduction must be misapplied; except when the criminality, or studied negligence of the husband, has made him the active agent of his own disgrace. In this case, common sense may feel inclined to extenuate the lady's offences; but it will only be by lamenting that the manners of the age have an alarming tendency to promote conjugal infidelity, by sanctioning conjugal indifference; but she will still insist, that though a libertine, or contemptuous husband, must make his wife miserable, it is her own indiscretion that makes her criminal. An agreeable insinuating young man is too dangerous a companion for a resentful offended woman, to be admitted to confidence and intimacy. If her sorrows are too poignant to be confined to her own bosom, let her find a *female* friend with whom she may more safely repose them. If the solitude of home be insupportable, connections may be found, and amusements sought, which cannot endanger her fame, her virtue, or her peace. It is the madness of despair to rush into the arms of ruin because she has drawn a blank in the lottery of connubial happiness. Let a lady show, by her conduct, that though her wedded protector deserts his charge, she still respects herself, and she will excite those sentiments of esteem, and chastised [chastened] admiration, which suit the hallowed and indelible character that she has assumed; nor will she be often called upon to repress the insulting attentions of presumptuous audacity."

These sentiments are highly creditable to Mrs. West; and her advice to her sex is so truly excellent, that it cannot fail to carry conviction to the mind and heart, however it may fail to reform the conduct. Women, who have just cause to complain of the profligacy, and to deplore the infidelity, of their husbands, must still recollect, that a breach of duty on his part cannot, except in the misty sight of human law, which often sees through a dense, a clouded, and a false medium, possibly justify a similar breach of duty on her part. Sin is *positive*, not *relative*; and the prohibition to commit it is imperative, and not qualified with any modifications or conditions. Is it possible that a Christian can, for a moment, so far deceive herself as to believe, that when, at the last day, an adulteress shall stand before the judgment-seat of God, to render an account of her actions in this world, and shall be arraigned for a breach of the *Seventh* commandment, she will be able to justify herself, in the eyes of that All-just Being, by saying, "*Lord! my husband was an adulterer, and therefore I became an adulteress*?" Such a plea would, no doubt, aggravate his guilt, but her

her understanding must be as stupified as her heart was corrupted, before she could admit the monstrous supposition, that it would, in the smallest degree, diminish the weight of *her own*. Mrs. West afterwards urges an inferior, though a powerful, motive, to the upper classes of society, to refrain from the commission of sin, founded on its tendency to favour the levelling principles of the age, by degrading them in the eyes of their inferiors. "I must execrate," says the author, in the honest indignation of her heart, "the unblushing vices of those conspicuous sinners, who court publicity and defy reproach; for they are still a surer engine of destruction to overwhelm our well-poised state." In that execration every virtuous mind will join; but while we admit the levelling principle of vice, we cannot think the author happy in her selection of an instance, by way of illustration. She has taken it from that part of the too popular comedy of John Bull, in which "the Brazier seizes the chair lately occupied by the Justice, on the latter refusing to receive the frail daughter of the mechanic with open arms into his family, as a suitable wife for his libertine heir." Though *vice* may be said to have been the original cause of this conduct, yet was it not the vice of either of the parties present; and the only way in which vice can be said to act as a levelling principle, is by placing associates in vice, whatever the disparity of rank or station between them, on a footing of equality with each other. In the next passage which we shall quote, Mrs. West has treated her subject with equal ability, strength, and judgment.

"Next to that base abdication of her own importance, which the abandoned woman of rank tacitly ratifies whenever she permits the world to bruise her shame, the increasing facility with which ladies of lost character are re-admitted to the once select and decorous circle of refined society, becomes a subject of alarm to considerate minds, intent on the preservation of every barrier to female innocence. The maxims which induced our ancestors to determine, that even if we 'deplored our loss with tears, one false step for ever damned the fame' of women, though apparently severe, were in reality merciful. This degradation might, indeed, harden a few reprobates in vice, who would otherwise have been hypocrites; but it sent many a real penitent to that retirement which true repentance loves; and it preserved thousands of thoughtless impassioned victims from the allurements of guilty pleasure by the consciousness that they could not endure a life of reproach. Whatever encouragement mercy and charity may hold out to a backsliding sister determined to renounce the evil of her ways, let not her who hesitates be excited to offend, by stripping vice either of its punishments or its horrors. Let the young and inexperienced ever think, that if they pass the bourne of chastity, society will disclaim them, and to return to it will be *impracticable*. If they venture on the guilty dead with the fore-thought encouragement, that they shall soon emerge from their night of shame, their sin is dreadfully aggravated. Our best divines maintain, that whatever hope the heavenly promise of forgiveness affords to true contrition, it is most desperate wickedness to transgress, 'that grace may abound.' If,
in

in that storm of passions which attends a strong temptation, reason can be heard to plead, that it will be but a temporary disgrace, she has leisure to assert her natural superiority, and by betraying her trust, becomes the ally, instead of the curb, of incontinence.

“ We will suppose (which I fear is far from being the case,) that the principles of matronly ladies are so fixed, that they run no danger of contamination by frequently hearing the soft glosses which conscious offenders must cast over the crimes of which they have been publicly convicted; yet let us compassionate the tender bloom of virgin innocence, and save the youthful part of our sex from the pestilential blast of infectious sophistry. We will suppose, that a young lady has been not only innocently but wisely educated, taught to esteem virtue, and to shrink with abhorrence from audacious vice; accustomed only to contemplate respectable characters, and full of those ideas of worth and honour which are generally associated in an ingenuous inexperienced mind. She steps from the school-room to the crowded rout, and beholds a lady splendid in her appearance, most fascinating in her manner, to whom every one pays obsequious court; the beaux crowd around her to catch her smiles, and to hear her whispers, and the belles show their admiration by wearing her uniform. The unsuspecting tyro in the subtle game of life steps forward to inquire the name of this supposed paragon of the day, this Arria, this Cornelia, in whose hallowed form she fancies the domestic virtues are worshipped, and she hears with horror and astonishment that it is one who has been branded in the public prints, degraded by the clear evidence of impartial justice, exposed by obscene caricatures, and ridiculed by the lowest witticisms; in fine, that she is a creature whom no one can *defame*, and whom any one may *abuse* with impunity. She turns away shuddering with disgust, and perhaps listens to the bon-mots of a faded courtesan, whose early days passed in the low haunts of vulgar licentiousness, but who, in the wane of life, has persuaded her uxorious keeper to give her the name of his wife; not that she may repent of her former errors, nor yet to secure her such a competence that ‘lack of means enforce her not to evil;’ but for the avowed purpose of introducing her into company high, at least, in rank, though low in ideas of decorum; and who must prepare themselves for her reception, either by copious draughts of Lethe, or strong doses of candid sentiment. As I may suppose that my fair novice possesses too much good sense to call such time-serving adulation compassion, or such egregious folly generous love, what must be her opinion of the women who thus boldly take the lead where they should not so much as wish to appear, and of the society who *suffer*, nay *court* the intrusion? Will she not, on comparing the world of manners with the world of books, exclaim, like the Roman patriot, ‘O virtue! have I worshipped thee as a substantial good, and art thou but an empty name?’ ”

It is as impossible for a mind the most perverse not to allow the justice of these animadversions, as it is for one the most stupid not to point the application. It remains only to complete the hideous picture, and to brand with indelible infamy, the eye which can view it with calm indifference, to imagine “the uxorious keeper” to be a man of influence and of power; but of influence never exerted for the public good,

good, of power never exercised but for a bad purpose; to follow "the faded courtesan," to whom he had given "the name of his wife," to her widowed state; and then to figure to one's self a minister so dead to virtue, so callous to all sense of shame, and so perfectly ignorant, or so totally neglectful, of his duty to his country, to his sovereign, and to himself, as to devote a portion of the public money, of that money raised for supplying the necessities of the state, and for rewarding public services and public virtue, to keep this creature, now stripped even of all *relative* importance, in a state of affluence, for which she was never designed by birth, and to which she could never have been raised by merit! It requires, indeed, a warm, and, perhaps, a gloomy imagination to frame such a picture as this, from which every genuine patriot would turn with disgust, and which no good man could contemplate without horror. But the times are as big with changes as they are with portentous events; and things as difficult to conceive as this picture, have actually occurred. In Great Britain, however, most fortunately, we are possessed of a minister whose hatred of profligacy stands recorded, in his published censures of the licentiousness which marked the reign of our second Charles, and who, of course, would never give his sanction to any such measure as that which the imaginary picture in question exhibits, and the author of which would look, in vain, for a parallel, even in the wretched times of systematized vice. Having traced the consequences of giving countenance to lost characters, and expatiated upon the vices of fashionable females, our author at length administers a grain of consolation to her readers.

"There is a circle, and that the highest, where the *convicted* adulteress dares not show her audacious front. May this prohibition be co-eval with the duration of our monarchy; and may the eyes of a British queen never be offended by the presence of such as glory in violating those laws of which her ivory sceptre constitutes her the guardian."

A pretty state, truly, must that country be reduced to, in which there is but *one* circle to which the convicted adulteress cannot gain admission! And even here, it would seem, (though, we trust, that the inference can only be sanctioned by the negligent inaccuracy of the writer,) that none but the *convicted* adulteress is excluded! This circle, too, is "the highest;" whence it follows, of course, admitting the truth of the position, that to all but the highest even convicted adulteresses are admitted. And yet to stem this torrent of inquiry, which threatens to overwhelm our religious and civil establishments, and to reduce the whole country to one misshapen mass of hideous ruins, how few voices are raised, how few pens are employed! The *press*, that powerful engine, whether for preservation or for destruction, is not only *not* devoted to this its most important, its most useful, its most legitimate object, but is, for the greater part, engaged in infamous endeavours to swell the desolating stream, and to guide the deadly current. It is but just to add, however, that we do not admit

the justice of this general inference; and that, profligate as the times unquestionably are, beyond all former example, there are still many, very many circles, both in the higher and in the middle classes of society, in which virtue is duly honoured, and whence notorious vice is peremptorily excluded.

This letter contains some just animadversions on fashionable education, particularly from P. 291 to P. 300. We had marked the passage for quotation, but our extracts have already been so copious, that it becomes necessary to abridge the remarks which we have yet to make on the volume before us. We cannot, however, refrain from quoting the author's concluding observations on the importance of hereditary rank.

“ Let it be remembered, that attachment to hereditary patrons, and respect for the old manor-house, the antient possessors of which are deposited in the family vault, under the parish church, are feelings congenial to the minds of the common people, and such as our constitution wisely cherishes. The influence which an antient and respectable family possessed over its tenantry and neighbourhood, cannot be soon acquired by the nabob, or manufacturer, who purchases the estate, however estimable *their* [his] character, or conciliatory *their* [his] conduct. A series of years must elapse before they can form any tie but what interest creates; and till the generation which served the old family has passed away, the new will be considered as interlopers, who have risen on the ruins of a race that was far more deserving. I must observe, that in these times every thing which tends to weaken the tie that [which] connects the poorest man in the kingdom with the highest, is to be deprecated; and I regret the degradation which rank and station suffer in the eyes of the community, not only by the contagious influence of unbounded expense, but by transferring its power to other hands, which, even if as well-disposed, will be less able to exert it beneficially.”

We now come to the last letter in this volume, which treats certainly of the most important of all subjects, *Religious Knowledge*, the only knowledge that can make us “wise unto salvation;” but the author has, unfortunately, in our opinion, coupled this with a dissertation on the peculiar notions of Calvin. Of these notions she has unquestionably formed a correct idea, and, as far as she enters into the controverted points which they include, has taken the right side of the question. But still, we think, this part of the subject had been better omitted, because controversial theology is not at all necessary to make a woman form a just estimate of her duties and of her character; and because this controversy, in particular, comprehends so many abstruse points, and so many points which have been the subject of warm and obstinate contestation, that it cannot be *satisfactorily* discussed in a cursory way. It is true, indeed, as Mrs. West says, that “a woman, in humble circumstances, with common abilities and moderate information, may now know more of the religion which she professes, than any but the most studious could acquire three hundred years ago;”
because,

because, three hundred years ago, the reformation had not taken place, and the laity were debarred the use of those Scriptures which their God had commanded them to search. But we much doubt, whether women, though they may now *know more* of their religion than they could even two centuries ago, can understand it better; and we are even persuaded, that if they sought to obtain their knowledge by a perusal of all the controversial tracts which have appeared, even in later times, they would not only fail in the pursuit, but would have their minds perplexed with doubts which the Scriptures themselves would never have engendered. Mrs. West must pardon us for observing, that a female engaged in polemics appears as much out of her natural element as an actress on the stage in the dress of a hero. To study the Scriptures, in order to be able to assign a reason for the faith that is in her, and to gain a just notion of her religious and temporal duties, is an obligation imposed on every female. But to acquire religious knowledge is one thing, and to shine in religious controversy, is another. And so fully are we impressed with the disadvantages arising from the study of polemics by women, that we could almost wish that their libraries contained no other religious books than the Scriptures, the liturgy, and tracts published by the society for promoting Christian Knowledge. If they will read these, or the two former only, with attention, and with singleness of heart, they cannot fail to lay in such a stock of saving knowledge, as will make them good Christians in every respect. While, if any doubts should arise in their minds, from conversation with sceptics or otherwise, on any essential point, a reference to the tracts above mentioned will enable them easily to dispel it. We have said, that the general notions of the author, respecting the tenets of Calvin, are just; and, indeed, how could they be otherwise, when they are derived from the writings of a Kipling, a Daubeney, and a Pearson? but, in two or three instances, her remarks are loose and unwarrantable. On what passage in Scripture does she found the bold assertion, that "*the soul carries with it the propensities that [which] it acquired below?*" And how can she justify the following inference from the 31st article; "*which implies,*" she says, "*not only that all Christians are offered salvation, but that the Heathen world are delivered from the imputation of the original guilt of Adam, and also from the eternal consequences of actual transgression, provided they frame their lives according to the imperfect knowledge which they possess?*" It would be highly presumptuous in man to set limits to Divine mercy; but it is no presumption to say, that we find no promise of salvation, in the Scriptures, to those who do not perform the conditions of the Christian covenant. "*Universal redemption*" can only mean, that, by the death of Christ, all men are placed in a condition to be saved; and not that all men may be saved, whether they embrace the truths of the Gospel or not, and whether they perform or neglect the duties which Christ inculcated on his followers.

Having thus analyzed this volume, as far as our limits would allow, and with that attention which must convince the intelligent author, of the high respect which we entertain for her principles and her talents, we shall now proceed to perform the most unpleasant, but not the least useful, part of our duty, by noticing some of the very numerous errors and defects, in point of grammar, of inflated and affected language, and of awkward and incorrect phraseology, which greatly disfigure the work.

P. 8. "That mystery of iniquity *whose* course," &c. instead of, *the course of which*. P. 26. "I cannot, therefore, think it expedient that these fragile barks should venture to do more than sail *coast-wise*." Mrs. West is not aware that there is more danger in *coasting* voyages than in any other; that more skill in navigation is required in the commanders of such vessels; and that the thing which a captain most dreads in a storm is the sight of shore, and what he most desires, *sea-room*. P. 40, "Chivalry to *whose* modification," &c. P. 46, We do not rightly understand, "how *that* experience which we are required to exercise in our own families" can be gathered, as our author asserts, from the *sick-bed* of a brother, or from the *languid couch* of a parent; though we can very well conceive, that lessons of pious resignation and of Christian humility may be collected from such scenes. P. 54, "much of the comfort of our old age depends upon our discharging the claims of *renovated* maternity with propriety." This language is both affected and incorrect. In the first place, we discharge *debts* but not *claims*, properly speaking; secondly, the claims here alluded to are not the claims of *renovated* maternity, but the claims of others upon *renovated* maternity; and, lastly, *renovated* maternity is a most affected and incorrect expression. It would not have been so dignified to say, "discharging the duties of a grandmother," but it would certainly have been more intelligible and more correct; that is, if such were really the author's meaning. P. 61, The following is another instance of inflated and affected language. "While we contemplate *with the rapture of anxious emulation* the pious family bursting from the dark dungeon of the grave, or, with the spirit of the beatified child, avert our eyes from *the distant blaze of rainbow tinctured glory, the cogitations of critical virtue are suspended*," &c. We cannot here refrain from observing to Mrs. West, that the style of Dr. Johnson becomes her pen as little as the doctor's wig would become her person. P. 64, "those amiable properties *whose* absence;" [the absence of which.] In P. 67, and in various other places, Mrs. West uses the word *fashionables* as a substantive; for which she can have no other authority than that of the ignorant writers in some of our daily prints; it is a low, vulgar, illegitimate expression, wholly unworthy Mrs. West. P. 75, "*a multifarious combination of diminutive inconveniences will enurmmel superior faculties*." Another instance of miserable affectation. P. 122, "*a female bouviant* is contemptible." *Bouviant* is neither French nor

nor English; we suppose the author meant *buveuse*; and, too, is a masculine termination. P. 126, "that oblivion which has involved its high-sou'd chastity." P. 128, "We forfeit the respect to which the passive virtues, our natural endowments, are entitled, and [which they] must receive from all but brutes," &c. P. 130, "We are, in less danger of having our integrity censured by the allurements of fraud, ambition, or contending interests." This is not intelligible; and does the author mean to say, "censured for yielding to the allurements of fraud," &c.: if she do not, we are at a loss to conjecture her meaning; and if she do, she has not expressed it. P. 134, "the wisdom of Divine Providence never suffers any glaring violation of its laws to brave its authority, without being reprov'd, or at least feeling the internal consequences of its pertinacity." This is a most awkward sentence. A man who violates the laws of God may feel the consequences of his conduct, but how the violation can feel them, it is not very easy to understand. P. 137, "it would have been false candour to have applied," [to apply]. In the same passage we read a "*frigid cold*." P. 139, "patient diligence, or laborious industry often bring," [brings]. P. 143, we meet with the word *demi-fashionists*; and elsewhere the term *fashionist* occurs, used as synonymous with *fashionable persons*. It is an illegitimate expression, and if it have any meaning, must have a meaning very different to that which is here assigned to it. P. 144, "happiness or even comfort, are [is] rarely expected." P. 164, "the company wait, with the nonchalance of good breeding, till some cockney misapplication of the *w*, or provincial inversion of the *aspirate*, determines the stranger's tribe and latitude." Contemptible affectation! P. 168, "at how much less expense of time—you may purchase the reputation of solid than you can [that] of brilliant qualities." P. 186, "who certainly would have been inclined to have extended" [to extend]. P. 240, "that elevated station whose actions," &c. P. 261, "her bosom-inmate," for bosom's inmate. P. 277, "the *frigid cold*," i. e. the cold cold. And in the same page Mrs. West again uses *bosom* as an adjective, "*bosom anguish*;" a mode of expression both affected and unwarrantable. P. 378, "That modesty of knowledge, which is alike anxious to avoid being ignorant of what it ought to know, and of penetrating into these secret things," &c. P. 380, "the limits of human knowledge have a similar curb affixed, beyond which they cannot pass." Curb is here used instead of boundary.

Long as this list of errors and inaccuracies must appear to our readers, they may rest assured, that it might easily have been extended. But it is already sufficiently copious to convince them of the justice of our assertion, at the beginning of this article; and to render it manifest to Mrs. West, that her compositions, able and valuable as they are, must labour under great disadvantages, when they appear in so slovenly a dress. Indeed, we cannot but persuade ourselves, that the author consigned her manuscript, with the care of correcting both the language

language and the press, to her bookseller, to whose negligence, in confiding that task to some person utterly unqualified to perform it, the mass of affectation and incorrectness which disfigures the volume, ought, in a great measure, to be ascribed. But she should recollect that she alone is responsible to the public, both for the sentiments and for the language of her productions; and should not forget, that celebrity, though acquired with honour, may be injured by carelessness, and destroyed by neglect. Most anxious to promote the circulation of those excellent principles, which so eminently distinguish the various publications of this estimable writer, we are very desirous to have them freed from those defects, which may impede their diffusion, and, possibly, diminish their efficacy.

Strictures upon an Historical Review of the State of Ireland; by Francis Plowden, Esq.: or, a Justification of the Conduct of the English Government in that Country, from the Reign of Henry II, to the Union of Great Britain and Ireland, Part the Second, Chapter VI. Rivingtons.

(Continued from P. 248 of Vol. XXIV.)

HAVING reviewed this very excellent work, to the end of Charles the Second's reign, we shall now proceed to that of his successor James II. That infatuated monarch was determined to establish popery on the destruction of the protestant religion, because he considered it as the only means of salvation, and because he regarded it as an instrument well calculated to promote his design of raising a despotic government on the ruins of the constitution.

The efforts made by him, his missionaries, and adherents, for the subversion of the established church in Ireland, during the preceding reign*, were a sufficient earnest to its protestant inhabitants of what they were to expect on his accession.

We shall now lay before our readers the observations of this excellent writer on Mr. Plowden's statement of this reign.

"I am now arrived at the reign of James II, the only prince of the House of Stuart, whose errors Mr. Plowden (in spite of some glossing sentences of condemnation) appears to extenuate†.

"As in the reign of this misguided monarch the Catholics of Ireland were invested with the whole administration of Irish government, it behoves me to examine, with some attention, the use they made of their political power. Mr. Plowden begins, by attempting to discredit Dr. King's famous book ‡, 'the State of the Protestants of Ireland under

* The reader will find this fully stated and proved in our 18th volume, from p. 429 to p. 431 inclusive, and in volume 20, p. 17.

† See passim his Review of this reign.

‡ See his note to p. 177.

King James;' and this he clumsily endeavours to do, by quoting Dean Swift's opinion of the *private character* of Mr. Leslie, who wrote a book in answer to King's, which was suppressed on account of its sedition. Swift admits that Leslie was a good man conscientiously mistaken, in refusing to take the oaths to King William. He says, 'Mr. Leslie was unhappily mistaken in his politics;' and, had Mr. P. quoted Swift accurately, he would have added this sentence*: 'I detest Mr. Leslie's politics as much as his Lordship (Burnet) can do from his heart; but I distinguish between the principles and the man.' Until, therefore, some better reasons than those offered by Mr. P. are produced against Dr. King's book, I shall consider myself warranted in assuring my readers, that his work has been always esteemed most authentic.

"The first remarkable act of James's government in Ireland, was to displace the great Duke of Ormond, in order to pave the way for his bigoted favourite, Richard Talbot, afterwards created Earl of Tyrconnel; though the pretence was, that Ormond's age and infirmities rendered him incapable of governing. Before he resigned the sword of state, the Duke of Ormond gave a dinner to his officers, at the royal hospital near Dublin, a building which he had erected for old soldiers. After the cloth was removed, filling his glass to the brim, and desiring his guests to do the same, he made them this speech†: 'Look here, gentlemen, they say at court that I am now become an old doating fool; you see my hand doth not shake, nor does my heart fail; nor doubt but I will make some of them see their mistake;' and then gave the King's health.

"To return to the historical review of this reign. Mr. Plowden, after admitting 'that the army was filled with Catholic officers,' and he might have added men, the whole Protestant militia raised by Ormond having been disarmed, and Catholics embodied in their place‡; 'the Bench filled with Catholic Judges,' except three, who promised to be subservient; he might have added, that Porter, the Chancellor, was displaced, and a Catholic, Sir N. Fitton, put into his place; a man, says the author of Secret Consults and Intrigues, notorious on record, having been convicted of forgery, and publicly stigmatized; 'the corporations filled with Catholic members;' he might have added, Tyrconnel having terrified them into a surrender of their charters; 'Catholic Sheriffs and Magistrates appointed in all the counties;' he might also have added, who refused to administer justice to the Protestants, and moreover harrassed them with every species of vexatious tyranny. He might have informed his readers also, that the priests stirred up the Irish not to pay tithes to the Protestant clergy; that crown prosecutions were commenced against all Protestants who had, in their convivial meetings, uttered any reflections upon James, while Duke of York: he might have told his readers,

* See Swift's Preface to Bishop Burnet's Introduction.

† Secret Consults and Intrigues, p. 630, of State Tracts, printed in 1706, London; and Appendix, p. 615. Ormond soon after died of a broken heart.

‡ Though they had bought their own arms; yet, when desired to bring them in, they complied. See Harris, p. 189; Leland, King, &c.

that

that the Papists pretended an universal dread of a massacre*; in consequence of which, all the Protestants of Ireland were disarmed, those of the North excepted, who were too sturdy to part with their arms; that the Privy Council was filled with Papists, and some lawyers of the *outer bar* of that persuasion advanced to that honour†. And then it was, and let it be had in perpetual remembrance by future innovators on the Irish constitution‡, 'that most of the traders, and others whose fortunes could be easily transferred, fled from a country in which they expected a speedy establishment of Popery, and a *general transmutation of property*.'

"Tyrconnel, and the Catholic government of Ireland, having taken these violent and unjustifiable measures, then it was, according to Mr. Plowden, 'that the Catholics, *now* feeling themselves secure, *at least in the freedom of their religion*, prevailed on Tyrconnel to go to England, in order to bring over the King to their favourite measure, of breaking through the Act of Settlement.' If this writer speaks the sentiments of the Roman Catholics of Ireland, which I hope he does not, and if such measures only could make their ancestors feel secure, *at least in the freedom of their religion*, what opinion must they now entertain of their security, when, though every disability incompatible with the fullest enjoyment of civil and religious liberty is happily removed, they as yet possess little share of the political power of the state, which is in the hands of a Protestant government?

"His statement then, unfortunately for the cause he supports, amounts to this, that the Catholics of Ireland, having obtained into their hands the political power of the state, proceeded with indecent haste§, to make the Bench, the Privy Council, the Sheriffs and Magistrates, the corporations, and the army Catholic in all their branches; and that then, 'feeling themselves secure, *at least in the freedom of their religion*, instead of stopping here, their next immediate object was, to seize upon all the Protestant property of that country, by breaking through the 'Act of Settlement,' &c. Though Mr. Plowden admits this last fact, yet, as he has passed over the particulars of the transaction, *currente calamo*, I must entreat the *attention* of my readers to the account of this memorable proceeding; a measure, by the bye, which these dutiful loyalists, who, by King James's account (p. 189), 'rammed that, and many other things, down his throat,' forced him to consent to.

* This old trick was played in the rebellion of 1798, when the Catholics affected to dread being massacred by the Orangemen.

† All the facts here enumerated, and which were omitted in Mr. Plowden's work, are stated by Tindal, Mackenzie, Harris, King, Leland, and by the writer of Secret Consults, &c.

‡ See Hist. Review, p. 178. This historical fact, which Mr. Plowden admits, is mentioned by every writer of this period of Irish history: thousands fled to England, and five hundred went to North America and the West Indies. Secret Consults, Leland, &c.

§ 'Let my countrymen alone,' said the great Duke of Ormond, speaking of their precipitation, 'they will ruin their own business.' Secret Consults p. 633.

" On the 7th of May, A. D. 1689, the Catholic Parliament met. The Popish Bishop of Clogher, the Castle Secretary, wrote circular letters to all the Sheriffs *, with the writs of election, naming the persons who were to be returned, and who were elected accordingly †. The ‡ first Act of this Parliament was a recognition of the King's title to the crown of Ireland. The next measure was the introduction of an Act § for the Repeal of the Acts of Settlement and Explanation; which they passed through the House of Commons in *three days*, without allowing one of the thousand sufferers by it to appeal ||. Dr. Dopping, the Bishop of Meath, boldly argued against it, in the House of Lords, in vain. A strong remonstrance against the cruelty and injustice of the measure was drawn up by Judge Keating, and presented to James, by the Earl of Granard, in vain.

" This Act of Repeal ¶ contains, in the Preamble, a full justification of the horrid rebellion of 1641. By this Act, the estates and properties of all persons who were *in rebellion*, in Ireland, Scotland, or England, against King James, on the first of August, 1688, or *who had ** corresponded with rebels* since that time, were forfeited; and this Act confiscated the inheritance where the possessors were only tenants for life, and made them punishable for waste, after a possession of above twenty years, under two Acts of Parliament. The personal properties of those who were absent, were seized by the Catholic commissioners of the revenue for the King; and there is a provision in the Act, by which those who were in possession as trustees were bound, under severe penalties, to make a full disclosure. Next was passed the celebrated Act of Attainder, in

* The manner in which this Catholic Parliament was 'packed,' to use Mr. Plowden's phrase, is, I believe, unexampled by any proceeding of the like kind, during any former or latter Protestant Parliament in Ireland. See Secret Consults, Dr. King, Harris, Tindal, Leland.

† In this Parliament there were but twelve Protestants in both Houses; namely, five Lords, three Bishops, two members for the College, and four Protestant commoners returned for other places. Harris, Leland, Dr. King.

‡ Leland, p. 536; Harris, book viii. p. 227; and King.

§ See Harris, p. 228; Leland. The Act is given by Harris, in his Appendix.

|| Ibid.

¶ See Harris's remarks on the Preamble to this Bill, book viii. p. 229.

** By which clause, says Harris, almost every Protestant in the kingdom who could write had forfeited his estate; for the packets going constantly between Dublin and London, from August to March, 1689, few had friends in England or the North but corresponded with them. Every packet during that time was searched, and vast heaps of letters laid by, which were now produced in evidence, in the House of Commons, against such as appeared on behalf of their absent friends. Harris, p. 229; Leland; King; Secret Consults.

N. B. It was not till September, that the intention of William's descent upon England was generally known; but any letter containing remarks upon public affairs displeasing to those in power, was evidence to prove rebellion against the writer!!!

which

which two thousand four hundred and sixty-one Protestants, of *both sexes*, are attainted by name*; amongst them, the Absentees†, usually resident in Great Britain, unless they manifested their allegiance to James before the first of October next ensuing; which manifestation of their allegiance would have made them traitors in England. This Act also vested in the crown the real and personal properties of all minors, unless the term after they came of age they proved their loyalty; and these loyal and dutiful subjects also, in this Parliament, limited *their* King's prerogative, by enacting, that all pardons not enrolled before the 30th of November, 1689, were null and void; and further, that no letters patent for pardons should contain the name of more than *one* person.

After this recital, it is scarcely worth mentioning, that the Protestant Fellows and Scholars of Trinity College, Dublin, were dispossessed; their plate, &c. &c. seized; and Friars and Jesuits put into their places; the provisions for the Protestant clergy repealed, and their arrears cut off; that the bishoprics were seized, and their revenues paid into the Exchequer; the Protestants, of every class and rank, robbed with impunity by 'the Rapparees;' and that finally they were forbidden, on pain of death, to meet in any number exceeding *two* ‡.

Can any one, therefore, who makes the smallest allowance for the feelings and passions of human nature, wonder, that such of the Protestants of Ireland who scorned to follow the timid example of so many thousands of their countrymen, who fled from this proscription, but determined 'courageously to abide the brunt §,' should have *associated* for their defence against such a government ||? Can we wonder that the citizens of Derry should have shut their gates against an armed rabble, who were not even clothed in the uniform of that King, who, in his memorable De-

* See Appendix to Harris's Life of King William, No. 34, where an alphabetical list of the names of the persons attainted is given, taken from the Act of Attainder, in the Rolls' Office, in Ireland.

† See an authentic copy of the Act of Attainder, taken from the Rolls' Office, in the Irish Chancery, in the Appendix to Harris, No. 34.

‡ Harris; Leland; King; Mackenzie's Narrative.

N. B. James established a mint in Dublin, and another in Limerick. Bits of brass, and broken bells, and old iron, pots, and pans, and kettles, and pewter spoons, &c. were collected; and, from every pound of these materials, value *four pence*, pieces were coined, and circulated at the nominal value of five pounds, and were made current by proclamation! See Simon on Irish Coins.

§ See Apology for the Protestants, State Tracts, vol. iii. p. 666.

|| In their oath of association (see Harris, p. 195,) they declare, that they only have armed in self-defence, to act in subordination to the government of England, for the security of the Protestant religion, their lives, properties, and liberties; that they would admit none but Protestants into their associations; yet that they would defend even the Papists from violence, whilst they remained peaceable and quiet. The Irish Protestants have never been accused of professing one object and acting upon another. The present Orangemen of Ireland are associated upon the same principles,

claration

claration to his subjects of Ireland, told them, 'that he had made it his chief concern to satisfy the minds of his Protestant subjects; that the defence of their religion, privileges, and properties, was equally his care with the recovery of his rights?' Are we to make no allowances for the effect occasioned by the anonymous letter* (whether true or false) which Lord Mount-Alexander received, of an intended massacre? Can we be astonished at its influence upon men, many of whom had escaped from, and all of whom had heard of, the massacre in 1641? Can we, *at this day*, doubt, that the Protestants of Ireland, who had witnessed the horrible cruelty of Mareschal Rosen, (a German officer in James's service,) in collecting the inhabitants, of all ages and each sex, of their faction, as he called them, in the county of Londonderry, and driving them under the walls of a besieged town, where they were slaughtered by the fire on both sides; and, when the Bishop of Meath ventured to remonstrate to James, he replied, 'that General Rosen was a foreigner†, and used to such proceedings as were strange to his subjects, but usual in other places; and, if he had been his own subject, he would have called him to an account for it.' Can we (I repeat it) *at this day doubt*, that the Protestants of Ireland were fully justifiable in resisting such a prince and such an army? Had they tamely submitted to such a government, they would have been unworthy of that liberty which they obtained, and have transmitted to the people of Ireland. What, let me ask, what would have been the situation of the Catholics of that country at this day, if the Protestants of that age had not opposed James, and supported the glorious Revolution of 1688? Let them then candidly acknowledge the errors of their ancestors‡, and let their advocates cease to vilify those who purchased with their blood, the blessings of that free constitution, of which they now so largely participate.

"The only material argument in this chapter (if such a position coming from a lawyer deserves the name) that remains to be answered, is the following§, that the Protestants of Ireland who declared for King William were rebels to their *lawful* King, and that the Catholics who adhered to James were faithful loyalists, and good and true subjects; because, as he argues, though James abdicated the throne of England, he never did (until after the battle of the Boyne) abdicate the throne of Ireland: ergo, he was (p. 181,) '*de jure et de facto*,' King of that country.

"Before this lawyer, writing upon the affairs of Ireland, ventured to hazard such an assertion, it would have been prudent in him to have looked at an Irish Act of Parliament, passed in the reign of Henry VIII. *still unrepealed*, and which he might have found in the first volume of the Irish Statutes, p. 176; by the perusal of which, he would have discovered, that a King of England is declared to be *in that right only* King of Ireland, as united and knit to the crown of England; and, had he read

* See a copy of it in Harris, Appendix, No. 21.

† Harris, p. 210; see the other writers also.

‡ I have met with many enlightened Catholic gentlemen of Ireland, who have freely admitted the errors of their ancestors, and who have also lamented the misguided conduct of so many of their persuasion in 1798.

§ Hist. Review, p. 187, et sequentes,

as far as the *second section* of the said Act, he would have learned, that it is also enacted, that if anie person or persons * *resiant* within the land of Ireland, shall, after the first of July, 1542, by writing, or *imprinting*, or anie exterior act or deed, occasion disturbance of the King's title, &c. he or they are guilty of high treason, and shall suffer death, forfeiture of lands, &c. &c. By this Act, therefore, the people of Ireland, the moment that James abdicated the throne of England, and that the Convention-parliament chose William as his successor, were absolved from their allegiance to James, and were bound to King William; and Fitton, Nagle, and the other Catholic lawyers of that age, were so fully aware of the force of this said statute of Henry VIII, that we find the first Act of the Popish Parliament of 1689 was a recognition of James's title to the crown of Ireland; a measure which, but for the existence of the said statute, would have been perfectly useless. But these gentlemen, who understood the laws of Ireland somewhat better than Mr. Plowden, saw how defective James's title to the crown of Ireland became, upon his abdication of that of England; and therefore they very ingeniously resorted to this expedient to prop it, and to impose upon the ignorant and the vulgar.

"If I rightly understand Mr. Plowden's argument in this chapter, it may be epitomized thus: The Roman Catholics of Ireland, in 1689, were faithful subjects, and the Protestants were rebels; and the *said rebels*, having soundly beaten the said loyal subjects, forced them to restore all the lands of which they had deprived the *said rebels*, by their acts of repeal and attainder; and that, *ergo*, the descendants of the *said rebels*, and all recent purchasers since, hold lands in Ireland, to which the title is, that they were acquired by force of arms, under 'a foreign invader†,' or 'revolutionary prince,' fighting against the lawful King of Ireland.

"C'est le ton qui fait la musique."

Mr. Plowden frequently complains of the ingratitude of the princes of the House of Stuart towards the Irish Papists, notwithstanding their loyal attachment to them; though we gave unquestionable proofs, that they universally rebelled against James I, because he was not a Papist, as soon as he was declared successor to Elizabeth, and that the mass of the people, headed by their priests, endeavoured to assassinate the persons who attempted to proclaim him, in the principal cities of Ireland‡; though in the reign of his successor, Charles I, they offered Ireland to the Pope, the Kings of France and Spain,

* As Mr. Plowden wrote his book in this country, his friends need not be alarmed for his safety. If he should think proper to print a cheap edition of his work in Ireland, it might be prudent to omit *this law argument*.

† So King William is styled, in pp. 186 and 192 of this *Historical Review*, vol. i. In Ireland, a Catholic writer has lately styled him a Dutch invader!

‡ Vol. xxiv, p. 121.

whose assistance they repeatedly solicited to separate it from England*; and though Ireland was kept in a constant state of combustion by Popish treason, during the reign of Charles II†, in which they often invited the French to invade it; and were in a state of preparation to receive them. As the fundamental principles of their religion, contained in their general councils, enjoin hatred and disobedience to Protestant Sovereigns, we cannot be at a loss to account for the deep rooted disaffection which they manifested towards the three first Princes of the Stuart line; and though Mr. Plowden says, P. 188, "it would be doing them (the Irish Papists,) injustice, not to allow them the merit of the most depurated loyalty, in their attachment to King James," it will appear, that the zeal which they evinced in his cause, was not inspired by a pure and disinterested attachment to him; but that, actuated by selfish and sinister views, their chief object was, to make him the instrument of indulging their intolerant and sanguinary designs against the Protestants, and of separating their native country from England.

Mr. Plowden (P. 189,) observes thus, on the Acts passed in the Parliament convened in Dublin by James, in the year 1689: "The several Acts, therefore, of this Parliament, are to be considered rather as the Acts of the Irish nation, than the wishes of James; and they are here noticed, *to trace the prospects and prosperity, in which the Catholics at that time placed their hopes.* The chief of these Acts were the Act of Attainder, and the Act for the repeal of the Acts of Settlement. The first of these Acts, which is usually spoken of by modern historians, as the Act for attainting Irish Protestants, bespeaks in its title the whole purport and tendency of the Act; for attainder of divers *rebels*‡ and for preserving the interest of loyal *subjects*§. It contains not one word that relates, even remotely, to any religious distinction, and the preamble of the Act refers wholly to those *rebellious and traitorous subjects* who had invited and assisted the Prince of Orange, the King's unnatural enemy, to invade that kingdom. At that time it was not a conflict between Protestants and Catholics, nor between Whigs and Tories, nor between an English and an Irish party: it was a broad contest between Jacobites and Guillamites."

In no part of his voluminous work has Mr. Plowden shown such gross instances of duplicity and prevarication as in the preceding paragraphs. By acquitting James of the odium of wishing to pass these

* Vol. xxiv, from p. 237 to p. 247.

† Vol. xviii, p. 427 to 432. Our proofs of this uninterrupted scene of treason, during these three reigns, were taken from Moryson's Itinerary, Sir John Davis, Spencer, Sir John Temple, Borlase, State Tracts, 3 vols. folio, and Lord Orrery's State Letters, all cotemporary writers.

‡ Protestants.

§ Papists.

abominable

abominable acts of Parliament, he casts the turpitude of them on his Irish Popish subjects, whose cause it is universally believed he has been hired to defend; and then to exculpate them, he strives to impose on the British public, by insinuating, that this dreadful engine of Popish tyranny and persecution was perfectly innoxious, because, as he falsely asserts, it *bespeaks in its title the whole purport and tendency of the act, for attainder of divers rebels**, and for preserving the interest of loyal subjects†, that not one word relates even remotely to any religious distinction; though the sole object of the act was the total extirpation of the Irish Protestants. As to those traitorous and rebellious subjects, who had invited and assisted the Prince of Orange, the king's unnatural enemy, to invade the kingdom; this extends not only to the Irish, but to the English Protestants, who had wisely solicited the Prince of Orange to sit upon the throne, for the purpose of preventing that bigoted tyrant James from overturning the constitution in church and state. The judicious writer, whose work we are reviewing, has exposed the gross ignorance of Mr. Plowden, by showing that by an act, which passed in the reign of Henry VIII, a king of England is declared to be, in that right only, king of Ireland; and consequently, as William had been placed upon the English throne long before James's arrival in Ireland, the adherents of the former were *loyal subjects*, and those of the latter *were traitors*. Doctor Leland, in his very excellent history of Ireland‡, thus describes these two acts of Parliament, the cruelty and oppression of which Mr. Plowden endeavours to varnish over in the insidious manner which we have stated.

“ The bill for repealing the acts of settlement was thus passed, with a preamble which exculpated the Irish from rebelling in 1641; and a clause, whereby the estates of all those who dwelt in any of the three kingdoms, and did not acknowledge king James's power, or who aided or corresponded with those who rebelled against him, since the first day of August 1688 §, were declared to be forfeited and vested in the king. Thus by a strain of severity, at once ridiculous and detestable, almost every protestant, of Ireland, who could write, was to be deprived of his estate. But this parliament was not contented with recovering the estates of their ancestors, and expelling the protestant proprietors, by virtue of their present act. In the fullness of triumphant insolence, they resolved on a proscription as virulent as that of Rome. An act was passed, by which a number of persons in the service of the Prince of Orange, those who had retired from

* The Protestants.

† The Papists.

‡ Mr. Plowden admits his veracity by frequently quoting him, and even by transcribing verbatim such passages as answered his sinister purposes.

§ This included the English protestants who had landed property in Ireland.

the kingdom *, and did not return in obedience to the king's proclamation, numbers who were resident in Britain; and therefore presumed to be adherents to the new government, were all attainted of high treason, and adjudged to suffer the pains of death and forfeiture, unless they surrendered within certain periods assigned. It was provided, that the estates even of those who were detained abroad by sickness or non-age should be seized by the king; and in defiance of justice and humanity, they were to prove their innocence, before they could be restored. 2461 persons, of all orders and conditions, peers, peeresses, prelates, barons, baronets, knights, clergy, gentry, and yeomanry †, were included in this dreadful sentence. Their names were hastily collected by their respective neighbours, and received with so much ease and precipitation, that Nagle, on presenting the bill to James, declared, 'that many were attainted on such evidence as satisfied the house, and the rest on common fame.' It was so framed as to preclude the king from all power of pardoning, after the first day of November 1689. In the mean time, a statute which affected the lives and properties of so many thousands, was carefully concealed from them, and lay unknown in the custody of the Chancellor."

In the complete history of England, published in London in 1706, in 3 volumes, folio, it is thus described: "In this black act, there were no fewer attainted than 2 archbishops, 1 duke, 17 earls, 7 countesses, 28 viscounts, 2 viscountesses, 7 bishops, 18 barons, 33 baronets, 51 knights, 2182 esquires and gentlemen; and all of them, unheard, declared traitors, and adjudged to suffer the pains of death and forfeiture. The famous proscription at Rome, during the last triumvirate, came not up, in some respects, to the horrors of this; for there were condemned in this little kingdom, more than double the number that were proscribed through the vast extent of the Roman empire. And to make this in Ireland yet the more terrible and unavoidable, the act itself was concealed, and no protestant was allowed a copy of it till four months after it was passed; whereas in that of Rome, the names of the persons proscribed were affixed upon all the public places of the city, the very day the proscription was decreed, and thereby an opportunity was given to many to preserve themselves by a speedy flight." This history was written while most of the actors in that dreadful scene of persecution were still living.

Doctor Warner, in his History of Ireland, often quoted, and praised for its authenticity, by Mr. Plowden, gives in book vi, chap. 5, a most piteous and affecting account of the persecution of the protestants at that period; and he makes the following general observation: "Ireland now exhibited a gloomy scene of oppression and dejection, of

* The greater part of the protestants had fled to England, to avoid the tyranny and persecution of Tyrconnel, who had succeeded Lord Clarendon as viceroy in January 1687.

† All protestants.

insolence and despair, of power exercised without decency, and injuries sustained without redress*."

James seeing himself deprived of the power of pardoning, complained to Nagle, the attorney general, of their having intrenched on his prerogative, and said, that they *had acted falsely towards him*.

This parliament passed an act also, for forfeiting, and vesting in the king, the goods and personal estate of all absentees; but this was unnecessary; for in the month of March preceding, the officers of the army †, throughout the kingdom, had seized, by Tyrconnel's order, all their goods, without assigning any reason but his pleasure ‡.

By another act, the Protestant clergy were deprived of their maintenance, which was transferred to the Popish priests.

They also passed a law for making Ireland independant of England. James knowing, that this would make him hateful to his British subjects, and would raise an insuperable bar to his restoration, hesitated to give his assent to it; on which Nagle, a bigoted Papist, whom he had made attorney general, insolently conveyed a message to him from the Parliament, *that they could do without him*. In short, he soon discovered, that they made him merely an instrument to promote their rapacious, intolerant, and revengeful designs; and therefore Macpherson very justly observes, "that James soon found, *that he was not master of his own kingdom*."

This parliament, to which he had been so obsequious, having remonstrated against his employing Lord Melfort as secretary of state, he said, in answer, "I would not have come amongst you if I had known that you would not have allowed me to chuse my own servants §."

Doctor Leland, book v, chap. 6, gives a minute and pathetic description of the persecution which the Protestants suffered; and he tells us, that "the Protestants remonstrated to James; he acknowledged his promise of protecting them, and published a proclamation against these outrages. But the clergy and their votaries disdained obedience to any orders repugnant to the interest of the faith."—"A contest now arose between the priests and their king; and in this contest James had the exquisite mortification of finding himself foiled and defeated. And whatever impotent resentment he expressed at this insolence; yet he still resigned himself servilely to the clergy; and seemed

* Doctor King, Archbishop of Dublin, in his State of the Protestants of Ireland under King James's government, gives a minute and pathetic account of their sufferings. He was imprisoned at that time.

† They were all Papists, as Tyrconnel had removed all Protestants from the army in 1687.

‡ Harris's Life of King William, book viii.

§ Dalrymple, Vol. iii. p. 70. Mr. Plowden says, "that it would be doing the Roman Catholics injustice, not to allow them the merit of the most depurated loyalty in their attachment to James." Page 183.

only solicitous to employ his momentary power for making Ireland what he called a Catholic kingdom. An order was issued in the name of his governor of Dublin, that no more than five Protestants should meet together, even in churches, on pain of death." Such was the purport, and such were the woeful effects of these acts, dictated by popish intolerance, and inscribed with blood, which this disciple of Ignatius tells us, in his historical review, *did not relate, even remotely, to any religious distinction*; and that he noticed them, *to trace the prospects and prosperity in which the Catholics at that time placed their hopes.*

After such flagrant instances of tyranny and cruelty towards the Protestants, which was the result of popish fanaticism, Mr. Plowden says, in page 190, "At that time it was not a conflict between Catholics and Protestants, nor between whigs and torys, nor yet between an English and an Irish party; it was a broad open contest between Jacobites and Guillaumites."

Mr. Plowden shows his usual rancour against Protestants in the following observation, page 181. "Long before king James had left England, the Protestants in the North of Ireland were generally in arms; appointed themselves officers; enlisted men; armed and arrayed them*," and he concludes, "that it was therefore manifestly a treasonable levying of war against the crown†." Had the people of the North taken up arms, as stated by Mr. Plowden, they would have been justifiable in doing so on principles of self-preservation, which supersedes all laws, and are paramount to every other consideration. Richard Talbot, created Earl and Duke of Tyrconnel by James II, a vulgar popish fanatic, was as notorious for cursing, swearing, and lying‡, as for the irritability and vindictiveness of his temper§. He had endeared himself to James, while Duke of York, by taking an active and leading part in all the schemes which that infatuated prince had formed in Ireland, for the establishment of Popery and the subversion of Protestantism. He had warmly co-operated with Coleman the jesuit and other missionaries, whom James had sent to Ireland for that purpose, during Charles the II^d's reign||. In the year 1678, when the duke of Ormonde, as much distinguished by his virtues, as by his illustrious lineage, was viceroy of Ireland, a plot of a very dangerous nature, for an insurrection and a massacre of the Protestants, having been discovered, Richard Talbot his brother, titular archbishop of Dublin, and some of the popish nobility and gentry concerned in it, were arrested and imprisoned in the

* This is an unfounded assertion.

† After the abdication, and King William's accession, James was no longer king of Ireland; and all his adherents were traitors.

‡ Lord Clarendon's State Letters, Vol. i. p. 88, 92, 242, 244, 256, 267, 269, 270, 271, 318, 327, 335, 253, 254, 318.

§ Ibid. 335.

|| State Tracts; Vol. iii. p. 617, 619, 620, 622, 626, 632, 633.

castle *. In the beginning of the year 1685, Henry Earl of Clarendon was appointed viceroy of Ireland, and Richard Talbot, recently created Earl of Tyrconnel, commander in chief of the forces; but totally independent of the former. James reposed little or no trust in Clarendon; for being a rigid Protestant, he was convinced that he would not be subservient to his designs of subverting the established church †. As Tyrconnel was his chief agent for that purpose, he secretly invested him with the chief powers of the state, and employed him as a spy over Clarendon, whom he treated with singular insolence ‡. On Tyrconnel's appointment, the Papists were so much flushed with joy, that they committed the greatest insults and outrages on the persons and properties of their Protestant fellow subjects §; who having had woeful experience, on former occasions, of his fanaticism, and his persecuting spirit, and knowing that he was much more under the influence of Lewis the XIVth than of James II, fled from Ireland in great numbers. 500 of them emigrated at once, resolved to transport themselves to Virginia, Carolina, Pennsylvania, the West Indies, or New England ¶. The first use which Tyrconnel made of his newly acquired power, was to deprive the Protestants of their arms. He issued a proclamation, desiring the militia, who were exclusively Protestants, to surrender them **. He then proceeded to new model the army, by disbanding all the Protestants, and by putting Papists in their room ††. He often, and even to Lord Clarendon himself, inveighed very much against the act of settlement, and the English or Protestant interest, and the latter depended on the former ‡‡. Tyrconnel thus succeeded in garbelling the army in every part of Ireland, except the North, where their numbers, their courage, and their attachment to the constitution, formed an insurmountable bar to it. Lord Clarendon, in a letter of the 19th of July, 1686, mentions the following conversation on that subject: " Well,

* State Tracts, Vol. iii. p. 626, 627.

† Lord Clarendon's State Letters, Vol. i. p. 98: Vol. ii. p. 157, 158.

‡ Ibid. Vol. i. p. 253, 254.

§ State Tracts, Vol. iii. p. 633.

¶ Ibid. p. 699.

‡ Ibid. p. 633.

** Ibid. p. 632.

†† Lord Clarendon's State Letters, Vol. i. p. 283, 292, 318, 352. The following curious incident is mentioned both by Lord Clarendon and Warner.

Some of the barbarous Irish Papists, whom Tyrconnel enrolled in the army, were so ignorant, that when they had taken the oath of fidelity, they imagined that they had sworn allegiance to the Pope and to their religion, and declared that their priests had forbidden them to take any other oath.

‡‡ Clarendon's State Letters, Vol. i. p. 241. Vol. ii. p. 2.

said my Lord Tyrconnel, let us speak a little of disarming the people of Ulster *; for that work was never well done. My Lord, said Keating †, my lord lieutenant showed me the king's letter about that affair a week since ‡; and it must be prudently and carefully managed, or else it will not be effectually done. Tyrconnel then called in one O'Neil, to give an account of what orders he had given him to search for arms in those parts, and how far he had executed them §." Lord Clarendon thus describes the fatal consequences of such measures. "These changes, and the common discourses which are given out by some of the new officers, (by authority as they pretend,) that they will not leave an Englishman or a Protestant in their companies, do very much terrify the trading people, for reasons too obvious to every man's apprehension."—"The last week 120 people went in one ship to Chester; and multitudes are preparing from all parts of the kingdom to be gone as fast as they can get in their debts, and dispose of their stocks. Great sums of money are brought to town, and more is daily coming up, to be sent away; and in regard the change is so high, and that no returns can be gotten into England, they are endeavouring to remit their money into France and Holland, to draw thence hereafter at their leisure ||."

Lord Clarendon having with firmness and integrity represented to James the woful effects of these impolitic and tyrannical proceedings, was recalled in January, 1687; and Tyrconnel, whose intrigues and misrepresentations had occasioned his removal, was appointed his successor. On delivering the sword of state to Tyrconnel, Clarendon addressed him in the following words, "That as he had kept an equal hand of justice to the Roman Catholics, so he hoped his lordship would to the Protestants ¶." When this intolerant fanatic and his adherents were let loose against the Protestants, they were universally filled with dismay and consternation. They received every kind of insult and oppression from the Papists, who reviled them on account of their religion, and called them fanatic dogs and heretics **.

We shall now proceed to give our readers the observations of this excellent writer, on Mr. Plowden's statement of the reigns of king William and queen Anne.

"Let us pass on, then, to the reign of this 'foreign invader,' this 'revolutionary prince,' the great king William, of immortal memory; 'the

* The bulwark of the Protestant religion.

† Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and a Papist.

‡ Thus it appears that king James had given orders for that purpose.

§ Clarendon's Letters, Vol. i. p. 268, 269.

|| Ibid. p. 276, 277.

¶ Secret Consults in State Tracts, Vol. iii. p. 637.

** Ibid. 637, 638.

* most illustrious benefactor of Europe; who, having been made Stadtholder of Holland for the salvation of his own country, was soon after made king of England for the deliverance of ours.'

"The only observations made in this chapter worth noticing, are, first, 'that it appears to have been the systematic policy of England, in this reign, to extinguish the very idea of an independent legislature in Ireland.' If that were the case, Mr. Plowden gives no very strong proof of the success of this object in pages 198, 200, where we find the Irish Houses of Parliament rejecting with indignation a money-bill, which had not originated with them, but had been transmitted from England. Upon this occasion, Mr. Molyneux published his famous book, which the Commons of England took up with so warm an hand. I shall not now discuss the merits of this controversy, or advert to the subsequent struggles between the Parliaments of both countries, of which it laid the foundation. Mr. Molyneux was the first champion for 'Irish independence;' that independent dependency, that dream of power, 'that anomaly in politics,' the source of eternal jealousies between the two kingdoms, and containing the seeds of disunion, now happily destroyed by the incorporation of the legislatures.

"(P. 198.) 'In no one sense did the Revolution of 1688 open to Ireland any of those constitutional blessings which were expected,' &c. is Mr. Plowden's next observation. The revolution of 1688 delivered that kingdom from arbitrary power, and gave to its inhabitants all the civil privileges of our free constitution. That it † 'shut the Catholics out of the field of politics,' as Mr. Plowden expresses it, is not to be wondered at, considering the temper of men's minds, both in England and Ireland; at that time, the violence of parties, and the use which the Irish Catholics had made of their short-lived power during the reign of James; nay, I am of opinion, that candid men may have reason to wonder at the moderation of the triumphant party in the latter kingdom §, (Appendix, No. ix. to second part.)

"Let

* Mackintosh's defence of Peltier.

† Previous to 1782, the Irish Parliaments could do little more than register the acts of the British ministry. Since that period, were their powers except for local legislation, much more extensive?

‡ Hist. Review, p. 198. It deserves to be remarked, that whilst the Irish Catholics were shut out of *this field*, they never ventured into the field of battle.

§ The penal Acts of this reign against the Irish Catholics were, 'an Act to restrain foreign Education,' 7th William, chap. 4; 'an Act for better securing the Government, by disarming Papists,' 7th Will. chap. 5; 'an Act for banishing all Papists exercising any ecclesiastical Jurisdiction,' 9 Will. chap. 1.

(N. B. In King William's reign, seventh year, an act was passed, to take away the writ *de heretico comburendo*, revived by the Catholic Parliament of James II, so that the Protestant Parliament of Ireland in this reign was content with *banishing priests* only. The Catholic Irish Parliament, which preceded, thought it the best policy to *burn all heretics*!)

"Let us proceed to the reign of queen Anne, the last monarch of that house of Stuart to which Mr. Plowden seems to have such a violent enmity. And here I must remark, that I cannot see either the prudence or the policy of giving so minute * a detail (accompanied by his own and Mr. Burke's severe animadversions) of the old code of penal laws against the Irish Catholics. If his object be to conciliate the present race of that persuasion, by an exaggerated picture of the sufferings of their ancestors, I apprehend he will be very unsuccessful. If, by informing them, that their *loyal* progenitors were dispossessed of their properties, for adhering to their *lawful* king, against *rebels*, headed by a 'foreign invader,' he hopes to persuade them not to attempt to disturb the present Protestant possessors of lands in Ireland, I fear he will not succeed. If he flatters himself, that by informing an irritable people, (p. 205,) 'that it has been the fate of their country to experience more harshness from the English government than any other part of the empire,' he has taken the best mode of reconciling them to the Union, 'which is the primary object of his publication;' how lamentable it is to reflect, that these excellent intentions are so likely to be disappointed!

"Why this perpetual recurrence to old grievances and disabilities long since removed? The gratitude which should be felt by the present generation of Roman Catholics at the repeal of the Popery laws, ought to have obliterated all remembrance of the pressure of them upon their forefathers.

"The Penal laws,' said the late Lord Clare in his speech in the Irish House of Lords, in 1793, 'enacted in this country to abridge the power and influence of the old Irish Catholics, was a code forced upon the Parliament of Ireland by hard necessity; a code, which was dictated by self-defence and self-preservation, and has from time to time been relaxed with an open and unsuspecting liberality, which has been but ill requited by that body of men who have profited by it.—A code to which I do not scruple to say, Ireland stands indebted, in a great measure, for her internal tranquillity during the last century. Let modern philosophers and mathematicians, who exclaim against this code as subverting the immutable principles of sentiment and fraternity, and the imprescriptible rights of man, condescend to look at the situation of the protestant settlers in Ireland at the Revolution. They were a colony settled in an enemy's country, which had been reduced by the sword to a sullen and refractory allegiance. In numbers they did not make up one fourth of the inhabitants; the experience of a century had proved, that from an opposition of laws,

tics!) 'an Act to prevent Protestant Heiresses marrying Papists,' the penalty, forfeiture of property to the next of kin, 9 Will. chap. 3; 'an Act to prevent papists being solicitors,' 10 Will. chap. 13.

In the ninth year of this reign, 'an Act passed for the Confirmation of the Articles of Limerick,' and to restore those to their lands who had been dispossessed contrary to them.

Contrast these Acts with those in our own Statute Book, passed in king William's reign, and not introduced into Ireland until the next reign, when the restless machinations of the Irish Catholics made them indispensable.

* Although he professes to avoid the subject,

customs,

customs, interest, and religion, the natives of the country had contracted a rooted and incurable aversion to them: they could not therefore stand their ground, unless by disarming the enemies who surrounded them, and by cultivating the confidence and affection of the British nation; when I talk of disarming their enemies, I do mean stripping them of offensive weapons; if permanent tranquillity was their object, it was essential to disarm the natives of Ireland of all political power*.

"I shall not quote the remainder of this page in Lord Clare's Speech, because I do not presume, in any part of these 'Strictures,' to discuss the policy of admitting Roman Catholics to a fuller participation of the political powers of the state; I leave it to more practised politicians to determine whether any concessions, short of a domineering political influence, and the establishment of a splendid hierarchy, can fully satisfy all classes of that persuasion in Ireland. My object here, is to refute Mr. Plowden's erroneous positions, to correct his misstatements, to supply his omissions, and to give my readers the little information I have collected of the causes and the objects of the different rebellions in Ireland. To follow Mr. Plowden, page by page, through all his misstatements in his historical sketches of the following reigns, it would be necessary to write a work as voluminous and dull as his own; I must content myself with selecting the most prominent misrepresentations.

"I shall therefore dismiss the code of Irish penal laws by observing, that the disabilities imposed upon Roman Catholics, by the Parliaments of our country, during the reign of king William, were more extensive than those enacted against the people of that communion by the Parliaments of Ireland, where their numbers and intrigues made them so much more formidable; that the disabilities inflicted upon the Irish Catholics by the Irish legislature in queen Anne's reign, were imposed upon the English Catholics during king William's, the Parliaments of which period compelled them, in many instances, to pay *double taxes*, a measure never adopted in Ireland. I must also observe, that the Irish penal statutes are mostly transcripts of the English ones against the Catholics; and *let it also be remembered*, that the code of Irish penal laws of Anne's reign was not enacted in Ireland, until after her ministers had refused to listen to a proposition made by the Irish House of Lords, 'to promote such an union with England, as may qualify the states of Ireland to be represented there†.' Mr. Plowden, in his work, has thought proper to recite all these penal laws, and to give his readers his own reflections upon them, in the pages which precede the one in which he notices this unsuccessful proposition for an union, so attentive is he to chronological arrangement in his *quartos*."

We shall conclude this article with observing, that the British Parliament were under the necessity of enacting severe penal laws against the English Roman Catholics in Elizabeth's reign, and that none were

* Lord Clare's Speech on the second reading of the Bill for the relief of his Majesty's Roman Catholic subjects, March 13, 1793, p. 13.

† Journal of the House of Lords, Vol. ii. folio 20.

passed in Ireland till after the Revolution, and most of them not till the reigns of Anne and George I; which is a complete refutation of Mr. Plowden's severe and sarcastic remark on the English government.

(*To be continued.*)

A Vindication of the Commentaries of Sir William Blackstone against the Strictures contained in Mr. Sedgwick's Critical and Miscellaneous Remarks. By William Henry Rowe, of Lincoln's Inn, Esq. 8vo. 7s. Clarke and Sons. 1806.

THE writer of the present "*Vindication*" is very far from being an able disputant, although he seems very anxious to sustain that character. The bulk of the volume is made up of quotations from Sir W. Blackstone's Commentaries and Mr. Sedgwick's Critical Remarks; and the petty spirit of cavil and captiousness that prevails throughout, manifests an equal want of judgment and of courtesy. The preface indeed contains an apology for this; but, as it betrays the writer's consciousness that it was necessary, it serves only to make him the more reprehensible. "If the following pages," says he, "shall be found to contain any observations which savour of petulance or sarcasm, it is due both to Mr. Sedgwick and the author himself to declare, that they have not proceeded from any want of respect for the very considerable talents which that gentleman undoubtedly possesses." But let them proceed from what cause they may, they are always illiberal.

The first specimen which occurs of this champion's vindication, will, we think, exonerate us from the necessity of entering very fully into the nature or merit of his critical animadversions. Montesquieu, in his chapter on the laws of nature, tells us, that in order to have a perfect knowledge of these laws, we must consider man *before the establishment of society*; the laws received in such a state would be those of nature. "But is it not obvious," says Mr. Sedgwick, "that the reception of laws, of whatever kind, *supposes the establishment of society*, and are the result of the very intercourse they regulate? If it is intended to affirm, that those motives, which should be observed to determine the actions of itinerant and solitary savages, would be the laws of nature, the assertion is inadmissible. The speculative moralist would be little aided in his inquiry into the principles of those laws, by ranging the waste, and becoming a spy on the conduct and economy of brutes; and *men in their uncivilized condition are all but quadrupeds*. As well might we expect to acquire an adequate conception of the power and attributes of the great Sovereign and Father of the universe, by exploring the systems of superstition that prevail in the darkest corners of the earth, as to trace out the elementary principles of ethics, in the pursuits of barbarians, acting from the caprice of the moment, guided by their appetites, and governed by their passions." This comment on the assertion of the French Philosopher, is, we think, equally striking and just.

But

But the present writer shows us what may be done in the way of objection. "There is one observation," says he, "which must not be suffered to pass uncontradicted." To assert of uncivilized man, that he is *all but a quadruped*, is a libel on human nature. Were the patriarchs, who, in the primitive ages, lived out of a state of civil society, distinguished from an horse or an ass only by their being bipeds? In no part of the known world is man in a state so degraded, as to be justly considered a mere brute; for he is endued with a faculty of acquiring knowledge, which a brute is not." Would not any school-boy on the first form smile at such criticism?

Discussing the subject of disinheriting children, Sir W. Blackstone remarks, that "every man has, or ought to have, a power over his own property; and, as Grotius very well distinguishes, natural right obliges us to give a necessary maintenance to children, but what is more than that, they have no other right to than as it is given them by the favour of their parents, or the positive constitutions of the municipal laws." On the doctrine as thus laid down, Mr. Sedgwick makes the following observations:—"With respect to the principle laid down by Grotius, that NATURAL RIGHT obliges us to give a NECESSARY maintenance to children, and no more, it must be remarked, that there are two kind of necessities, the necessities of life, and the necessities of our condition in life. Natural right, or, more correctly speaking, natural duty, obliges every parent, in the first place, to bequeath such a provision to his children, as his own particular circumstances may admit; and, in the next place, to assort that provision to the mode in which they have been educated, to the circle in which they have been accustomed to move, and to the style of living to which they have been bred and habituated: regard should likewise be had to the profession in which they have been placed, the rank assigned to it in society, and the class of persons with whom it may lead, perhaps compel them, to associate. All these considerations should be combined before we can at all determine what constitutes a necessary allowance. If the expression is to be understood as implying no more than will keep its object above actual want, in this case the doctrine above delivered is exceptionable. Wantonly to subject to the stern discipline of a rigid and ungracious economy, a child that has been hitherto supplied with all the elegancies of life, is iniquitous as well as cruel. What is a necessary maintenance to a person in one situation, is by no means so to another bred to other views and higher expectations. On these discriminating and sensible observations, the present writer makes the following comment: "That parents ought to be guided in the disposal of their fortunes after their deaths by an attention to the matters and considerations which the gentleman hath mentioned, and that children may reasonably expect that such an attention will be paid, is admitted; but it cannot be allowed that the parent is under any natural obligation to make such a provision for his children: and the question is, What provision it is the duty of the parent to make and the child hath a right to by nature? Now, it is only under the laws of society, and not by virtue of any natural

ral right, that any man acquires a permanent exclusive right of property in those things in [of] which he has the power of disposing. It should seem then, that no child can have a *natural* right to such property, and that no *natural duty* would be unobserved, if the parent *should totally disinherit his child.*"

We would advise this gentleman to investigate the moral laws of society, and to make himself conversant with the principles of natural justice. His mind seems at present not properly prepared, by enlarged and liberal study, to make any figure in the field of controversy.

Short Remarks upon recent Political Occurrences; and, particularly, on the New Plan of Finance. 8vo. Pp. 50. 2s. Hatchard. 1807.

THESE remarks are manifestly the production of a man of sense, observation, and judgment; who has marked, with a keen and discriminating eye, *the signs of the times*, and who has dispassionately considered the causes and effects of the leading measures of government. We are happy to find our own opinions, so frequently declared, on various important topics, supported and confirmed by so able and so temperate a judge. Our readers will recollect our comments on the *consistency* of ministers in so loudly declaiming against a *system of exclusion*, while they adopted the very system themselves, and carried it to a greater extent than it had ever been carried to before. On this subject, our author truly remarks :

"When the present ministers succeeded to the government of the country upon the irreparable loss of Mr. Pitt, and when (in defiance of the opinions which some of them had expressed against a system of exclusion,) they excluded from the new cabinet all who had been connected with him, it was reasonable to suppose, that the principles upon which he acted, and the measures which he adopted, were, by some, at least, of the new ministers, and their partisans, to become the subject of censure and condemnation.

"Pamphlets, apparently written for this purpose, were published, affecting to represent the real situation of the nation at that period; but so partial and unjust were the statements they contained, that the glorious victories which were achieved during the short period when Mr. Pitt was last in office, were not only deemed unworthy to make a prominent part in the general description of affairs, but were scarcely thought deserving of any notice at all.

"The state of our manufactures, our trade, our revenue, the general situation of our finance, were not referred to, as sources of consolation, much less as furnishing well-grounded hopes of future prosperity; the new ministers were represented as heirs to a most unprofitable succession; they were called upon to administer wasted treasures and dilapidated resources."

The wretched pamphleteer, who wrote "The State of the Nation,"

was

was not ashamed to cram these falsehoods down the throats of the public; and the minister was not ashamed to *reward* him with a place of trust and emolument, for a production, for which he ought to have received the thanks of Buonaparté himself, whose favourite objects it was well calculated to promote; while the citizen-nobleman, who assisted in compiling the misshapen mass, had nearly been appointed to the important situation of Secretary of State for the Foreign Department! This was an early but an apt specimen of the conduct to be expected from the new ministers; a *part of whom* are, at this moment, prepared to act upon the sentiments promulgated in the pamphlet alluded to. In Parliament, too, the same professions were sometimes heard; but ministers were prudent enough to refuse the challenge, repeatedly given them, to enter into a minute examination of the measures which they dared to condemn. It was a cunning policy, however, to exaggerate difficulties, as it supplied a convenient excuse for the failures of imbecility, and a specious pretext for over-rating the merits of casual success.

“ From such a practice, even *the assemblage of all the talents in the country* (a compendious mode, by which the friends of the present administration continue, I believe, to describe them,) have not been exempt; no men were ever found so little disposed to under-rate their difficulties; and while, on the one hand, they were represented (extravagantly enough) as reposing on a bed of roses, they were, on the other hand, with equal extravagance, desirous to persuade the people, that the bed to which they had so recently succeeded, was a bed of thorns.”

This is not the language of a *partisan*, but of a writer solely influenced by a regard for truth and justice. In the same spirit he admits, that our situation, on the accession of the new ministers, with reference to the Continent *alone*, was certainly gloomy; but who, except such men as the pamphleteer employed by Lord Holland and rewarded by Lord Grenville, could think, in estimating the real state of a country, of omitting every thing which could prove its internal prosperity, or its superiority over its enemies, whenever engaged singly against them, and of taking, as the ground of his estimate, the calamities of its allies, which it had no share in producing, and which was the result of circumstances, which it could neither foresee nor controul? We must say a word or two on the burden of the ministerial song, *the assemblage of all the talents in the country*; which is as much as to say, that we have a ministry of Sages, and a nation of Fools! It is difficult to decide which is most deserving of admiration, in this notable monopoly of talent, its *impudence*, or its *ignorance*! But it is much to be wished that these sapient panegyrists would have the candour to tell us what species of talent, that portion of the assemblage which is yeilded *Lord Temple* possesses? His Lordship is a young nobleman whose pretensions and endowments are much on a par. The *talent* of hereditary rank and wealth is certainly his; but he knows enough of Latin to understand the remark of the Roman satyrisk:

“ Et

" Et genus, et proavos, et quæ non fecimus ipsi
Vix ea nostra voco ;"

though, possibly, he may not know enough of *himself* to apply it. He probably derived his *humility* and *condescension* from his *uncle*; his *grace*, *eloquence*, and *wit*, from his *father*; and the *strength* and *sincerity* of his attachment to the Church of England from his *mother*. His *consistency* may be deduced from his memorable declaration, that the man who could rejoice in a Peace because it was glorious to the enemies of his country, was a man with whom he never would associate; and from his subsequent coalition with that very man; while his more recent exploits in Hampshire completely establish the purity of his *patriotism*, and the ardour of his *love for the British Constitution*. If this analysis were extended to the other ingredients of this motley assemblage of political ingredients, which have been cast, no one knows how, into the state-crucible, the result, it is apprehended, would be nearly the same. But we beg pardon of our readers for this involuntary digression, and return to the Tract before us.

" Upon the death of Mr. Pitt, a gloom indeed spread itself through the nation; it was not, however, a gloom which arose from a just estimation of the real situation of the country, but from a consideration that *he* was gone, who was thought, by many, most capable of relieving us from whatever there was in it of disaster."

" Aye, there's the rub!" It was the death of Mr. Pitt that spread the gloom which pervaded all ranks of people, except the violent *partisans* of Mr. Fox. Mr. Fox himself, we believe, partook of it; for in his nature, notwithstanding the violence and mischievous tendency of his political prejudices and passions, there was something too generous to let him rejoice in the death of an opponent, or even to refuse to do justice to his memory. Many, very many, believed *then* that the country had lost its best friend and most able minister; and *now*, we may say with confidence, such is the belief of a vast majority of the people.

" The victory of *Trafalgar* was obtained about three months before the present ministers came into office: that ever-memorable victory, which throughout the nation mingled the tears of sorrow with the shouts of triumph; which, among other immediate effects, blasted the arrogant hopes and pretensions so confidently expressed by *Buonaparté* on the heights of *Ulm*; and which, from the proudest fleet the enemy had yet been able to assemble during the course of two wars, reduced the maritime force of France and Spain to mere squadrons, incapable of uniting, or, if united, no longer formidable. But were the general consequences of this glorious event so transient? To what end do we boast of such victories, if the value of them is confined to the day on which they are obtained? It is not only because such memorable exploits evince intrepidity, heroism, and devotion to our country, that we glory in them; but also because, from the eminent display of these endowments, (the character-

characteristics of our countrymen,) we derive the most lasting and important benefits. It was among the advantages derived from this, the most brilliant in the splendid list of victories which the immortal Hero who acquired it had achieved, that our commerce, and all that flows from its uninterrupted pursuit, was secured, and the opportunity amply afforded, for extending and improving the great Sources of our national prosperity."

Such were some of the national *disasters* which the new assemblage of talent had to repair. The author's remarks on the mercantile interest of this country are perfectly just; and they will have more weight with the public, as they certainly do not come from a man engaged in commercial pursuits.

"It has been often remarked, that the mercantile interest is gaining too great an ascendancy in this country; and it is sometimes assailed with a fury that seems disposed to subvert it. In general, there is more good sense in making the best of our advantages as we find them, than in supposing we can work any great benefit by total change: and though I feel at all times, and particularly at the present moment, the indispensable duty imposed upon the Legislature, of watching any attempt to innovate upon our lauded, in favour of our commercial interests, and more especially when such attempts are accompanied with suggestions of yet more dangerous innovations; still I think we must be considered in a great degree as a commercial people. Such we have long been, and, in my opinion, when we cease to be so, we shall cease eminently to prosper. We owe, in a great measure, our strength to our industry, to the enterprising spirit of our countrymen; and the extent to which we have carried our commerce. Whether it were desirable, originally, that it should have been pushed to this length, is not now the question; we have derived from commerce great riches: Our wealth has been one main ingredient in constituting our power; and that power has enabled us to assume a high and commanding station among the nations of Europe; by those who would decry, or under-value every exertion, the effect of which is to maintain or improve our commercial system, it is said to be artificial. With this artificial system (as it is called) we have, however, grown up, and we cannot relinquish it. Our skill, perseverance, and enterprise, have displayed themselves in the remotest parts of the empire; our whole country, in a series of years, has undergone a change like that which we have ourselves observed (and not perhaps without feelings of regret) in some of its most beautiful scenery: the stream that hurried and foamed down its natural bed, now slowly winds along the side of the hill, in a navigable canal; an immense manufactory, disproportioned to every thing around it, blocks up the opening of the valley; the neighbouring hills, formerly covered with forests, are now spotted with cottages of artisans; instead of the wild notes of the wood, you hear the working of the loom, and the constant and unvaried din of the mill; all is steam, and smoke, and noise, and bustle! The picturesque is changed for the useful; and simplicity and nature, for art, industry, and wealth."

Such is the actual state of the country; and, as the author justly observes, we are not now to consider, whether it would have been better

ter if it had been in a different state, but how we are to make the best of it as it is. It is certain, however, that commerce has been the parent of our power; and that when we cease to be commercial we shall cease to be independent. Still it will be necessary to watch with a jealous eye, the growth of the *commercial interest*; as it affects *Legislation and Government*, and as it tends to depress and overpower the *landed interest*.

"To this state of things," the author adds, "have we been progressively brought; and our commercial prosperity has been constantly maintained or advanced by the prowess of our arms, and particularly by our naval victories, during the administrations of *Mr. Pitt*. At the period of accession to office of the new Ministers, we were masters of the sea; not only without competition, but without the prospect, for years to come, of resistance from the enemy: our expeditions sailed wherever Ministers thought fit to send them, without interruption: our vessels traded from one end of the globe to the other, without fear of molestation: our colonies were regularly supplied and strengthened, while those of our enemies were either left destitute, or served only, by enticing them to attempt to give succours, to afford our gallant countrymen opportunities for new captures: the strength of our regular army, both in discipline and numbers, far exceeded that of any former period. Our commerce and our revenue flourished beyond example, and our maritime power, in relation to that of the enemy, was augmented beyond what the nation had witnessed at any former period.

"Such were among the advantages which Ministers possessed when they came into office; yet all seemed to be lost or overlooked, in contemplating the incapacity, or the treachery of General *Mack*, at *Ulm*, and the consequent disasters of the Austrian empire."

From this brief view of our naval successes and our commercial prosperity, the author turns to the financial state of the country, respecting which the gloomy predictions of the ministerial writers have been completely falsified by the recent declarations of the ministers themselves. The brief explanation here given of Lord Henry Petty's plan, is the most intelligible and satisfactory of any that has appeared in print; and it will convey a competent idea of it to such of our readers as have not studied the subject:

"I cannot better consider the general state of finance to which the present Ministers succeeded, than in examining the plan, so perspicuously and so ably opened to the House of Commons by Lord *Henry Petty*, on the 29th of January. That plan is founded upon the advantage to be derived from the two measures of the *Sinking Fund* and the *War Taxes*: measures which, it is well known, had their origin in the administration of *Mr. Pitt*.

"The plan proposed by Lord *H. Petty* is shortly as follows: that the war taxes shall not (as originally intended) cease with the war; but shall be extended, for a given number of years, in certain annual portions, to pay the interest of Loans to be borrowed upon the credit of them, and to create a *Sinking Fund* for the extinction of each Loan: that the amount of

of these Loans is to be Twelve Millions* per year for the first three years; Fourteen Millions for the fourth year, and Sixteen Millions per year for the following sixteen years: that ten per cent upon each loan is the sum to be set apart from the war taxes for the interest and sinking fund, leaving, at the present price of the funds, about five per cent as a sinking fund, which, at compound interest, it is computed, will redeem the capital to which it is applied, in fourteen years from the creation of such capital: that the amount of this interest and sinking fund is to be raised by a separate supplementary loan, (with a sinking fund for itself of one per cent, as established in 1792,) to make good the deficiency created in the supply, by thus employing a portion of the war taxes: that for the interest and sinking fund of such supplementary loan, no taxes are to be laid for the next three years, because short annuities will fall in, which, in the course of that time, will amount to a larger sum than will be required both for the interest and sinking fund: that for the following seven years, the interest on the supplementary loans, to be provided for by taxes, will amount, on an average, to not more than 293,000*l.* per annum; and that for the succeeding ten years the charge of the supplementary loans is to be provided for by appropriating, annually, certain portions of the sinking fund, which, by an arbitrary distinction, are supposed to be available for this purpose: these assumed excesses are not to be taken till the sinking fund has risen to an amount equal to the interest of the whole unredeemed debt, and are not to be applied to a greater amount than so as to leave a sufficient sinking fund to redeem the debt which existed in 1802, (the period of the alteration of the sinking fund,) within forty-five years from that period, and to compensate the stockholder for fixing a *maximum* of the sinking fund, and thus taking the excesses above such maximum, the sinking fund of five per cent upon future principal loans is given, which, if the war continues to a certain time, it is said, will pay off as large a portion of debt, in a given time, as would be paid off by the uninterrupted operation of the present sinking fund.

"The whole of the war taxes are taken as yielding 21,000,000*l.* annually, and this amount is to be made good, in case of deficiency, by votes of Parliament; the permanent taxes are also calculated to yield to such an extent as to leave a surplus of the consolidated fund of 3,500,000*l.*; any deficiency of which is also to be made good in like manner. In the calculation of the sum to be borrowed in each year, the expenditure is supposed to be likely to exceed the amount of the annual ways and means by the sum of 32,000,000*l.*"

When this plan was first mentioned in the House of Commons, it was intended to repeal the property tax on the return of peace; but, on further consideration, the motion was so far given up, as to leave the question open to discussion, whenever that period may arrive. And the author is of opinion, that it will be better to continue a part of that tax, than some of the other war-taxes. Adverting to the taxes

* "This is in fact but eleven millions borrowed for the supply of the year; one million of the twelve being added to the loan, being a part of 1,200,000*l.* interest and sinking fund."

proposed last year by Lord Henry Petty, upon pig-iron, and private brewery; he tells us, "those taxes had been fully considered by Mr. Pitt, and abandoned, precisely on the grounds on which they were rejected by the House of Commons." We told his Lordship so at the time; but he chose rather to listen to those *disinterested* counsellors, Mr. Alderman Combe, and Lord Howick's brother-in-law, Mr. Whitbread; who persuaded him that a tax upon private brewing was the best tax that could be devised, as it would tend to augment the consumption of porter, and so give a double increase to the revenue. These members of the Whig-club thought it no infringement on the liberty of the subject, to give an exciseman free admission into the house of every private individual in the country, who brewed his own beer; for such was, unquestionably, the original proposition of his Lordship, though afterwards disavowed; nor did they think the precedent, which might, on the same principle, and with equal propriety, be extended to *private baking*, or to *private confectionary*, to *private made-wines*, and even to *private pumps*, as the least dangerous or improper! We will continue to assert, however, without fear of contradiction, that had Mr. Pitt proposed to carry such a tax into effect, every Whig, in Parliament and out of it, would have raised his voice against it, the table of the House would have been loaded with petitions, (if the House would have received them,) and it would have been stigmatised, in all the Whig-prints, as an act of high treason against "the sovereignty of the people."

Though the author contends that new taxes, and those of a productive nature, might easily have been devised, he approves that part of the new plan, which relieves the country from the farther pressure of taxation for three years. He differs, however, materially from the Chancellor of the Exchequer, as to the estimated amount both of the revenue and of the expenditure. As to the former, he justly calculates on a considerable diminution of the produce of the taxes on wine and spirits: if the present duties be continued, in time of peace, smuggling will take place to an enormous extent; and they ought to be lowered in order to prevent it. In either case, they will be much less productive than they are now. The duty on the tonnage of merchant vessels cannot be continued after the war without a direct breach of promise, and a palpable violation of good policy. The duties on sugar also must be lowered, or the French and Spaniards will undersell us in the continental markets, and our West India planters will be ruined. Our revenue, therefore, is evidently rated too high in Lord Henry Petty's plan; and, in the opinion of our author, and in our own too, the expenditure is rated as much too low. Indeed, it appears perfectly ridiculous to take a year, in which no foreign expeditions were undertaken, and in short nothing, in the way of hostility, performed, as the criterion of our war expenses; unless it were the intention of Ministers, in order to render their professions and their practice as opposite as the poles, to persevere in the same line of conduct, and to preserve the same inertness and apathy; then, and then only, could the ex-
penses

penses be confined within the same limits. By such a partial view of the subject, we do not look our situation fairly in the face; but become the dupes of a voluntary delusion, either from unwillingness to encounter difficulties, or from inability to subdue them. The author objects to a system which is to bind the nation for so long a period as twenty years; and contends, that if a more simple plan of finance, extending only to five years, had been adopted, the result would have been, at once, more certain and more beneficial. He truly remarks, that the objections urged against the plan of borrowing upon the war taxes, namely, that it is borrowing upon *compound interest*, will apply equally to loans made upon any part of the supply. He then shortly states his own plan of finance, which is further explained by the tables which are subjoined to it.

“ Upon the supposition stated by Lord *Henry Petty*, that a war loan of 11,000,000*l.* annually, is all that will be required, it will be seen that we may continue the war for five years without imposing any taxes for the next three years; without having recourse to them for the two following years, to a larger amount than 347,000*l.*; without pledging the war taxes beyond 3,666,000*l.*, and *without trenching in any degree upon the sinking fund*, or any of our remaining resources. It is not proposed to give a larger sinking fund on the new loans than one per cent, because it is not meant to avail ourselves of its excesses; and it will be seen by the tables*, that as large an amount of war taxes would be pledged in three years under Lord *Henry Petty's* plan, as in five years under this suggestion.

“ At the end of this period, the sinking fund would (upon the supposition of the tables) exceed the loan of 11,000,000*l.* by 880,000*l.* per annum†, and the state of the country would then lead us to determine what further measures it might be most expedient to adopt; we should then see what the war taxes had produced, what the permanent taxes; what progress the sinking fund had actually made; whether it were more advisable further to pledge the war taxes, the surplus of the consolidated fund, to anticipate the excesses of the sinking fund, or to impose new taxes. We should then see also what expenditure the circumstances of the country had entailed upon us, and, from a review of all these, we should be enabled to judge what plan was best suited to our actual situation‡.

“ Whether I may be prejudiced in favour of this proposal, because I had pre-conceived a measure of this sort before the new system was developed, I know not; but, upon reflection, I cannot avoid thinking, that so plain, and, at the same time, so encouraging a statement, would have given more satisfaction to the country than one which is in its nature very complicated, and which depends upon very uncertain data for its success. I admit that a plan confined to a period of five years might not have as much brilliancy in it as one which extends to twenty; but if, in a speech, it had not made so captivating and dazzling a display, in return for this disadvantage, it would, in practice, have been less liable to fail.

* Vide Table B.

+ Vide Table N.

‡ Vide Statement annexed.

“ The

The Effect of borrowing *Eleven Millions* Annually (the Amount of Loan supposed necessary by Lord Henry Petty) on the War Taxes for Five Years, with the usual Sinking Fund of 1 per Cent. The War Expenditure, independent of Annual Ways and Means of (a) £ 6,700,000 supposed to be 32,000,000 (3 per Cents at 60.)

1	2	3	4	5	6
Year.	War Loan.	Amount of Supplementary Loans to replace War Taxes pledged for Interest and Sinking Funds of War Loans in each Year.	War Taxes not pledged.	Total Amount of 3 preceding Columns, being supposed Charge of War Expenditure above Annual Supply.	Interest and Sinking Fund of Supplementary Loans.
1st....	11,000,000	*733,333	20,266,667	32,000,000	48,888
2d....	11,000,000	1,466,666	19,533,334	32,000,000	97,777
3d....	11,000,000	2,199,999	18,800,001	32,000,000	146,666
4th....	11,000,000	2,933,333	18,066,667	32,000,000	195,555
5th....	11,000,000	3,666,666	17,333,334	32,000,000	244,444
Deduct expiring Annuities;—in 1807 } 15,515; in 1808, 370,000.. }					733,333 385,515
Interest and Sinking Fund to be provided for in the two last of } 5 Years by Taxes					347,818

The Effect of borrowing *Thirteen Millions* Annually upon the same Plan, (the amount of Loan which will more probably be required,) the War Expenditure, independent of Annual Ways and Means, supposed at 34,000,000.

Year.	War Loan.	Amount of Supplementary Loans to replace War Taxes, pledged for Interest and Sinking Funds of War Loans in each Year.	War Taxes not pledged.	Total Amount of 3 preceding Columns, being supposed Charge of War Expenditure above Annual Supply.	Interest and Sinking Fund of Supplementary Loans.
1st....	13,000,000	866,666	20,133,334	34,000,000	57,777
2d....	13,000,000	8,733,333	19,266,667	34,000,000	115,555
3d....	13,000,000	2,599,999	18,400,001	34,000,000	173,333
4th....	13,000,000	3,466,666	17,533,334	34,000,000	231,111
5th....	13,000,000	4,333,333	16,666,667	34,000,000	288,888
Expiring Annuities					866,666 385,515
Taxes required in the two last of Five Years					481,151

(a) Surplus of Consolidated Fund 3,500,000

Land and Malt..... 2,750,000

Lottery 450,000—6,700,000

* Vide Tables laid before the House of Commons, K.

† Whole amount of War Taxes pledged in five Years, on the supposition of a Loan of *Eleven Millions* Annually.

‡ Whole Amount of War Taxes pledged in five Years, on the supposition of a Loan of *Thirteen Millions* Annually.

§ In order to provide for an Expenditure of 34,000,000 Annually on Lord Henry Petty's Plan in the Two last of these five Years, new Permanent Taxes of £ 1,010,000 must be imposed, instead of 481,151; and the amount of War Taxes pledged, would be £7,500,000, instead of 4,333,333; vide Table U.

The author then shows, that Lord Henry Petty's plan is open to the same objection, on the ground of its interference with the sinking fund, and of its consequent injury to the stockholder, which was urged by his Lordship against that of Lord Castlereagh; and as he evidently disapproves of all such interference, neither of the two plans meets his approbation; and he proceeds to expose another fallacy in the new system:

"There is also another fallacy with respect to the liquidation of debt. It may be true, that, in a given number of years, as large an amount of debt will be paid off by the operation of the proposed plan, as upon the present system; but then it must be also observed, that, for the proposed plan to operate at all, a much larger debt must be created. It will be seen by the tables, that, if the present system were still to be acted upon, we should have, in the year 1826, a sinking fund of 27,115,000*l.* opposed to a debt of only 270,443,000*l.*, being about one-tenth part of that debt; whereas, upon the proposed plan, we should have a sinking fund of 26,901,000*l.** only, opposed to a debt of 455,537,000*l.*, being about one-seventeenth part; that the sinking fund in the first case, is progressively increasing, and the debt diminishing, while, in the second, the sinking fund is diminishing, and the debt increasing †.

"But further, the stockholder is told that the whole debt contracted before 1802, is to be paid off within forty-five years from that period; but this was neither the engagement entered into with him before *Mr. Addington's* act of 1802, nor is it the fulfilment of the compact made with him by that act; and the lender to the public since 1802, who, after the passing of that act, advanced his money upon the express faith of a one per cent sinking fund ‡, which (taking the three per cents at sixty,) would have redeemed his debt in less than thirty-two years from its creation, is to be considered in the common mass, and be satisfied with having his debt redeemed in forty-five years from the period of taking the excesses, and notwithstanding the care Lord Henry Petty professed to take of the public creditor: the new plan seems to assume, that Government have a right to take the excesses of the sinking fund at any time, not only beyond the interest of the debt, but beyond such a sum as would, at compound interest, redeem it in forty-five years. Those who refer to the tables § presented to the House of Commons, will not feel that the

* "From this sum is also to be deducted 10,720,730*l.* excess of sinking fund above interest of war loans and excess of old sinking fund; the sum which is to be taken on the restoration of peace, to set free the property tax, leaving us on this supposition a sinking fund in 1826, of 16,200,000*l.* only, being only one twenty-eighth part of the then combined debt. Vide table R."

† "Vide Table N."

‡ "This was forcibly stated by *Mr. Huskisson* in the House of Commons. Vide Acts 32 Geo. III. cap. 55. s. 3 & 8, and 42 Geo. III. c. 71."

§ "Particularly Table P."

authors of the present measure can very reasonably reproach others with a breach of faith to the stockholder."

This able writer is of opinion, that no regulation of the sinking fund, as to the application of its *excess* beyond a certain sum, should take place before a peace, when its effects would be more easily ascertained. And he maintains, that the fund should never be diverted from the purposes of its original institution, which was not, that it should operate solely to the extinction of debt; but that, after the produce (of the sinking fund of 1786) should be more than four millions, the surplus should be at the disposal of Parliament. "The object of this limitation was, that when it had gained to a certain extent upon the whole debt, the annual interest of the sum redeemed should be applied to such other purposes as might be thought advisable." But the first improper departure from this wise principle took place in 1802, during the administration of that state-empiric, Mr. Addington, as is explained in a table, which sets forth, in a clear point of view, the advantages which must have resulted from a rigid adherence to Mr. Pitt's original plan. The author's object in this discussion, and his general conclusions from the positions which he has established, and the arguments which are advanced, will be found in the following brief summary:

"But I am more anxious for pause and deliberation, than for the adoption of any of the plans which have been proposed. We are entering upon a system, differing in its principles most essentially from that which we have hitherto adopted with such success, and in many respects in opposition to it: let us proceed, therefore, with that circumspection and caution which are so necessary in the first trial of every new and complicated system, and not attempt to carry our theory into practice to the full extent at once. We may make laws, it is true; but do we perform the part of wise legislators, if we proceed upon such ground as will require us constantly to alter or amend the acts of our own legislation?"

"What I contend for is, that, considering the probable fluctuation of events for the next twenty years, and particularly the uncertainty of peace or war, any plan formed for so long a period is so liable to change, and will unquestionably require it so often, that it is not a fit subject for legislation: that, though it may be upon the whole desirable to relax from taxation for a certain time, there is no necessity to couple with that determination any arrangement whatever respecting the sinking fund: that if it shall be thought fit to invigorate that fund, by adding to it a portion of the war taxes, it may be done at the end of the period to which my plan refers, or at any future time, as well as at the present, by transferring to that fund the same amount from the war taxes as would be accumulated according to Lord Henry Petty's plan: that the period at which it may be expedient to make arrangements respecting the sinking fund, is during peace, when its operation may have reduced the interest of the debt; but not during war, when we must inevitably add more debt every year than we can pay off: that it is highly objectionable

now to act upon a plan which proposes to take certain portions away from the sinking fund, at a *future and distant* time, because it may happen that the period at which these excesses will be *taken away from it*, may be that at which (from every just consideration of the interests of the country,) it would be most advisable to *add to it*: that if the new sinking fund of five per cent on the principal loans established by Lord Henry Petty's plan, is meant as a just equivalent to the public creditor for taking away portions of the old sinking fund hereafter, it is no such equivalent: that we are not therefore compelled to touch the sinking fund, and that, without a more pressing necessity, it is impolitic to have recourse to it: that, to render *some of the war taxes* permanent, and to make loans upon them, furnishes, upon the whole, the best resource which now presents itself for defraying our war expenditure; and that we may safely employ this resource even beyond the period to which my proposition extends."

The author has perfectly succeeded in proving the solidity of Mr. Pitt's financial plans, which alone justified the assertion from the throne, on the opening of the present session of Parliament, that "*the great sources of our prosperity and strength are unimpaired.*" But never surely was ministerial inconsistency, or chicane, (for we know not which to call it,) displayed in a stronger point of view, than in the declarations of the present Ministers on this subject.

"In this speech His Majesty laments '*the necessity of adding to the public burthens.*' Some of the Ministers also told their constituents, that '*they must prepare for great sacrifices;*' and yet in a paper circulated by the Government with the new plan, we are told that the war taxes imposed last year, *had reference to this system*, which is '*to combine the two apparently irreconcilable objects of relieving the public from all future pressure of taxation, and of exhibiting to the enemy resources by which we may defy his implacable hostility, to whatever period it may be prolonged.*'"

Our extracts from this very able tract have already been long, but not more so than the importance of the subject required, in order to enable our readers to form an adequate notion of the author's views and intentions. Nor will they, we are persuaded, deem it any transgression of our duty, if we still add to their length, by quoting another passage, which contains a tribute of justice to the departed statesman whose loss we so deeply deplore.

"It has, however, been the practice of those who could not refuse the highest praise to Mr. Pitt, as a Minister of finance, to endeavour to confine all his merit to the management of financial measures. I have already observed, that in his administration, our naval glory was carried to its proudest height; but the firm resistance which both he and Lord Grenville opposed to the various mischiefs with which we were menaced by the French Revolution, will not soon be forgotten by a just and grateful country.

"In referring briefly to the circumstances of those times, I am fully aware that I should but faintly call to the recollection of the public the
extent

extent of the dangers which impended ; because it is impossible ever to impress the minds of men with the magnitude of the evils which they have escaped : yet, in the midst of war, we were at different periods threatened with national bankruptcy and with famine ; and more than threatened with the most alarming mutiny and rebellion : how successfully these dangers were dissipated, though they may not now present themselves to us in all their terrors, will, I trust, long be remembered. If there were among us any men of leading talents and weight in the country, who, from party feelings, were induced to associate with traitors, and to enforce opinions favourable to their views, I fully believe that they were themselves deceived, and that their conduct was the effect not of evil design but of error ; that error however might have been fatal to us. if we had not been governed by firm and able Ministers, alive to the dangers which presented themselves, and determined to resist and to subdue them. Lord Grenville may, I think, look back with self-approbation to the period when, in conjunction with Mr. Pitt, he called in the seasonable aid of new laws to repress treason and sedition ; laws which were not brought forward till the emergency called for them, which did not proceed a step beyond what the danger of the moment required, and which yet completely and almost imperceptibly effected their purpose : I am sure he will remember with satisfaction his firm and patriotic exertions at the period to which I refer ; exertions which, in spite of the powerful resistance he met with, were fortunately crowned with success, and to which we owe, in a great degree, the prosperity we now enjoy.

“ There are many persons who think, and not without foundation, that there is a faction now rising in the country, proceeding more secretly, but not less directly to its purpose, than that of which I have just spoken : it is not difficult to perceive how steadily some of the adherents to this faction pursue their political objects, like the French Encyclopedists, through the medium and under the mask of literary discussion : when we recollect by what means the French monarchy was undermined before it was openly assailed, it becomes us to be upon our guard against these political philosophers ; in referring to them, however, I speak rather of designs than of dangers : they are, at present, at least, much more the objects of vigilance than of coercion.

“ To have contributed essentially to check the fatal progress of the principles of the French Revolution, was among the actions of Mr. Pitt's life, in which he attained the object of that ambition which was only to be gratified when it was employed either in obtaining for his country some important benefit, or in rescuing it from some imminent danger. The eventful times in which he lived, gave him the opportunity of exerting, successfully, his transcendent talents for both purposes.

“ It has been unjustly imputed to him that, in his general intercourse with men, his demeanour was haughty and unbending, and that he did not condescend to those civilities to individuals, which are so engaging in all, and particularly in eminent men. I would appeal particularly to those who have, at any time, transacted business with him, whether they were not received with the most marked attention ; whether he did not enter into the most candid discussion of their concerns ; whether he was not ready to receive every information offered, and to give the clearest and the fullest explanations ; but, as a Minister, it is true, he trusted to his

his public services for public favour, and he sought support for his measures in their intrinsic merits; he was too sincere to employ affability for interested purposes; and the little arts of solicitation and canvass to which men in high stations have sometimes resorted, and that courteous condescension which seems to beg a vote for measures of government, on personal, rather than on public grounds, he held in the highest contempt.

"Others knew what he was as a Minister; few knew so well as myself, what he was as a man; others knew well his inflexible integrity, his pure disinterestedness, and his devotion to his country, but perhaps none had more opportunities, in the few moments of relaxation which he permitted himself to take from public duties, of observing upon the most interesting subjects, the views of his elevated and enlightened mind: those who had the same opportunities, will remember with satisfaction, the liberality with which he appreciated the talents of others, the candour with which he always treated the conduct of his political opponents, the readiness with which he forgave every personal injury, and the general kindness of his disposition; and they will have remarked, that a long lingering illness had as little affected the amiable complacency of that disposition, as it had weakened his exertions in the service of his country. I cannot help calling to the memory of his friends some of those rare qualities of his character which so deservedly rendered him the object of private affection; his public merits I leave without fear to the impartial historian: no person perhaps is less capable of tracing them, than one who cannot avoid mixing his own sorrows in every such consideration, and whose mind, in endeavouring to recollect what he was as a public man, dwells still more, with painful grief, on the affectionate friend whom he has lost."

This is the language of the heart, of an honest heart too, whose language is the language of *truth*. But the honourable pride of having enjoyed a friend of such transcendent qualities and endowments, while, on the one hand, it must aggravate his loss, cannot fail, on the other, to afford him the best consolation of which the nature of the case will admit. The tract closes with some brief, but just animadversions, on the late negotiation for peace: on the policy of continuing the war, until the enemy be more pacifically disposed; and on the economy of our internal establishments. The little which the author has said on these subjects, makes us regret extremely, that he has not treated them more at large. The following instance of moral perfidy, which we have not yet seen noticed in print, should be generally known.

"On the 9th of July, M. Talleyrand promised M. D'Oubril and Lord Yarmouth, that if peace was made, the intended German arrangements should not take effect; on the 17th these arrangements were actually concluded; and on the 20th, the treaty with M. D'Oubril was signed; one of the conditions of which was, that these very arrangements should not take place! Read Negotiation Papers, Nos. 19, 21, and 22."

And yet our Ministers could be so infatuated as to prolong the negotiation.

gotiation. But Mr. Fox's lust for peace was as insatiate as it was unnatural; and it has, unfortunately, descended to his nephew! The author speaks in terms of commendation of some parts of Mr. Whitbread's plan for reforming the poor laws; and informs us, that this subject had deeply interested the feelings, and engaged the attention of Mr. Pitt, who thought that no change could be beneficial which was not gradual. But our limits forbid to enter either on this topic, or on another which is connected with it, and which our author touches upon, *friendly societies*, the principle of which was undoubtedly good, though experience has proved them, in the metropolis and its vicinity, to be productive of very great evils. On these two subjects our sentiments must be reserved for some other occasion. We have only to add our firm conviction, that this tract will be read with pleasure and satisfaction by all real friends to the country, of whatever party or opinion.

Van Mildert's *Historical View of Infidelity.*

(Continued from P. 113.)

IN tracing the progress of Deism, the learned author presents us with a brief, but able, analysis of the tenets of one of its first and most successful champions, the celebrated *Lord Herbert of Cherbury*.

"This Author boasts of having discovered certain primary Articles of Religion, containing every thing requisite to be believed, and superseding the necessity of Divine Revelation. The existence of God; the worship that is due to him; the necessity of piety and virtue; the expiation of offences by repentance; and the belief of a future state of rewards and punishments; these constitute, according to his scheme, the *whole* of Religion, and are discoverable by the Light of Nature, without any communication of the Divine will. He pretends, that, in establishing this system, he does not overturn Christianity, but strengthens and confirms it; and he is not wanting in professions of respect for the Gospel, because, as he assures us, it coincides, as to these fundamental points, with his own opinions. Nevertheless, his contempt and even hatred of the Gospel, is, in many instances, apparent: and if (as the Apostle intimates) they who are '*without Christ*,' are to be considered as '*without God in the world*,' (Ephes. ii. 12.) it will not be easy to acquit this specious reasoner of the charge of Atheism itself.

"Among the tenets which he advances, to bring Christianity into discredit, is the maxim, that *universal consent is a necessary criterion of Truth*, so that nothing is to be admitted as an essential, or certain, article of belief, which is not thus attested; a maxim, according to which no one doctrine of the Christian Faith could be maintained: inasmuch as, from the first promulgation of the Gospel, to the present hour, numerous opponents have been found to call in question every position, every doctrine, nay, almost every fact, which it contains. This, therefore, must be

be considered as an intentional, though indirect, attack upon its credibility.

"It is another postulatam, in this author's system, that nothing can properly be admitted as true, which is not discoverable by our *natural* faculties, i. e. by reasoning from what the light of nature sets before us:—a position which sets aside all Revelation, as useless, and renders almost every Article of the Christian Faith incredible, because *not* discoverable by such a mode of investigation.—He protests, indeed, against any denial of the *possibility* of such a revelation being true; but he contends, at the same time, against the *certainly* of its being so, except to the person to whom it is *immediately* given; and he labours to prove, that all Belief which rests upon *historical* testimony, must be doubtful: nay, he insists, that supposing any Revelation to be true, it is true only as to the *person to whom it is revealed*, and that others, to whom it has not been so communicated, are under no obligation to believe it. From all which reasoning, the inference is unavoidable, that none but Prophets, Apostles, or those who are actually inspired, can rationally believe any thing which God has been pleased to reveal. Insinuations are, moreover, interspersed, that even inspired persons ought not to place any confidence in the Divine communications which are made to them, unless the truths so revealed be such as they might have discovered, without any such Revelation.

"These are among the *general* positions levelled by this author against the Christian Faith: besides which, many particular considerations are urged and detailed at great length, with a manifest design to cast suspicion, and contempt, upon the Sacred Writings; which, indeed, he scruples not occasionally to allude to, as deserving of no higher estimation than the Talmud, the Koran, or the Sybilline Oracles.

"Thus does this vain boaster endeavour to undermine Christianity, and to erect his favourite system of *Natural Religion* upon its ruins. Yet is it observable, that with all his labour and ingenuity, he is evidently at a loss for a solid *foundation*, whereon to rest his theory. Whether his own articles of Belief be really as incontestable as he would represent them to be, or whether they be sufficient for the attainment of Salvation, he hardly dares determine. His darling Idol, Natural Religion, fails him in his utmost need; and too clearly is it seen, that he is intent rather upon the destruction of Revelation, than upon establishing in its stead, any system on which he himself can confidently rely.

"It is also apparent, that in his *mode* of assailing Christianity, he is much indebted to its Pagan opponents, of old times. The trite and often refuted objections, that Christianity was a mere *innovation* upon the ancient and true Religion; that whatever it had of truth or utility was derived from the schools of Philosophy, of which it exhibited only a mutilated and imperfect transcript; that its mysteries were mere human inventions; that it was slowly, and with difficulty, received, for several ages after its promulgation; that the first Christians were obstinate, enthusiastic, and irrational; that the doctrines of Justification, Redemption, and Grace, are absurd and pernicious:—these and many other calumnies are revived from its Heathen adversaries, together with numberless attempts to disprove the accomplishment of the Scripture Prophecies, and to asperse the characters of the Prophets, the Apostles, and our Blessed Saviour himself;

in

in all which but little claim can be laid to ingenuity or novelty. The same observations apply to his efforts to invalidate the testimony of the Scripture Miracles, by insidious comparisons of them with *false* Miracles, and by endeavours to show, that Miracles, of any kind, do not sufficiently prove the truth of the Doctrines which they are wrought to establish.

"From all this it is abundantly clear, that this Author's protestations of attachment to the Gospel are wholly insincere, and that he acts, throughout, the Hypocrite and Dissembler ;—a charge which it will be impossible for his admirers to repel, so long as his writings attest, that while he panegyricizes Christianity, on the one hand, as a summary of religious perfection, he, on the other hand, omits no censures, no calumnies, no sophistry, no insinuations, which its avowed enemies have at any time urged against it; nor has he spared any pains to give them their full effect."

The next labourer in the vineyard of Infidelity was the notorious *Hobbes*, who pursued the same object as his noble predecessor, but sought to obtain it by different means. The commoner disdained to wear the mask of hypocrisy which the peer had deemed it expedient to assume; the slow operation of mining and sapping did not suit his bold and daring spirit; open, implacable hostility, was more pleasing to his aspiring mind. With a disposition truly satanic, he laboured to convince his fellow-creatures, that *faith* was a phantom, and duty to God a chimera. In short, that men were only born for themselves, and for each other, and that *human* authority was paramount to that of God. There was a great similarity between the tenets of these perverse, vain, and misguided men, and those of some of the Pagan philosophers; but it is horrible to think that such monstrous doctrines should have been listened to with patience in a Christian country, and in an advanced stage of Christianity. The *pious* purpose of subverting the Christian faith was farther promoted, on the Continent of Europe, by the indefatigable efforts of the apostate Jew, *Spinoza*, who, soaring into the highest regions of human extravagance, denied even the existence of God, such as the Scriptures describe him; confounding him incessantly with the *material universe*, and ascribing to him no attributes or powers but such as are not voluntarily, but necessarily, exerted. To a man who could so speak of the Deity, the superintendence of a wise and beneficent providence would, naturally enough, appear chimerical; and a future state of rewards and punishments, fantastical and superstitious. His sophistry, however, is exercised, with equal ingenuity and industry, in the vain attempt to reconcile the most glaring contradictions, to prove that his system is by no means hostile to Religion and virtue, nor yet to Christianity itself, though it denies all its fundamental doctrines! Mr. Van Mildert's observations on the productions and the characters of these *three great impostors*, as they have been emphatically called, are eminently just.

The author has taken for the text of his Tenth Sermon, the following words of the Apostle:—"But evil men and seducers shall wax

worse

worse and worse, deceiving and being deceived." Words peculiarly adapted to the rapid progress of Infidelity in the Eighteenth Century; which gave birth to a Blount, a Toland, a Shaftesbury, a Collins, a Woolston, a Tindall, a Morgan, a Chubb, a Bolingbroke, *cum multis aliis ejusdem generis*. Of these perverters of the faith, and their licentious associates, who were absurdly denominated *Free-thinkers*, Mr. V. Mildert most truly observes, the term is most grossly misapplied, "if understood to denote a freedom from partiality and prejudice, since none, perhaps, ever proved themselves more slavishly addicted to a few favourite leaders, whom they suffered entirely to hoodwink their understandings, and to lead them, almost without inquiry or consideration, into the depths of error and delusion." These self-sufficient votaries of Infidelity, who continued to enlist the capricious goddess *Fashion* on their side, did incredible mischief, in debauching the minds of the rising generation, both at home and abroad. And when they returned from the field, other champions of still greater abilities stood forward in support of the same impious cause. The author's account of *Hume* and of his works, is too interesting to be omitted here.

"After the labours of most of the above-mentioned Infidels had ceased, and their authors had been called away, to give an account of them, at a more dreadful tribunal than that of human opinion; there arose a worthy successor to them in their undertaking; one of the most subtle and dangerous opponents, that Christianity ever met with in this country. This was the admired Historian, *Hume*; whose ambition to excel likewise as a Philosopher, led him to *Metaphysical* researches, which he prosecuted with extraordinary assiduity, and applied, with most mischievous effect, to his designs against Revealed Religion.

"Great was the admiration of *Metaphysical* learning early in the last Century: and so highly was it esteemed even by the friends of Revelation, as well as by its enemies, that few controversies were maintained, without an ostentatious display on either side, of proficiency in this branch of Science;—of Science 'falsely so called,' when applied to the subject of Religion, since it is incompetent to lead us to any of its fundamental truths, and seems more likely to 'engender strifes and doubtful disputations,' than to advance the cause of truth. Hence, we are the less surprised that Infidels should eagerly resort to it, as one of the readiest and most convenient weapons for the warfare in which they are engaged. That *Hume* was no inconsiderable adept in this species of polemics, will appear from a brief analysis of his principles and opinions. It will also be equally evident, that, in his rage for Scepticism, he sets at defiance principles the most firmly established, and most universally received.

"In his enquiries into the nature of that species of *Evidence*, by which matters of fact are to be proved, this Author denies that any certain inference can be drawn from the connection between cause and effect. Accordingly he labours to overthrow the argument for the existence of God, from the frame of the Universe. He endeavours also to prove, that no conclusive argument can justly be drawn from *experience*. He further asserts, that we can have no certainty of the truth of any thing, unless

unless we are able to explain the manner of it; or how it is: and in arguing against *Miracles*, he insists that there is an inviolable uniformity in nature, such as never is, and never can be, broken. Another of his favourite positions is, that we cannot reasonably conclude any thing respecting the Divine power or wisdom, in the formation of the Universe, because the subject lies entirely beyond the reach of human *experience*; and that Creation being a *singular effect*, we cannot infer its cause, i. e. in other words, we cannot infer that it had a Creator. With respect to a *future state*, he denies (with Bolingbroke) that we have any such evidence of the Divine attributes; as can lead us to expect it, much less to expect any other *rewards or punishments* than what this life affords: in the establishment of which point, he insists that we ought not to ascribe to the Supreme Being any attribute or perfection, unless we see it *fully* exerted in this present world, without any appearance of disorder or irregularity. Miracles, he endeavours to demonstrate, are incapable of being proved by any evidence whatsoever. *Experience* he, in this instance, assumes to be the only proper guide in matters of fact, though, according to his reasoning here and elsewhere, he regards experience itself as *delusive*. The course of nature, he observes, is uniform; therefore there is an *uniform experience* against miracles, and, consequently, a full and entire proof of their falsehood. With regard to human testimony, he argues, that when it is produced in attestation of a miracle, it is contrary to our experience of the course of nature, and therefore creates a contest of *two opposite experiences, or proof against proof*; of which the one destroys the other, supposing both to be equal; or of which, if unequal, the strongest must prevail. But as there is *uniform experience* against a miracle, there is full and direct proof against its existence; and consequently, no man can believe it, without renouncing his understanding. He allows, however, that we have nothing to oppose to such a cloud of witnesses, as have attested the miracles of the Gospel, except the absolute *impossibility*, or (which he regards as the same thing) the *miraculous nature* of the event. Next, he endeavours to prove, that, in point of *fact*, there never was a miraculous event, in any history, established upon evidence deserving of credit. He argues, that, considering the proneness of mankind to believe wonders, we ought to reject them even without examination; that the accounts of them abound most among barbarous and ignorant nations; and that opposite miracles are said to have been wrought in support of different Religions, so as to destroy one another. He also narrates several fictitious miracles, in order to discredit real ones. But in all this, it may be remarked, the author seems to admit, that if miracles were accompanied with their proper proofs, they might rationally be believed; notwithstanding his former position, that *no testimony whatever* can render them credible; which position, if it were valid, would render all farther argument superfluous. Lest, however, this favourite argument should fail of producing conviction, he has accumulated many trite and often-refuted objections respecting the characters and conduct of the Apostles; insinuating, that to be venerated as Prophets and inspired Messengers of God, was a great temptation to imposture; that the Gospel, in its infancy, was disregarded by the wise and learned; that afterwards the records necessary to disprove it, were either lost or destroyed; and that its preachers were men of no credit nor respectability. After various insignificant

nificant observations on the credulity of mankind, and the prevalence of false Religions in the world, he proceeds to cavil at the *morality* of the Gospel, scandalously traducing it, as having a tendency to stupify the understanding, to harden the heart, and to sour the tempers of mankind.

“ Strangely incoherent and absurd as this system appears, it served to procure for its author an extensive, though disgraceful, reputation, both here and in foreign countries. Its very obscurity, perhaps, contributed to increase its reputation among the vain and ignorant: and many, it is probable, not only gave the author credit for extraordinary discernment, but implicitly adopted his opinions, from being as little able to *understand* his arguments, as to *refute* them. Happily, however, for the interests of true Philosophy, Morals, and Religion, his sophistry was fully exposed, and treated with the censure and ridicule which it deserved, by men of admirable talents, who furnished an effectual antidote to the poison he so insidiously administered.”

But it was reserved for a higher name than that of *Hume* to plead the cause of Infidelity, on the Continent, as well by example as by precept; and, strange to say, Europe, in the Eighteenth Century, had her *Julian*.

“ But that which principally contributed to the dissemination of Infidel principles throughout Europe, during the last Century, was the avowed patronage and active assistance of the most distinguished Prince of his age, *FREDERIC* the Second, King of Prussia; whose exertions, together with those of the most celebrated French Philosophers of his time, for the express purpose of overthrowing Christianity, have been so fully developed in publications of recent date *, that it is unnecessary to detail the proofs of them at large. Sufficient evidence indeed might be produced, from the posthumous works and correspondence of *Frederic* himself, that a systematic conspiracy of this kind was carried on under his auspices in almost every State in Europe. Like the Emperor *Julian*, this vain, ambitious, and artful Prince, conceived an early hatred to the Gospel, wrought into his mind by an unhappy intercourse with unprincipled Sophists, who availed themselves of the indisciplin and the inexperience of his youth, to instil into him sentiments the most profligate and licentious. Like *Julian* also, in his more advanced years, he became desirous of converting the whole civilized world into a seminary of Infidelity; with this only difference, that, instead of introducing the follies and fopperies of Paganism, his aim was to substitute for Christianity a dreary system of Atheism, or of a Deism so nearly approaching to Atheism, as to afford no better prospect to its deluded followers. For this purpose, the Philosophical Societies, in most of the European States, were put under the superintendence of men deeply infected with what was dignified by the appellation of Philosophical Unbelief. Correspondence was kept up between these Societies, and between individuals embarked in this great undertaking, however remote from each other. Literary journals were carried on, with the intent of giving celebrity and circulation to those works only, which should bear the marks of the Infidel Beast in their foreheads; and

* “ *Abbé Barruel's History of Jacobinism, and Robison's Proofs of a Conspiracy against all Religions.*

to stifle in the birth, if possible, every production hostile to their design. Statesmen and Courtiers were also busied in obtaining the favour and encouragement of their respective Sovereigns towards the ambitious and assuming Philosophists of the age; so that scarcely a department of any importance was filled, but by them, or their weak and servile dependents. Thus did they endeavour to compel religion to hide itself as a thing of nought, 'a despised and broken Idol,' fit only for the veneration of the illiterate and the vulgar."

In the Eleventh Sermon, from 2 Peter, iii, 3,—"*There shall come, in the last days, scoffers walking after their own lusts,*" the author proceeds to mark the causes and promoters of Infidelity, in the latter part of the last Century; which, in his opinion, produced more SCORFERS, properly so called, than any preceding period. He then briefly adverts to the means employed by the French Philosophists for the eradication of every religious and moral principle from the minds of all classes of people; closing the disgusting view with the following *last finish* to that scene of profligacy and of horror.

"It was another most pernicious object of this formidable confederacy, to corrupt the *Female Sex*, and to render them active promoters of Infidelity. Well knowing the influence of Female conduct upon the manners, sentiments, and principles of mankind, it was the endeavour of these "*children of Hell,*" to pervert the greatest blessing which God hath bestowed on man, in this lower world, into his greatest curse and misery. Instead of adorning herself 'with shamefacedness and sobriety,' (1 Tim. iii. 4.) and with that 'ornament of a meek and quiet spirit, which is in the sight of God of great price,' (1 Pet. iii. 4.) Woman was taught by these Sophisters, to become the agent of cunning, strife, disputation, voluptuousness, and rebellion against God and Man. Too successful were they in gaining over many of these as coadjutors in their cause: the effect of whose influence is but too evident in the records of modern times;—nay, is still but too severely felt, in our own as well as in other countries.

"All ranks and descriptions of persons being thus prepared and fitted, by every species of iniquity and delusion, for the designs of their execrable leaders, we may cease to be astonished at the tremendous catastrophe which ensued. The French revolution (dreadful as it must be deemed, by every one who retains a sentiment of religion or humanity) is but the practical Commentary on the pernicious principles in which it originated. To these, the destruction of the Altar, of the Throne, and of Society itself, is so clearly to be ascribed, that it is a vain attempt to trace it to any other source. Outrage upon outrage, horror upon horror, falsehood upon falsehood; the annihilation of truth, order, justice, decency, and humanity; were the bitter fruits of that Apostacy and Blasphemy, to disseminate which had been the unceasing object of the professed Adorers of Liberty and Reason."

Mr. Van Mildert then turns from the French Revolution to some of its most ardent admirers in this country.

"Foremost in the ranks, appeared the authors of '*the Age of Reason,*'

son,' and of the '*Enquiry into Political Justice*;' works now sinking fast (it is to be hoped) into oblivion, and consigned to just execration, by every friend of Truth and Social Order. Their effects, however, on thousands of weak, ignorant, and corrupt minds, can never be sufficiently deplored: and when we recollect the indefatigable zeal and industry, with which the former of these works was circulated among the very dregs of the populace, (by whom it was devoured with an avidity which bespoke the innate depravity of their minds,) it is impossible not to tremble, even now, at the consequences which have ensued, and which may yet ensue, from so deep-rooted and wide-spreading an evil. Every year's experience brings us, indeed, fresh proofs of the baleful influence of these and other productions of Scoffers at Religion: and although they may not have effected so general and avowed an *Apostacy* from the Faith, as was intended yet it cannot be denied that a very general *taint* appears to have been given to the morals of the community at large, and of the lower orders in particular, by the dissemination of what have been termed *Jacobinical* principles; principles compounded of a hatred to God, and of hostility to all Institutions, political or religious, which tend to restrain the destructive passions and propensities of mankind. Thus it appears that even *this* favoured Country, in possession of the purest Faith and the mildest Government, that ever nation was blessed with, has not been without its "generation of vipers," who have made it the labour of their lives to forward the diabolical design of man's temporal and eternal destruction."

Unfortunately this "generation" is not yet extinguished; and their cause has been materially assisted by heretics, schismatics, and rational believers; all of whom have had a particular predilection for the writings of the Socinians, and especially of those of their great leader, Dr. Priestley.

"It is also deserving of remark, that Infidels have generally shown a peculiar tenderness towards another well-known Sect, (the followers of George Fox,) distinguished not only by their own *fanatical* pretensions to *Inspiration*, than which nothing can tend more to bring the *true* Inspiration of the *Scriptures* into contempt,) but also by their absolute rejection of the Christian *Sacraments* and the Christian *Priesthood*; which being (as the enemies of our Faith are well aware) essential to the preservation of the Gospel, it is no wonder that they are inclined to hail as brethren, those who treat them with irreverence and neglect."

Men who so *mutilate* the doctrines of Christianity, by robbing it of some of its greatest *essentials*, may be truly said to serve its enemies. But these *passive* servants of Infidelity rendered it much less service than its active Friend, Dr. Geddes, a minister of the Romish church.

"Of those, who, professing the Faith, have yet laboured to do it most essential injury, and whom charity itself can hardly exculpate from the charge of *wilfully* endeavouring to bring it into contempt, none, perhaps, appears in a more disgraceful light, than a distinguished Divine of the Romish Church, (Dr. Geddes,) patronized, in this Country, by some persons little aware of his designs. This Writer applied the whole weight of his learning and talents to an artful attack upon the Divine authority

thority of the Scriptures. Through the medium of a new Translation of the Bible, he strives to show, that these Scriptures are entitled to no other respect or veneration than what is due to them, as curious remains of antiquity. To impress this persuasion upon his readers, he has recourse to the most bitter satire and ridicule; endeavouring to exhibit them as utterly unworthy of being considered as the word of God. Trite infidel objections, gleaned from various sources, are plentifully interspersed; and, under a show of more satisfactorily *illustrating* the Sacred Code, and reconciling it to the prejudices of Philosophical Unbelievers, (a favourite apology, or a plausible pretext, with many, for rendering the word of God subservient to human opinions,) the most unwarrantable liberties are taken with the Text, evidently for the purpose of misrepresenting some of the most important facts and doctrines of Holy Writ. It is difficult to conceive a more artful mode than this of assailing its Divine authority: and had the Writer lived to compleat his design, it is impossible to say how much Revealed Religion might have suffered. But, happily, his efforts (highly as they have been extolled, by Critics of similar principles with his own) have not obtained a very extensive circulation; and as it has pleased God to remove him, before his labours were nearly compleated, we may trust that not all the unmerited commendations of his infidel encomiasts, will be able to rescue his work from speedy oblivion."

We may congratulate ourselves, on having endeavoured to counteract the pernicious effect of the unprincipled efforts of the schismatical critics to give circulation and currency to the mischievous principles of this Romish Priest, who was patronized by one of the *richest* laymen of that persuasion; but whose writings, we had hoped, would have been ably answered by Mr. Joseph Berrington, Dr. Milner, or some other of the able writers among the Romish Divines, in this country, who cannot suffer the sacred authority of the Scriptures to be attacked, without exposing the very foundations of their own faith to destruction.

In his Twelfth Discourse, the author briefly recapitulates the substance of his preceding arguments, demonstrates the importance of similar inquiries, and concludes with solemn warnings of surrounding dangers, and with impressive admonitions to oppose the tempter with piety and zeal. With one extract from that part of the Sermon, which relates to the *use* of such discussions, where the subject is most ably treated, we shall close our account of the *First* volume of these valuable Lectures.

"But, what (it may be asked) is the practical use to be made of this view of Infidelity? or how can it promote the cause of True Religion, thus to represent it as the object of continual opposition and hatred? Nay, does not such a representation of the powerful influence of the Evil Spirit, and of the success of his agents in counteracting God's gracious purposes, rather tend to countenance the impious Manichean notion of two opposite principles, good and evil, by whom the world is distracted? Does it not, at least, give encouragement to Unbelievers, to boast of the effect

effect of their endeavours, and to despise the Faith as not sufficiently well-grounded to command the assent and veneration of mankind?

“ To these questions, some brief reply may be deemed necessary.

“ First, then, with respect to the practical use of such a view of Infidelity, it may be observed, that the very existence of the Gospel, at this advanced age of the world, after such continued hostility, such a combination of efforts to destroy it, affords a strong presumptive proof of its Divine origin. It seems incredible, that a system so much at unity in itself, should have been successfully carried on, under the Patriarchal, Mosaic, and Christian Dispensations, notwithstanding the repeated attacks that were made upon it, in every age, by Apostates and Unbelievers, unless it had been supported by aid from above.

“ This argument, from the peculiar antiquity and uninterrupted continuance of the Christian scheme, is (as we shall hereafter have occasion more fully to show) of irresistible force. No other instance of the kind can be produced. No other Religion has pretensions so ancient, has a *genealogy* (if we may so call it) transmitted in so clear and unbroken a line, as that for which we contend. *Paganism* could not trace back its origin to so remote a date: and *Paganism* has been for ages destroyed. *Judaism* yields to it in antiquity; and has long since lost its distinctive claims to Divine authority. *Mahometanism* is a religion of yesterday, compared with either of these, and has subsisted only by the power of the Sword, or the implicit credulity of its followers. *Philosophical Unbelief*, to whatever antiquity it may pretend, can boast of no priority to Revealed Religion, by its opposition to which it is chiefly distinguished; having no clearly-defined or authoritative system of its own. *The Gospel* alone had its birth in the first age of man; hath in some mode or other, been ever since preserved to him, for his light and consolation; hath at no one period of time left itself without witness of its power and truth; and still rears its head, dauntless and confident of victory, though ‘pierced through with many sorrows,’ and, like its Divine Author, ‘despised and rejected’ of multitudes, to whom it holds out the gracious offer of pardon and salvation.

“ But farther; in the very opposition which has been made to the Gospel we behold a striking fulfilment of many Scripture Prophecies. Foremost among these, stands the very remarkable prediction, accompanying the first promise of the Redeemer; which has this peculiar importance attached to it, that its accomplishment is continually going on, through all ages of the world. In after times, many prophecies relating to the posterity of Ham, and the descendants of Ishmael; to the overthrow of Babylon, Tyre, and Egypt; to the calamitous dispersion of the Jews, and the destruction of Jerusalem; bore a manifest reference to the idolatries and apostacies of the respective nations to whom they were applied, and received their accomplishment in events which were brought upon those nations, as just judgments of God, for their departure from his Faith and Worship. In the New Testament also, and in the Prophet Daniel, are Prophecies descriptive of Antichrist, in the latter times. More particularly in the Apocalypse, are predicted the rise, growth, and downfall of the Papal and Mahometan powers, and (according to some interpreters of eminence) not a few remarkable characteristics of the Atheistical Infidelity of the present age. The developement of any of these portions

of

of History must tend to confirm our belief in Holy Writ, and strengthen the proofs of its Divine authority. We are hereby enabled to produce a growing evidence for the truth of Revelation, drawn from facts, to which all History bears record.

“ It is also deserving of remark, (as giving additional force to this particular species of evidence in favour of Christianity,) that in every different kind of Apostacy or Infidelity, we may perceive some appropriate character, which marks its connection with that particular Dispensation of Revealed Religion, under which it took place. Hence it is that counterfeited Religion bears testimony to the True. Paganism throws light on the Patriarchal and Jewish institutions. Mahometanism attests the authority of Moses and of Christ. Infidel Philosophy, when it aspires to instruct us in Theological subjects, betrays its obligations to the Sacred Oracles of God, for whatever it can produce that bears the semblance of Truth; insomuch, that the difference between the various systems of what is called Natural Theology, before and since the coming of Christ, is evidently the difference between a knowledge of Divine Truths derived from an obscured tradition, or an imperfect acquaintance with the Jewish Scriptures, and that more full and accurate knowledge of them, which has since been spread among mankind by the publication of the Gospel.

“ This might be further illustrated, by considering the periods of time at which the several systems of False Religion have appeared; and by showing how inexplicable and unaccountable they would be, were we to invert or misplace the order of their appearance. What, for instance, could be more inconceivable, than that such a system as the ancient *Paganism*, should spring up anew, and prevail, in the present day, in any nation upon earth? And why so, but because it is inconceivable, that any such system should be constructed, without *materials* wherewith to frame it, similar to those which the Heathens of old borrowed from Patriarchal and Jewish rites? What progress, again, can we suppose that the Religion of *Mahomet* would have made, had it come forth in the zenith of Grecian or Roman Power? or how would it now adapt itself, as a new system, to the state of civilization and knowledge in Christian countries? Precisely at the time when it arose, and at that time only, was it calculated to succeed, from a concurrence of events, political and religious, favourable in all respects to the views of its Founders. In like manner, it might not be difficult to show, that, in all ages of the world, the progressive variations in error and falsehood have run parallel with the progressive state of True Religion; so as clearly to indicate the constant operation of a Deceiving Spirit, prosecuting one invariable purpose, that of frustrating God's gracious designs towards fallen man, and under every Dispensation of the Divine Will, suggesting new modes of delusion, according to the peculiar circumstances and condition of mankind.”

(To be continued.)

Collection of interesting and important Reports and Papers on the Navigation and Trade of Great Britain, Ireland, and the British Colonies in the West Indies and America, with Tables of Tonnage,
 NO. CV. VOL. XXVI. T and

and of Exports and Imports, &c. &c. &c. Printed by Order of the Society of Ship-owners of Great Britain. 8vo. Pp. 480. 14s. Stockdale. 1807.

THIS Volume is, with the greatest propriety, dedicated to Lord Sheffield, a nobleman who has made the Trade and Navigation of the Country the objects of his particular attention; and who, from having acquired a full knowledge of their nature and importance, has suffered no opportunity of promoting their interests to escape him. If the admonitions of this sage monitor had been duly attended to, the Ship-owners would not have had to lament those evils, which the rash hand of innovation has inflicted, and which they now have so much reason to deplore. During the whole progress of the discussions on the American Intercourse Bill, we warned Ministers of the curse which they were about to entail on their country; we called upon Parliament most earnestly, to refer to the wise conduct of their ancestors, to make that their polar star; and not to shake the foundation-stone of England's wealth, greatness, and independence. But they were deaf to admonition, and callous to advice; with the most pertinacious adherence to their own pre-conceived opinions, and to the mode of action which they had chalked out for themselves; they utterly disregarded arguments the most powerful, and which carried irresistible conviction to the mind of every impartial man, who heard or who read them. The facts and deductions of the author of *War in Disguise*, of Lord Sheffield, of Mr. *Alley*, and others, were such as could not possibly be confuted; and so far Ministers acted wisely, for they did not attempt to confute them. But, confident in the number of their parliamentary supporters, they hurried the bill, with indecent precipitation, and without allowing time for that investigation which the serious importance of the subject most imperatively required, through both houses, and passed it into a law. Indeed their whole conduct, in respect of the United States of America, has been marked with the most abject servility, as well as by an unwarrantable neglect of the best interests of their country. While, by this most inpolitic law, they enabled the Americans to extend their carrying trade at the expense of his Majesty's subjects, the American legislature passed an act to prohibit the importation of British Goods; an act, which at any other period would have been considered, and justly too, as a declaration of war. Instead of resenting this unparalleled measure, the ministers appointed persons to negotiate with the American envoys a new treaty; not even requiring, as a necessary preliminary, the immediate repeal of the hostile act; and who were these persons so-appointed? The very men who brought in and seconded the Intercourse-bill; and who, in all the arguments which they condescended to use on the subject, arguments at direct variance with the recorded sentiments of one of the parties, had shown a disposition to make every possible concession to America. In short, through the whole of this disgraceful business, Ministers seemed to labour

bour under some dreadful infatuation, which rendered them deaf, not only to the most obvious dictates of a wise policy, but even to the plainest principles of self-preservation.

To the reports and papers which are given in this useful and interesting Collection, a sensible and well-written Introduction is prefixed; the author of which truly remarks, that the Reports drawn up by the Earl of Liverpool afford the fullest confirmation of the justice of the arguments used by the Ship-owners, in opposition to the Intercourse-bill; which arguments, indeed, were further confirmed by his Majesty's Ministers themselves, who admitted, last summer, that the mother-country and its dependencies were adequate to all the necessary supplies for the Colonies, in British ships, in time of peace. "An appropriate extract is given, from a masterly production of that "learned and elegant writer," Mr. Reeves, who places the vital importance of our Navigation laws in that clear and strong point of view, in which he places every subject which he undertakes to discuss. Still, however, the most unpolitic suspensions of those admirable laws have occasionally taken place, and have, from time to time, formed the subject of well-grounded complaint; but never, till the present moment, was an attempt made to systematize such suspensions, and to sanction by one law the breach of another.

"The Act of the 23d George 3, c. 39, was for a limited period, and was then tolerated only from the peculiar situation in which Great Britain was placed by the final separation of the American States from Great Britain by the Peace then concluded. It will appear, by reference to the Reports of the Board of Trade in 1784 and 1791, that the same with the continuations were intended, as a temporary expedient, not warranted even by the then actual situation of the Navigation and Trade of the Mother Country; that Act therefore could not fairly be adduced as an authority for the enormous extension of the suspending powers by the Intercourse Bill of last Session, which was to authorize the King and his Successors, with the advice of his and their Privy Council, to suspend, during the present or any future War, all the provisions of the Act of Navigation in the British settlements in the West Indies and South America, both as to exports and imports; which in effect places the whole of the Colonial trade, in all its branches, in the hands of the King's Ministers, and thereby renders the Shipping and Mercantile interests of the Nation entirely dependant on the will and pleasure of the officers of the Crown. On that, as well as on constitutional grounds, the Bill appeared to the Ship-owners to be highly objectionable, they therefore felt no common degree of surprize, that, in so early a period of their Administration, such rapid strides should have been made towards narrowing the legislative functions of Parliament. Indeed the Bill was truly described by some of the friends of the present Ministers, to be an attempt to increase the power of the Privy Council, although the ostensible and avowed object of it was alledged to be merely for the purpose of securing at all times a regular, steady, and cheap supply of provisions and lumber for the inhabitants of the British West India Islands. The various clauses

of petitioners against the Bill, with a degree of moderation highly commendable at all times, but especially under the present critical and alarming situation of the Navigation and Trade of the Empire, urged the necessity of an inquiry on the subject before a Committee of the House of Commons; but all their entreaties in that respect were unavailing; and the promoters of that ruinous measure *denied to them* that, which had hitherto, in all other branches of Trade, been considered a matter of course, if not of Right, namely, the appointment of a Committee to inquire into the nature and true merits of their respective cases."

Such is the attention which *Whigs in power* pay to those RIGHTS about which they are so clamorous when *out of power*! The consequence of the suspensions of the Navigation act has been a rapid decrease of the quantity and value of British shipping; and all the ships formerly employed in the circuitous trade from England to America, and thence to the West Indies, have been entirely driven out of it; and the trade is now monopolized by *neutrals*!

"On a firm reliance that the *maritime* principles of the country, established by the Act of Navigation, would always be *religiously adhered to*, the great body of *British* Ship-owners embarked their property; the frequent relaxations of the provisions of that statute have been considered as so many instances of violated faith, that it may be difficult to persuade them such impolitic and temporary expedients will *not again* be resorted to, so as to induce them *extensively* again to venture their Capitals in such hazardous property: it is, in truth, of the utmost consequence to persons in trade, independent of the common contingencies attached to it, that legislative regulations on the subject should be permanent, and not temporary or fluctuating, otherwise no person can with prudence enter into it with a rational prospect of success, which result ought always to be held out to him as an inducement to risk his money, not only with respect to his individual advantage, but also to the ultimate general benefit which the country must derive from its Trade and Commerce when prosperously carried on.

"The *alarm* which has justly gone forth, excited by the Sentiments of his Majesty's present Ministers, expressed in the course of the debates on the American Intercourse Bill, cannot be wondered at, especially under the Circumstances in which the Ship-owners of *Great Britain*, and the Merchants trading to and residing in the *British American Colonies*, are now placed, from the injurious consequences which they have felt under the relaxations of the Navigation Laws: It would therefore be the extreme of *injustice* to impute to the Ship-owners *party motives*, to which every one of them was utterly a stranger in the cause for which they contended; they felt that the question involved not only their *particular* interest, but the *future existence of the Maritime Power of Great Britain*. The only possible ground, indeed, on which such motives could be suspected, would not be *discreditable* to them, namely, that the *late* Administration had given the strongest assurances of an intention to *con-*
fine the suspensions of the provisions of the Navigation Act as to *Europe*, to the transit of those articles necessary in our Manufactures which can only be procured from the *Enemies' Countries*, and considerably to nar-

row the deviations from the Rule of 1756, as to the Colonies of the Enemy.

That under these circumstances, the Ship-owners should be alarmed, is much less wonderful, than that the alarm should not extend to all persons who have the interest of their country at heart. Twenty years ago, all arguments in defence of our Navigation laws, and in support of a rigid adherence to them, would have been regarded as *superfluous*; they would have been considered in the light of a laboured attempt to prove a *self-evident* proposition. And the author of the American Inter-course bill would have been the first so to consider them. But, tempora mutantur, et nos mutamur in illis! The Shipping interest made repeated applications to Mr. Addington, during his administration, on this subject; but they were treated with neglect by that *sapient* statesman, who only adopted CONCESSION as the principle of his conduct with the *enemies* of the country, and observed a different rule with her *friends*. In truth, Mr. Addington was influenced by one or two of the same advisers who influence Lord Henry Petty, and whose notions of *Colonial Policy* are somewhat like Dr. Last's notions of curing the tooth-ache.

lc The Shipping interest was thus circumstanced on Mr. PITT's return to Power, in the Summer of 1804. The Ship-owners in London and at the *Out Ports* then lost no time in renewing their applications for Relief, and several interviews took place; after which the expectation was held out, which has already been alluded to, with respect to the *European* and *Colonial* Trade: very little doubt indeed could be entertained of such an intention on the part of the *then* Administration, as Mr. PITT expressed HIS CONVICTION of the necessity of some alteration being gradually made in the *Relaxations* which had taken place in the Navigation and Colonial system of Great Britain. The Ship-owners had indeed good grounds for confidence in that intention, from the measures * *actually* taken by the *then* Ministers, on the recommendation from the *Committee for Trade*, for confining, as far as circumstances would immediately permit, to *British* Bottoms the *whole* of the *Colonial Trade*, and of reclaiming that most salutary principle, that all *Supplies*, from whatever country they came, should be conveyed to the West India Settlements in *British Ships*, as well as from HIS AVOWED INTENTION to *except* the bringing brandies † and other spirits from the general importation in *Neutral Vessels* direct from *Enemies' Countries*, which would not only have materially relieved the British West India Planters, but have considerably

* "See Earl Camden's Letters, in September 1804 and January 1805, to the Governors of the West India Islands, and Lord Castlereagh's Letter in September 1805, and various Minutes of the Board of Trade."

† "See a Letter on this subject, dated London, April 1806, in *Yorke's Political Review*, Vol. i. p. 917. Also other Letters and Essays in that work."

benefited British *Ship-owners* without any loss to the revenue, which surely may be much more effectually secured and protected whilst Trade is carried on in *British* than in *Foreign* Bottoms. This acknowledgment is an act of mere Justice due to the Public Merits of the most transcendent STATESMAN that ever adorned the Councils of this Nation, not more conspicuous for his talents, inflexible integrity, and firmness, than for HIS CANDOUR in retracing HIS OWN MEASURES, when it appeared to him to be necessary to do so."

Had it pleased Providence to prolong the life of this genuine patriot, the country would not now have to deplore the existence of the Intercourse bill, nor of the many other deviations from the wise system of policy pursued by our ancestors, which have marked the conduct of his successors. Just tributes of commendation we also paid to Lord Sheffield, and to Mr. Stephens, the author of that most able tract "*War in Disguise*," for their strenuous exertions to open the eyes of the Government and of the nation, to the true interests of the country; and most earnestly do we hope that the efforts of all, who feel as Britons ought to feel, will be united to wean Ministers from their present destructive system of *gratuitous concession*; and, "if possible, *before it is too late*, to impress on the *public mind*, the absolute necessity of endeavouring to prevent, by every constitutional means, the confirmation of these concessions, which it is rumoured are now unhappily contemplated."

One other statement advanced by the intelligent author of this *Introduction* is highly important.

"It will be seen by the comparative * Statement of the expenses incurred in the outfit of Ships, extracted from Accounts of *actual* disbursements and receipts in the years 1780, 1795, and 1805, being *periods of war*, that Provisions of all kinds have, on an average, *advanced* £84. 8s. 2d. per cent; that Materials and Stores of all kinds have *advanced* in like manner £122. 10s. 2d. per cent; and that Seamen's Wages have, on an average of the different classes of Seamen, *advanced* £39. 7s. 1d. per cent; whilst the *Rates of Freight* have, on an average, *decreased* £6. 10s. 4d. per cent †.

"The

* "*Vide post*, Supplement, page clxxviii.

† "*Vide post*, *ibid.* page lxxvii. for a similar statement in periods of *peace*. These, and the other Statements in the Supplement, show the *Loss actually sustained* on capital embarked in *British* Shipping. It is with great concern the Ship-owners understand it is contemplated by his Majesty's present Ministers to make the Duties on the Tonnage of Shipping PERPETUAL, although it was expressly stated in *Lord Sidmouth's* Administration, when first proposed, that it should be a WAR TAX, and cease with it: *Vide post*, Supplement, xci, and the Debates in Parliament in 1802 and 1803: indeed, it was declared, at that time, by the then Administration, to be a *Tax of Experiment*; and an Assurance was even held out, that if the Ship-owners could prove that the Payment of the Tonnage

"The Society of Ship-owners pledge themselves to substantiate these statements, and also the various other accounts adduced by them, in order to show the *inadequacy* of the employment of *British Shipping*, arising principally from the *increased competition of Foreign Vessels*, by the impolitic admission of them into the Trade of this Country, and the ultimate depression it will produce on the *naval power of Great Britain*."

Is it thus that *Lord Sidmouth* performs the promises of *Mr. Ad-dington*? Or is his Lordship merely a cipher in the present Cabinet? If so, he should surely disavow in his place in the house such measures as, if he be consistent with himself, he must condemn; indeed, a regard for his own character renders such a disavowal necessary.

This volume contains a number of curious papers and documents, all tending to prove the rapid decrease of shipping, and the impolicy of those regulations by which it has been occasioned. It appears, by these, that from December 1802 to May 1806, including those actually building at this last period, there were only 42 vessels of all sizes (of which eleven were for the King's service) built in the river Thames; and that one half of the Ship-builders did not build a single ship during that period. In May 1806, there were no less than seventy-seven ships *broomed* and for sale, in the port of London alone! Meanwhile the mercantile navy of the United States of America has increased in a wonderful degree; and, if the same impolitic measures be persisted in by this country, they will nearly monopolize the carrying trade. In short, a more dismal picture has seldom been exhibited to public view! May it make a deep and salutary impression!

The opposite policy of the Governments of the two countries is fully illustrated in the following note:

"That the government of *America* is particularly attentive to its *carrying trade*, and feelingly alive to future advantages which it may derive, is obvious from the following passage in *Mr. President Jefferson's* address to Congress on the 15th December, 1802:—"We find in some parts of Europe, monopolizing discriminations, which, in the form of duties, tend effectually to prohibit the carrying thither our own produce in our own vessels. From existing amities, and a spirit of justice, it is hoped that friendly discussion will produce a fair and adequate reciprocity. But should false calculation of interest defeat our hope, it rests with the legislature to decide whether they will meet inequalities abroad with counter-vailing inequalities at home, or provide for the evil in any other way.

"It is with satisfaction I lay before you an act of the British parlia-

Tonnage Duty was *adding* to their *Losses*, instead of *taking* from their *Gains*, the Tax should be abandoned. This fact can be proved by testimony the most credible, and which must be within the Recollection of many of the eminent Persons, who supported, at that time, the Shipping Interest."

ment,

ment, *antipating* this subject, so far as to authorise a mutual abolition of the duties and countervailing duties, permitted under the treaty of 1794. It shows on their part a spirit of justice and friendly accommodation, which it is our duty and our interest to cultivate with *all nations*. *Whether this will produce a due equality in the navigation between the two countries, is a subject for your consideration.*

"It is evident from the *subsequent* concessions made to the government of the United States by Great Britain, that those in 1802 were deemed insufficient; and not finding the *yielding* system which pervaded Lord Sidmouth's administration adopted by the *late British* government, the Non-importation act passed the Congress, and it is to be *apprehended* it has produced on our *present ministry* the effect intended: and although Lord Auckland and Lord Holland were only appointed commissioners to negotiate with the American ministers in September last, yet it appears from Lord Howick's letter to the Lord-mayor, that the differences between the two countries have been adjusted within a period of less than *four months*. It will of course afford *great* exultation and triumph to Mr. President Jefferson, though he may be *surprised* at the *facility* with which the claims of his country have been acceded to by Great Britain, as it is evident, by the following message to Congress, he did not expect the differences between the two countries would have been so *speedily* adjusted.

"SPECIAL MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT TO THE CONGRESS.

"*To the Senate and House of Representation of the United States of America.*

"I have the satisfaction to inform you that the negotiation depending between the United States and the government of Great Britain is proceeding in the spirit of friendship and accommodation, which promises a result of mutual advantages. Delays, indeed, have taken place, occasioned by the long illness and subsequent death of the British minister charged with that duty. But the *commissioners* appointed by that government to resume the negotiation *show every disposition* to hasten its progress; *it is however a work of time*, as many arrangements are necessary to place our *future harmony* on stable grounds. In the mean time, we find by the communication of our plenipotentiaries, that a *temporary* suspension of the act of the last session, prohibiting certain importations, would, as a mark of candid disposition on our part, and of confidence in the temper and views with which they have been met, have a happy effect on its course. A step so friendly would afford farther evidence that all the proceedings have flown from views of justice and conciliation, and that we give them willingly, that which may best meet corresponding dispositions.

"Add to this, that the same motives which produced the postponement of the act till the 15th November last, are in favour of its further suspension. And *as we have reason* to hope that it may soon yield to *arrangements of mutual concert* and convenience, justice seems to require *that the same measures may be dealt out* to the few cases which may fall within its short course, as to all others preceding and following it. I cannot, therefore, but recommend the suspension of this act for a reasonable time, on considerations of justice, amity, and the public interests.

"Dec. 3, 1806.

(Signed)

"THOS. JEFFERSON."

It is clear from all these proceedings, that the Americans have literally *bulked* our Ministers, until they have rendered them perfectly subservient to their views. We are happy, however, to find that the Ship-owners are resolved not to remain passive spectators of their own ruin, and their country's degradation; but to petition Parliament for a repeal of the American Intercourse bill; and if Ministers have really been so weak, and so forgetful of the best interests of the nation, as to have made still further concessions to America, in the treaty recently signed, we trust that the Ship-owners will be joined by every commercial body in the United Kingdom, and by every other description of men, in the adoption of all lawful means for preventing the parliamentary ratification of a treaty pregnant with disgrace, and fraught with the most ruinous consequences.

Hours of Leisure; or Essays and Characteristics. By George Brewer.

Dedicated, by Permission, to Lumley St. George Skeffington, Esq.
12mo. Pp. 352. Hatchard. 1806.

MR. Brewer represents himself as a man who had an early propensity to read; who has visited three quarters of the globe, and who has studied men and manners in different climates and countries. A better means of acquiring useful knowledge, and the ability to impart amusement and instruction to others, cannot easily be conceived. It is not, therefore, surprising, that Mr. Brewer should be an able monitor, and an entertaining companion, to whom a reader may listen with advantage, and with whom he may pass a leisure hour, without dread of fatigue, and without the danger of being either offended or disgusted.

The Essays are twenty-four in number, which, with a Fragment, and Six Characters, complete the volume. Of these the Essays are the best, and the Fragment is the worst. The latter is an imitation of Sterne, but by no means a successful imitation. The French sentences, too, with which it is interspersed, are frequently incorrect; for instance, "*Je vous prie [de] m'en donner;*" "*vous avez [un] pere?" bien obligé,*" is used to signify, "I am much obliged to you;" whereas it means "no, thank you." No foreigner should attempt to write French, without knowing the *idiom* of the language, as well as the literal meaning of each particular word.

Many of the essays possess considerable merit; they display no small portion of humour, and an ample stock of good sense and of good principles. The subject of the *second* essay is the propensity of mankind, in every class, to *rise above their station*, forgetful of the Divine denunciation: "He that exalteth himself shall be abased." This propensity has its source in vanity, and, where it is not carried beyond the limits of folly, is a fair subject for ridicule. Our author, considering

sidering it in this light, determined, in search of food for truth, to take a trip to Margate in the *Hoy*, which he thus describes:

"I arose one fine summer's morning in the month of July, full of gaiety and good-humour, directed my steps to Billingsgate, went in a wherry on board the *Margate-pacquet*, and took my place among the other passengers.

"The first object that attracted my notice in the vessel was, naturally enough, the man at the helm, whose hard inflexible features set the whole science of physiognomy at defiance. Next to the helmsman, in the place of pre-eminence, was seated a little genteel woman, reading the tale of Paul and Virginia; and on her right hand a corpulent dame, in whose round red face you might discover ignorance and happiness blended together to great advantage. On the opposite seat was a lady of a very different description, who assumed an air of infinite superiority over the rest; she was dressed in white muslin, and seldom deigned to look at the people round her; and for her, the beauty of the rising sun, and the delightful landscape of the Kentish hills, had no charms. She was going to Margate to see the fine people, and to say that she had been there. A thin pale-faced gentleman, with a well-powdered head, and most unmeaning face, was placed next her, who I afterwards found was her husband. The rest of the company consisted of a young man of important air, dressed in a green coat and hussar boots; a little bustling gentleman in black, who had his share of consequence also; and a Lieutenant in the navy; who, together with a plain-dressed old man, that took no notice of any body, made up the group.

"As soon as I stepped upon deck, I made my *début*, by entreating the ladies to take care of the *lines* and *pullies*; which caution obtained me, exactly what I expected, a contemptuous sneer from the boatman, and a broad satirical grin from the Lieutenant. I was, however, determined to establish in their minds the opinion that I justly conceived they had formed, by saying I should go *down stairs*, for fear I should catch cold from the morning air.

"At my return on deck, I seated myself next the fat lady with the good-humoured face, who, by-the-by, was the only one that gave me the least encouragement. I told her, I was afraid that I should be sea-sick, and recommended her to taste a drop of brandy, which I produced in a small bottle from my pocket. I next offered the inspiring fluid to the lady opposite, who rejected it with a look of ineffable scorn. By this time, however, the fat lady's tongue went, as seamen call it, at the rate of eleven knots an hour. She told me about her son Jacky, who was gone abroad, and who she was afraid *she should never see no more*; that she had been very bad with the *rheumatise*; that it was a terrible thing, for that all the *sinners* were drawn up, and that she was going to Margate to bathe. My good-tempered companion then enquired the names of the sails, yards, and rigging, on all which points I answered with appropriate ignorance. I now completed my character, by desiring the master to stop the ship for a boat that I saw making towards us, and by calling a West Indiaman laying at Long Reach a seventy-four gun man of war. This effectually answered my design: the Lieutenant whispered the

the boatman, that I was some lubber of a man-milliner; and asked me, significantly, how long it was since I had last weathered the point off Bond-street.

"The company had now descended, to partake of the refreshment they had respectively provided; and here I was admitted by producing some cold ham and chicken. I now addressed myself particularly to the lady in the white muslin, by observing, that I should not like to be a sailor; and that I thought it a much pleasanter thing, to be serving customers behind a counter, than in a storm at sea. The greater gentleman answered completely; the lady shrunk like the sensitive plant, turned up her nose, muttered some indistinct syllables, and scornfully averted her head. The important gentleman in the green coat joined conversation with the other important gentleman in black; and my last attempt was with the sentimental lady, of whom I inquired, whether she had ever read Jack the Giant Killer?

"I now began to find, that I had got to low-water mark, and resolved in my own mind to turn the tide of opinion. Luckily, as soon as we had re-ascended the deck, an opportunity offered: the fat lady happened to ask the name of the main-sheet, which works the main-boom, to the great annoyance of the genteel passengers of a hoy. I answered, with an appearance of great sagacity, that it was the *jigger-tackle*. I had intended to raise myself up *by degrees* into estimation, but the *jigger-tackle* did the business at once; the boatman gave me a leer and a wink; the Lieutenant, after consulting my face with some attention, took me by the hand, 'I say, shipmate, none of your tricks upon old travellers. I say, what ship?' To this I answered, 'The Merrydon of Dover, the largest man of war in the service. Don't you remember that a frigate sailed into one of her port-holes at Torbay, and was kicked overboard by Tom Tightfoot the boatswain, who happened at the time to be dancing a horn-pipe?' This joke was a good trap for applause; the Lieutenant handed me some bottled porter, and the boatman honoured me with a grin of approbation.

"We had got some way beyond Gravesend, when I discovered a new character in the hoy; this was a tall thin man, in a black coat and wig, stooping over the side of the vessel, drawing up buckets of sea water one after another, and industriously examining the contents with a microscope. I thought this a good opportunity, and putting on a learned face, inquired if he was not seeking for animalculi? to which he politely replied, 'Yes;' and that it was a question among the learned, whether the luminous appearance of sea water at night was occasioned by numerous animalculi, or the viscus spawn of fish. In this conversation the gentleman in the plain coat joined, whom I found to be a very intelligent man. One subject introduced another, and we discoursed successively upon natural philosophy, ethics, jurisprudence, and theology; in the course of which investigation, I took care to introduce some passages from the ancient authors. The sentimental lady stared with astonishment; the consequential lady ventured a look, but (I imagine, upon summing up my dress, the counter, and other circumstances) relapsed into her former reserve: her husband, however, ventured to speak, and, upon my mentioning Tully, asked whether I did not mean Mr. Telly, the cheese-monger in Carnaby-market?

"We

"We had now arrived at the Pier of Margate, when an old acquaintance came on board, and welcomed my arrival, in the hearing of the consequential lady, in the following way: 'My dear George, your old friends Colonel Morgan and Lady Maxwell are here; they have just sat down to dinner, and we will join them.' His servant was ordered to take my trunk, and a blush of conscious shame overspread the cheek of the lady in the white muslin. By this time, I had discovered the different conditions in life of my fellow passengers:

"Mr. Vacant, a grocer near the Haymarket.

"Mrs. Vacant, the lady in the white muslin.

"Miss Williams, the sentimental lady, a teacher at a school.

"Lieutenant Windlass, a navy officer.

"Mrs. Pumpkin, the fat lady, a market gardener's wife.

"Mr. Frizzle, the important gentleman in green, a hair-dresser.

"Dr. Vitriol, the searcher for animalculi, a great naturalist, chemist, philosopher, and author.

"The important gentleman in black, an attorney.

"The gentleman in brown, *non descript*.

"Being about to take my leave, Dr. Vitriol gave me a card to attend his lectures on chemistry; the Lieutenant shook me by the hand; the boatman styled me, 'Your Honour;' the gardener's wife gave me a low courtsey; and the lady in the white muslin favoured me with a most graceful bow; upon which I addressed them neatly as follows: 'My good friends, don't be displeased if I have amused myself a little at your expense. I would have you know, that wherever we travel we should endeavour to be pleased with one another. All have not the same endowments of mind or fortune; but what is wanting of one quality is perhaps supplied by another; and reciprocal advantages and comforts are created from the variety of characters and conditions which Providence has thrown together in life. In a hoy, therefore, as well as any where else, we should bring forward our best talents and dispositions, be they what they may, like our provisions, into the common stock; there would then be something to please all palates; by which means we should make our passage pleasant, and our meeting together a feast of good-humour and instruction.' "

Expatiating, in the twelfth Essay, on the malignity of those libellists who rob a man of his fair fame: and on the value of a really good character, the author adverts to those fictitious characters which, unhappily, abound in the world.

"A good character is not so often obtained by good actions and upright intentions, as from accidental circumstances, which place a man in an advantageous position, frequently above his desert; and [sometimes] beyond his expectations. Thus one who pays his debts, for his personal convenience only, is said to be honest; the general who obtains a victory by mere chance, [aye or an *admiral* who gains a victory by the skill and gallantry of another, acting in disobedience to his orders,] is called a great officer; and many, from ostentatious gifts and promises, are pronounced to be extremely generous; though, when unmasked, fraud would be conspicuous in the character of the first, ignorance in the second, and the

the meanest parsimony is the last. The world, who [which] is but a poor logician, bestows a bad or good character according to the report [which] it receives. It is too indolent to inquire into facts; and frequently too censorious to show a willingness to become acquainted with them."

The thirteenth and fourteenth essays, the first on a superintending Providence, and the last on Conversation, considered as the daughter of Reasoning, are very good. But we have not room for more than two other extracts: the first of which exhibits a very well-drawn character of an *absent man*.

"There is left for our contemplation a still more thoughtless, though not so bad a character as the last; and that is, the man whose mind is inundated with ideas, with good sense and nonsense, business and pleasure; who is always about something, and yet doing nothing; always going out, and yet might as well stay at home; who is always in the wrong place, and always just too late. Such a one was Dick Scamper; Dick was one of the most pleasant fellows living, full of wit and anecdote; but he was a performer on the stage of the world who never attended rehearsals, and was never perfect in his part; his vices were the mere effects of chance, and his virtues the impulse of the moment. Dick had not any one established principle but good humour; and with the help of that he got out of his scrapes, or did not care a fig about them. Dick was always in haste when there was no necessity for it, and obstinately deliberate when promptitude only was required; he was constantly remembering that he had forgotten; innumerable were the difficulties that he created for himself, and the mistakes that he made every day, from forgetfulness. Dick has an appointment in the city; goes to the Jerusalem coffee-house to meet a gentleman who was to be at Lloyd's; sends an apology, with an appointment to meet the next day at the Jerusalem; and attends very punctually at Lloyd's. Dick orders his man to be with his horse at Mile End Turnpike, exactly at four o'clock; quite forgets it, takes the stage, discovers his mistake just as he gets a mile beyond Stratford, stops the coach, gets out, and walks all the way to town; finds his man waiting in the cold with his horse, mounts it, and rides home as if nothing had happened. Thieves are heard in his house; he gets up, dresses himself completely in his shooting jacket, and very leisurely walks down with his double-barrelled pistol to shoot them, just as they have made off with whatever of his property they could lay their hands on. Dick is in dreadful anxiety how to make up a large bill which he expects to become due the next day; runs all over the city; with great difficulty raises the amount; is quite delighted; the bills not presented; wonders very much; runs away to the indorser's and to the banker's; finds to his astonishment that it has a month longer to run: is heartily vexed at being so lucky. Dick is told that there is some news; is frantic to hear it, puts on his servant's laced cocked hat, by mistake, instead of his own, and sallies into the street; boys take him for the beadle of the parish, and run away from their marbles; takes no notice of them, walks into a coffee-house, sits down; is reproved by the waiter, who tells him, that it is not decent for livery servants to sit down among gentlemen; stares with

with astonishment ; begins wondering ; has half a mind to be in a passion ; pulls off his hat to wipe his face, finds it laced, sneaks away, and sets off as hard as he can : falls in with the boys again, who run before him all the way he goes.

" Dick loses his pocket book with several bank notes ; runs about to all the bill-printers and bill-stickers, newspaper offices and criers in town ; don't hear any thing of it for a week ; a fine day, puts on his green coat, puts his hand in the pocket, discovers his pocket-book ; ' Well ! who would have thought it ? ' runs immediately with an advertisement for all the papers, stating, that the public need not give themselves any more trouble about his pocket-book, as it was [is] found ; don't know what to do with the money he had offered for a reward ; gives it away to an old maimed sailor. Dick stops one day to read at a book-stall, is very much entertained with an odd volume ; an old friend passing by, Dick claps the book in his pocket and runs after him, while he himself is run after by the bookseller, who calls out ' Stop thief ! ' a mob is presently collected, and poor Dick, in spite of his protestations, hurried away to Bow-street ; meets in his way another friend, a man of high rank, catches hold of him by the coat : ' My dear Lord ! if ever I had occasion for a great man, it is now. Do you know, I am taken up for stealing an odd volume of *Peregrine Pickle*, though you know I have a set of the best edition at home. The nobleman, who happened to know the eccentricities of his friend, and the harmlessness of his character, accompanies him to the office, and speaks in his favour to the magistrates, who ask his name. The fact, however, is proved, and the book produced, and handed up to the Justice, who very gravely admonishes him for his fault, and inquires how he could have the audacity to write his name on the title-page ; Dick is still more amazed, asks to look at it ; finds his own crest inside the cover, and the book to be one of his own set ; abuses the bookseller, who now runs off in his turn, leaving Dick in quiet possession of his odd volume of *Peregrine Pickle* ; Dick laughs, puts the book in his pocket, and is very glad to come off so well."

There is a good deal of whim, humour, and imagination, in the delineation of this character. Our last extract we shall take from the *seventeenth* essay, the subject of which is the stage.

" Happening a few evenings since to occupy a seat in the corner of a box at a tavern, where three remarkable personages were apparently holding a court to decide on the merits or defects of the present state of the drama, I was engaged to listen very attentively to a discourse in which I felt myself extremely interested, having entertained some, no doubt, highly improper notions of the judgment and taste of the town. I was presently gratified by an oracle, drest in black, with a hard-featured sour-looking face, smoking a pipe of tobacco ; who, out of one corner of his mouth, breathed the accents of discontent as follows : ' The vitiated taste and manners of the present age (said he very gravely) are in a state of constant warfare with the mind and opinions of a man of sense, who shrinks back at the reigning absurdities, and disdains to pay his visits at the court which Folly keeps ; and in nothing is this open rebellion against common sense more apparent than in the present system of the

the drama, by which managers, authors, and performers, with a mean submission, lower and debase its functions for the momentary plaudits of audiences without taste or discrimination; who come chiefly to display their well-dressed figures in the lobby, talk to the ladies of pleasure, or retire from the third bottle of wine to the play-house for the convenience of a nap in a side-box. These wretched and vitiated manners (continued he) spread their unwholesome contagions from the greater to the lesser circles, till the disease of folly becomes an epidemic, differing only from others in one respect, that here the complaint in the natural way is the slightest, and that which fashion inoculates is the worst sort.—'Ever finding fault; ever setting things to rights;' replied a thin man with a long face, that carried a constant kind of smile, which I could not, by all the rules of physiognomy, comprehend; 'it is yourself, Mr. Acid, who have wrong notions of things; you are of the old school, and not sensible of the improvements we have made in authorship and the art of acting. Let me explain the science, and you will own yourself in the wrong.' 'You may say what you please, Mr. Snipe (returned the Oracle); but you must admit the present deplorable state of the drama, though you are a player.'

" 'Not a bit of it' (answered the comedian, contracting his buccinatory muscles.) 'Listen to me while I state three propositions (cried a man in the opposite corner with a commanding severity of countenance): the first is, that the morals and manners of the present age are vitiated and depraved; the second is derived from the first, that the depravity tends to depreciate literature and the drama; and the third is, that the managers and performers are infected with the reigning malady.'—'I deny the major of each (retorted the player,) and will, if you will allow me, prove the negative proposition: and first, I will undertake to establish the position, that the morals and manners of the present age are not vitiated nor depraved; and to do this, let us examine whether there is not in the present day an uncommon share of understanding among the great? Are there not more nobility, and of course more refinement? Is there not a great deal of public virtue, and so small a share of secret venality, that the people are actually obliged to advertise for seats in a great assembly, owing to the immense difficulty of treating for them in the usual way? Is there any such thing now as party? and do our great people, possessing the great minds that they do, own any aid but that of truth and reason? And then for judgment and taste, look at the fetes, entertainments, and private masquerades among them: what a deal of novelty and wit! 'You don't know me.' 'I think I know you.' 'Who am I?' 'Who are you?' 'Is it possible not to be entertained with such agreeable and pointed repartee? If you are convinced, Mr. Acid, (continued the theatrical philosopher and politician,) I will go on to another proposition, derived from the first, that the same refinement of morals and manners tends to encourage merit, and to serve the interests of literature and the drama; and first for literature: Have we not new systems of philosophy, new systems of surgery, and new lectures on midwifery, wherein each author discovers that every body before him was in the wrong, and that his system is most right because it is most now? How careful and industrious are our great people to reward merit! don't they liberally encourage the authors and inventors of patent candlesticks and snuffers; wine

wine coolers and water-closets? Don't they make the fortunes of those deserving people who, by their skill in medicine, can cure every thing; and take by the hand those ingenious artists who understand *tricks upon cards* and other deceptions? Don't the great turn players, and players get very great; that is, in every thing but their parts? And now to analyse the merits of the modern authors: Can any thing be more gratifying? In former days, a plot, design, character, wit, and humour, were thought necessary to the success of a piece. Lord love ye, Mr. Acid, experience shows the contrary every day; the author of the present hour is quite a different sort of being; he has nothing to do but to cram his play with incident, pantomime, spectacle, ghosts, and spectres, to produce numerous stage effects, and innumerable *claptraps* in every scene, with handsome and appropriate compliments to high personages; his piece will be licensed, so as it be not offensive to government or the *morals*. We have no occasion for a licenser to refuse nonsense, as the place would be almost a sinecure in a nation of so much sense. And now for my last proposition, which is, that the managers and performers are influenced by this refinement of taste. Don't the manager refuse any thing, however good, that won't do? and can any body blame him? and don't the performer, like a clever tailor, take measure of the taste and judgment of his audiences, and *mum* the business of the speaking pantomime with infinite address? don't he reiterate ejaculations and grimaces to obtain reiterated bursts of applause from those inimitable judges of the scenic art; while he, master of human nature and its varieties of character, is so marked with excellence, that the moment he enters every body cries out 'That's Snipe!' for you must know a judicious alteration is made in the work of the drama: formerly the players had to study new characters, which was infinite labour; but now the author writes his character to fit the character of the performer, which makes it easy to both parties, and thus Snipe does not perform Scrub, but Scrub Snipe; which pleases the town very much, for they are fond of Snipe: and if an author wishes his farce to succeed, he must have me in it. But if you desire another example, look at that celebrated Tragedian. How excellent! true to nature as clock-work: observe, he enters O P, at the third plank he folds his arms, he advances at the sixth, he starts at the seventh, and at the tenth he commences his soliloquy; then enter two more performers, who range themselves at certain distances and in certain attitudes: this is what we call *forming* the stage; but which you, who are not acquainted with the *Ruse de Theatre*, would perhaps call *deforming* the stage. Now the hero is to put on his gloves, and now he is to take one off; at the appointed spot he pulls out his handkerchief from his pocket, and unfolds it like an ensign before the line. He leaves nothing to the directions of nature working in the scene, because he has got all his actions with his part; and indeed it would save some trouble if the copyist were to make marginal notes of this species of drill exercise. The player knows now what he is to do, and so do the audience as well as he.

" 'A good observer could mark out to a mathematical certainty the map of his journey on the boards, which in some great performers varies not an inch through a whole season.'—'And this is what you call dramatic excellence, Mr. Snipe, (returned the Oracle). Let me tell you, Sir, that the actor should be involved in the business of the scene; studied action

action is like studied sentiment, forced and lame; the sentiment of the author, to be felt, should appear to come from the heart, the action of the player from the subject of the scene, and not from the Prompter's book.—'Ay, this might do formerly (returned the comedian); but are we not supported, in our present system, by the authority of the German drama? for instance now: Enter Bloodungus P.S. in a thoughtful attitude, his arms folded; he disengages them, and lays the fore-finger of his right hand on the fore-finger of his left. Enter Whiskemia the spectre O.P. Bloodungus starts and runs off: Whiskemia runs after him. Enter Bertherina and her little child; they are met by Count Bul-
linham, who embraces them both, when they hold up the pretty little boy between them like a cupid in a vignette, and down-drops the curtain.'—'So (cried the old gentleman in the opposite corner of the box,) this is what you call playing; it may be so; there's one comfort, it is not playing upon our feelings. Did ever any body see a father and a mother holding up their child between them like the tumblers at Sadler's Wells, or the sculptured figures in a monument in Westminster Abbey? I have an utter aversion to these posture-masters.'

There is much truth in these observations on the present degraded state of the drama, both in respect of authors and of actors. But "the man in the opposite corner" mistakes the effect for the cause. Dramatic writers first deprave the taste of the town, by their unreasonable productions, and then affect to make that depravity which they have themselves engendered, an excuse for the poverty of their own wit, and their consequent substitution of trick, mummary, and nonsense, for humour, satire, and sense. We cannot agree, however, with Mr. B. in the unqualified approbation which he bestows upon Cooke, who has always appeared to us as much a *mannerist* as any man that ever trod the stage. In Kitley, in Richard, in Iago, in Shylock, in his Archy-Macsarcasm, Cooke is always Cooke. In the second of these characters, too, he sometimes misconceives the author's meaning; and even the nature of the character itself; particularly where, in speaking of his own deformity, he utters the language of exultation in a tone of sorrow. Kemble is certainly the most learned and the most scientific actor on the stage. He is indebted but little to nature, but much to study. And his artificial acquirements, if they may so be called, enable him frequently to subdue his natural defects. His greatest demerit arises from his pedantry, which has given him a rage for *new readings*, which sometimes set common sense at defiance; and a licentiousness of pronunciation, which utterly destroys the *jus et forma loquendi*, to which the less enlightened part of mankind pay proper respect.

We can safely recommend this volume, as containing much that is amusing, and not a little that is instructive.

POLITICS.

Plain Letter to His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales, upon his Plain Duties to himself, his Wife, his Child, and to the Nation, as such Duties arise out of the late Investigation of the Conduct of the Princess of Wales. Second Edition. 8vo. Pr. 3s. 2s. Colburn, Conduit-street.

IN this revolutionary age, of which the assertion of imaginary rights constitutes the prominent feature, the few honest and independent writers, whose pens are employed in the inculcation of real duties, are entitled to particular praise. Such a writer is the author of the letter before us. He has been an attentive observer of those passing events to which his inquiry refers; he has duly appreciated their immediate and remote consequences; he has had the sense to discover the truth, and the resolution to speak it. Respecting the charges preferred against Her Royal Highness the Princess of Wales, we have entertained and declared one uniform opinion; that they were groundless, malevolent, and wicked. We have constantly believed her innocence to be unspotted; and have always thought, that in that peculiar situation in which the law considers deviations from virtue so far pardonable, as to refuse to an offended husband not only pecuniary damages for his insulted honour, but even the privilege of a divorce, her conduct has been such as to ensure the respect and esteem of every upright and honest man. Impressed with this conviction, we have watched, with anxious solicitude, the progress of that inquiry which, for some time, has excited the curiosity, fixed the attention, and alarmed the fears of the public. We have strongly deprecated the mystery in which it has been involved; and have loudly insisted on the necessity of publishing every document connected with it, and all the proceedings relating to it. We know, that the illustrious object of this inquiry has called for such publication; we know that she has solicited as a favour what she might have commanded as a right; we know that she wished to submit her conduct to the test of public opinion; to be publicly censured if guilty; and to be publicly absolved if innocent; we know that she has demanded justice upon her accusers; we farther know, that the officer principally referred to in this most iniquitous business, received an anonymous letter, containing offers of rapid promotion to the highest rank in the service, if he would render himself subservient to the purpose of this base prosecution; we know that he had too high a sense of honour to listen, for a moment, to so infamous a proposition; and we know, too, that he has been deprived of his ship, and is about to seek employment in a foreign service!!! With the author, we say;

"I do not believe that there is an Englishman with a heart so callous, an understanding so depraved, or a mind so indifferent to the legitimate and constitutional succession to the throne of this my country, as not to have felt the deepest emotions of sorrow and sympathy, for the forlorn, neglected, and unhappy condition of the Princess of Wales; the true and acknowledged wife of your Royal Highness."

If the parasites of the prince would perform their duty, they would carry these truths to their master. By opening his eyes to a sense of his real situation; by convincing him of the force, direction, and uniformity of public opinion, as it respects himself; by indicating the certain consequences of a perseverance in that line of conduct which has formed and fixed that opinion; his advisers and counsellors would be entitled not less to the gratitude of their patron, than to the thanks of the nation. It has, we know, been said, that the Personage in question is so little desirous of hearing the truth, that he will not hear it from his physician; and that he even turns his eyes from a newspaper, lest, vain apprehension! he should chance to meet it there! But this must be "a weak invention of the enemy;" a paltry excuse for the neglect of an important duty. The Prince has sense, talents, and information, and will not receive as an insult what is respectfully tendered as a service.

The author refers to the expectations of the public on the approaching nuptials of the Heir Apparent; and among the happy consequences expected from it, "by the moral and decorous part of the community," the termination of "open and undisguised intercourse [which] you then had with the wife of a neighbouring Earl, your neighbour; and the prevention of a renewal of the long-continued, notorious, and dangerous intimacy, with a lady whose season of life, and religious tenets, did not the better qualify her for your Royal Highness's bosom friend." He then traces this last connection to its origin, and adverts to that mysterious marriage, which Mr. Horne Tooke proclaimed to the world, at that time, and, with an eccentricity peculiar to himself, professed to justify.

"I use the word, because it is generally believed, though I hope without foundation, that she did, by the form of marriage, affect to satisfy the uneasiness of her conscience, at the alarming thought of sexual intercourse, until it was sanctioned by a due solemnization of matrimony; and it was very confidently asserted, that she maintained her resolution until the form of a mock-marriage had taken place; which she knew to be contrary to law, a mere nullity as applied to herself, and a most fatal privation to the other actor in the farce; at present it deserves no other name; how seriously it may ultimately be, I will not presume to hint, but I have in my heart enough of regard for the infatuated husband, to caution him against one in his own family. I do not believe there is one that would make the subject on any occasion, not "a delicate investigation," for although there may be peace between two of the brothers, it is without a radical reconciliation. I hope the Priest who officiated is pensioned, and dismissed the land. I trust there was not a witness, and that there is neither record nor document to be procured to prove the marriage. I pity the husband in this instance; he was young, enamoured, and his passion inflamed by resistance; for the lady knew how to play all the game, and practised all she knew.

"Youth did not precipitate her into an heedless engagement; nor was she influenced by any passion, except ambition and avarice; she made herself despicable by her motives. Had she loved the man, she had waived a marriage, and not insisted on a ceremony which subjected him to a forfeiture of his birth-right: I could have then pardoned that act which I must now condemn; it was a vile, unfeeling, bargain, made under

under the mask of conscientiousness and feminine delicacy, when, in reality, she looked only to the gratification of her pride; while she secured to herself the accomplishment of any wish, however inordinate, by pleasing her husband, with such unfair dexterity, completely within her power; for she well understood the pains, penalties, and disabilities, which hung in *terrorem* over him, if ever proclamation of this marriage should be made. These penalties may excite in his mind apprehensions which may account for his unremitted attentions to the lady."

This is the true state of the case; the "*widow bewitching*," not *bewitched*, practised a common artifice well known to every country girl, "by keeping men off you lead them on." Love had as little to do with this inauspicious connection, as honour, decency, religion, or law. The following picture of our gracious Sovereign, which, we hope and believe, is drawn for the purpose of supplying a *faithful likeness*, and not for the pleasure of exhibiting a *striking contrast*, will place the discriminating powers of the author in a most favourable point of view.

"Our gracious King, a being in whom the nation most implicitly relies; in him we behold an affectionate and attached husband, diligently and tenderly performing all the duties of that character. The friend, the protector of his wife, and the defender of her honour; upright in his conduct, and moral in his actions; temperate in his living, and just and honest in his dealings; exact in his payments, a fond and indulgent father, pious without hypocrisy, and attentive to the forms of religion without parade or ostentation. Such His Majesty appears to his people, such are the moral qualities of your father; few sons have had the happiness and advantage of such an example; and few examples have been honoured with so close an imitation. The King has never polluted his bed by promiscuous intercourse, or rank and barefaced adultery; he has never degraded his dignity by mixing with the lowest men, with black-legs and profligates; he has never outraged nature and society by enormous gluttony and public brutal intoxication; we have not seen him, by a wild career of profusion and of expense, reduced to such mortifying distress as to excite pity and contempt; there is no instance in which he has descended to borrow money of a tradesman to pay his debts to a mistress; nor, indeed, can any objection be alledged against His Majesty for not fully and rigidly discharging every moral relation, as a husband, a father, and a man. With this conviction upon my mind, your Royal Highness will readily understand it is only on account of your Royal Father's virtues, that I deprecate his demise and your succession, in which I am sure your filial affection most fervently joins me, and the whole nation."

The author might have added to that long list of positive and negative virtues, that we have a King, who will not be bullied into a surrender of his rights, or a violation of his conscience, by any combination of talents, influence, or wealth; by the daring temerity of any aristocratic jacobin, however potent, and however desperate. And having such a King, let us duly value the transcendent blessing, and exclaim, in the appropriate language of scriptural supplication, "*LONG LIVE THE KING! MAY THE KING LIVE FOR EVER!*"

Alluding to the Prince's attachment to a Lady "*well stricken in years*," at a time of life when "*the hey-day of the blood is over*," he endeavours

endeavours to show, that as there could be no excuse, so was there no pretext for it.

“Your Royal Highness wanted not domestic advice, nor any worldly admonition; Mr. Sheridan was always ready; in his wisdom, honesty, morality, and his punctilious exactness, your Royal Highness, and the whole world, might confide, I doubt not, as those who have confided in him best can tell you; besides which, he loved strong liquors, and could pass night after night in destroying his health, impairing his faculties, or in any other intemperance: for counsel, therefore, *you need not have gone to this lady.*”

A pretty privy-counsellor truly is this for the *Heir Apparent* of the British Throne! The author proceeds to show, that every other kind of aid, which His Royal Highness could need, was at hand; and then adds, “your Royal Highness will excuse me, but you remind me of a man, who wept on his wedding night, because he was told he must sleep from his mother; for in no other way can I account for your return to the *faded beauties of this Roman antiquity.*”

Most truly does this writer contend, that it was the duty of the Prince to take a leading part in that inquiry, the object of which was to establish the guilt or the innocence of his wife; it was his duty as a *husband*; it was still more imperatively his duty, as *Heir Apparent*, when the guilt or innocence of her who was destined to give a future Sovereign to these realms, was at stake; and yet the venal prints of the day *complimented the delicacy* of His Royal Highness, in abstaining from all interference whatever with this most important business! Such is the prostituted press of the country at this critical moment of our fate! What ground for compliment such writers will find, when they learn that His Royal Highness has interfered to procure a revision of the proceedings, a thing unheard of in criminal processes, it would puzzle even the inventive ingenuity of a Sheridan to conjecture. The author presses this point with ability, and with great force of argument; and he proves, to the satisfaction of every unbiassed reader, that the anxiety which the public feel for the publication of these proceedings, can only arise from an earnest desire “to preserve the illustrious race of His Majesty in its pure, unmixed, and royal descent; to guard against the possibility of a disputed title, the horrors of a civil war, or the renewal of the bloody contests in the houses of York and Lancaster, by the representatives of the houses of Brunswick and Hanover.” This certainly was the ground of our anxiety on the subject; and it was for this object, that we have so strenuously contended for the absolute necessity of laying the whole of these proceedings before the public. The *right* of the public to be acquainted with them is the next point which the author labours to establish; and he has successfully established it to the conviction of every mind, which is open to conviction. It was probably thought, that the very nature of the inquiry would preclude all public discussion of the subject; though how it could be supposed, that a subject involving, possibly, the future succession to the throne, and certainly affecting the dearest and most important rights of Englishmen, could be suffered to be enveloped in mystery, could be passed over in silence, it is not very easy to imagine. If, however, such a supposition were really entertained, the vanity of it

it has been sufficiently proved; for, in the letter before us, the nature of the charges preferred against the unhappy, deserted, and persecuted Princess, is explained too clearly to be misunderstood by the dullest capacity. And in confirmation of the author's statement, we add, and we pledge our credit with the public for the accuracy of the assertion, that THE CHARGES GO EVEN FARTHER THAN HIS ACCOUNT OF THEM; AND THAT THE CASE TO WHICH HE REFERS AS POSSIBLE, IS CHARGED AS HAVING ACTUALLY OCCURRED. Is this, then, a time for *silence*? Is this, then, a topic on which His Majesty's loyal and faithful subjects, who would sacrifice their lives in defence of his throne, and in support of his *lawful* successors, are to be kept in the dark? Is this, lastly, we ask, a matter in respect of which the full right of the public to receive every possible information and elucidation, can possibly be questioned? If there be men bold enough, weak enough, or wicked enough, to contend for the affirmative of these propositions, then, indeed, may these be called revolutionary times, for they will infallibly prove to be times pregnant with great, awful, and portentous changes! But, we trust, no such men are to be found, and that no farther delay will take place, in adopting those means which are alone adequate to tranquillize, or, at least, to satisfy the public mind.

"That your Royal Highness possessed great sensibility about children, the public had lately seen, in the case of the Hon. Miss Seymour. The Countess of Yarmouth has been doubly blessed with two rich rivals, both claiming the honour of providing for her; Miss Seymour is not less fortunate, in the contest between the Marquis of Hertford and Mrs. Fitzherbert for guardianship of the child: nor can too much praise be offered to your Royal Highness, for the active and benovolent part you took. The affidavit you made, proved your kind exertions for the happiness of the child; and as to the testimony of the physicians, that pretty prescription of scruples, that odd mixture of a delicate frame and health, with the child's most unalterable attachment to Mrs. Fitzherbert, it showed either, that the child, or Mrs. Fitzherbert, was in her *douage*; but that, in any event, Mrs. Fitzherbert's attractions were irresistible. Yet, after all, that sermonizing, doubt-starting, straw-weighting, old Chancellor, made what he thought a legal decree, somewhat disappointing the wish of the parties: Pitt died soon after; the old Northumbrian went out, and a gentleman farther north came in. The decree must now be reversed: new men in power, and the Marquis of Hertford felt the change; for the fond Marquis, whose heart had so lately glowed with the warmest affection for Miss Seymour, now consents to a compromise. The rising sun of the new administration extinguished the ardour of the would and the would-not-be a guardian. Who first suggested the tranquillizing and confirming thought of sending a Protestant Bishop to the house of an old Romanist, to examine little Miss upon her faith, and her religious education, I know not—but the holy man went; he found her a pretty Protestant, and reported her fairly to the grave and high assembly. All were satisfied, and the decree was reversed; but the pious man did not say whether he had converted Mrs. Fitzherbert, or she him; and I do not remember whether Lord Eldon expressed any doubt on the question of the conversion."

It was, indeed, a *novel* sight, to see an English Prelate lending himself to such a purpose; it was the first, and, we trust, the *last* instance of the kind.

kind. As to the question of conversion, that is easily decided. Certainly the Bishop did not convert the *Lady*; and we know that the Romanists still consider her as the best friend of their cause, and as promoting their interests, *silently but surely*. Will the good Prelate inform the public what ideas Miss had of the doctrine of *transubstantiation*, *auricular confession*, the *intercession of saints*, and the *worship of images*? Did he question her at all on these subjects? and, if he did not, what were the grounds of his satisfaction, respecting her faith?

We shall not transcribe the observations which follow the last extract; they are strong, pointed, and true. The author is a bold man, and certainly an able man. He pleads the cause of justice and of truth, with great talents, and without fear. But before we close this article, we must express an ardent hope that His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales will retrace his footsteps; that, yielding to a strong sense of duty, he will acknowledge his errors, and hasten to repair them; let him break off, for ever, all those connections which excite equal disgust and alarm in the public mind; let him take his wife to his bosom, and exhibit to the world *another* brilliant example of domestic harmony and comfort in the Royal Family; let him do this, and he may yet acquire that popularity, which virtue and good conduct in eminent stations seldom fail to obtain; and which it must be the ardent wish of every good subject to see him possess. The report which has prevailed, since the first part of this article was written, induces us to hope that our wish on this subject may be speedily fulfilled. It is confidently said, that His Royal Highness has acted (as both duty and interest should lead him *always* to act) in concert with his truly patriotic Father, the genuine *DEFENDER OF THE FAITH*, the *Friend*, the *Father*, of His people, on this momentous question of extending to the Romanists still greater privileges than they at present enjoy; in other words, of converting *toleration* into *encouragement*; and so treading the same paths which led to the Revolution of 1688. If this be the fact, as we most fervently hope it is, it certainly shows a great diminution, if not an annihilation, of that influence which is supposed to have long produced a very pernicious effect on the sentiments and conduct of the Prince. We shall only say, in conclusion, 'tis a consummation devoutly to be wished.

A Second Plain Letter to His Royal Highness, wherein his Plain Duties to himself, his Wife, his Child, and to the Country, are more pleasantly shown than in the First; also, that His Royal Highness is an accomplished Gentleman, a virtuous Man, a good Christian, and a sound Philosopher. With Remarks on the Correspondence upon his Claim for Military Rank and Employment; which likewise prove the Duke of York to be a great Author, a good Swimmer, and an able General. The Second Edition. 8vo. Pr. 40. 2s. Wilson,

THIS Letter, by the same writer as the first, is written with the same spirit and ability; but, as indeed may be naturally supposed from the subjects of discussion, in a strain of pointed irony. As for the additional animadversions and remarks on the charges preferred against the Princess of Wales, and on the conduct of the Prince, in respect of such charges,

go, we fully agree with the author. But we see no good that can possibly arise from the revival of facts, which occurred many years ago, and which ought, in our estimation, to be consigned to oblivion. The only legitimate purposes of discussions of this nature are, to produce repentance for the past, and reformation for the future. And in that particular discussion, relating to the Princess, every British subject has an interest; and, therefore, has a right (and, indeed, it is a duty,) to press it on the attention of the public; in the hope that what is just, right, and proper to do, may, in consequence of such discussions, be still done.

1. *Letters concerning the Abolition of the Slave Trade, and other West India Affairs.* By Mercator. 18mo. Pr. 32.
2. *Third Letter on the Abolition of the Slave Trade, and other West India Affairs.* By Mercator. 18mo. Pr. 22.
3. *A Letter addressed to Mercator, in reply to his Letters on the Abolition of the Slave Trade.* By a Planter, 8vo. Pr. 22. Ridgeway. 1807.

THE two first of these articles appeared in *Yorke's Weekly Review*, during the discussions on the Slave Trade in Parliament, on which they threw considerable light. They are written with considerable ability, and with great knowledge of the subject. And hence it was, no doubt, that they were re-printed, and circulated in their present form. But whoever did this, should have taken care to print them *wholly*; for by neglecting so to do, they have exposed the sensible author to the charge of contradicting himself. The Planter's answer to him charges him with having "actually admitted all which he attempts to deny;" that is, with admitting the injustice of the trade while he argues in favour of it. Whereas the fact is, that Mercator never defends the *principle* of the trade, but only combats the abolition on the grounds of inexpediency, policy, and implied breach of faith, and consequent injustice to proprietors. He shows this very clearly in his *third* Letter, written in reply to the Planter. But that Third Letter is mutilated, by the omission of the following passages; in which the writer defends himself against the charge of defending the *principle* of the Slave Trade.

"But though many and great evils exist, both in the natural and moral world, which the Creator in His wisdom has thought proper, for a certain period, to permit, and for which the wisdom of man has hitherto been able to provide no remedy, we are not exempted from the duty of persevering in our exertions to remove them; and, therefore, I did not use this argument to justify the Slave Trade, but only to repress that intolerant spirit, which has been so inhumanly exercised in this discussion, by those who profess themselves the advocates for humanity."

In the other passage omitted in the pamphlet, the author declares his disapprobation of the principle of the Trade in the most unequivocal manner.

"No man deprecates the Slave Trade more sincerely than I do: but, as I said in a former letter, it has involved us in a choice of difficulties; in
avoiding

avoiding Charybdis we fall upon Scylla ; and I most sincerely and conscientiously believe, that it cannot be abolished at all, without the general concurrence of the other European powers ; and that it cannot be abolished with any good effect, even to the inhabitants of Africa themselves, unless their minds are previously enlightened, and the present ferocity of their manners is civilized. I think farther, that this measure, whenever adopted, should be accompanied with some plan for a gradual emancipation ; as the only means of repressing that spirit of revolt among the negroes in the West India Colonies, which it must otherwise, in the nature of things, be expected to excite.

“ These are the deliberate sentiments of my mind upon the best consideration that I have been able to give the subject. I now sincerely hope, that my opinions may prove erroneous, and my apprehensions groundless ; for I consider the question on abolition as almost decided, and that what principally remains to be seen is, whether the Justice and Humanity of the Legislature is to be totally exhausted on the blacks, or reserved, in a due proportion, for those fellow-subjects of their own colour, resident in, or connected with, the West Indies, whose lives or fortunes may be sacrificed at the altar of misguided philanthropy.”

For our part, we hesitate not to express our conviction, that his sentiments are *not* erroneous, and his apprehensions *not* groundless. As to the arguments of his opponent, the Planter, never was sophistry so flimsy. It is perfectly clear, that he has an estate on an old island already overstocked with negroes, of which he hopes to dispose to advantage. An island where there is not a sufficiency ; and indeed he gives a pretty plain and modest hint, that the new lands in Trinidad ought to be granted only to men who have negroes to stock them. Disinterested Patriot ! As to his deduction from the surplus of the existing supply above the existing demand, it is most fallacious and inconclusive ; and if pursued to its full extent, would prove a great deal more than the Planter wishes to prove. We cannot stop to contend the point with him, that *Abolition* leads to *Emancipation* ; and that if *Justice* and *Humanity* require the former, they must as imperatively command the latter. Absurd as this inference appears to him ; no one proposition is more demonstrable. But we must reserve this discussion for another opportunity.

EDUCATION.

Elements of useful Knowledge in Geography, History, and other Sciences; drawn up for the Use of Children, in Questions and Answers. By J. Allbut, Master of Bromsgrove Lickey School. *The Eighth Edition.* Ten Parts. 18mo. PA. 120. 4s.; or 4d. each Part. Henley, printed. Button and Son, London; Wilson and Co., York; Knott and Lloyd, Birmingham. 1806.

TO simplify the Elementary principles of the sciences, and to divest them of their technical terms, in order to facilitate the acquisition of the knowledge

knowledge of them to children, is the object proposed by Mr. Allbot, in this useful little book. The form of question and answer is judiciously observed, as it is best calculated to assist the memory, and to impress the minds of children. The author has executed his task with ability and judgment, and the remarks which are interspersed in his book, prove him to be a pious man of sound principles.

Tales from Shakspeare; designed for the Use of Young Persons. By Charles Lamb. *Embellished with Copper Plates.* 2 Vols. 12mo. Pr. 500. 8s. Hodgkins. 1807.

WHEN Shakspeare founded some of his dramatic pieces on the popular tales of preceding times, he little imagined, that, in a subsequent age, any one would attempt to turn them into tales again. This, however, is no objection to the attempt; but we are not of opinion, that the tales of Shakspeare, though told, as they are by Mr. Lamb, as decently as possible, are very proper studies for female children. And we certainly object to the language of the preface, where girls are told, that there are parts in Shakspeare *improper* for them to read at one age, though they may be allowed to read them at another. This only serves as a stimulus to juvenile curiosity, which requires a *bridle* rather than a *spur*. The prints are neatly executed.

Select Exercises, containing a great Variety of Questions in the different Parts of Science; intended chiefly for the higher Classes of Young Gentlemen in Boarding Schools and Academies; and for young Students in the Mathematics. By Thomas Whiting, Keppel-House, Brompton. Pr. 135. 12mo. Langman & Co.

THIS title-page does not convey any adequate idea of the nature of this little volume, which consists of all Clare's arithmetical questions, amounting to 372: to these the Editor has added from various other writers, including some original, 239, forming a collection of 611 of the most useful questions for the instruction of youth in some of the more complex operations of Arithmetic. No answers are given with these questions, and thus this volume is of no value out of the author's school, unless accompanied with his Key, which however contains solutions only of Clare's questions, and not of those which he has selected from other sources. It required but a little common sense to perceive the glaring defect of such a plan. A very short Introduction to Plane Trigonometry, with its application to heights and distances, is appended to these questions. The importance of this branch of Mathematics must be self-evident, as every gentleman who travels beyond the smoke of London should be able to tell the height of the spire of a church or a hill, by the simple operation of trigonometry. The author however certainly deceives himself, when he supposes that the proposal for measuring inaccessible heights by taking two angles, is original; there is not perhaps a master or commander of a line of battle ship in our Navy, who is wholly ignorant of this method. Mr. Whiting indeed deserves praise for having rendered it more familiar to youth.

MISCELLANEOUS.

LETTER III.

TO THE RIGHT HON. JOHN SULLIVAN,

SIR,

I REALLY am charmed with the prospect of the advantages which the public is likely to derive from our correspondence. It has already served to illustrate one of the most admirable precepts of ancient philosophy; it will now serve to expound one of the most abstruse passages in Holy Writ. Yes, Sir, what had escaped the researches of all the learned and laborious foreign commentators on the Sacred Writings; what had baffled the united efforts of the General Assembly of our own Divines, convened by order of good Queen Bess, and the individual exertions of all their successors, you have enabled me to accomplish; and, I trust, that in the next edition of the *Critici Sacri*, my new reading will be adopted, and our names be handed down together to an admiring and grateful posterity.

The passage to which I allude, is that earnest and pathetic exclamation of Job, "O that mine adversary had written a book!" All the Annotators consider this wish as arising from his conviction, that the charges against him, when clearly stated, and duly investigated, would appear false and malicious*. Now, on the contrary, I consider Job's object to have been, not to vindicate himself, for he had declared that he was satisfied in his own innocency, but to criminate his accusers. He was aware, that a hypocritical or bad man could not write a book in his own vindication, without furnishing the means of his own conviction; that the rays of truth would shine in through some unguarded opening; that an over-eagerness to prove his innocence, might establish facts against him of which he was never before even suspected; and that total silence is the only refuge in which guilt can hope to escape from detection.

If you, Sir, had never published those extracts from your narrative of attested facts, respecting your transactions with the French ship *Eliza*-

* Testimonium ferit pro me, contestabitur que innocentiam meam, etiam adversarii mei librum contra me scripserint, querelis et accusationibus plenum; calumnie erunt et mendaciæ.

CRITICI SACRI.

Should not this book of his accusations be a praise and commendation to me?

BISHOP'S BIBLE.

Signum autem alteram innocentie mea, erit liber, ut respondeat ad rationes meas quas pro innocentia mea assero.

POLI SYNOPSIS.

Job would be glad to see the libel, to have a copy of his indictment.

HENRY'S EXPOSITION.

As this learned Annotator has discovered that there were libels in Job's days, perhaps there were criminal informations too: and his wish might originate, in the hope of being able to spring one of those legal mines upon his adversary, at which my Right Hon. correspondent is so expert an engineer,

NOTE BY THE AUTHOR.

beth,

both, your conduct in that affair would have appeared in a much more venial light; and I am persuaded that you adopt, from the very bottom of your soul, my reading of Job's exclamation: what other cause can have prevented your work, which was announced as actually reprinted, from being given to the public? Is it that you say with Horace, "*Odi profanum vulgus, et arceo*;" and reserve your justification for the higher circles? Though I did at first indulge, I have since retracted that opinion; for on addressing my researches, after this precious morceau, to those quarters where I imagined you would be most solicitous to circulate your defences, among gentlemen high in public official situations, and Directors of the East India Company, not a trace of it could be found. I therefore conclude, that you have very prudently suppressed the whole of that work, the best selected extracts from which have already made what before was bad, appear much worse.

Though disappointed in my hope of any farther information on that topic, I may say of you as Cicero said of Pompey, "In speaking the praises of this great man, my difficulty is where to end, not where to begin; and my complaint is not of the scarcity, but of the redundancy of my materials*." Indeed, since I have exercised the office of your biographer my work has accumulated upon my hands; for while I was intent on the old oriental covey, fresh game sprang up almost under my feet, and involuntarily led my eyes into a quite different direction, from *East to West*; where, in the ship *Fortitude*, they were struck with the very counterpart of the ship *Elizabeth*. The affinity between the history of these two vessels is so great, illicit trade being the polar star which guided them both in their course, that I cannot refrain from addressing you a Third Letter; trusting that my narrative of this voyage will prove neither uninteresting, nor unentertaining in the perusal.

Among the many sapient projects which distinguished the Addingtonian administration, that of settling and cultivating Trinidad without the importation of slaves from Africa, so as to render that new acquisition, at once the emporium of arts, industry, freedom, and happiness, as well as of commerce, was not the least remarkable. In the prosecution of this plan, the bold and singular idea was conceived, of transporting the natives of China to people the Antilles; and the first cargo of them has been happily landed from this ship *Fortitude*, at their place of destination. The Governor having received no previous advice of this intended valuable acquisition to the population of the Colony under his command, and of course no arrangements having been made for the reception of these grotesque strangers, who appeared there as unexpectedly as if they had dropped from the moon, convened his council to deliberate in what manner they were to be disposed of; and it was at length determined to divide them into small parties and hire them out by the month. The planters taking it for granted that all inhabitants of the torrid zone were accustomed to eat their bread in the sweat of their brow, readily engaged

* Dicendum est enim de Cn. Pompeji singulari eximique virtute: hujus autem orationis difficilior est exitum, quam principium invenire, ita mihi non tam copia, quam modus in dicendo quaerendus est.

them as labourers; and were not a little surprized, to see these oriental gentlemen stand in their cane fields, in the attitude in which we see them delineated on china ware, or antique India paper hangings, holding umbrellas over their heads, to protect them from the rays of the vertical sun. Their surprize at the absurdity of this importation soon however ceased, when they found that the speculation in agriculture had served as a cover to another speculation of a much more promising description, this same ship being loaded with India goods, to an immense amount, imported contrary to law, and by some unlucky mischance, having since, together with her cargo, been seized by one of his Majesty's officers, who, when the last advices left the island, was proceeding to bring her to adjudication.

My motive for addressing you, Sir, on this topic, is to tell you in confidence, that Mr. M'Queen, the supercargo, is said to complain very loudly of this seizure; and to *alledge, that nothing has been done, but with the sanction and authority of persons high in his Majesty's government.* He is said farther to state, that *the cargo is not his property*, that he was only employed to sell it on commission. On revolving these matters in my mind, it occurred to me, that Lord Buckinghamshire had declared in the House of Peers, that the shipment of these China men, (for no provision was made for perpetuating the benefits of this experiment by assorting the cargo with women,) had taken place in consequence of a letter written while he presided in the Colonial department. His Lordship did not say, in consequence of a letter which he had written; but, which *had been written.* You, Sir, were at that time under-secretary of state in the same department, and frequently wrote official letters, to which as much respect was paid, as if they had been written by his Lordship himself; and from the tenour of his declaration, this letter may possibly be thought to claim you for its author. His Lordship and yourself having both been in India, were competent judges of the habits and manners of these people; and no other person in that office, I believe, possessed the same knowledge, nor certainly the same authority. The plan therefore may be presumed to have originated either with him, or yourself; and he did not claim the merit of it, as I before observed. Now, Sir, putting all these facts together, considering it as no improbable surmise, that whoever ordered this ship to carry the passengers might know something about the cargo also; more particularly when adverting to Mr. M'Queen's declaration, that it *did not belong to him, and that nothing had been done but what had the sanction and authority of persons high in his Majesty's government*; considering farther the probability, at the period when that letter was written, that your friend, Mr. Fullarton, would have continued as first commissioner in the government of Trinidad, in which situation he might have afforded great facility to speculations of gentlemen with whom he had a good understanding; I say, Sir, considering all these things, I fear that you may not altogether escape suspicion in this unlucky business: for if you could be supposed capable of engaging in any illicit scheme, the time, the place, the circumstances, seem all so opportune, the means so admirably adapted to the end, that you might have exclaimed with Hotspur, "By the Lord, our plot is as good a plot as ever was laid, our friends true and constant; a good plot, good friends, and full of expectation!"

In drawing their conclusions from these premises, men of reflecting minds

minds may recollect that characteristic encomium paid you by Lord Macartney, for which I shall ever honour his Lordship's memory, and consider him as having been a most intelligent observer of men and manners, that "your mind is awake to every object within its reach or within its view." Men who are in the habit of comparing facts, may revert to the old story of the Elizabeth, and perhaps imagine that they can trace a resemblance in the features of the two cases, similar to that between the hand-writing of the same person on two different sheets of paper. Nor does any thing appear in your account of the result of the business of the Elizabeth, that should by any means deter you from engaging in such another adventure; for after all was over, you say, "you had the gratification of receiving the most satisfactory proof that you had not suffered in the favourable opinion of the Directors, a majority of them having separately assured you of their support, if you succeeded in an application to the minister with a view to obtaining the government of Madras." It might seem to common minds, that promoting a man to such high advancement, whom they had fined 4000*l.* for having violated the trust reposed in him when in an inferior situation, was inverting the example held out for our imitation in the evangelical parable, "Well done, thou good and faithful servant, thou hast been faithful over a few things, I will make thee ruler over many things:" and very censorious persons, reasoning by analogy, might observe, that such conduct in the Directors towards you was just as preposterous as if a convocation of the clergy were to recommend Tom Payne to be made Metropolitan of the Church, as a reward for writing "The Age of Reason."

Though it must be admitted, that there is something revolting to probability, in the story of these assurances having been given you by the Directors, yet it is utterly impossible to doubt the veracity of your assertion; and being aware that "tout ce qui est vrain est pas vraisemblable;" and as a man of rather a philosophical turn, feeling a great propensity to inquire into causes, I have taken some pains to reconcile your statement with my own belief, and with what, in moral philosophy, is termed the fitness of things. After considerable investigation, I do flatter myself, that I have at length succeeded in finding a clue which will effectually extricate us out of this puzzling labyrinth. You have told the public in your vindication, that the illicit trade in which you engaged, "originated in a necessity which the exigency of the Company's affairs had imposed upon all their servants." This necessity certainly could not be of a pecuniary nature; indeed, the very reverse, for the object of those to whom the word is applied, was to empty their overflowing coffers by remittances to Europe. You must therefore mean that necessity in the philosophical sense of the term, by which mankind are impelled to certain actions. I wish you would undertake to illustrate these doctrines of moral necessity and free will, which you seem to understand so perfectly, and in which I confess myself not very profoundly versed. Pecuniary necessity, indeed, I unfortunately understand but too well; and this circumstance, though certainly a great plea in mitigation, has never yet been considered as amounting to a justification of any crime: but this moral necessity in which you are such an adept, and which you state to be so imposing, as to have been irresistible in its effect upon all the Company's servants in India, it would be a task worthy of your talents, and of your reputation too, to elucidate; for if

the

the position you have laid down can be clearly established, it was the height of injustice to punish you at all, for conduct to which you were inevitably impelled by an overruling power*. I recollect some strong authorities on your side of the question. Mr. Locke says, "that the will is always determined by the *most pressing uneasiness*, not by the appearance of the *greatest good*†." Simonides defines internal necessity, "that which obliges all beings to act according to their nature‡." But the most applicable justification that I have been able to find of that necessity, which you say compelled your illicit recourse to foreigners, is in Aristotle, who expressly states, "that is *necessitated* which arises from a *foreign principle*§." Leaving this subject to your abler pen, I return to the point which I undertook to explain.—In my last letter, I observed, that a great proportion of the gentlemen who were in the Direction when sentence was passed upon you, had previously been servants of the Company in India. Now if you possessed the means of bringing the same crime home to them, for which you had been punished yourself, (and you would hardly have given such reason to draw this inference, as you have done, without being able to prove the fact,) it accounts not only for the lenity of their sentence, but for their subsequent assurances of supporting your appointment to the government of Madras, which at first appeared so extraordinary; as men thus circumstanced, were too much in your power to refuse you anything.

However, what may be thought or said of the past, as of much less consequence than what may take place in future. Permit me therefore to suggest, whether some precautionary measures respecting this ship Fortitude, at once to quash suspicion by anticipation, might not, under the existing circumstances, be well timed. I am in hopes, that Mr. M'Queen, when the trial comes on, in order to save the ship, and her very valuable cargo, from condemnation, may explain the authority under which this contraband speculation was made; and that in so doing, while he exculpates you, he will acquaint the public with the name of those persons, high in his Majesty's government, who, according to his declaration, have availed themselves of their official situations, to sanction and authorize so gross a violation of law. This will be ascertained by the next advices from Trinidad: in the mean time I offer this expedient to your consideration, but knowing your partiality to narratives of attested facts, I feel myself also bound candidly to submit to your better judgment, some doubts which have lately arisen in my mind, as to the propriety or utility of resorting to oaths on such occasions.

A modern dramatic writer of well merited celebrity, makes one of his

* The Stoics maintained this doctrine of necessity. A slave belonging to Zeno, who was detected in a theft, justified himself on his master's principles, "It was ordained by fate," said he, "that I should commit this crime."—"And it was also ordained by fate," replied Zeno, "that you should be punished for this crime." DIOG. LAERT. VIT. ZEN.

† Essay on Human Understanding. B. ii. C. 21. §. 31.

‡ Comment. in Epictet. C. 1.

§ Eth. ad Nicom. L. iii. C. 1.

characters say, "I almost trust thee, for thou dost not swear*." As fiction is the soul of poetry, I laid but little stress on this sentiment; but I acknowledge that my faith in affidavits has been considerably shaken by the authority of Cicero, who says in plain prose, in one of his orations; which I happened to read a few evenings since, that an oath is entitled to no more weight than a bare assertion. I have considered however on the other hand, that a lawyer, while pleading, is apt to say any thing that suits the purposes of his client. Besides, notwithstanding his philippic against affidavits, they still have a much more commanding weight than bare assertions, in the minds of the majority of the public, who know very little about either Cicero, or his writings. After these observations, it is but just to let the Orator speak for himself, as well as the Poet; premising, that the passage quoted, to be justly applicable to the present case, should have related to true oaths and true assertions, not to false oaths and false assertions: but I shall introduce it, not only on account of the striking beauty and force of the language, but because any position may be elucidated by reasoning upon contrast, as well as by reasoning upon comparison: indeed, Mr. Burke has observed, that truth is best discovered by the former mode of considering a subject †. With the extract in question, therefore, which, in this qualified sense, merits your grave consideration, and with which you will have both sides of the argument fairly before you, I shall conclude this epistle. "At quid interest inter perjurum et mendacem? Qui mentiri solet, pejerare consuevit quem ego ut mentiator inducere possum, ut pejerit exorare facile potero. Nam qui semel a veritate dellexit, hic non majore religione ad perjuriam, quam ad mendacium perducere consuevit, quis enim deprecatione Deorum, non conscientie fide commovetur? Propterea quæ poena a diis immortalibus perjuris, hæc eadem mendacis constituta est. Non enim ex pactione verborum quibus jusjurandum comprehenditur, sed ex perfidia et malitia per quam insidie tenduntur alicui dii immortales hominibus irasci et succensere consuevit ‡."

March 10, 1807

VALERIUS PUBLICOLA.

* Curfew, Act ii. Scene 1.

† Preface to Treatise on the Sublime and Beautiful.

‡ Where is the difference between perjury and lying? He who will lie, will forswear himself: the man whom I can induce to do the one, I never can be at a loss to lead on to the other; for he who has once swerved from truth, will no more scruple perjury than falsehood. The fear of the Gods will never restrain him, over whom conscience has no power; and heaven punishes both crimes alike, because it is not that breach of a verbal compact constituting perjury, but the malice and treachery which lay snares for others, that excite the vengeance of the immortal Gods against mankind.

ORATION FOR ROSCIUS THE COMEDIAN.

DEFENCE OF MR. SULLIVAN.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW.

SIR,

THE Editor of a publication is the person on whom the public have a right to call, to answer for the contents of the Work given to the World, under his direction. Whilst your Review was restricted to objects merely literary, it was sufficiently conspicuous for its *Uncharitable Intolerance*, its *Inferiority of Powers*, and *Turgidity of Composition*. Long had *Empty declamation* occupied the place of *Reason* and *Argument*, and *Hardy assertion* the seat of *Learning* and of *Knowledge*. *Satis Loquentiæ, Sapientiæ parum*. But *Venality* is not easily satisfied; and not contented with suffering your Publication to become the *vehicle of Literary Calumny*, you have now *prostituted* it to *Party Spirit*, *Individual Rancour*, and *Illiberal Malignity*. Could you not fill your coffers with sufficient speed to gratify your avaricious cravings, but you must have recourse to the *detestable meanness* of receiving the *wages of Personal Defamation*? Are you not ashamed to suffer your pages to be *polluted* with the *Acrimonious* (the *Impotent*) *Exuberance* of a *Valerius Publicola*? Was your Review at such an *Extremity*, that you were obliged to admit *his malevolent Aspersions* to save it from utter *annihilation*? Get money; honestly if you can, but at all events get money; is that your maxim, Mr. Editor? *Charitable Defender* of the *Religion of Christ*, could ye find *no precept* that would *teach* you to prefer *Honesty to Gain*? Go, and study that Religion you pretend to maintain, and see whether it *authorizes* *defamation of Character*, for *Hire*. But, *base Panders of Iniquity*, both *your Labour*, and that of the *Arch-Calumniator*, will be *lost*; and the *Character* of Mr. Sullivan will *blaze forth* with *redoubled Splendour*. His *Integrity* and *Reputation* are *established on a Rock*, which will *defy* the *puny blast* of his *Insignificant Enemy*, though aided by the *Christian-like Benevolence* of the *Anti-Jacobin Reviewers*. You will not surely pretend to say that *you* are not the *Defamers*. Has not your Review been *always open* to the *slanderer*? Have you not published *his Virulent Invectives*, his *unmanly* and *ungentlemanly Insinuations* against the *Fame* and *Honour* of Mr. Sullivan? Have you not heretofore endeavoured to give *currency* to, and gain belief for, *His* shameful calumnies? Have you not assisted and abetted the dishonest libellers, as far as your own feeble abilities would permit you? And will you dare to assert you are not the *Defamers*? You well know you are; you are paid for it; you are at this moment receiving the price of your *Wickedness*. It was said in that most *Arrogant, Illiberal, and Bombastical Pamphlet*, the *Address to the Public*, that the *Fullarton party* had employed every *Dirty Engine* to *corrupt* the public mind. Talk of *Dirty Works*, and *Dirty Engines*; what are the enemies of Mr. Sullivan now doing to *corrupt* the public mind against him? If the proof be wanting, look at your own late Numbers. *Pro Pudore, pro Abstinencia, pro Virtute, Audacia largiuit, Avaritia vigeant*. As to the letter under the feigned signature of *Valerius Publicola*, as well as those written by the Officer in *Winter Quarters*, their style and composition evince beyond a doubt their author.

The same weakness of argument; the same inflated turgid style, the

NO. CV. VOL. XXVI.

X

same

same frothy rant, the same passionate and feeble declamation, the same perversion of truth, and the same spirit of rancour and inveteracy of heart, characterize and demonstrate the second and third edition of malice. But see the shifts to which venomous hatred and malignant rage are reduced. They must make a *retrospection of 24 years* to find a subject, which even sharp sighted malevolence can pervert so as to cause even a *suspicion* to fall on the character of Mr. Sullivan. But the letter is too *impotent* to give a moment's uneasiness. Nay, it will be of Service to Mr. Sullivan, since it will show to the world the *disposition* of his enemies. Let any one but read that passage in the *upright* and *ingenuous* Valerius's letter, in which he introduces an extract from the character which my Lord M^cCartney has given of Mr. Sullivan, with the application of Valerius, and then let him ask his own conscience, if *any thing such a man* says, *can or ought to obtain an instant's belief*? Surely it is not uncharitable to suppose, of a man capable of such rancour, *Huic ab adolescentia bella intestina, cades, rapinæ, discordia civilis grata fuere*; for he who will not hesitate *so* to argue to destroy the reputation of another, may be suspected of any thing. But the source of greatest disquietude to Valerius, is the affidavit which Mr. Sullivan has made, in contradiction to that of Dr. Lynch. Mr. Sullivan's oath is however not to be believed. One of the two must have perjured himself, and that must be Mr. Sullivan. Why, forsooth? Because Mr. Sullivan had more to gain by perjury than Dr. Lynch. So says Valerius, and he *hopes*, and perhaps *thinks*, the world will believe him. But I must be permitted to tell the world *another* story. I shall not here enter into any discussion on the folly and futility of the argument, that he who has the most to lose must be the perjurer; it would be both a waste of time and of words to endeavour to controvert it, it stands self-refuted. It was, however, and is, necessary for Valerius and his partizans, that the affidavit of Mr. Sullivan should not be believed, and for this simple reason: *Because the whole fabric of calumny having been erected on the basis of Dr. Lynch's affidavit, if that be destroyed, the whole superstructure must inevitably fall to the ground, and the fabricators must appear to the world in all their real deformity.* Destroy that, and the bubble bursts; the eyes of mankind are opened, and the honour and honesty of his accusers become conspicuous before the face of day. *Self-preservation*, therefore, now urges them to exert all their powers to undermine the validity of Mr. Sullivan's affidavit, and they seem to be perfectly *indifferent* as to the means they employ. Dr. Lynch, too, it seems, according to Valerius, could have no motive for perjury. The *spirit of party*, we must *unconditionally* suppose, has no influence. Yet, strange to tell, we have in this country seen it act with no common power. 'Twill sometimes go *great lengths*. 'Twill go so far as to *ruin an innocent man, if it can*; and that is as much as it can be expected to do, since it is the *extremity of sin*. Let us admit, however, to please the impartial Valerius, that the mere spirit of party had, in this instance, no effect, and then let us see if any other motive or motives can be discovered. Dr. Lynch was, and is, a speculative adventurer in the island of Trinidad. Friends are necessary to a medical man. They must be procured. General Picton's friends were, and I believe are still, either in the absolute possession of power, or have the greatest share of influence in that island. In the mind of a
good

good man these would have no power; we know not, however, how they may affect that of a man of a different description. If Valerius is angry with this, let him turn to his own letter. He will there find the precedent. *Qui alterum accusat probri, &c.* But the fact is, that the unprejudiced, impartial, unimpassioned, honest Valerius and his coadjutors are in *despair*; they are driven to an *extremity*; for since Mr. Sullivan has made his affidavit, *no man of any principle or integrity* has entertained any doubt, or had any difficulty *who* to believe. Every really honest man believes in his heart that Mr. Sullivan is *entirely innocent* of the *ignoble conduct* his enemies wish to attribute to him. The nation feels satisfied, that it is *impossible a man of Mr. Sullivan's disposition and character* could *deliberately* come forward to *forswear himself*. Of this Valerius and his colleagues seem perfectly *aware*; now, therefore, *that high reputation and character*, which has stamped his affidavit with *conviction*, must, if possible, be impeached; his fair and honest fame must be *undetermined*. The word is given, the blood hounds are let loose, the search is begun, and every year, every day, every circumstance of his life, is traced back, and every *speck* within the *orb* of the *sun* of his *reputation* is *displayed* to the world, with all the *magnifying powers of misrepresentation, malevolence, and untruth*. I will do Valerius and his myrmidons the justice to say, that they have bestowed very considerable labour to vilify the character of Mr. Sullivan; but unluckily for them the *labour* is in *vain*. The *disposition to mischief* is equally *good*, but the *powers* are *insufficient*; and their virulent intemperance only proves the *malevolence* of their own hearts. 'Tis they who *will suffer, and do suffer*, not Mr. Sullivan. As to Valerius himself, the *motives* of his *actions* are too apparent, to blind simplicity or even credulity itself. The dispassionate and impartial see this, the shameless iniquity of his conduct, and he has his reward, *he is despised and abhorred*. The viper has hissed, but his pestiferous breath is innoxious except to itself. In the *fertility of rancorous hatred*, Valerius says, he did not expect to find any *very great integrity* allied to Mr. Fullarton. How, if it should so happen, that the world was *disposed to say*, Mutato nomine de te fabula narretur? Valerius will, perhaps, be surprised at this; still I can *promise* him there is much *truth* in the *insinuation*. But let us examine a little the characters of the accused and the accuser. The one known to the world, as having spent his *whole life*, and that not a short one, in the *honest and faithful discharge of high public duties*. The other, known merely as *pursuing the creditable employment of an insidious libeller. Most reputable character!* Will the comparison hold? As well as I to Hercules. 'Tis Hyperion to a Satyr. I am, however, wandering; my business is not here with Valerius. He says he has *accumulated venom* in store. Be it so. He cannot *hope or suppose*, that it will be allowed to pass with *impunity*. I can tell him the *thunder* is even *now* rumbling over his head, and the lightning may burst forth, when he least expects it, from an unknown and unsuspected quarter. He has *himself* collected the storm; he must, therefore, stand its consequences. *He has shown no mercy, and he can expect none.* Hic mihi quisquam mansuetudinem et miserecordiam nominat? But this is mere talking. One word, however, to the officer in winter quarters, and I have done with this *gang*. He says, that the conduct of Mr. Sullivan is the constant subject of his conversation.

I wish it may be my fate to hear him; I promise him its duration shall not be long. I shall now take my leave for the present of Valerius and his honourable companions. But I have something more to say to you, Mr. Editor. Your impartiality and integrity will no doubt induce you to withhold this letter from publication. I expect as much: however, whether you do, or do not publish it, a main object of mine will be obtained. Should it appear, it will most probably make its entry well dished up, with empty fustian, and inflated phraseology, abundance of words, but scarcity of sense. As to what you may say, I care not; you are beneath my notice; you are hired to write, and must earn your wages. But remember, Mr. Editor, the hand which now strikes you, can repeat the blow; and rest assured it will lash you heartily if you recur to your *dirty work*. As to what the leaders of malevolence may think proper to say, they may depend upon it, that whatever silence may exist at the moment, *their remarks will not be forgotten, they will be repaid with interest*. But I am tired with the miserable prospect of malignity, and will illuminate the gloom with the rays of honour and truth. It is an extract from the elegant and learned author of the *Pursuits of Literature*; a man of *somewhat* greater ability and judgment than Valerius; and contains a just and concise character of Mr. Sullivan. "If this were the place," says he, "and if India were the theme, I might make *honourable mention of the works and excellence of John Sullivan, Esq.*" I shall now conclude this letter, Mr. Editor, with hoping that that Christianity which you *profess* to believe in, may teach you to bear this *deserved chastisement* with patience and humility, and that you will not, by your folly, merit a repetition of it*. So for the present adieu.

PRÆCURSOR.

P. S. As Valerius and his friends will, no doubt, accuse Mr. Sullivan of having instigated the writing of this letter, I here declare, that Mr. Sullivan has not the most distant knowledge, that such a letter is in agitation; neither has he the slightest suspicion who is its author.

True to our principle of—*Audi alteram partem*—we should have inserted the preceding letter without a comment, had it not contained a *most infamous falsehood*. There are some men so radically base themselves, so utterly incapable of being actuated by any good or honourable motive, that they cannot be brought to believe in the existence of integrity in the breast of another. Hence, no doubt, it is, that this scurrilous writer accuses us of being *hired* to admit attacks on the conduct of Mr. Sullivan. To the man who can make such an assertion we will only condescend to say, *mentiris impudentissime*. Leaving to Valerius himself the defence of his own writing, and of his own conduct, and contenting ourselves with the simple expression of our sovereign contempt for the opinion, the censure, the invectives, or the slander of *Præcursor*, despising his threats, and reminding him, that *veritas odium parit*, we

* We have omitted the Greek quotations, merely to save the expense of printing them; leaving to *Præcursor* the whole advantage of this confession; whence he will, no doubt, deduce our love of money, and so wonderfully strengthen his *proofs* of our *corruption*.

EDITOR.
take

take leave of him with his own admonition, *Qui alterum accusat probri seipsum inculpat.*

SCHISM AND SCHISMATICS.

TO THE MOST REV. THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACE!

In Page 89 of the Anti-Jacobin Review, for January last, I observed, that the attention of your Grace has been already called to schism and schismatics. With the ingenious author of "Hints for the Security of the Established Church," (which were addressed to your Grace,) I have long thought, that the licensing of religious teachers and preachers required some regulation. I am by no means, my Lord, so rigid an advocate for the *Νόμος Παλαιός*, in matters of religion, as to exclude liberty of conscience. But, has not this liberty, under the cloak of toleration, swom into licentiousness? Are there not swarms of preachers, armed in the panoply of heresy and schism, who issue forth the avowed enemies of "this our Sion?" Do they not bellow forth their fanatical harangues, to attract the attention of the ignorant and the unwary, even at the very gates of the church? As the author of the "Hints," &c. judiciously observes, the preacher no longer grows out of the congregation, but generally starts up an insulated individual, or else is sent forth by what is called the "Dissenting Interest," to draw to himself, or to his party, a congregation from the bosom of some Parish, which, before his appearance, was, as to religion, in unity in itself. No sooner is this accomplished, than he blazons forth his exploits as the Illuminator of dark villages where one ray of the Gospel had never shone. Then do his colleagues in this *charitable work* trumpet his praises through the whole fraternity; his labours are ranked with those of the primitive Apostles; he is represented as bursting all the ties and endearments of domestic life; as scorning alike the fury of the elements and the ferocity of uncivilized Barbarians, in order to carry the cross of Christ, and to preach the Gospel to the untutored savage, whose ears were never before greeted with the welcome sound of the Gospel. But strip off this hyperbolic dress, measure him and his labours by the standard of truth, and he will be found to be, in general, some illiterate mechanic, whose impudence or fanaticism, or both, have rendered him a fit instrument in the hands of designing men, for sowing religious dissensions in some Parish, and establishing a Methodist Meeting in a country village, where one never before existed.

I am not ignorant, my Lord, that it is the opinion of some men, and those high in the church, that the ministers of Christ have nothing to do but to follow the ordinary tract of duty; and that the Lord will not fail to protect that church which he has planted. But, let your Grace cast an eye at the situation of the church at this present moment, not only surrounded by enemies of various descriptions, but harbouring in its very bosom a deadly foe; and see if these extraordinary times of danger and difficulty do not require extraordinary exertions? Is not every circumstance of life a state of trial, to which both individuals and societies must adapt their conduct? Has not our Blessed Lord himself told us,

us, that "*while men slept an enemy came, and sowed tares among the wheat?*" Does not Góð, since the cessation of miracles more particularly, make human powers the means both of raising and depressing the church? My Lord, as a Christian, I have heartfelt joy in the belief that the gates of Hell will not ultimately prevail against the Church: but that they may do it partially and for a season, both Scripture and the History of the Church warrant us in believing. I need not, on your Grace's account, advert to the Seven Churches of Asia. The Church of Laodicea was discarded in the strongest terms of reprobation for being "neither hot nor cold." "These things saith the Son of God unto the angel of the Church of Thyatira, write, I know thy works and charity, and service, and faith, and thy patience," &c. "notwithstanding, I have a few things against thee, because thou sufferest that woman Jezebel, which calleth herself a prophetess, *to teach and to seduce my servants.*" I beseech your Grace, I beseech all who are concerned in the welfare of Sion, let us not, through supineness, be induced to slumber in senseless security; let us hold fast that which we have, lest "our candlestick be moved out of its place."

Should our Church be destroyed, the Sectaries will, in all probability, be the ostensible instruments. Nor could they from thence argue their superior virtue, or the truth of their cause. Many of the sects differ more from each other than they do from the Established Church. Therefore, as truth is but one, the rest must be in error. Besides, we may learn both from the Bible and from profane history, ancient and modern, that when a nation, or a community, is punished for its sins, it is not always by the instrumentality of a people more virtuous than they; frequently the reverse.

The wicked and the designing, who, it is to be feared, ever form the majority, will shelter themselves under that sect which may best suit their convenience. The truly pious, of every denomination, cannot, however, feel aggrieved at any steps which the Church may take to prevent, defeat, or remedy the effects produced by the machinations of its enemies; more especially, if, in the regulations it may be necessary to adopt, dissenting teachers be subjected to no severer laws than what are imposed upon the regular Clergy. To prove that enemies to the Establishment do really exist, the language of that Pontifex Maximus of Schism, Dr. Priestley himself, would abundantly suffice. But every reflecting individual may, from his own observations and experience, furnish to himself ample proof.

The author of the "*Hints, &c.*" having already occupied a part of the ground I meant to have taken, I have little to say on the subject of the Toleration Act. Though it is highly necessary that every dissenting society of Christians should, for conscience sake, have a teacher of their own persuasion; yet surely every cobbler cannot plead or at least ought not to be allowed to plead the same excuse of conscience; for demanding a licence to preach what he may call Gospel, but what is, in fact, little better than a farrago of nonsense, to speak of it in the mildest terms. Surely, then, my Lord, the congregation should petition for the licence, and not the individual for himself: "and he should be licensed to, and confined to, his own appropriate registered place of worship." Some such regulation would of itself work wonders; more especially if a scheme of the religious tenets of the society petitioning for a teacher were to be exhibited for all
probation.

probation, to persons appointed to inspect them (to laymen certainly) together, and with ample testimonials of the moral character of the preacher. But who shall bring forward a motion for regulating these things? Should such a circumstance ever take place, *the zealous friends of humanity* in the House of Commons will, my Lord, be the foremost to give it a decided negative.

Every candidate for holy orders is obliged to deliver in testimonials of character, and also to be provided with a cure, before he can be ordained by the Bishop. I am not lawyer enough to decide if it would be agreeable to the spirit of our constitution to oblige the society petitioning for a preacher to allow him a certain sum; or, at least, to authorize the teacher to demand it, if he think proper. But it is presumption in me to point out laws, when there are so many in the kingdom better qualified for the task, would they exert their abilities. All that I mean is, to lift up my warning voice, weak though it be, to rouse the true friends of the Church to united exertions in its defence; to imitate, in their zeal and perseverance at least, the advocates for the abolition of the slave trade, Catholic emancipation, &c.; and never to lose sight of our object, until we have placed the Church in a state of security. We are told that ours is the Church "by law established." But when we consider the present situation of that Church; when we consider what numbers are every year licensed by that law, who, from a fatal mistake somewhere, use that licence as a protection for carrying on almost open hostilities against the Church; when we consider that its Ministers, who have, during the whole of this tremendous contention in which we have been engaged, behaved with the most unshaken fidelity—have used their influence, both within and without the walls of the Church, to keep the people in subjection to the laws—have not only (to a man, I might almost say,) preached loyalty, but, where they have been permitted, taken the field with their brave countrymen;—when, my Lord, we consider, that although money can be found for the advancement of Popery, no signal, *though costless*, mark of public approbation has been bestowed upon this praise-worthy body of men; may we not conclude that the law in some measure ceases to be the nursing Father of the Church!!! That what I have here advanced is but the mere repetition of what may have been frequently laid before the public, your Grace cannot be more sensible than I myself am. But the safety of the Establishment is a subject on which the public mind should not be suffered to cool. The object should be perpetually held before their eyes until it be attained. That these attempts may induce abler heads, though not sounder hearts, to step forward in this great cause, is the fervent wish of

Your Grace's most obedient servant,

T—H, near Wolverhampton.

FREDERIC DE COURCEY.

REMARKS ON A ROMAN CATHOLIC SCHOOL IN SOMERSETTOWN FOR THE EDUCATION OF PROTESTANT CHILDREN.

MR. EDITOR,

IT was my intention, some time since, to address you on the subject of the present letter; but I waited until I should be put in possession of those facts, which being now before me, render silence not only unnecessary, but criminal. In this town then, Sir, there is a popish seminary established,

established, in which the *Children of Protestant Parents are educated free of expense*, and regularly attend public worship! The number of children, both Catholic and Protestant, already amount to nearly one hundred. And notwithstanding the establishment of this School forms the common theme of the sensible and well informed inhabitants, yet no person amongst us has hitherto had sufficient resolution to oppose it. The magistrates, I understand, have been apprized of it—the Clergy of the Establishment have been apprized of it; but still this nuisance, this impudent violation of the law, is suffered to stare us in the face—is permitted to stink under our very noses; and created too by those very persons, whom gratitude and honour toward a *protecting people*, should at least have enjoined them to respect the laws of that people. As some of the encouragers of this seminary may, perhaps, be ignorant of the Law of England on the subject, I take the liberty of selecting for *their* information a few of its wise provisions, by which they will be enabled to appreciate the justness of my assertion, that *this* Popish School is a daring and flagrant violation of the Law of this Country.

By the 31 Geo. 3, c. 32, which has been denominated by a modern legal writer, “the toleration act of the Roman Catholics,” the adherents to the See of Rome in this Country, it is true, were relieved from a number of legal disabilities, under which they laboured previous to the passing of that Statute: but at the same time be it remembered, that clauses of exemption are introduced into this very act, against every *Roman Catholic Schoolmaster, who shall receive into his School the child of any Protestant Father*. The same Statute further provides, that no religious order is to be established; and every endowment of a School or College by a Roman Catholic shall still be *superstitious and unlawful*. Before the passing of the 31st Geo. 3, the punishment inflicted for these offences was extremely severe; consequently, as they are still considered in the same light, and in an eminent degree too, the whole force of the old penalty, no less, I believe, than that of a *Premunire*, still attaches upon those Roman Catholic Schoolmasters who may be guilty of receiving into their Schools the children of Protestant parents. The parents themselves are also liable to severe punishment. So much for the law of England. Upon the necessity of that law, I have only briefly to remark, that any relaxation of it, more especially at the present moment, when the shoots of Popery are budding forth in every direction, will be a virtual encouragement to the growth of a religion at deadly enmity with the Establishment of the Church of England.

I trust, Mr. Editor, that these few hints will produce the desired effect of warning the principals and encouragers of the Roman Catholic School in Somer's Town, for the education of *Protestant Children*, from a perseverance in their illegal and dangerous conduct: a conduct which, as I have already stated, is contrary to the law of that very people, who in the hour of revolutionary intolerance, tolerated them, gave them an asylum, gave them bread!

If, Sir, these cautionary hints, and as such only they are meant, do not produce the effect, which I most sincerely hope they will do, I purpose speedily resuming the subject at considerable length; and shall, to the best of my abilities, point out the dangerous consequences which will certainly be produced by the truly alarming increase in England of Popish Colleges and

and Popish Seminaries, especially Popish Seminaries for the education of Protestant Children.

Your most obedient Servant,

Somer's Town, March 9, 1807.

JUVENIS.

ON EARL PERCY'S PROPOSAL FOR EMANCIPATING SLAVES IN EMBRYO.

Redeunt Saturnia Regna.

SIR,

I READ with infinite pleasure, the notice given by Earl Percy in the House of Commons, that he intended shortly to bring forward a motion, for enfranchising all the children of the Slaves in our West India colonies, that should be born after a certain period; and cannot but congratulate my country on the high expectations which she may confidently form, from the rising talents of this promising young nobleman, who evinces so early and so ardent an attachment to the cause of Freedom. My enthusiastic imagination already carries me forward to the blissful era, when the golden age shall again return, and bless those distant regions; when the groaning noise of the sugar-mill shall be heard no more; when the hoe shall rust in disuse; when the jocund sound of the tambour, and the chorus of the joyous dancing train, shall fill the air; when the males shall no longer stagger under their burthens of canes, but range at ease in their native pastures; when the cattle shall neither be subjected to the yoke by the tyranny of man, nor emasculated by his cruelty, but enjoy both the will and the power to propagate their species in unrestrained licence; when pleasure shall succeed to labour with every created being, and liberty and equality diffuse their blessings; or if maturer counsel should induce the happy natives to prefer the regal to the republican form of government, their happiness under the auspicious reign of some beloved chieftain, the founder of a new dynasty, shall rival that enjoyed by the subjects of the Emperors Dessalines or Christophe, in the peaceable and enviable empire of Hayti.

Some trifling objections to the happy change which his Lordship contemplates, have indeed suggested themselves to my mind; which I shall mention, only to obviate. It has been urged against the West India Planters, that influenced by a sordid and base spirit, a calculation of pounds, shillings, and pence, they prefer buying Slaves to rearing them. If this be true, they must find it cheaper, to purchase a full grown Negro fit for work, than to maintain a child till it is of an age to be useful. The value of a new Negro is about 80*l*. We may therefore estimate the expense of bringing up a child at 100*l*. Now, I would beg leave to ask his Lordship, whether he thinks that it would much encourage population, to impose so heavy a tax on the Planter for each child reared on his estate; for such would be the effect of requiring him to bring it up, if it is to be enfranchised afterwards? If they are such an avaricious and cruel set of men as they have been represented, I fear that under this system few or no children would be brought up, to reap the benefit of his Lordship's benevolent project; but for this difficulty I have provided a remedy.

Our

Our West India colonies contain a population of about 450,000 Slaves. A generation of mankind is changed in about the time that is requisite to bring the sexes to the age of puberty, which in that genial climate is not more than fourteen years. If then, in order to secure the accomplishment of this pious work, we pay the Planters for bringing up the whole of the next generation, it will only cost us 45 Millions: and if these sons and daughters of freedom should then like better to play than to work, and by refusing to cultivate the estates of their former masters for hire, render their lands and buildings useless, it will only cost us about as much more, to indemnify the Planters for this loss too, and thus we shall do away every possible objection on their part, to our establishing the great interests of justice and humanity on an everlasting foundation.

But the advantages of this plan will not end here. Virtue is ever its own reward; and in giving the blessings of Freedom to the Negroes, we shall at the same time avert a great impending national calamity from ourselves. Another young nobleman of very high promise, Lord Henry Petty, with that provident insight into futurity, which characterises the great Statesman, has lately warned us of the danger to which we shall shortly be exposed, from a too sudden extinction of the national debt; an evil, which his Lordship says, is almost as much to be deprecated as a National Bankruptcy: I observe, that according to his Lordship's admirable new system of finance, the present National Debt is to be paid off in twenty years; and that every New Loan is to redeem itself within fourteen years. Unless, therefore, we are blessed with a continuation of the present war to an indefinite period, or can find out some other mode of increasing the public expenditure, we shall inevitably be ruined by our own riches; or, to use his Lordship's more appropriate and emphatic words, by the destruction of an immense capital. Now it most fortunately happens, that this mischief may be averted by my plan: for these 40,000 children will have been brought up, and the value of them become payable to the Planters, just about the time when our old national debt will nearly be liquidated, and when our new loans will begin to extinguish themselves. This demand for the public money will fall in just as opportunely, to prevent that dire calamity, the apprehension of which so fills his Lordship's mind, as the annuities fall in during the present and the two succeeding years, to prevent the necessity of his imposing any additional taxes on the public.

Another circumstance to be adverted to, and provided for, is the situation of these young gentlemen and ladies, after we shall have brought them up to freedom and independence. Smollet has said, and I believe we all feel the force of the observation, that idleness is natural to man. If it be so in these temperate latitudes, how much more must it be so in the Torrid Zone, beneath the scorching rays of a vertical sun, where the climate conspires with nature to strengthen this aversion to labour? I can vouch for the consequences of emancipation, from a matter of fact, which I shall relate for the information of your readers. After the Peace of Amiens, between three and four hundred men were discharged from the different Black West-India regiments; and the Legislatures of all the other islands refusing to

to recognize them as Free Men, they were sent to Trinidad, where a small pension was allowed them, which they received every two or three months from the Governor. They were mostly stout, able, young men : but as soon as they thus became their own Masters, instead of exercising their industry, and continuing their habits of regularity, they sunk into sloth, and plunged into debauchery. While they were in health, they would not work; when they were sick, which soon happened, as the, natural consequence of their excesses, they could not work; and strange, though true it is, that in that Colony, where labour is so dear, and provisions are so cheap, that the industry of a day would maintain them a week, before the expiration of a single year the greater part of them had actually perished for want. This will certainly be the case with the generation about to be enfranchised, unless the bounty of the Legislature either sends out provisions for their maintenance from this country, or appoints Commissioners in the islands to purchase stores for them from America, and draw bills upon the Treasury for the amount; which expenditure will puts till farther off the evil day, so strongly apprehended from the too rapid extinction of our national debt.

Having thus fully provided for the comfort of the negroes, whose prior claim to justice and humanity has been universally admitted by our legislature, I shall now turn my attention homewards, for I cannot think that Earl Percy means to stop short in his noble career; no, Sir, his great soul aspires to emulate the fame of Lycurgus and Gracchus. He will restore the present artificial and unjust state of society to its primitive simplicity and purity: abolish that inequality of property, which nature never intended should exist among the human race; make us all henceforth eat our black broth together, like the Spartans of old in the proudest days of their glory; and live as brethren having all things in common. The moment that his Lordship has carried his motion, for the emancipation of the future race of negroes in the West Indies, and has made the Slaves as happy as their Masters, he will extend the blessings of his system to all around him, and declare that the estates of the noble house of Percy, at the expiration of their present leases, shall be equally divided among the labourers by whom they now are cultivated. The rest of our nobility, when they see this exalted youth, like another Cincinnatus, following his own plough; or, like another Fabriceius, boiling his own turnips; or, like another Dionysius, preferring the useful honour of raising the first cabbages, to all the idle pageantry that rank could give; will be fired with his glorious example. Commoners will vie with peers, who shall be foremost in this race of glory; and an Agrarian law be passed with as much unanimity and acclamation as the bill for abolishing the slave trade. The noble enthusiasm will be contagious; the great in every other nation, scorning to be outdone in virtue, will renounce those advantages which they see that we despise: justice and humanity, liberty and equality, will reign with universal sway; every man will sit under the shade of his own vine, and of his own fig-tree, and all the nations of the earth be blessed. The millennium, so long expected, will then surely be at hand. Each of us shall enjoy a thousand years, on earth, in peace, innocence, and love; and thus be prepared by a life of happiness here, for a more perfect and glorious state of happiness hereafter.

ARCESILAS.

POETRY.

POETRY.

THE UTI POSSIDETIS, AND STATUS QUO..

YE Ministers of Britain's State
 Form'd of *all talent*, good and great,
 Like Grotius vers'd in treaties,
 What though *abroad* ye marr'd the scene,
 Tell us what 'tis *at home* you mean
 By th' Uti possidetis?

Is it that you possess the store
 Of merit that you had before
 You took the public duty?
 If that be all the praise you want,
 The Opposition Bench will grant
 Your possidetis uti.

But if we judge by what is past,
 Say how your merit's to be class'd,
 Where worth's, where wisdom's seat is
 Made up of strange discordant parts,
 None, "but the Searcher of all hearts,"
 Can tell quid possidetis.

Was patriot Virtue erst your guide,
 Or did ye list on Faction's side,
 And plead her cause?—siletis?
 Maidstone's and Newgate's Rolls have nam'd
 The patriot Whigs for whom ye claim'd
 The uti possidetis.

United now in Friendship's bands,
 What Principle connects your hands?
 Your Union's basis show:
 Is it the Treasury's Rosy Bed?
 Or is it—that ye view with dread
 Your wretched Status quo?

If on Finance you build your fame,
 To Pitt's account transfer your claim,
 To him—its state debetis:
 Last year—a woeful tale ye feign'd
 Of "Wasted funds, resources drain'd,
 A bankrupt possidetis."

Courted by Fox in language sweet,
 Could Benevent refuse to treat?
 Politeness would compel him:
 'Tis strange that Peace should look so queerly
 On men who fraterniz'd so dearly
 At Paris *ante Bellum*.

Though

Though favour'd Yarmouth might be coax'd,
Fox was too cunning to be hoax'd,

Maitland a Scot discreet is :

From such Negotiators say

How could your Basis slip away,

Your *uti possidetis*?

When PITT's good genius bless'd the land,

No fond regard for Talleyrand

Mix'd with his country's duty ;

He—for his Sovereign and the Nation

Reserv'd his high consideration,

Nor would have left—to *Implication*

Our *possidetis uti*.

Allied to Pitt in early day,

Grenville! the People mark'd your way,

And deem'd you—his Achates ;

With him your patriot ardour fled,

But left *one* Maxim in its stead,

The *uti possidetis*.

To you, (their Treasury Baal,) now

Whigs neutraliz'd with Tories bow,

And crowd to touch your shoe-tye :

O'Connor's Friends shall praise your name,

And future Paines and Hardys claim

Their *possidetis uti*.

The *Brissotine* your hand shall kiss,

Spirit of Chatham! know'st thou this?

Ye Pittites! quid ridetis?

Grenvilles and Temples long ago

To *British Worthies* gave at Stow

The *uti possidetis*.

Grenville! though in your State array,

You number Wyndham, Petty, Grey,

Will none of them play booty?

These Whigs are difficult to tame,

They must oppose, and scout your claim

To th' *possidetis uti*.

Though pure your heart, and clean your hands,

And high your rate of merit stands,

Nil valet quod meretis,

Some Brewer in rude but licens'd speech,

Sans proof—that Merit shall impeach,

And quash your *possidetis*.

Grey, tutor'd long in Fox's school,

By mild St. Vincent taught to rule,

A loftier Port will show,

Haply your Cabinet divide,

Nor deign to leave your Tory side

Their half-o' th' *Status quo*.

Yet Howick ! if thou'rt still the same,
 As e're this *alias* grac'd thy name,
 What are thy merits ? tell 'em.
Sea-Statesman thou *aground* would'st be,
Land-Statesman thou art now *at Sea*,
 Hoc Statu geris Bellum.

Nurtur'd in Malagtida's lap,
 Imbibing Politics with pap,
 Petty!—thy worth we know :
 As Solon sage in earliest youth,
 A Tully, e'er you shed'a tooth,
 This was your status quo.

What are your state acquirements now ?
 The nimble step,—or graceful bow,
 To dancing nymphs a treat is ;
 Ye tellers of the Exchequer's score !
 Count on *one Petty-tally* more
 Dum Petty possidetis.

Wyndham ! thy talents who can class ?
 Shall I detail 'em, or en masse
 With thy new levies rate 'em ?
 Though France *kill off* our veteran force,
 Thy Bills provide a second course
 To feed our Belli-statum.

Thy weather gauge is mov'd by squalls,
 With *Ins* and *Outs* ascends and falls :
 Now at the dog-star's heat 'tis ;
 Thy schemes in quick rotation twir'd,
 Would change the poles, nor leave the world
 Their uti possidetis.

With Crawford for thy bully-back,
 What Windmills will ye next attack ?
 What *pastry* overthrow ?
 Pitt's quota men, and volunteers,
 Stript of their jackets, hang their ears,
 And take their Status quo.

Cadmus sow'd serpents' teeth of old,
 Arm'd men sprung up, and were so bold,
 No constable could quell 'em ;
 Try this, Red-coats like prawns or shrimps
 Arm'd at all points, shall show thy crimps
 The status ante bellum.

Now should Napoleon's angry Host
 In Beulogne's Flota brave our coast,
 No matter where our Fleet is :
 A fig for gun boats and corvettes,
 Martella towers and martinets
 In posse possidetis.

Pure as the fount from which it rose,
Britain! thy stream of justice flows,
Ye vallies!—nunc cantetis.

Should party feuds pollute its source,
Or Faction interrupt its course,
Nil tanti possidetis.

Ye Bacons, Coke, and Hardwicke, say
(Juris periti of your day,
Astute in points and cases)

Was it on frothy declamation,
Or deep and close investigation,
You form'd your legal Basis?

When Keeper Hatton held the Seals,
Though he was tripping with his heels
And light fantastic toe,
Bess knew, before she gave the mace,
That Loyalty, not less than grace,
Compos'd his Status quo.

Had Maidstone's patriot sought his aid,
He would as soon have vouch'd for Cade,
Erskine and Co.—tacetis:
'Tis strange—(to judge him by the sequel)
You e'er should think his worth could equal
Your uti possidetis.

When Pitt the British Senate grac'd,
Erskine thy judgment was unbrac'd,
Thy tongue forgot its duty;
Now Solomon must yield to thee,
And Seymour's friend will guarantee
Your possidetis uti.

Since Amien's farce amus'd the land,
Doctor, hast thou improv'd thy hand
At making war or treaties?
With brother Hiley at thy back,
Which is the Statesman, which the quack,
Quid ambo possidetis?

With these, and ministers like these,
England! canst thou be "ill at ease?"
Vain are thy fears, dispell 'em.
With all the Talent of the nation
Focuss'd in Cabinet concentration,
Securè geris bellum.

And you,—ye Pilots of the realm!
Trim well your sails and mind the helm,
Your chargé—a proud first rate is;
But should you wreck the nation's hope,
O! may her anchor lend a rope,
Quod vos possideatis.

OCCASIONAL ADDRESS,

On the representation of Henry the Fifth; or the Conquest of France, at the Theatre Royal, Hay-market, in the year 1803, the full profits of which were generously contributed by Mr. Colman to the Patriotic Fund, so nobly instituted in this Metropolis, and so gloriously supported by the Public.

Dedicated to the Gentlemen of Lloyd's Coffee House.

YE LOYAL TRAIN, who patronize this night,
To aid the suff'ers for a Nation's right,
While thus your hearts with patriot feelings glow,
How must your zeal depress the vaunting foe!
He aims destruction on our Isle to bring,
You to protect your Country and your King.
He boasts of FREEDOM, while her land he braves,
And hopes to conquer her with goaded slaves;
Slaves who, to aggravate their grief and shame,
An Upstart Alien's iron scourge can tame.
BUT LET HIM COME—with all his menac'd ire,
In wonted league with "FAMINE, SWORD, and FIRE":
YES—LET HIM COME—and be our vengeance hurl'd
In just defence of FREEDOM and THE WORLD.
In such a cause what BRITON would not bleed?
In such a righteous cause we must succeed.

The Hero whom our matchless Poet drew
In the bold scenes that you to-night will view,
Dar'd, with a band his spirit rous'd, advance,
AND BEAT ON HER OWN SOIL this braggart France;
AND AGINCOURT shall fix his glorious name,
Coeval with the Universe in fame.
Shall then her ruffian Hosts our land assail,
And one, e'en one, return to tell the tale?
No!—"All the youth of England are on fire †"—
To meet these base Invaders all aspire;
All burn to emulate their Sires of yore,
And spread a LIVING RAMPART on the shore;
A rampart that shall there as firmly stand
As the white cliffs that guard and grace the land.

Nor shall th' Historic Muse, when on her page
She marks the virtues of this patriot age,
Forget the noble train assembled here,
To raise the ORPHAN, dry the WIDOW's tear;
To signal VALOUR recompense impart,
And kindle bounteous zeal in ev'ry heart;
But in her glowing page with pride reveal
The present tribute of that bounteous zeal;
While future Britons, by the deed inflam'd ‡
"Will stand a tip-toe when this night is nam'd."

* Vide Henry the fifth.

† Ditto.

‡ Ditto.

THE
ANTI-JACOBIN
Review and Magazine,

&c. &c. &c. *

For APRIL, 1807.

I am then for, and would die for, monarchy, sacred monarchy; for if there be any thing sacred amongst men, it must be the anointed SOVEREIGN of his people: and EVERY DIMINUTION OF HIS POWER IN WAR, OR IN PEACE, IS AN INFRINGEMENT UPON THE REAL LIBERTIES OF THE SUBJECT.

Goldsmith.

ORIGINAL CRITICISM.

A Letter to the Rev. Francis Stone, M. A. Rector of Cold Norton, Essex. In Reply to his Sermon preached at the Visitation at Danbury, on the 8th of July, 1806. By the Rev. Edward Nares, M. A. Rector of Biddenden, Kent. 8vo. Pp. 70. Rivingtons. 1807.

HAD Mr. Stone's Sermon been confined to the Clergy before whom it was delivered, or had its circulation been limited to the Clergy in general, we should have thought a single hour bestowed on it, for the purpose of detecting its fallacious arguments, unfounded assertions, and impudent perversions, a woeful waste of time. But when it is considered, that through the medium of the press, and by the influence of an active and numerous party, its circulation has been widely extended among the different classes of society, we cannot but think that the man who devotes any portion of his time to the exposure of its mischievous contents, renders an acceptable service to the public, and is entitled to their best thanks. That Mr. Nares has all the advantage in this controversy, if controversy it may be called, which superiority of talents, knowledge, and learning can insure, and that still greater advantage which arises from the truth and justice of the cause which he has espoused, is manifest throughout every page of his Letter. That little which is new could be said on a subject which has been so frequently and so deeply discussed by men of the highest abilities, of the profoundest knowledge, and of the greatest erudition, must be obvious to every learned reader; but that Mr. Nares has the merit of happily adapting his

arguments to the particular objections to which they apply; of placing the weakness, the presumption, and the ignorance of his adversary in a prominent and striking point of view, no one who peruses his tract can possibly deny. He thus states the point at issue between them, as far as regards the authority by which the question is to be decided.

"You assume as your general principle, in the title of your discourse, that '*Jewish Prophecy is the sole criterion to distinguish between genuine and spurious Christian Scripture.*' I would wish then, Sir, to have leave to ask, if you and I should happen to disagree, as to the interpretation and application of the Jewish Prophecies, *what criterion is to settle such differences?* I would wish to ask this, because throughout your whole Sermon, you do most essentially differ from me in regard to the Jewish Prophecies, in contempt, *as it appears to me*, not only of sound criticism, but of all evangelical, apostolical, and, I would add, even the *divine* authority of our Saviour himself. I say, it appears to me so. Here then we are already at issue; here we certainly want some other criterion to settle our differences, at the very outset; and I will tell you Sir, fairly, what appears to me to be a principal difficulty in the way of our ever coming to an agreement upon the points in debate between us. You say, that the Jewish Prophecies are the sole criterion of spurious and genuine Christian Scripture; I say, that genuine Christian Scripture is a criterion to help us to a right understanding of Jewish Prophecy. This you cannot admit upon your principle, but I cannot give it up; because, to do so, I must fairly sacrifice the authority of St. Paul to that of the Rector of Cold Norton. I say, consistently with your principle, you cannot admit of any appeal to the New Testament to determine the sense of the prophecies. I do not say that you always abide by your own principle, for I think you, in a great measure, give it up in your Sermon; where you particularly refer us to the striking incident of our Saviour's discourse with the two disciples in their walk to the village of Emmaus. '*O Fools, and slow of heart,*' said the blessed Jesus, '*to believe all that the prophets have spoken; Ought not Christ to have suffered these things, and to enter into his glory?*' and beginning at Moses and all the Prophets, he expounded to them in all the Scriptures the things concerning himself."

Mr. Nares justly contends that this passage proves that our Saviour's expositions of Jewish Prophecy were necessary, even in his time, to the right understanding of such Prophecy; and naturally infers that a reference to the New Testament is now necessary to a just comprehension of the Prophecies in the Old. Mr. Stone, like all his predecessors in the same crooked path, admits only such parts of the New Testament as immediately serve his purpose to be authentic, and rejects as spurious all that are adverse to his conceptions, or destructive of his conclusions. On this dishonest mode of proceeding Mr. Nares comments with appropriate severity.

"It is odd, Sir, how you Unitarians, (as you call yourselves) receive or reject the word of God just as you think proper. In Dr. Priestley's Notes on the Bible, now lying on my table, (a posthumous work in 4 vols.) I see he gives credit to the annunciation, but rejects the miraculous conception; that

that is, he will believe that an angel appeared, but he will not believe what the angel announced. For I think, if the angel *did* appear, nothing can be more manifest than that it was a miraculous conception that he announced. Dr. P. thinks so much otherwise, that he even cites the 34th verse of the first chapter of St. Luke, to prove that Mary 'expressed no surprise that the Messiah should be born in the usual course of generation;' that is, (if it is possible to understand his views of the subject,) Mary objecting her virginity, and the angel declaring that nothing was impossible with God, meant *no more* than that though she was *then* a virgin, yet the Messiah should (in *due course of time*, for Dr. P. must mean this) spring from her loins; but, as Irenæus says, 'Quid magnum aut quod Signum fieret, in eo quod adolescentula concipiens ex Viro peperisset, quod evenit omnibus quæ pariunt mulieribus.'—Advers. Hæres. Lib. III. xxvii. And if this was really the purport of Mary's expostulation, what becomes of the following passages? (I write to the learned, Sir, that is, if I may be permitted, through you, at least to the *Clergy of Essex*). What becomes, I say, of the following passage, *Διερρηθείς δὲ ὁ Ἰωσήφ ἀπὸ τοῦ ὕπνου, ἰσχυόμενος ὡς προείπαζεν αὐτῷ ὁ ἄγγελος Κυρίου, καὶ παρέλαβε τὴν γυναῖκα αὐτοῦ. Καὶ ΟΥΚ ΕΒΡΗΤΗΚΕΝ ΑΥΤΗΝ, ὅτι ἦ ἔκτος τοῦ ὕδατος αὐτῆς τὸ πνεῦμα ἁγίου κ. τ. λ.*—Matt. i. 24, 25. Dr. P. does not in this work reject, or even dispute the two first chapters of Matthew, as you do, Sir; he even refers to the very verses I cite, and even adopts notes to the same purport from Mr. Turner and Dr. Jebb; so that these are the reasonings of more than one of your party. If you have any doubt about the term *ἐκ τῆς ὕδατος*, I refer you to the authorities cited by Parkhurst, Leigh in his *Critica Sacra*, and Beza in *loco*."

Dr. Priestley had infinitely more learning than his impotent imitator of Cold Norton, but certainly not more boldness nor confidence. Mr. Stone's perversions of Scripture are such as to excite astonishment at his unfeeling impudence, still worse than at his profound ignorance. Aware of the force of the memorable Prophecy, in the 14th, 15th, 16th v. of the VIIth of Isaiah, to which St. Matthew appeals, he first calls the Apostle an *Impostor*, and then confidently denies that the Prophecy "Behold a virgin shall conceive, &c." had any reference to the miraculous conception, which he ridicules as a fictitious miracle, and boldly insists that it related to a different event, which was to occur in three years after its delivery, in the reign of Ahaz, king of Judah. A man who will so argue, will maintain any thing, and is scarcely worthy an answer. We pass over a long train of sound argument and of ingenious detections, which does not easily admit of abridgment, and come to the concluding part of the Letter, which contains some forcible arguments *ad hominem*, more likely, we suspect, to make an impression on Mr. Stone than any other.

"And now, Sir, we are drawing to a conclusion.—At p. 33, you recapitulate the conquests you have achieved! You have 'fully exposed,' you say, and evinced the absurdity of the *miraculous conception* and *supernatural birth of Jesus*; the *Arian* and *Athanasian Trinities*; and that *disgusting impossibility of the satisfaction of Divine Justice, by the vicarious punishment of Christ*." And 'I glory,' you add, 'in exposing them to contempt and ridicule

before this *respectable* audience of my brethren, and of the representatives of our respective parishes, because they operate as insurmountable obstacles to the conversion of Jews and Infidels.' I hope, Sir, there were no Jews or Infidels present, for own your sake: for though, Sir, their *ridicule* might have fallen on your respectable audience, who I trust in God sincerely believe all that you have so wantonly, so insolently, and yet so weakly derided, their *contempt* must have fallen on yourself, for presuming to exercise the office of a minister in a church, so corrupt and idolatrous, as you represent the Church of England to be. Nay, Sir, had Dr. Priestley but been alive, and present, he would have told you the same thing; for in his Discourse, I remember, he represents all 'Unitarians, who continue in the Church of England,' as engaged in 'countenancing a mode of worship, which, if they were questioned about it, they could not deny to be, according to their own principles, idolatrous and blasphemous.'—*Discourses on various Subjects*, p. 96.—Indeed, Sir, I tremble for the consequences of such pervarication.

But, at p. 37, you find another occasion for *glory* in a prior event of your life, '*upwards of thirty years ago*!' (Such, Sir, then at least seems to be the date of your objections to the worship you have been ever since countenancing and assisting in!!) You glory in having 'associated,' (at least you look back to it with '*heartfelt satisfaction*') 'with some of your brethren in addressing the House of Commons, in favour of substituting subscription to the *Scriptures*, as the sole rule of the faith, preaching, and practice of Christian Ministers; in lieu of any human formula of faith, or any human system of divinity whatever.' Now, Sir, I cannot conceive why you should ever have petitioned for such a change, if your own practice is right. Surely, Sir, if the VIth Article of the Church can indemnify you (*as you pretend*, and even *propose to prove*) for a breach and contempt of the other XXXVIII; any Jew, Turk, infidel, or heretic, might as safely and as reasonably minister in the Church of England, as yourself; and if they are not encouraged to do so, by the assurances you give them, nay even will not, in any manner, join us in our forms of worship, notwithstanding your own '*Calls*' to them, what can we infer from it, Sir, but that *honour and conscience* prevent them? And that Jews, Turks, infidels, and heretics, know how to be more consistent than yourself?"

Nothing that we could say could give additional strength to these just observations; and having given sufficient proofs of the ability with which this Letter is written to recommend it to the attention of the public, we shall only add, that Mr. Nares has completely succeeded in the confutation and exposure of an adversary, from whose age and profession we should have expected better things.

Mrs. West's Letters to a Young Lady.

(Continued from p. 230.)

THE *second* volume of this work (to which our present animadversions will be confined) contains *five* Letters, three of which are on the subject of Religion. The *first* of these is a continuation of the Calvinistic Controversy; the *second* relates to the Tenets of rational Christians, or Unitarians; and in the *third* the Duty of studying

studying the Scriptures, and the Necessity of religious Conformity, are enforced. We have already delivered our opinion on the direction of female attention to *controversial* Divinity;—and have, at the same time, apprized our readers, that Mrs. West has taken the right side of the question in those controversies on which she has touched. We shall extract her closing remarks on the Calvinistic Methodists, which display much good sense.

“ I have been thus copious on what I feel to be a very painful subject, on account of the rapid progress which ecclesiastical insubordination is making, especially among the humbler walks of life. I have not used the name of evangelical, assumed by our opponents, out of reproach; nor yet by any means as acquiescing in the arrogant pretension, that they have a superior right to the title, or that the light of the gospel is no where diffused in this island, but where they have raised the standard of separation from the church, or surreptitiously attempted to pass for her only genuine offspring. Less danger results to our establishment from open foes, than from those who excite disputations under the pretext of zealous duty. I call upon these, in the name of God, to say why, if they really teach the same doctrine as their clerical brethren, they affect to consider themselves as a distinct body? Why do they treat their fellow-labourers with contempt and obloquy? Why do they lay claim to superior knowledge, illumination, and purity, and prevent the advantages which would result from mutually labouring to promote the interests of unity and holiness? Discord is not only the natural impediment, but the *predesigned* hindrance to the progress of the gospel of peace. Are they disputing about words only? Can vague expressions, or peculiar style in the preacher, be a justifiable cause of contention? Or can nice points and subtilties, which few can comprehend, and all must use much circumspection and precision to state with accuracy, be a defence for schism? Can such pretences justify them at the day of judgement for all the mischiefs which angry disputations occasion? If worldly motives influence their conduct; if they clamour for fame, eminence, or valuable preferment, they must resign all pretensions to singleness of heart. If they really imagine, that the interests of true Christianity can be promoted by inflaming the imaginations, perplexing the understandings, and unfixing the principles of their ignorant auditors, by their continually expatiating on obscure and disorganizing topics, we may pity the confusion of their minds, and give those allowances to their sincerity which we detract from their sanity.

“ I may possibly alarm the well-intentioned part of such seceders, by transcribing the opinion of the learned translator of Mosheim. Speaking of the dangers to be apprehended to the Protestant religion, he observes—‘ If Popery should any way be re-introduced, it must be through the means of fanaticism; which by discrediting free inquiry, decrying human learning, and encouraging those pretended illuminations and impulses which give the imagination an undue ascendant in religion, lays weak minds open to the seductions of a church which has always made its conquests by wild visions and false miracles. Cry down reason, preach up implicit faith, make inward experience the test of truth, extinguish free inquiry, and the main barriers to Popery will be removed.’

“ Supported by such authority, I will venture to give my opinion, that ignorant Calvinists* little suspect how far they are advanced toward the most odious

* By this phrase is meant all who leave their regular teachers.

doctrines of popery. But, indeed, those who set off with a violent resolution to get as far as possible from what they hate, are ever doomed to run in a circle, and thus finally meet what they determine to avoid. For, not to draw the obvious parallel between the lying wonders of the Romish church, and the extraordinary interpositions of Heaven which they style providences and experiences, is not their passion for gifted preachers, that is, for enthusiastical coxcombs destitute of learning, exactly similar to the Romish doctrine, which holds the power of the priest to be not only *declaratory* and *ministerial*, but *essential* and *conclusive*? a tenet that our church solemnly abjures*. How shall we else account for the inconveniencies to which these eager hearers expose themselves, by deserting the more regular minister of their own persuasions, to follow him who has had the *latest* call? It is certain that among these people popularity is never lasting, and the benefit of holy worship always seems to depend upon those who administer it. The merit also which they seem to attach to the long journeys and severe privations that they undergo to hear a fine new man, favours greatly of the supposed benefits that were formerly ascribed to penances and pilgrimages. Do these professed haters of anti-christ and lovers of liberty know, that their favourite doctrine, that no one should submit to the civil institutions of any state unless he had first given his consent to them, was invented by the agents of the papacy to raise the power of the Pontiff over secular princes, and was found eminently serviceable to the clergy of that hierarchy, who, having an unbounded sway over the consciences of the people, by making popular authority paramount to regal dominion, cunningly established their own supremacy†?

" 'Heresies,' as the venerable Bishop Horne observes, 'however defeated, however triumphantly answered, are only conquered for a time. They seem to make their periodical revolutions in the church, like comets in the heavens, now disappearing, and now appearing again in their erratic course.' Can this be wondered at? It is the spirit of the mystery of iniquity, which always speaks; and when the old embroidered suit of popery is worn thread-bare, it will dispute in the quaint garb of puritanism."

The points of resemblance between *Popery* and *Methodism* are but little understood by the great mass of mankind, who indeed are apt to conclude, from the pomp and pageantry of the former, and from the total absence of all regard to every decent and decorous form of worship in the latter, that no two things can be more dissimilar. But the *pretended miracles* of the one, and the *sensible experiences* of the other, both proceed from the same contaminated source, and exhibit a striking similitude, as well in *principle* as in *practice*. For a complete proof of this fact the inquisitive reader is referred to Bishop Lavington's book, entitled, "The Enthusiasm of Methodists and Papists compared," published in the middle of the last century; which, though the food which it administers may be too strong for the sickly appetites of our modern *religionists*, exhibits a number of curious facts and legitimate con-

* * Article 26th.

†† The custom among Dissenters and Methodists, of teachers changing congregations with each other, is more political than pious, and turns religion into an entertainment.

clusions which never required to be better known, and which could never be *applied* with less danger of mistake.

The author's animadversions on the *Unitarians*, in the second Letter, are interperled with a variety of judicious and happy remarks. In the following note, she alludes, *we suppose*, to Mrs. *Crespigny's Letters to her Son*, and to the *Monthly Review*; but, surely, where her object was to expose unsound and dangerous criticism, she should not have left her readers to *guess* at the critics.

"There cannot be a fairer specimen of the style of criticism which is employed to shake the foundations of religious principle, than the following remarks on the maternal instructions which a lady of fashion addressed to her son. The respectable author had had the hardihood to exchange vague and indefinite admonitions, for an express recommendation of the national faith. With respect to the *doctrines of Christianity*, without combating the positions here laid down, we confess we should have been better pleased, if the *orthodox* faith of the fair writer had been offered to her pupil in a more modest and less decided tone. When an author's tenets are founded solely on the authority of the English translation of the New Testament, which may chance to convey more or less than the original fairly implies, a certain degree of diffidence should surely accompany assertions, especially on points which are yet contested among the learned divines of the reformed churches. A single text may be good *verbal proof*, and such as may prove satisfactory to *fair divines*; but there are others who would hesitate to establish their faith on such slender grounds, and they would recollect the remark of the poets in religion

'What damned error, but some sober brow

'Will bless it, and approve it with a text.

SHAKESPEARE.'

Might not one ask the author of this ingenious mode of combating *fair-divines*, on what *single text* has our church founded any of its doctrines? Is it impossible for a mere English reader to obtain such a view of the controversy, as to discover which party brings the strongest scriptural evidence, or most ably supports its opinion? I presume, the conductors of this review only mean to prohibit *orthodox* females from using a decided tone; for I recollect that the daring assertions of an audacious advocate of impiety and revolt received no harsh reproof; but the public were invited to read *her* writings, by calling them spirited and original."

It is a lamentable thing that such critics should have been allowed, for so many years, to disseminate their mischievous principles and heterodox opinions, with a view to check the circulation of sound doctrine, and to poison the minds of the rising generation; without any attempt to expose their nefarious machinations, and to resist their destructive effects.—In a subsequent note, Mrs. West refers to these same Critics.

"The Monthly Reviewers for October 1804, page 216, inquire, 'what advantage the pious author' of a work then under consideration 'can suppose the youthful reader will derive, from being told that the Trinity created the world?' I suppose the youthful reader is one who has been dedicated to the Trinity

Trinity in baptism. He must therefore derive some valuable information in learning, (if he has never before been taught) that the God whom he has vowed to obey, is the creator of all things visible and invisible in heaven and in earth."

The passage in the Monthly Review is too curious not to be quoted entire. The book under review was "The Sacred Mirror; or Compendious History of Scripture History, by the Rev. Thomas Smith;"—the Critic speaks generally well of the work, but puts the question noticed by Mrs. West, adding—"or from seeing that doctrine *proved* from the circumstances of our Saviour's baptism? a proof, we must allow, as *subtle* as the truest schoolmen can desire."—Yes, and a little more satisfactory than the most perverse Unitarian could wish. The following is the passage which extorted this sagacious remark from the Reviewer.

"This was the clearest demonstration ever given to mortals of the existence of the HOLY TRINITY; for though we are told that God (the Father) is a consuming fire, and that none can see him and live; yet upon this solemn occasion, the voice of that God issued from the clouds of heaven whilst his co-equal Son stood by the side of the Baptist, and the Holy Ghost rested visibly upon him, as a confirmation of his divine nature and mission."

On this the Socinian Critic farther remarks, "How much better would it have been, had the author been contented with the simple statement of the Scriptures, and had he forborne to inculcate for doctrines and commandments the *glosses* and *deductions* of men"!—And he gravely advises Mr. Smith "to expunge *these intricacies* in a future edition."!!—How much better, we say, would it have been had this Critic condescended to state in what part of the passage which he censures the *glosses* and *deductions* of men are substituted for the *doctrines* and *commandments* of God!—But, no doubt, these *Monthly Reviewers* agree with that modest reformer of our system of education, Mr. Lancaster, that *Creeeds* only tend to encourage a *sect-making* spirit; and that the leading doctrines of Christianity ought carefully to be excluded from all religious treatises and scripture histories; that the sectarians whom *they* have so long encouraged; and to whom *they* belong, may not be molested in their laudable endeavours to strip their Redeemer of his divine character, and to degrade him to a level with themselves! Let them, and the whole herd of *Unitarians*, who thus blaspheme their God, remember, ere it be too late to profit by the recollection, that the day will come, when they will be compelled to feel the value of a divine Redeemer, and to acknowledge the unspeakable benefit of the *atonement*! If *they* deny *him* now, have they not reason to fear that *he* will deny *them* then? Let them reflect, and tremble! We have said that the *Monthly Reviewers* are *Unitarians*; a passage in their Review for August 1802, page 413, in which they, with the most unblushing impudence, declare, "that they thought the question of the *Divinity of Christ*"
had

had been set at rest for ever," will sufficiently justify our assertion, and set the question of their principles at rest for ever!—But to return from this digression;—on the duty of studying the Scriptures Mrs. West's notions are very correct. Indeed the injunction to "search the Scriptures," our obedience to which alone can enable us to give a reason for the hope that is in us, is so plain, peremptory, and imperative, as to leave no room for doubt or hesitation in the mind of any serious Christian. Having defended our Church Establishment and our Liturgy, the author proceeds to make the following observations.

"I must here admit, that all our sectaries (except the Socinians, who make reason paramount to revelation. and resolve to discard what they cannot fully explain) plead scripture as the ground of their opinions; and this leads me to consider the mischiefs that have arisen from private interpretation of difficult passages of holy writ by illiterate and enthusiastic, and sometimes by learned, but uncandid and obstinate people. Has not the Reformation, it is asked, restored the scriptures to the common people; and does not our church authorize, nay enjoin, all her members to *study* them? Most unquestionably, so far as moral improvement, or the fundamental rules of faith, are concerned. I believe too, that every Englishman has a right, and is required, to study the laws of his country; and I think it the duty of all to know so much of them, as to avoid infringing them. But I do not conceive that every understanding is capable of discerning the exact bounds of regal prerogative, of comprehending the law and usage of parliament, and the origin and foundation of our civil and political rights. Few people have leisure to study the statutes at large; and though you and I ought to know enough to be good subjects, it would be advisable in us both, in case of a lawsuit, to be directed by the advice of an able solicitor. We may have some little notion of the physical organization of our bodies; and may even dabble so far in medicine as to prepare a few compounds, and administer them in trifling indispositions; but in case of a serious illness, we should think it madness not to call in superior judgement. And shall we controvert these religious principles which are established by laborious investigation and profound learning, with the knowledge derived from slight investigation and superficial research? I will not ask *you*, whether we shall resign our national creed transmitted to us from apostolical times, and adopt the fancies of illuminated cobblers, brain-sick weavers, or philosophifical half-educated sceptics, whom we should ridicule for coxcombs if they presumed to give an opinion respecting the temperature of our pulse or the management of our fortune, and yet are willingly submitted to, as expounders of the oracles of God? This question is to *you* happily inappropriate; but it is really necessary to many, who, but for this ridiculous mixture of pride and servility, this strange prostration of the liberty of which they are so tenacious, to the quackery that they would despise in the common affairs of life, might pass for intelligent people."

It is no less strange than true, that thousands of unhappy fanatics, with minds perverted and feelings corrupted by the mad ravings of itinerant teachers, make no scruple to consign the care of their souls to the most illiterate and ignorant of men, whom they would not entrust with the care of their *till*, if it contained only a few shillings. To tolerate such practices is to afford encouragement

ment to irreligion; the legislature ought, at least, so far to interfere as to compel dissenting teachers of every denomination to *residence*, and to allow none to officiate who have not a fixed and stationary congregation. This indifference to the rapid and alarming growth of the heinous sin of schism cannot be viewed without a mixed sentiment of apprehension and disgust, by any sincere member of the Church of Christ. The author's brief view of this subject is strictly just.

"May we not now inquire, what are the signs of the times in which we live? Within our church there is a schism, in which the old Pharisaical superciliousness, of 'stand apart, I am more holy than thou,' is too apparent. Beyond her pale, we see new modes of worship multiplied, and disunion engrafted on dissent. The church of Scotland, which was one of the first to reject prescription, and to venture boldly in untried paths, terrified at the unwarrantable licence assumed by her refractory members*, is forced to resume the renounced restraint of ecclesiastical coercion. With us, the blessing of toleration has let in the curses of fanaticism, scepticism, and licentiousness. Hosts of ignorant self-sufficient preachers are yearly licensed to mislead the weak and irresolute, and to inspire the illiterate with contempt for their proper pastors. Among the higher ranks, the latitudinarian gains many converts to the attractive sound of liberality, and enlarged opinions; and from the latitudinarian the scale of error mounts to infidelity. But indifference is the more prevailing characteristic of this age; and it is so very prevalent among the higher circles, that seriousness and devotion are constantly confounded with sectarian enthusiasm. The duty of worshipping the God of our fathers is made to depend upon convenience, upon fashion, upon a warm chapel, or upon an agreeable preacher. From the lives of these nominal Christians, or from the repulsive manners of those who 'make broad their phylacteries, and 'enlarge the hem of their garments,' the well-bred deist, and mere moral man of the world, affect to form their notion of the influence of religion upon the heart and mind. Alas! that the many thousands, who in this island have 'never bowed the knee to Baal,' nor omitted that 'worship in spirit and 'truth' which our Creator prescribes, would sometimes emerge from their beloved retirement, and shew an admiring world, 'Virtue in her own shape how 'lovely.'"

In a note Mrs. West relates the following singular anecdote on the authority of a *newspaper*. We wish she had referred us to the paper, that we might have seen the names of the parties, and have given them some appropriate admonitions.

"The following anecdote is given on newspaper testimony, with a wish that it may have had no foundation in truth; for it will then act as a caution, instead of a censure: A New Jerusalem teacher surreptitiously obtained an appointment to a meeting belonging to some regular dissenters. A trial at law ensued; and the preacher, who had been an itinerant music-master and petty shopkeeper, was defended by his very elaborate counsel, who is said to have introduced David's skill upon the harp, and the humble professions of the

* * For this account of the state of the presbytery in Scotland, see Dr. Hill's Theological Institutes.

apostles, as a parallel that was applicable to this schismatic's change of occupation. Did this learned gentleman not know, or did he purposely forget, that these apostles were miraculously endowed by the Spirit of God with all knowledge, all faith, and the power of curing all diseases? What resemblance then can possibly exist between these supernaturally instructed teachers, and an ignorant and (as was clearly proved) knavish mechanic? Surely the wit of this absurd comparison is too strained to pass, even in a careless auditory, as an excuse for its falsehood and irreverence."

On the subject of the too-prevailing sin of suicide, whether considered as a *sign of the times*, or as a flagrant contempt of divine authority, the author's sentiments are entitled to praise.

"The prevalence of suicide is another instance by which we may judge of the state of religion in this kingdom; for unquestionably, unless it proceed from mental derangement, we must pronounce the self-murderer ignorant of the obligations and power of Christianity. If he really believed in God, would he dare to rush uncalled into his presence, or make the last action of his life a crime of which he never can repent? The prevalence of this offence among the Pagans of old times is indisputable; it was even considered as somewhat of a brave and commendable action, by which their greatest and wisest men, and their most virtuous women, heroically resolved to escape from pain, disgrace, and sorrow. Equally indisputable is the fact, that the religion of Jesus conquered this daring propensity; since its most eminent professors deemed it less infamous to expire in excruciating tortures as public criminals, than gently to languish away with the mock dignity of a Seneca, or to tear open their own bowels with the ruthless frenzy of a Cato. The revival of this humour of self-destruction in France is so notorious, that it is lamented even by those who still see, in that atheistical revolution, much to admire, and who fruitlessly wish to palliate all its enormities*.

"Self-murder, when it is not a sudden act of madness or passion, must proceed from the sullen resolve of wounded pride, conscious of merit and impatient of disappointment. How opposite is this temper to the self-abasement, the lowly resignation of a christian soul, who receives temporal calamities as the *deserved chastisements* of his Father and his God, and who hopes, through his mercy, not only the pardon of his sins, but the promises annexed to patient submission! It is those who presume to think highly of their own deservings, who depend upon merit, who *demand* reward, and who limit their views of happiness to temporal possession on this side Jordan, *that* who are most inclined, like treacherous sentinels, to desert the post which they deem unworthy of their high deservings.

"Suicide, therefore, is most frequently the stern determination of indignant desism, warring in its pride against the will of the Highest. It often too proceeds from the keen tortures of accusing conscience, or from a poignant sense of the embarrassments and anxieties to which a life of guilt generally exposes the offender. Divines have remarked, that as, in this life, the natural consequences of sin often continue to pursue the offender, in the shape of bodily pain or calamity, long after he has become sincerely penitent for his faults, the heathens had no chance of discovering, from what they saw of the Almighty's

"* It is affirmed, on an average calculation, that five Frenchmen perish in two days by their own hands. See Holcroft's Travels into France.

providential

providential government of the world, that the eternal consequences of sin would ever be remitted. As Christians have brighter hopes on this important subject, penitence is now bound by stronger bonds to endure the temporal afflictions which it is conscious of deserving, from the hope that its present resignation may cancel its former misdeeds, and, in the language of the apostle, that it 'may live to bring forth fruits meet for repentance.'

"There are yet other reasons which bind the Christian even to a joyless and miserable existence. In a probationary state, the time of trial cannot be over till our Master bids 'us rest from our labours.' The dying flame, which seems expiring in the socket, may yet cast out a light sufficient to recall some wandering connexion from the broad road of destruction. Are we not in the hands of a merciful God, who willeth not the eternal death of a sinner? and may we not hope, that if we patiently abide his summons, he will not remove us hence, but 'with all due advantage for eternity, when we shall be in a holy disposition of soul, in a perfect renunciation of the guise of this mad and sinful world*?'

"That the crime of self-destruction by actual violence has not yet made such progress amongst us, as it has done amongst our revolutionized and illuminizd neighbours, affords great solace to the reflecting mind, anxious to discover some grounds for confiding in the protection of the Most High during our present arduous conflict. But the frequency of that self-destruction which is accomplished by dissipation and vice presents a less consolatory prospect. However, as this species of suicide does not assume such determined hostility to Heaven in its aspect, we may charitably hope that a death-bed is *sometimes* the scene of true repentance."

The wretched being who, sick of life, and anxious to end it, would not be weaned from his horrible purpose by such considerations as those which are here suggested to him, would be deaf to any arguments which we could offer in support of them.

Of the duty imposed on every Christian to receive the sacrament Mrs. West entertains the most just conception, and she endeavours to impress it on the minds of her readers with appropriate earnestness.

"Nothing can be more authoritative and obligatory than the solemn declaration which our Saviour made to the amazed Synagogue at Capernaum; 'Verily, verily, I say unto you, except ye eat of the flesh of the Son of Man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you. Whoso eateth my flesh, and drinketh my blood, hath eternal life, and I will raise him up at the last day. For my flesh is meat indeed, and my blood is drink indeed. As the living Father hath sent me, and I live by the Father; so he that eateth me, even he shall live by me.' If we combine this explanation of the *benefits* of the sacrament, with the *narrative* of our Lord's breaking the bread and blessing the wine, and delivering them to his apostles, commanding them to 'do so in remembrance of him,' we must discern the *positive* obligation which all Christians lie under to partake of this memorial of their redemption. We

* See the admirable prayers in the service for Easter Eve, in Nelson's Companion to the Fasts and Festivals.

† See Bishop Cleaver's sermons on the nature of the sacrament.

know from inspired testimony, that the primitive church was constant and frequent in the use of this outward visible sign of the inward spiritual grace; for there are many allusions to this holy rite in the Acts and the Epistles, beside directions for the orderly management of the eucharistical feast contained in the eleventh chapter of the first of Corinthians. How grace and pardon are conveyed to the souls of those communicants who, with sincere faith and devout minds, partake of this holy ordinance, remains among the secrets of the Most High. It was no more necessary that we should penetrate into this mystery, than that we should comprehend all God's part of the covenant of grace; but though the reasons on which his purposes are founded are hidden from curiosity, his determinations, and the promises annexed to his commands, are plainly disclosed, to excite faith and to stimulate obedience. The humble are instructed, the wise in their own conceit are left uninformed.

"Is it true that our Lord has declared, 'Except ye eat the flesh of the Son of Man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you?' Is it also an acknowledged fact, that immediately preceding the last scenes of his mortality he took bread and wine, blessed them, pronounced them his body and blood, and commanded his disciples to receive those consecrated elements in 'remembrance of him?' How dare we then, knowing these things to be true, omit the rite, disobey the command, and brave the threatening? Alas! being united with the Saviour of the world in spiritual communion, is of far less consequence than being admitted into a fashionable circle, or ranked in a certain set. In spite of the general levity with which sacred things are treated, the eucharist still exacts somewhat of awful reverence, even from those who relish 'droll transformations' of holy writ, and, under the seemingly modest plea of unworthiness, decline undertaking those duties of examination, confession, and serious exclamation, which they still think ought to precede this more immediate approach to the presence of God. But have we not positive assurance, that the sins and negligences which unfit us for the Lord's table will effectually bar the everlasting doors of Heaven against us? The wedding garment, which we are required to put on for the sacrificial feast at the altar, is the same robe which we must wear in the New Jerusalem, at the marriage supper of the Lamb. And surely every wilful omission of the enjoined memorial of 'the exceeding great love of our Master and only Saviour Jesus Christ,' must add to the number of these excluding offences. For the case of the negligent Christian, whose attachment to worldly pleasure or business operates more powerfully on his mind than obedience to his Lord's commands, and that of the deist, living in a Christian country, are similar; they will be judged by the laws which they knew, but refused to obey."

Against the *pomp and pageantry* of funerals our author enters her solemn protest; and she introduces, as a proper model for such sad ceremonies, the following description of a *modest funeral*, from the pen of a deceased and much-lamented bard.

"I see the hearse,
With sable plumes and sullen-footed steeds,
The village church approach. I see the corse,
From its dark cell releas'd by many a hand,
Uplifted heavily. I hear the bell
Toll to the dull and melancholy sound
Of mute procession; the white priest before,

The

The mourners following; and in the midst
Thee my delight, my treasure, and my hope,
Borne through the portals of thy native church;
Thence never to return. I hear a voice
Consign thee to oblivion; dust to dust,
Ashes to ashes."

TEARS OF AFFECTION, by HUBBIS.

Alas! little did we think, when we first read this poetical description, that we should so soon have to deplore the death of its amiable author. Comparatively short as the period has been since the first establishment of our work, we have had to deplore the death of more than one of our associates in the sacred cause of religion and virtue; but of none more than that of this eloquent and interesting bard; who united to a richly-endowed and highly-cultivated mind, the utmost benevolence of heart, and the soundest principles. To him have our readers been indebted for many an amusing and many an instructive page; for the exposure and correction of many pernicious doctrines and opinions in others, and for the communication of much valuable information and instruction of his own. Peace be to his manes!

In her *ninth* Letter Mrs. West treats of a subject with which she is particularly conversant, and on which she is, of course, peculiarly qualified to give advice. She justly considers chastity as the characteristic virtue of her sex; as that, indeed, without which all other virtues, if they really can have existence, are of little or no value;—and she, therefore, with great propriety, places it at the head of her list of "virtues more especially feminine."

"To commence our observations with the cardinal virtue of our sex. General opinion has undergone an amazing change within a few years on the subject of chastity: I do not speak of those who have really violated her laws, but of the indifference or playful sarcasm with which untainted characters speak of the most flagrant derelictions of decorum. I am not one of those rigid censors, who would bar an unhappy woman's return to virtue, by excluding her from every cheering prospect of compassion and hope. The punishment of *culprits* should in this, as in every other instance, be determined by what is due to the *unoffending* part of society. It was formerly thought, that with respect to women, a forfeited reputation in this particular could never be regained. It was not from enmity to real penitence, but from an anxiety to preserve innocence, that a woman was supposed to have so far degraded herself by unchastity, as to be rendered incapable of ever more filling her former rank in society. To hide herself from the world, or to fly to some spot where her shame was unknown, used to be the wish of humbled, but not abandoned frailty; and while her weeping companions pitied her sorrow, they were roused by her disgrace to more vigilant circumspection; and thus the mournful consequences of one seduction prevented many."

The author here places the difficulty of restoring a lost female to her former station in life, in a proper point of view;—this *estrangement* is not to be considered as a punishment to herself, but as a warning to others; not as holding up vice for the finger of scorn to point

point at; but as a beacon to virtue. It is founded on the best and only true principle of all legal punishment—*prevention*. And if it be applicable to repentant sinners, how much more rigidly should it be applied to those who do not repent, but who are to be hardened as to glory in their guilt and to exult in their infamy! Mrs. West regards the dramatic productions and many of the novels of the present day as having a direct tendency to *varnish over* the vices of women with *soft names*; and she censures the false candour of such scribblers with becoming severity. She laments too the present state of fashionable society, as tending to break down the necessary barriers between virtue and vice, by supplying ready excuses for the frailties, the errors, and the sins of the fair sex. Her advice to married women is unexceptionably good; and she concludes this very sensible Letter with the following just remarks.

“ Rational piety is our best defence against the temptations of the world. You well know, that piety should not be confined to the church or the closet. When genuine, she is our *constant* companion; spiritualizing every event, influencing all our actions, seasoning our ordinary conversation, and lifting our souls in frequent ejaculations above this transitory world, to hold communion with that which is eternal. It is piety which must sanctify chastity, or we shall only be discreet from fear, ‘not pure in heart.’ She must direct the aims of benevolence, or liberality will stop short of the blessedness of charity. Candour is only caution without her; and sweetness of temper, a mere animal propensity. May this sacred plant continue to increase and flourish in your soul, till it ripens into the fruit of immortality, prays your truly affectionate friend, &c.”

The *tenth* Letter, and the last in this Volume, is devoted to Female Employments and Studies, a subject of no little importance; as on a right understanding of it, depends much of the internal economy of a family, and not a little of domestic comfort and happiness. With most *unfashionable pertinacity* Mrs. West recommends the frequent use of an implement of industry, which, we fear, has been almost discarded from the catalogues of modern *housewives*—the NEEDLE; and she even pretends to support her recommendation by the venerable authority of ancient times, and of royal example.

“ With respect to employment, women are more happily circumstanced than the other sex; the important and fatiguing avocations of men necessarily impose seasons of inactivity; and, unless among those of a literary turn, there are many hours in a day which a man scarcely knows how to occupy. That useful implement the needle, which is no interruption to conversation, which does not absolutely chain down attention, and fatigues neither the body nor the mind, is our constant preservative from lassitude; at the same time that in the majority of families it is an invaluable ally to economy, neatness, and elegance. I do acknowledge, that sometimes, when it gets into the hands of a pretty trifler, its productions deserve no better name than laborious idleness; but the thorough housewife would not exchange it for the cestus of Venus;
and

and she knows how to make it as powerful a talisman, to preserve conjugal esteem and domestic order.

"I think the goddesses all excelled in the arts of female industry, except the hoyden Diana; and you know she *always* continued a *spinster*. The heroines of old time shone at the loom and the distaff, and were so passionately attached to these occupations, that it is even recorded they *figh'd* at being called from them to look at *martial beaux*. The history of the fair Nausicaa proves, that the operation of washing clothes was not only venerable and salutary, but really *dignified*. The Goddess of Wisdom descends from Olympus to order a Princess to superintend the suds; and gives as the ostensible reason, that such a housewifely occupation would *expedite the time of her nuptials*. I recommend this book of the *Odyssey* to our treble-refined second-rate *elegantes**, who consider laundress as a more reproachful name than courtesan; reminding them at the same time, that the 'Father of verse,' and first of mortal bards, has immortalized that employment which they call servile and degrading; a convincing proof, that only false taste will consider that to be contemptible which is useful. The most distinguished women of our own country have handed down their names to posterity, by excelling in works of taste and ingenuity. But we need not search old annals to describe the tapestry and embroidery of our Matildas and Marys; industry and taste still claim an intimate alliance with royalty; and where they cannot excite emulation, at least rouse commendable though humble imitation†."

We suspect, that our modern belles find other qualities, in Helen, more worthy of *their* imitation than *Industry*!—and we fear, that neither Mrs. West's exhortations nor even the example of Royalty will suffice to render *Industry fashionable*. Dissipation, and the prevalent mode of educating women *above* the station which they are destined to occupy in life, have given a turn to female manners and pursuits, highly unfavourable to the growth of virtue, and hostile to the promotion of domestic comfort. And we are sorry to add, that the press, that powerful engine of reformation, is not so directed as to produce the correction of this growing evil, the consequences of which no human foresight can appreciate.—Our author judiciously censures the labours of those indefatigable compilers who exercise their ingenuity and consume their time in sparing the public the fatigue of *reading* any thing but their own productions, and the trouble of selecting the *beauties* of different writers.—These labours appear under the various denominations of extracts, anecdotes, beauties, and anas; and are admirably calculated for the abridgment of study, and the easy acquisition of fashionable knowledge;—without the trial of patience, or the exercise of judgment!

* Why will, Mrs. West deform her style by the introduction of such illegitimate and affected expressions; borrowed from the senseless effusions of paragraph-writers in the newspapers? *Rev.*

† See the behaviour of Helen, in the third Iliad, when summoned by Iris to the Trojan walls.

In this volume we have found much more to commend and much less to censure than in the first; the style is much more correct, and the language much less affected;—while the matter, especially of the two last letters, is entitled (with almost a solitary exception) to the greatest praise. That exception, and the few errors which we have marked, we shall proceed to notice.—Mrs. West contends that *passive obedience* is not the doctrine of the Church of England. But passive obedience to lawful authority is the doctrine of scripture, upon which that of the Church of England is avowedly and manifestly founded, and it must therefore be the doctrine of the Church of England. As to the vague notions of *civil liberty*, upon which scarcely any two writers are agreed, it is ridiculous to make them the standard and criterion of a subject's duty. One of the ablest expounders of those notions, it is known, made them subservient to his own favourite doctrine of *cashiering*, and of *murdering kings*,—a crime which the members of the Church of England are annually called upon deeply to deplore, and solemnly to expiate. Mrs. West's notions of our *constitution* are also not a little confused.—She maintains that in the event of the king *falsifying his engagements*, the people are not to be judges of the fact; but that there is an *intermediate state* to which both parties must appeal—which is the *Parliament*;—in other words, the people acting by their representatives. So that here the people would be both *judge and party*, an injustice which the British constitution, thank Heaven! does not sanction.—Indeed, the absurdity of such a regulation will appear manifest, when we recollect that it is a part of the royal prerogative to *dissolve* the parliament at his pleasure; and, in case of a difference between the king and his subjects, his majesty would naturally exercise his power, and so annihilate this *intermediate state*. And who, then, we would ask the fair author, would be left to judge between the sovereign and his people?—But Mrs. West has been led to entertain this absurd notion, by contemplating the period of the Revolution in 1688;—and by mistaking a *constitutional anomaly* for a *constitutional rule*.—Her conceptions of that event are extremely erroneous;—a sovereign was not “judged to have forfeited his high trust”; but merely to have *abdicated his throne*; the Parliament were not “our lawful rulers, during the interregnum”, nor at any other time;—but merely our representatives and the counsellors of their lawful monarch, whose abdication of the throne could neither alter their characters, nor enlarge their powers; nor had they any *right* to “nominate” a Sovereign;—the fact is, they were thrown into an extraordinary and an unprecedented situation; they had a choice of difficulties to encounter; and acting, from *the necessity of the thing*, they did the best they could, departed as little as possible from the regular line of succession, and professed only to supply a remedy for the existing evil, without attempting to set an example, much less to establish a rule.—The constitution has made no provision whatever

for the case to which Mrs. West adverts; on the contrary it expressly declares, that *the king can do no wrong*.—It is idle, therefore, and indeed worse than idle, to enter upon such a discussion.—We now proceed to notice errors of less importance, chiefly inaccuracies of language.

P. 112, the word *perfectionating* is used; though sanctioned only by the example of a single writer in our language; and justly stigmatised by the best of our critics as *not received*, and *not worthy of reception*. In p. 187 the word *inhabitude* occurs, which Mrs. West has herself introduced into our language, and on which we hesitate not to pronounce the same sentence of expulsion. In p. 244 we meet with the "*bosom infirmity*", which we have before censured.—In the note to p. 270 we read of "words consecrated by the injunctions of *filial Deity*"; a mode of expression perfectly new to us, and, in our estimation, perfectly unjustifiable.—In p. 290 the author talks of the evils of the *administration* of our second Charles; whereas if she had referred to the page of history, or to any of our elementary treatises on law, she would have discovered that some of the best laws in our statute book, laws best calculated to secure the rights and liberties of the subject, were passed during that very reign.—P. 383: "I only want to exile these *unedifying dolours*"—affectation and inaccuracy combined!—P. 431, l. 19, *but* is used instead of *than*.—P. 440, "a branch of information which *brings* (makes) her acquainted with the world."—"Spleen, ennui, chagrin, lassitude" (the only difference between ennui and lassitude is that the one is *French* and the other *English*)—"and all the *various* train of miseries which extreme indulgence, dissipation, or romantic expectations, *are* (is) apt to engender," &c.—P. 466, "dull monotony and rigid contraction of conversation which characterises (characterise)."—P. 474. No *one* is rich, powerful, or exalted, for *their* (his) own sake.—P. 476, "the perversity of men's hearts render (renders) it necessary, &c."—P. 487, "those rustic countenances *who* (which) used to greet you"—And in p. 510, the author talks of the *salad days* of her sex; she had read, in Shakespeare, of

"my fallow-days,
"when I was *green** in judgment, *cold* in blood".

But she had *no other authority* to justify its use; and in truth it is a vile expression which Shakespeare's authority can by no means justify.

* *Lettuce-days* would be a less objectionable expression; because lettuces are both *green* and *cold*, which is not the case with all the ingredients of a *salad*.—*Endive* and *celery*, for instance, are *white*, and *beet-root* is red;—while *mustard* and *cress* are not *cold*, but *hot*. RAV.

All the Talents; a Satirical Poem, in Three Dialogues. By Polypus. 8vo. Pp. 100. 3s 6d. John Joseph Stockdale, Pall Mall. 1807.

THIS book may be considered as a kind of *Picture Gallery*, in which is exhibited a number of *Political Portraits*, placed, in a more or less prominent point of view, according to the characters or consequence of the *originals*. The chief merit of this painter consists in the wonderful accuracy of his likenesses, which is so great, that any man, on the slightest inspection, will immediately know *who* *late* for the picture. His colouring is rich and generally correct; and he has the happy art, which few indeed possess, of reconciling apparent contradictions; for he generally throws the greatest *light* on those portraits to which he has given the *most dark and sombre hues*; and, which is still more strange, his arrangement appears admirable, although almost every picture is *out of place*.

Having disclaimed, in his preface, all party views and political connections, the author declares his object to be, the repression of folly and the reformation of abuse, certainly the most legitimate object which a satirist can pursue. In order to shew that "*all the Talents*" are fair game, he observes;—

"Men who have the courage to propagate their own praises with a solemn unblushing face, are the finest subjects for ridicule upon earth; and none, excite so little pity when found deserving of censure. Ministers modestly inform us that they possess all the *wit, vigour, weight, and talents* of the country. Now, were the country so silly as to credit them, and, of course, to follow them blindfold over hedges and ditches, the consequence might be rather mischievous. Even supposing, therefore, I had no better reason for a faithful exposition of *All the Talents*, I should think this alone sufficient. Heaven knows how humble are my hopes of working a reform amongst them. I shall be perfectly contented with lopping off a few straggling excrescences; and perhaps I may succeed in preventing the growth of others. Men are often more afraid of present odium than of future punishment, and dread a poet while they laugh at a God."

But if a poet be really such an object of dread to the profligate, as to induce them to amend, if not to reform, their conduct; with what propriety can the author deny, as he does, in the very next paragraph, the influence of the Press? Indeed, his observations on this subject are extremely superficial, his arguments extremely weak, and, on some points, he evidently mistakes the cause for the effect. "The business of an author," he says, "is to please, and he will always suit his topic to the fashion of his days." Where he collected these strange notions of the business of an author we know not; that there are numbers of writers, who make a trade of authorship, and therefore write only what they know, or are told, will please the multitude, is certain; but that a grave satirist should give his sanction to such a base prostitution of talent, as he

seems here to do, is passing strange. The true province of an author is *not* to please, but to *inform* and to *instruct*; to maintain *justice* and to promulgate *truth*: he may, indeed, suit his *top*, to the fashion of the day, but he will so treat it as to render it subservient to these ends. The general proposition, that, "if the public mind be not already prepared, books will avail but little," is at variance with fact, and with the result of every man's experience. We could cite various instances, within a few years, in which books have produced a complete change in the public mind; but we should be ashamed to *argue* on such a question. Nor is the author more happy in the illustration of his principle, than in his principle itself. "*Voltaire, Rousseau*, and other writers, accused of having caused all the misfortunes of France, were also generally read in England; besides an immense deluge of our own authors who taught us similar principles. Why then did they not produce similar effects? Simply because the general sense of the nation was against them. If every French author had written against a revolution, he could not have prevented one. If every English author had written in favour of a revolution, he could not have caused one." We have seldom seen a string of assertions so replete with fallacies. Voltaire and Rousseau were not *generally* read in England; and if they had been, their works could not have produced the same effects here which they produced in France, because they attacked a religion which we did not profess; and levelled their arguments and their ridicule against a state of things which did not exist in this country;—and because, also, the genius and style of their writings were more particularly adapted to the taste and understandings of the French, than to those of Englishmen. Had the protestant faith been rooted in France, all the shafts of Voltaire's ridicule, directed against religion, would have fallen pointlessly to the ground. For his first attacks were aimed at the errors and superstitions of the Romish Church; and these paved the way for his subsequent assaults on Christianity itself; which, but for the existence of those corruptions, would have proved impotent. So far is it from being true, that, if the whole press of France had been directed against the revolution, it could not have prevented it, it is most certain, that, but for the press, the revolution could never have been brought about. Nor will it appear so clear, as it does to this writer, that a revolution could not have been produced in England, if every writer in the kingdom had laboured to produce it; when we consider the extraordinary effect produced by the extensive circulation of Paine's mischievous, but popular, books, and of other publications of a similar tendency. Nay, such was the ferment at one period, that nothing but the joint operation of the press, seasonably applied and judiciously directed, and of the wise and vigorous measures of the government, could have prevented a civil war.

war. In short, it is nearly self-evident, that, in this country, the press directs the public mind, and is not directed by it. With these brief remarks, we dismiss the Preface, and proceed to the *Dialogues*.

These are supported by the author, *Polypus*, and his friend, *Scriblerus*, the latter of whom differs from the former in opinion, and endeavours to correct his notions, and to moderate his censure. But *Polypus*, being of the *genus irritabile vatum*, rejects the counsels of his friend, and obstinately pursues his own course. The first portrait whom he exhibits on the canvass, is the late Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.

“ SCRIBLERUS.

O for a thund'ring tongue, like Fox's own,
To stun perverse opinion into stone!
Fox! at that name how throbs my swelling breast,
Mourns thy sad fall, and bids thy spirit rest.
Yet H-w-ck* lives—a firm, unblemish'd soul,
True to the state, as needle to the pole;

Who

“ * *Tet H-w-ck lives.*]—The public will better recognize this noble Lord as plain Mr. Gr-y; new titles, new principles, and new places, having so totally metamorphosed him, that some of his old friends have actually ceased to know him. I am credibly informed he is growing gay. And yet I remember him a moody melancholy gentleman, whom you would have thought time nor tide could change.—A positive bit of blood, that always came cantering at the heels of Fox and Sh-r-d-n. Did Fox protest against war?—Gr-y quickly set his face against hostilities. Did Fox declare that the kingdom was ruined?—Gr-y instantly found out that the nation was undone. Skilful in the analogies of the language, he seemed only to forget that Truth and Servility are never synonymous. Servility, however, is not easily got rid of; and Gr-y, while first Lord of the Admiralty, used to trot at St. V-n-c-n-t's* heels just as contentedly as at Fox's.

“ As to what Lord H-w-ck is, there may possibly be some doubt; as to what he was, there can be no doubt at all. If his name shall survive the injuries his country has suffered from him, he will be remembered as one of those unhappy beings, who, during that long and dreadful struggle for all that Englishmen held most dear upon earth, stood aloof with a small, but desperate band, watching the favourable moments for incursion, and involving us in a predatory war at home, while the most terrible of enemies was assailing us from abroad. But since his political promotion we have heard no more of his political principles. Let us then cheerfully submit to the smaller misfortune. The friendship of a reformed profligate is preferable to the enmity of a professed one. After ages will hardly credit the story of our adventures. At least they will shudder at our having escaped out of such hands; while the names of a —, a Sh-r-d-n, and a H-w-ck, will be abhorred by the gentle nature, and adopted by the severe.

“ * By the bye, St. V-n-c-n-t always trod awkwardly enough on *terra firma*. He is not an amphibious animal, and has more of the shark than the sea-horse in his composition. Some say he has more of the crocodile than of either.

“ I do

Who ne'er to wav'ring weakness wou'd descend,
But kept on snarling till he gain'd his end.

POLYPUS.

So at some door, a dog, with desp'rate din,
Scrapes, scratches, howls, and barks—till he gets in.
Yes, there I blame him. H-w-ck never stood
The *candid* champion of his country's good.
When perils urg'd all bosoms truly great,
To turn from faction, and to save the state,
Still he kept hissing with a viper's spite,
And spit forth slaver where he fail'd to bite;
Nurs'd us with curds of patriotic spleen,
And put a drag upon the flow machine.

SCRIBLERUS.

The gentle soul of H-w-ck long'd for peace,
And so he clogg'd the war to make it cease.

POLYPUS.

Then ought the Doctor (if I take it true),
To crush the fever, kill the patient too.

SCRIBLERUS.

Gr-y with the war, the mouthing and grimace,
Was out of humour—

POLYPUS.

True, and out of place.

SCRIBLERUS.

He wanted scope to give his genius wing;
*In** place, and *out* of place are diff'rent things.

POLYPUS.

"I do not approve of Polypus's comparing my Lord H-w-ck with a beast of burden; and yet I am informed by those who know French (for I do not,) that the following description of a horse is applicable to him. *Un esprit pesant, lourd, sans subtilité, ni gentillesse*—UN GROS CHEVAL D'ALLEMANDE. I am delighted with the stately grandeur of the words, and guess that they contain a magnificent eulogium.—*Scribl.*

"* *In place and out of place are diff'rent things.*]—The Talents have proved the truth of this assertion to a miracle; by adopting, as Ministers, almost every measure, which, as Oppositionists, they had reprobated—*melius, pejus, profut, obfut.* I doubt if their new recantation be not more disgusting than their ancient bigotry. But their conduct immediately on their coming into power was more than disgusting. It was a tissue of absurdity, indecency, and arrogance, equalled only by the nauseous mummerly of Buonaparte's bulletins. One Minister took peculiar pains to convince us that we were on* the very verge of ruin, and that nothing but the Talents could save us. Sh-r-d-n, too, seemed to lament our desperate situation with a plausible face enough; and

Twilight GAZE,

Had in her *feber liv'ry* all things clad;

"* All that can be said in their favour is, that they spoke of '*dilapidated hopes and resources*,' when they did not know one atom about the matter, and that they candidly recanted as soon as they began to learn their business.

When,

POLYPUS.

So different, that a *frog* and *ape*, I doubt,
Have more similitude than *in* and *out*.
Gr-y, like a frog, while out of office, croak'd ;
An ape in place, he copied, not revok'd.
Extremes he seeks, and scorns his native mean ;
Not firm, but stubborn ; fullen, not serene ;
Means to be proud, but only pompous proves,
And sometimes stuns our reason, never moves."

There are two modes of exhibiting public characters to public view—by *likeness* and by *contrast*. We have already exhibited a specimen of the author's ability in one of these modes, and we shall now lay a proof of his talent in *both* before our readers.

" POLYPUS.

Wub two sole blessings Pitt perform'd his part ;
A GODLIKE GENIUS AND AN HONEST HEART.
* Need I say more ? to amplify were vain,
Since these alone all human good contain.

Yet

When, on a sudden, up rose the sun, the mists melted away, and the Talents assured us we were in *a more flourishing condition than ever !!!* Now for my life I could never see how they made it out. But taking their words for it, to whom do we stand indebted ? Certainly not to the Talents ; for they have been failing in every project. Yet this is no proof. The Talents have been failing in every project for these last twenty years, and the country has prospered accordingly.

" * *Need I say more ? to amplify were vain.*]—To enlarge on the character of this immortal Statesman would probably vex the Talents, and of course do them no service. But I will exhibit a portrait of an opposite nature, with the hope that ministers may avoid a bad example, though they will not imitate a good one.

" Let me then imagine a man prodigally gifted with every blessing under the sun—birth, fortune, wit, wisdom, eloquence. With a soul that can pierce into the brightest recesses of Fancy, and a tongue that can embody the visions she beholds. Let me suppose him marking his entrance into the service of his country by a breach of her constitution ; while, distorting the best of passions to the worst of purposes, he calls treason patriotism, and covers desperate doctrines with a decorous indecency of words. Laughing at subjection, yet himself a slave to party, he lords it over a rancorous faction ; while boys disconcert the cabals of his manhood, and striplings repress the excesses of his age. In persecuting his country he is uniform and sincere ; his principles only are versatile and treacherous. The revolutionary mob and the sanguinary despot are alternate objects of his admiration. At length he tramples down the barriers of decorum, and allows not even an appeal from his heart to his head ; from inherent atrocity to adventitious error. Thinking men are alarmed and desert him. Fools adhere to his cause, and are undone. Once found dangerous, he soon becomes flagitious ; and his last act exhibits him vanquished by his own arts, and a dupe to the basest of mankind.

" Let this portrait be as a beacon to all ministers. Wise men will read it and

Yet will I praise him, when from toils retir'd,
 *Nor wealth he took, nor recompence desir'd;
 But while the share his tranquil acres ture'd,
 Still with the flame of patriot ardour burn'd;
 Saw there remain'd more duties to fulfil,
 And grasp'd the sword to save his country still.
 More awful with one boy to tend his meal,
 Than serv'd by senates following at his heel.

Yet will I praise him, at his latest breath,
 When firm, serene, a patriot ev'n in death,
 Not for himself the parting hero sigh'd,
 But on his country fondly call'd—and died.

O then how tears stole down each honest face!
 ‡ O then how Faction, shouting, rush'd to place!"

and say nothing.—It is for the fool to assert its justice by uniting it with a name.

"This character appears to be nothing more than a parcel of tart sentences huddled together for no reason whatever. I do not see the jest of it. Others may. But others may be blockheads. Did I not promise, Polypus, I would be severe?—Scribb.

"* *Nor wealth he took, nor recompence desir'd.*—I cannot contemplate this period of Mr. Pitt's life without the highest emotions of admiration. I had thought the days of Roman magnanimity gone for ever, and in these times scarcely expected to see another Cincinnatus.—*Te fulco, Serrane, serentem.*

"† *On his country fondly call'd—and died.*—Let none now be so rash as to talk of Mr. Pitt's inordinate ambition, or assert that he preferred his own elevation to his country's welfare. If the words of the dying are accounted sincere, who will deny that *patriotism* was the ruling passion of this incomparable character? Pope says,

And thou, my Cobham, to thy latest breath,
 Shall feel the ruling passion strong in death.
 Such in these moments as in all the past,
 O save my country, Heav'n! shall be thy last.

Pitt realized what Pope only supposed.

"‡ *O then how Faction, shouting, rush'd to place!*—Often, I dare say (were I to judge by their after-conduct) did the jaded Oppositionists exclaim, during Mr. Pitt's illness,

Di precor, a nobis omen REMOVETE sinistrum!

Omnes apertis, say I, however; and, I believe, three-fourths of the nation say so too. After the death of that Minister they did not behave with common decency. The greediness with which they seized upon every place of profit,—even those which pride, and those which *delicacy* should have deterred them from appropriating—was odious in the extreme. I can almost fancy I see them like a set of vultures, hovering over the Minister's dying moments, and with gross black wing brushing across his radiant spirit as it imparts into the skies.

These

These observations are not more strongly marked by *severity*, than by *justice*. The *heart* and the *head* seem to have been equally concerned in the conception and execution of these passages; their strength reflects credit on the one, and their ability confers honour on the other. The admonition in the first four lines of the following passage is excellent and impressive.

" * Favour'd by heav'n, let Britons bend the knee,
And thank that awful pow'r who keeps us free;
Own Him, our strength, on Him repose our all,
Sedate in triumph, and resign'd to fall.
And thou, fair Erin,† plaintive in the lay,
Who sleep'st thy limbs afloat the falling day ;

Nymph,

" * Favour'd by heav'n, let Britons bend the knee.]—I think I may say, (but meekly let me say it, and with awful reverence) that Providence watches over this empire with an eye of peculiar regard. ENGLAND SEEMS TO BE SOLEMNLY SELECTED AND DELEGATED TO INTERPOSE A BARRIER BETWEEN PARTIAL SUBVERSION AND UNIVERSAL ANARCHY: TO PUNISH THE PUNISHERS OF NATIONS; TO HEAL THE WOUNDS OF AN AGONIZING WORLD, AND TO SIT LIKE A WAKFUL NURSE, WATCHING AT ITS SIDE, AND ADMINISTERING TO ITS LIPS THE MEDICINE OF SALVATION. We stand on a noble, but a dreadful elevation; responsible in ourselves for the future happiness of the whole human race. We have a spirit, a constitution, and a religion; unrivalled, unparalleled, unprecedented. From these sources I draw my politics, and these tell me, that we shall triumph. The red right hand of Providence is every where visible. *Even at this moment it is performing the promised work of PAPAL EXTIRPATION.* Persevere then, Britons, in the mighty task before you. To recede from it were ruin. Be firm, and you triumph—spear, and you fall.

" I do not know what Polypus means by his *Papal Extirpation*. I see no signs of any such matter. I grant that the catholic countries of Europe are daily dropping into degeneracy, and that the Pope is discovered to be neither infallible nor supreme. But then if we look to Ireland, we shall still see the spirit of that religion flourishing in full luxuriance under the invigorating auspices of *Gr-t-t-n and Co.* And yet I fear these worthies are employing much pains to little purpose. Absolutely government hath broken its faith with them, and catholic emancipation now goes begging from door to door, like a decayed gentlewoman. But if *Gr-t-t-n and Co.* wish to give full scope to their talents, and serve these kingdoms effectually, by making converts elsewhere,—I would humbly advise them to take a trip to the black empire of *Hayti*, for instance; or visit the *Aborigines* of America. To be sure Ireland would weep at losing them, but *tears always bring relief*. And even supposing the natives of Hayti or America so stupid as to suspend them upon a tree—still they might thank heaven such an accident never happened to them before. Besides, I dare say, there is a pleasure in being hanged for the good of one's country, which many sufferers may have felt indeed, but from the physical nature of the case have never been able to describe.—*Scriblerus.*

" † And thou, fair Erin.]—I speak of Ireland as a nation only; and as a nation she has no duty but duty. As individuals, I think the Irish merit much esteem.

Nymph, on whose lap the odour-dropping spring
 Delights to lavish all his sweetest wing;
 Play'd on by priests, a sweet, ill-finger'd lute;
 An ill-train'd tree, but vig'rous at the root!
 Like nettles, harmless to the grasping hand,
 But keen to sting if delicately spann'd;
 Cease to complain; imagin'd wrongs dismiss,
 And greet thy sister with a holy kiss;
 Unite, unite the common foe to quell,
 Thy pative temper is not to rebel."

There is some metaphorical confusion in the last part of this passage, where Ireland is represented both as a *tree* and a *lute*. The compliment to the Irish in the note is a bare tribute of justice; they are certainly a brave, gallant, and generous people; abounding in wit and eloquence; quick of conception, and possessed of a thousand good and amiable qualities. In a subsequent note, Sir Home Popham is ably justified, and the Ministers justly censured for their conduct to that excellent officer; who, instead of being brought to a *court-martial*, ought to have been promoted to a *flag*. And we must remark, en passant, that the whole proceedings on that court-martial were the *most extraordinary* that ever were witnessed on similar occasions: but, as the trial will no doubt be published, we shall reserve our sentiments on that subject until we have it before us. The author's account of the high and mighty feats of the new Ministry is deserving of notice.

"As yet the new-born Ministry have only begun to crawl. But I suppose he judges of the future butterfly by the present worm; and sees in its extreme ugliness the promise of much beauty hereafter. I think, however, that the transmutation has more to do with metals than animals; and am able only to perceive, that men who were Brass in a bad cause, are become Lead in a good one. A few *rockets* let off at Boulogne,—a fresh-water armament,—a mock negotiation,—late succours,—premature bulletins,—a Parliament new-modelled for a very good reason, and an army new-modelled for no reason at all;—this is what all the Talents have accomplished for us. This is the blaze which hath issued from the grand galaxy of political geniuses!!! Yet it is but fair to confess that their speeches are sometimes very pretty; and at present abound with admirable squibs let off at poor P-ph-m. Indeed it is highly proper that those who begin with sky-rockets should end with squibs."

Assuredly there never was a Ministry who promised so much and performed so little; who in profession had so much activity,

esteem. The profligate and idle, in general, come over to this country; and we seem to judge of the number by the more unworthy few. Literature is of late erecting her head in the capital; and I have read some productions of considerable merit. In particular, a satire on the players, entitled *Familiar Epistles*; which, in point of wit, elegance, and apt delineation, is not inferior to many productions in our language.

vigilance,

vigilance, wisdom, and vigour; and who in practice evinced so much sloth, carelessness, folly, and impotence. It would seem as if with the acquisition of power they had lost all their senses; and as if the possession of place had acted like a torpedo on their minds and benumbed all their faculties. But we will present our readers with some more of their portraits, *drawn from the life*.

“ SCRIBLERUS.

And long live Sh-r-d-n! * a worthier man
Heav'n never form'd since first the world began.

POLYPUS.

On him, too, we must split—and yet no wonder;
Oft from himself, *himself* is snapt asunder;
Confidence lost, identity destroy'd,
Talent by turns abus'd or unemploy'd.
Now calm he lives, and careless to be great;
Now brooding broils, and bellowing in debate.
Now drinking, rhiming, dicing, passes his day,
And now he plans a peace, and now a play.
The solemn rod of eloquence assumes,
Or sweeps up jests, and brandishes his brooms;
A giant sputt'ring pappy from the spoon,
A mighty trifter, and a wise buffoon.
With too much wit to have ev'n common sense;
With too much spirit ev'n to spare expence.
To tradesmen, jockey, porter, Jack, and Jill,
He pays his court—but never pays his bill.

“ * *Sh-r-d-n.*]—I own I pity Mr. Sh-r-d-n, because he really *does* possess some good qualities; and because *I know* that his way of life often costs him a bitter pang. Yet it is to be feared he will never amend it. Perhaps there is not in human nature an object more deplorable than the man of genius sacrificing the choicest gift of his Creator to dogged indolence and sensual depravity.

“ Nature intended Mr. Sh-r-d-n for a writer of farces and a monarch of the bottle. As to political *opinions*, I believe him absolutely incapable of forming any. The man never had a rule of conduct in his life. A perfect Epicurean in politics, he looks not beyond the deed of to-day; and all I am astonished at is, that in his hasty decisions he should never do right by a blunder. Yet I must acquit him of premeditated error. He never begins to reflect till urged by some sudden impulse of ambition, or vanity, or interest. No cold reason for Mr. Sh-r-d-n. Lull but his passions, and the little babe that fobs itself silent is not more harmless than he. Thus his entire character consists in reconciling extremes. We pity his impotence when we do not despise his rashness; and we see with surprise that his judgment must be blinded by the passions before he can act with effect.

“ † *But never pays his bill.*]—The following epigram conveys a just idea of the way Mr. S. will probably take to liquidate all his debts.

“ *Dick*, pay your debts!’ a fellow roars one day.

“ I will,’ replies this limb of Legislature.

“ Then tell me, *Dick*, what debt you first will pay?’

“ Why first I'll pay—I'll pay the debt of nature.”

Elate with fame, or low in folly sunk,
 Divinely eloquent, or beastly drunk,
 A splendid wreck of talents misapplied,
 By sloth he loses what he gains by pride.
 Him mean, great, silly, wise, alike we call;
 The pride, the shame, the boast, the scorn, of all."

This is but a faint outline of Mr. Sheridan's character. We have heard that he and his son, while in place, were in possession of no less than 12,000*l.* a year; yet how many demands under 20*l.* did he leave unsatisfied! There is, we say it with sorrow, but too much truth in his delineation of another political character;—of one, of whom we once thought most highly; of one who, to a highly-cultivated mind, adds the polished manners of a finished gentleman; of one who, six years ago, stood aloft on the pinnacle of fame, his country's boasted champion! but who, alas! nursed in the lap of party, too readily returns to the ways of his political infancy, and, by alternately supporting measures which his better judgment condemns, and indulging in metaphorical reveries, occasionally enlivened by flashes of wit, and amplified by stale jests and classical quotations, proving nothing but a ready memory, obscures and renders useless those powers which nature, in her bounty, bestowed, and which education and study matured and enlarged.

" Dull when he ponders, lucky in a hit,
 The very *sal volatile* of wit;
 Thro' the dark night to find the day he gropes;
He thinks in theories, and talks in tropes."

" Mr. W-ndh-m has already heaped a few responsibilities on his own shoulders, which he will be lucky if ever he rids himself of. At present I shall merely mention the notorious instance of *one* Colonel Cr-f-rd, whom he has lately sent out at the head of an expedition. This redoubtable champion, whom nobody knows (but who, for aught I can tell, might have heard a few discharges of musquetry in India), having got disgusted with the service, wrote to his friends to sell out for him. On coming to England, however, his martial spirit revived surprisingly—for Mr. W-ndh-m was in office. The Colonel burned for promotion, and the Secretary glowed with friendship. All this was an excellent farce, I must own; but pray heaven it may not end in a tragedy. For Mr. W-ndh-m, with the amiable ardour of a tender attachment, has appointed his charming friend (who was one of the last Colonels on the list) to the entire command of an army!!! I can easily conceive the confidence with which the troops will follow him into battle, and how feelingly they will cry, while he is asking his officers' names—'Wonderful is our beloved Secretary, he hath charmed this curiosity from the moon!' Mr. W-ndh-m, for heaven's sake, begin to think seriously at last. You are rendering your party odious, Mr. W-ndh-m. You are alienating the affections of the army, Mr. W-ndh-m. Even the volunteers, Mr. W-ndh-m, are already disgusted; and as to your *grand military system*, the whole service (saying a few Cr-f-rds) absolutely laugh it to scorn. Cast away Vanity, then, and consult Conscience. The poor old lady is an invalid, and you will be certain of finding her at home.

" Though

" Though the military system may have failed, yet it is not the fault of Mr. Windham; inasmuch as he has spared neither pains nor money upon it. Nay, most unquestionably he pays eight hundred thousand pounds per annum *extra*, in order to fail as a Secretary should fail, and to shew the people how economical Ministers are—Ay, economical, I repeat it. For economy consists in saving small sums; and Ministers declare they will think no sum too trivial to look after. That is, according to the common adage, *they will take care of the pence*; and as to the eight hundred thousand pounds; why—*the pounds must, of course, take care of themselves*. Besides, by the same inverted rule that we are to pay piles of money for failing, our successes, very probably, will not cost us a single doit.—*Scribl.*"

The author's friend Scriblerus observing what that political Solomon, Mr. Whitbread, would do, if he could catch a spark of Mr. Windham's* fire, Polypus answers;—

" To deeds more dang'rous Wh-thr-d might aspire.
But as it stands, our †Brewer has not Noc;
To lead the mob, or to mislead the House.
Sheath'd in a front of brass, his brain is lead,
A dim, dull fog plays heavy round his head;
His manly locks the hop and poppy shade,
Fit emblems of his talent and his trade.
Slow, yet not cautious; cunning, yet not wise;
We hate him first, then pity, then despise.
The drudging dunce, a simular of wit,
Lays up his store of repartee and hit;
And decks his brain with many a nice conceit,
As they skew'r opera-bills on butcher's meat.
As little fit th' affairs of state to move,
As Q——, who licks his toothless love.
Fill'd with the pride that loves her name in print,
And knock-knee'd vanity with inward squint;
Laborious, heavy, slow to catch a cause,
Bills at long sight upon his wits he draws,

* The difference between these two politicians is much the same as the difference between a restive racer and a frisky dray horse.

"† Our brewer has not Noc.]—I fancy that our Brewer will not entirely coincide with me, as no man is more gifted with the blessed advantages of vanity. He has the singular satisfaction of esteeming himself what the world vulgarly calls a *devilish clever fellow*. Now though the world may differ with him point-blank, yet his merely thinking so argues, at least,* much animal confidence, and an unbounded strength of imagination. Mr. Wh-thr-d and the toad are equally devoid of several virtues ascribed to them. The mouth of a toad contains no venom, and its head no jewel. In like manner, Mr. Wh-thr-d has neither harm in his eloquence, nor riches in his brain. After all, he can make a set speech pass off very prettily—if he be let alone: He can shew some ingenuity in pressing families of dissimilitude out of the shop and the Pantheon; but then come upon his flank with the cross-fire of a query, and he instantly falls into irrecoverable confusion.

* *Καὶ οὐ μόνον οὕτως.*

And with a solemn smartness in his mien,
Lights up his eyes and offers to look keen.

But oh! how dullness fell on all his face,
When he saw M-lv-llc rescued from disgrace.
Not more agape the stupid audience star'd,
* When K-mble spoke of *Aitches* and a *Baird*.
Cold from his cheek the crimson courage fled;
With jaw ajar, he look'd as he were dead;
As from th' anatomist he just had run,
Or was bound 'prentice to a skeleton.

† Then seeing through the matter in a minute,
Wish'd to the Dev'l he ne'er had meddled in it.

‡ M-lv-llc, poor man, consign'd to party pique,
Suspended *England's* business for a week.
Justice, turn'd scholar, chang'd her vulgar plan,
And just like *Hebrew* from the end began;

"* When K-mble spoke of *Aitches* and a *Baird*.]—I once thought Mr. K-mble classical; I now find him pedantic. In the name of common sense and the end of language, (which I suppose is to speak intelligibly) what can Mr. K-mble mean by calling *Aches*, *Aitches*? Does *Aitches* mend the meaning? No. Does *Aitches* perform any one act either useful or ornamental? No. *Aitches* then, it seems, is an old dead gentleman conjured from the grave, to terrify a worthy sentence till it loses its wits and talks what nobody can comprehend. I do not see why Mr. K. should puzzle an entire audience in order to shew that he once read an old edition of Shakspeare. And let me add, that his obstinacy in adhering to this absurd pronunciation, after the nightly hisses it experiences, betrays an ignorance of decorum and a want of humility, that always accompany much vanity and little learning.

"† Then seeing thro' the matter in a minute.]—Poor Wh-tbr-d (so sadly did his party dupe him) thought himself sure of success on that occasion, and also thought himself sure of a high place amongst the new ministry. All the Talents, however, appear to care very little about him or his hopes, and have, at last, compromised his very great feelings with a very small employment.

"Have you watered the rum? says a puritanical grocer to his apprentice. *Yes*. Have you wetted the tobacco? *Yes*. Have you sanded the sugar? *Yes*. THEN COME IN TO PRAYERS.

"Have you impeached Lord M-lv-llc? says a jacobinical party to its apprentice. *Yes*. Have you prejudged justice? *Yes*. Have you resolved not to rescind the resolutions? *Yes*. THEN COME IN TO POWER.

"‡ M-lv-llc.]—I wonder what this nobleman is about? *No negotiations, I hope*. I used to admire the cool contempt with which he invariably regarded Wh-t-br-d during his insolent harangues; thereby annoying that doughty champion not a little, and auguring prosperously of the event. There was also another omen observable during the trial. The passage terminating near Mr. W—d's feet was, by some fatality or other, made precisely in the shape of a gallows!!! Was this an architectural anticlimax of Mr. W—t? However, I confess I was so forcibly struck with it, that I now never see Mr. Wh-tbr-d without instantly having a gallows running in my head.

Ille per EXTENTUM FUNEM mihi posse videtur,
Ire! —

Hor."

First

*First found the culprit guilty, tried him next,
And from *Amen*, preach'd backward to the *text*.
So crabs advance by retrograde degrees,
And salmon drift, tail foremost, to the seas.
To tease the Scotchman answer'd ev'ry end;
Unhappy in his servant and his friend."

To the question, "what is this nobleman about?" we will answer, without fear of contradiction, that he is utterly incapable of entering into *negociations* with any administration of which his most active persecutors form a part;—and among these we class the contemptible hypocrite Lord —; but no matter, a fitter opportunity will occur for unfolding the wretched cunning, vulgar art, and miserable hypocrisy of this ignoble peer; who is despised by no one more, because by none is he better known, than by his sovereign. Lord Melville is, we venture to say, prepared to devote his services to his king and country, whenever they can be tendered with honour, and with a prospect of success. As to the disgraceful business of his impeachment, it has fixed an indelible stain on the *last* House of Commons, and on all the parties concerned in it, excepting only the honourable tribunal before which it was tried. We always pronounced its fate, and declared its object, from its very commencement, and at a time when we were *singular* in our opinion. But we little expected that any individuals of the party, by whom it was brought forward, would ever be base enough publicly to proclaim their object to the world;—yet so it is—in a paper, devoted to that *true Whig*, in principle and in conduct, Lord St. VINCENT, and the property of his quondam Secretary, it was, very recently, plainly and gravely asserted, that the impeachment had been productive of great good, by keeping Lord MELVILLE out of the Cabinet!!! We always knew and declared this to be the *primary* object of the prosecution; but we did not imagine that there existed any retainer of the party, at once such a fool and such a knave, as openly to avow it. We have now, then, their own confession, that it was not the love of their country, or a regard for justice, that induced the party to call the attention of the Legislature to the offence which they, most falsely, imputed to Lord MELVILLE; but that they were solely actuated by a desire to weaken Mr. Pitt's administration, by depriving it of the powerful support of his Lordship! Thus, at the same time, they pay a com-

* *First found the culprit guilty, tried him next.*
Ad fontem Zanthi versa recurrit aqua.—Ovid.

For in the first place,

Miss reportant,
Virg.

Exploratores.—

Then,

Fraudis sub judice damnaverunt.—Tac.

The

pliment to his Lordship's abilities, and expose the iniquity of their own conduct!

The last portraits which we shall select for exhibition from this motley assemblage of grave personages are not the two kings of Brentford; but the two petty Chancellors of the Exchequer.

"SCRIBLERUS.

Will you praise P-tty?

POLYPUS.

Al! poor P-tty! true—

I once had hope the little lad might do.
But P-tty ne'er a prodigy will prove;
Ne'er burn the Thames nor make the tide remove.
Once the smart boy, (as daily papers tell)
Perform'd a pretty speech extremely well;
Then seiz'd th' *Exchequer*—feeble and unfit;
But* Foxites fondly hop'd another Pitt.
Ev'n as some mother, wrapt in silent joy,
Beside the slumbers of her only boy,
Sees ev'ry human beauty flourish fair,
In his thick lips, flat nose, and fiery hair.

But† our young Roscius, spurning to controul
The high aspirings of his boundless soul,
Aims at more merits than of mere finance—
Learn, friend, that P-tty *præfises to dance*;
Unites at once activity and wit;
Both heel and head; both *Parifos* and *Pitt*.
His mind and body mutual graces shew,
And now he points a period—now a toe:
At balls he capers and at senates plods;
‡ A DANCING CHANCELLOR BY ALL THE GODS!!!

Er'n

"* *Foxites fondly hop'd another Pitt.*]—*Diffimiles hic vir et ille par, however.* Lord Henry labours hard to be a great man, but he has not *flamens*. The old Talents thought it necessary to astonish the nation with a new little Talent of their own begetting, so cried up poor P-tty to the skies. But, alas! we find that they called him clever just as people say a hare has wings—for convenience' sake. He is a *Georgium Sidus*; not only as being the last discovered planet, but as being the farthest from the sun and having the slowest motion.

"† *Our young Roscius.*]—I know not whether B-tty or P-tty, P-tty or B-tty, have fallen the most in public estimation.

Felices ambo! si quid mea carmina possunt, &c.

Yet times may change, and I do not despair of seeing *Master* B-tty in Parliament, and *Master* P-tty on the stage. At present, the player gets by heart other men's tragedies; the Minister repeats farces of his own composing, and this is all the difference between them.

"Voluisti, in suo genere, unum cunque nostrum quasi quendam esse *Roscium*, Cicero.

"‡ *A dancing Chancellor by all the Gods!!!*]—Gentle reader, I present the following pretty little stanzas on the *Dancing Chancellor*:

'I can

Ev'n beardless statesmen are no vulgar evil;
But oh! A DANCING CHANC'LLOR is the Devil!"

So much for his little Lordship—now for that grave, sober, solemn, staid, starched personage, the Sage of Richmond Park.

"Tailors turn'd statesmen—Add-ngt-n a Lord.

* Poor S-dm-th, feeble insect of an hour,—

SCRIBLERUS.

Despises censure, as he laughs at power.

'I can make speeches in the Senate too, Nacky.' *Otway.*

Και πάλιν θύλω χρονον. *Anacr.*

Saltare elegantius quam necesse est probæ. Sall.

To be seen—an odd Mortal in London.

A Lord, let me add with submission;

Whom heav'n meant to dance,

But he dipp'd in finance;

So turn'd out a *beau politician*.

In Parliament glibly he gabbles,

Her laws and her taxes to teach her;

And speaks off his part,

Amazingly smart,

Considering the age of the creature.

At balls he's so dapper a dancer,

The misses all find him most handy;

For tho' heavy in head,

As a plummet of lead,

He jumps like a Jack-a-dandy.

Pray heav'n that he never may tumble,

While dancing away for a wife, Sir;

Should he get a *caprice*,

How the Dev'l could he rise?—

He must live on his head all his life, Sir.

Now his getting a step in a hornpipe,

I think could not injure the nation,

But hard is its lot,

Since P-ty has got

A step in administration.

Oh! down on our knees, my dear Britons,

And ere P-ty's dancing be ended;

Let's offer this pray'r;

While his heels kick the air,

May his body be never suspended!

"* Poor S-dm-th.]—The Doctor has given over practice, and according to the continental phrase, has retired to his estates.

Latet abditus agro.

D'Oubril, Haugwitz, &c. have retired to their estates, and become Ploughmen too. I think all the Ex-Ministers of Europe D'Oubril, Haugwitz, and S-dm-th, &c. might meet together in *Crusoe's Island*, and form a most comfortable and condoling society.

POLYPUS.

If he scorns censure, 'tis a lucky whim;
 And if he laughs at pow'r, pow'r laughs at him.
 A sad weak soul, and made for men to jeer,
 He held the helm, how long?—One total year!
 Then the stern Commoner, all claws and strings,
 Turn'd, in a trice, **the Lord in leading-frings.*
 In place a cypher, and a spit-fire out,
 While laughing faction bandied him about;
 Mild as the mule, and patient as the bee,
 No shuttlecock was e'er so bang'd as he!
 Yet praise, where praise is due, the muse shall give;
 The man has merit, but 'tis negative.
 The passive valour of a patient mind,
 And martyr meekness in his soul we find.
 Wit, hid like kernels, he may too inherit,
 And not to be a scoundrel *has* its merit."

We have thus taken a pretty enlarged view of this picture gallery; we have introduced some of its principal characters to our readers; and we now leave it to them to decide on the accuracy of the likenesses, and on the merits of the painter.

Simonidea. 12mo. Pp. 100. 2s 6d. Meyler, Bath; Robinson, London.

WHATEVER is written by the author of *Gebirus* will claim more than common attention from the learned world. Its beauties and its faults (we should rather say its *crimes*) have been canvassed in our former volumes. The Poems now before us are called *Simonidea*, "because the first of them commemorate the dead." But it is not the choice of subject, it is the style of Simonides, that Mr. Savage Landor has so highly and so justly praised. "His cha-

"* *The Lord in leading-frings.*.]—I see Polypus is bent on abusing every body. So because Mr. Add-ngt-n became a lord, and had not duplicity to refuse a good offer, Polypus chooses to put him into *leading-frings*. I wish Polypus was put into the pillory. Now Lord S-dm-th's acceptance of a proffered title strikes me, on the contrary, as an instance of strict integrity and candour. Why should he tell a lie, I ask? Why should he say, *Thank you, Sir, I had rather not*; while his conscience was for saying, *With all my soul, and with all my strength, Sir?* Morality must be considered, even though a man should lose by it. For my part, I like morality extremely—I think it an appendage of the gentleman—A sort of rarity, rather becoming than otherwise; and though Lord S-dm-th has pinned a title upon *his* morality, yet, I dare say, they do not interfere with each other at all. • I beg leave to remark that there are several sorts of morality. There is a morality which feels, and a morality which reasons. There is also a morality which does neither the one nor the other, but *acts only upon infants*. This last I take to be Lord S-dm-th's morality.—*Scribl.*

characteristics

characteristics were, simplicity, brevity, tenderness, and an assiduous accuracy of description." We have not enough of him remaining to form an imitator, and indeed, if we had, the author of this work is not liable to be led by precept or prescription. He certainly, in the volume before us, has often united all the qualities he commends. It must not, however, be forgotten, that Simonides on some occasions threw aside simplicity: witness the memorable words on the *Mule-race*. Poetry is intended to profit and delight: unless it delight, it cannot profit. Who will afford it the opportunity? We must bend in some measure, though not too humbly, to the manners, the taste, and even the prejudices of our age. We admire in one language what we disapprove in another: we embrace in *Gebirus* what we shrink from in *Gebir*. It gives us real and great satisfaction to announce all the excellencies of that poem in Gunlaug and Helga. Here is all its rapidity, all its distinctness of imagery, with sweetness and conciliation of language. We shall give one extract to exemplify our remark, although there are some finer and more exquisite touches of the pathetic in the passage where Gunlaug proceeds to encounter Ráfen.

"He came; their friendship grew; he woo'd;
Nor Helga's gentle heart withstood.

Her milk-white rabbit oft he fed,
And crumbled fine his breakfast-bread;
And oft explor'd, with anxious view,
Spots where the crispest parsley grew.
Her restive horse he daily rid,
And quite subdu'd her stubborn kid,
Who lately dar'd to quit her side,
And once, with painful rashness, tried
His ruddy horn against her knee,
Bold as his desp'rate fire could be.

The cautious father long delay'd
The wishes of the youth and maid:
His patient hand, like her's, unrolls
The net to catch the summer shoals;
And both their daily task compare,
And daily win each other's hair.
One morn, arising from her side,
He, as he paid the forfeit, cried—
'Behold my hair too trimly shine,
'Behold my hands are white as thine.
'O! could I loose our bliss's bar!
'I burn for wedlock and for war.'

"*Bliss's bar.*] I am forced to adopt here the eldest and best manner of spelling. In future I shall employ it without force. It is impossible that ones following another should *make* a separate syllable, though it might be the *sign* of one. Such contractions are not less absurd than those ridiculed by Dean Swift, and yet they are common in our poets.

' For war,' said she, ' when lovers burn,
 ' To wedlock, Gunlaug, few return :
 ' In Samsa, brave Hjalmar lies,
 ' Nor Inga's daughter clos'd his eyes.
 ' By sixteen wounds of raging fire
 ' The enchanted sword of Agantyr,
 ' Withering, laid waste his fruitless bloom,
 ' And hous'd the hero in the tomb.
 " Oh Oddur," said the dying chief,
 " Take off my ring, my time is brief ;
 " My ring if smaller, might adorn
 " The plighted hand of Ingebiorn !"
 ' Swift to Sigtuna flew the friend,
 ' And forely wept Hjalmar's end.
 ' By Mælereh's blue lake he found
 ' The virgin sitting on the ground.
 ' A garment for her spouse she wove ;
 ' And sang " *Ah speed thee, gift of love !*"
 ' In anguish Oddur heard her sing,
 ' And turn'd his face and held the ring.
 ' Back fell the maiden : well she knew
 ' What fatal tidings must ensue.
 ' When Oddur rais'd her, back she fell,
 ' And died the maiden, lov'd so well.
 " Now gladly," swore the generous chief,
 " I witness death beguiling grief ;
 " I never thought to smile again
 " By thy blue waters, Mælereh !"
 ' But grant that on the foreign strand
 ' Thy bosom meet no biting brand :
 ' Grant that no swift unguarded dart
 ' Lay thee beneath the flooded thwart :
 ' Ah ! how unlike a nuptial day,
 ' To shudder at the hissing spray ;
 ' To wipe and wipe its tingling brine,
 ' And vainly blink thy pelted eyes ;
 ' To feel their stiff'ning lids weigh'd down
 ' By toil no pleasure comes to crown :
 ' Say, Gunlaug, wouldst thou give for this
 ' The fire-side feast and bridal kiss ?
 He told the father what he said,
 And what replied the willing maid.
 ' My son,' said Thorstein, ' now I find
 ' Unfixt, inconstant, is thy mind.
 ' Away to war, if war delight,
 ' Begone three years from Helga's sight.
 ' Then, if perchance at thy return
 ' Thy breast with equal transport burn,
 ' Your wishes I no more confine—
 ' No—darling Helga shall be thine.'

.. " Thwart.]—Bench for the rowers.

Away

Away the tow'ring warrior flew,
 Nor bade his Helga once adieu.
 He felt the manly sorrows rise,
 And open'd wide his gushing eyes :
 He stopt a moment in the hall ;
 Still the too pow'ful tears would fall.
 He would have thought his fate accurst
 To meet her as he met her first ;
 So, madly swang the sounding door,
 And reacht, and reaching left, the shore."

Nothing shews greater art and address than to relieve, by objects light but not ludicrous, the prominences of composition. Virgil has done this gracefully and discreetly. Of a similar kind is the cursory notice of the kid,

" Bold as his desperate fire could be,"

And the following :

" A frolic maid was passing by,
 And, as she saw the hero lie,
 Remov'd the clinking hawberk mail,
 And took a wolf-skin from a nail :
 Across his throat she plac'd the teeth,
 And tuckt the clasping claws beneath ;
 And would have kist him, but she fear'd
 To tickle with her breast his beard."

This would not be sufficiently grave for heroic poetry, but who would displace it from the station it possesses? The whole exhibits a fine description of manners, no less than of passions.

We now appeal to the author, and to our readers in general, whether we can justly be accused of illiberality. In a vigorous and vehement preface to some Latin poems which are added, is a defence of *Gebirus*. What poetry have we ever praised more ardently, or what principles have we more irreversibly condemned! Happy are we to discover, that a man who could be so injurious to society, disavows the wish. But we cannot forget that he was amongst the last to "despair of the republic." If our limits permitted, we should insert the preface, both for its masculine latinity and corrected sentiments. Our conduct is now and always has been liberal towards Mr. Savage Landor. We did not invidiously point out the numerous errata of the English or of the Latin Gebir. In the English, they were corrected by a second edition : as the Latin is dated from Paris, we made a most ample allowance for the inaccuracy of the press. The faults are such as no scholar would attribute to another, who writes Latin, both in prose and poetry, with such elegance, such facility, and such force ; who despises all crabbedness, all collected phrases, all incongruity, all imitation ; and to whom, indeed, the language seems more national than his own. But it was our duty to place a guard against his mischievous politics ; and we performed it with

with alacrity. When the character of the man is laid open by the writer, the public has a right to judge of him in both capacities. If politics or morals are at stake, we must be dastardly, or we must be personal. We know Mr. Savage Landor, though he knows not us; we are aware that he possesses, what is most dangerous if misapplied,---a high ambitious spirit, a mind and body indefatigable in their pursuits, together with an ease and versatility of manner, which would fit him to guide the ferocity of the Creeks and Hurons, or to shine and to seduce in courts.

We have little room left for any of the Latin poetry. In the Sapphic ode, a fresh and lasting wreath is added to the brow of the Emperor Alexander, of the heroic defender of Acra, and of Nelson, while yet living. We shall notice two highly poetical and characteristic strokes.

" Venit Arctois metuendus armis
Sydnus : *pallet labiumque mordet*
Ut nimis noti ferus imperator

Ora tuetur "

What a true and contemptible expression is here given to the countenance of the Corsican, and with what peculiar and striking effect, after the sonorous line above !

Again, in the apostrophe to France,

" Tene disruptis iterum catenis
Implicas, tanto madidis cruce
Civium ? *paucos male feriaris*

Verna decembres."

In the happiness of insulated verses, and in touches at once novel and natural, few poets of the day are comparable to De Lille. In Gebirus, p. 119, from the words, "*Ignipotens Phabi*" to "*Incolit Iris*," is a description which, for beauty of scenery, felicity of versification, and grace gaining on us by degrees and rising into dignity, neither the poet of France, nor any other of the age, can produce an equivalent, or a parallel. There is as much difference between them, as between the flaunt and frippery of Watteau and the rich harmonious colouring of Claude Loraine. Poetry of the same kind, if we could pass over the too glowing descriptions, is to be found abundantly in "*Pudoris Ara*." We shall conclude this article with a small indeed, but most exquisite, piece of imagery from that composition.

Helen evades the questions and the complaints of Leda by enquiries after Penelope, whose happier lot she proves herself to envy by this natural and delicate expression :

" Sic queritur, causasq. fugæ rogat : illa roganti
Vertere-propositum cupit, atq. edificere fata
Penelopes ; *di dent genitori resset in urbe.*
Ancipiti voto subridens candida Lede
Palpat utramq. genam digito, mulcetq. pudorem,
Educitq. sinu, lapsanti pollice, mentum."

Van

Van Mildert's Sermons.

(Concluded from p. 273.)

IN the second volume of these valuable discourses the author discusses the following important subjects:—The proper defence of revealed religion, with a statement of the argument *a priori*;—The inability of man to frame a religion for himself;—The insufficiency of natural or moral philosophy to instruct us in religious truth;—The insufficiency of metaphysics, and the necessity of taking faith for our guide;—The proper limits of human understanding, in judging of revealed religion;—The preparatory dispositions necessary for receiving the truths of revealed religion. Having thus considered the question, with all the *a priori* arguments that have been urged upon it, and refuted the objections of infidels and cavillers supported by such arguments, the learned preacher next proceeds to examine, The argument *a posteriori*, and its application to revealed religion;—Historical evidence of the facts of scripture;—The comparative force of human and divine testimony;—and the great general argument for the divine origin of Christianity, from its design and accomplishment. In this last head of enquiry he, of course, includes miracles, prophecy, and inspiration of scripture. His last discourse contains a recapitulation of his arguments and evidences, and his conclusions from them.

Throughout this comprehensive range of important discussion, Mr. Van Mildert displays the able and acute reasoner; the discreet and temperate disputant; the sound and learned divine; the pious and devout Christian.—And he has added one more proof to the many already supplied of the zeal, promptitude, and judgement, with which the clergy of the Established Church are always ready to stand forth to encounter its enemies, and to defend it against the insidious attacks of the sceptic, or the more rude and licentious assaults of the infidel.

In his first discourse the author makes the following just observations on the utter inapplicability of arguments *a priori* to the Christian religion.

“ But it would ill become the advocates of Divine Truth, to submit the trial of its claims to that tribunal of human opinion, which its adversaries would thus presumptuously set up. For, whatever respect may be due to those arguments, by which wise and good men have frequently shewn the utility, or rather the necessity, of Divine Revelation, and have vindicated the wisdom and goodness of its several dispensations, yet never ought we to concede, that the proof of its truth depends, in any wise, on our ability to satisfy, by arguments of such a description, those who cavil at its system. Our Faith is founded upon the basis of *fact*, not of *opinions*; and it is to be proved, like all other matters of fact, by historical testimony. By testimony, divine as well as human, it is firmly supported; by testimony which none of its opponents have yet been able to invalidate. It is, therefore, hardly to be expected, that we should go forth and engage the adversary in a wide and open

open field of controversy, to the neglect of this impregnable fortress of our Faith. Rather ought we to follow the advice of the Royal Psalmist:—
 ‘Walk about Sion, and go round about her; and tell the towers thereof.
 ‘Mark well her bulwarks, set up her houses, that ye may tell them that
 ‘come after.’—Ps. xlviii. 11, 12.

“Indeed, when the nature of the argument *a priori* is considered, it appears to be a kind of reasoning, which can hardly, without arrogance, be applied to the subject of Revealed Religion. For, unless we presume that our understanding and knowledge are commensurate with those of the Supreme Being, how shall we venture to decide upon the fitness, expediency, and wisdom, of that which is proposed to us, under the sanction of positive external evidence, as a communication of the Divine will? That men should not give implicit belief to any system, which thus professes to be of Divine authority, without due enquiry into the *testimony* by which it is supported, is highly reasonable; nay it is their duty to make that enquiry as far as they have opportunity and ability so to do. But to claim a right, even after sufficient evidence has been given of its being really ‘the work of God,’ to question its expediency, and to weigh it in the balance of our finite understandings, appears to be no less presumptuous and indecent, than to arraign the wisdom and goodness of the works of Creation, notwithstanding the manifold proofs of their proceeding from an infinitely-perfect Author.

“It will be perceived, however, that these observations are only applicable where the Divine authority of any Religion is already sufficiently attested by its proper external evidences; in opposition to *which only*, it is contended, that all *a priori* reasoning, on the purport of the Divine communication, must be insufficient to overthrow its authority, being no better than reasoning against fact, or opposing human opinion and conceit to infinite wisdom and knowledge.”

In fact, scepticism and infidelity are, as we have often contended, the fruits of human vanity. The mind infected with this vile passion, aspires to exalt itself above the sphere which its Creator has assigned it; to reduce every thing to a level with its own narrow, circumscribed, and finite faculties; and to reject as false every thing which is above its own comprehension. In opposition to such vain, presumptuous, and impious notions, Mr. Van Mildert demonstrates the insufficiency of human reason to frame a religion for itself. He shews the complete impotence of the most eminent sages of the heathen world to acquire any correct notions of virtue and vice, of moral good and evil; or to obtain the knowledge of Divine truths; and he treats with proper contempt, the arrogant pretensions of those modern philosophers, who assume a superiority of wisdom over the Pagan sages of former times.

“But, may we not be permitted to ask, whence this assumed superiority of modern over ancient Philosophers has arisen? and whence the extraordinary influx of light upon these latter times has been derived? Is there any one so infatuated by his admiration of the present age, as seriously to think that the intellectual powers of man are stronger and more perfect now, than they were wont to be; or that the particular talents of himself, or any of his contemporaries, are superior to those who shone forth
 in

in the luminaries of the Gentile world? Do the names even of Locke, Cudworth, Cumberland, Clarke, Wilkins, or Wollaston, (men so justly eminent in modern times, and who laboured so indefatigably to perfect the theory of natural religion) convey to us an idea of greater intellectual ability, than those of the consummate Masters of the Portico, the Grove, or the Lyceum? How is it, then, that the advocates for the natural perfection, or perfectibility, of human Reason, do not perceive, that, for all the superiority of the present over former times, with respect to Religious Knowledge, we must be indebted to *some intervening cause*, and not to any actual enlargement of the human faculties? Is it to be believed, that any man of the present age, of whatever natural talents he may be possessed, could have advanced one step beyond the Heathen Philosophers, in his pursuit of Divine Truth, had he lived in *their* times, and enjoyed only the light which was bestowed upon *them*? Or can it fairly be proved, that merely by the light of Nature, or by reasoning upon such data only as men possess who never heard of Revealed Religion, any moral or religious truth has been discovered, since the days when Athens and Rome affected to give laws to the intellectual as well as to the political world? That great improvements have since been made, in framing systems of Ethics, of Metaphysics, and of what is called Natural Theology, need not be denied. But these improvements may easily be traced to one obvious cause, the widely-diffused light of the Gospel, which, having shone, with more or less lustre, on all nations, has imparted, even to the most simple and illiterate of the sons of men, such a degree of knowledge on these subjects, as, without it, would be unattainable by the most learned and profound."

Mr. Van Mildert proceeds to shew that whatever knowledge of a Supreme Being, and of a future state, has been found among men, has been received traditionally, and proceeded originally from Revelation. And in support of this position, he uses the following strong and unanswerable argument.

"It is indeed hardly possible to suppose, that these Truths were *not* revealed to man, from the very beginning. For, can it reasonably be imagined, that Adam was left, (even in his primeval condition, when his faculties were unclouded by sin and corruption) to acquire the knowledge of his Creator from such proofs only, as the light of Nature could afford him? or that he was suffered to remain in a state of uncertainty and conjecture, respecting the continuance of his being, his future destination, the purposes of his creation, the duties which he had to perform, the happiness provided for him, and the means of attaining it? On all these points, so essential to his comfort and well-being, must we not necessarily conclude, that he derived instruction immediately from the Fountain of Wisdom?

"Equally improbable does it appear, that, *after his Fall*, (when such an entire change had taken place in his views and expectations, when so much greater help was needful for him, and so much stronger assurance of the Divine favour towards him became requisite for his consolation and support), he should be left to walk in darkness, or to trust to his own conjectural reasonings upon what had been *before* revealed to him. There is, indeed, sufficient evidence that fresh information was then vouchsafed to man, to shew him the way of duty and of happiness. The very first promise of a Redeemer is a proof, that some communication instantly

instantly took place, between his Creator and him, relative to his altered condition; and we may well suppose, (nay, we can hardly but suppose) that, so far as could be conducive to his restoration to life and happiness, every thing was explicitly revealed, which it behoved him either to believe or to do, in order to his acceptance with God.

"Here, then, we have an easy solution of the question, how the world became acquainted with the knowledge of God and of a Future State, without searching for it by abstract reasoning, i. e. by reasoning from notions supposed to be inherent in the mind, or indeed from arguments of any kind, independent of Divine communications.

"From Adam to Noah, from Noah to Abraham, from Abraham to Moses, from Moses to the Jewish people, and from them to the rest of the world, these doctrines were handed down in succession, and occasionally confirmed, illustrated, or more largely unfolded, by subsequent Revelations. Through these preachers of Righteousness, whom God from time to time raised up, the Gentiles (in addition to such imperfect traditions of the fundamentals of Religion, as must have been preserved even among the most corrupt descendants of Noah) received continual instruction and admonition, until the deliverance of the Israelites from Egyptian bondage; after which period, they were still oftentimes witnesses of tremendous signs and wonders, wrought for the purpose of overthrowing their idolatrous worship, and impressing them with a belief and a dread of Jehovah, the God of Israel, who thus manifested himself in an especial manner as the true and only God, to the exclusion of all the imaginary Deities of the Heathen world.

"Henceforward, the knowledge of Revealed Religion extended, by various means, far beyond those to whom it was directly communicated. Philosophers the most remote from the land of the Jews, enjoyed opportunities, by their travels into Eastern countries, of enriching themselves with stores of religious truth from the fountain-head. The earlier and later dispersions of the Jews greatly contributed to the diffusion of this blessing: and to the Philosophers who immediately preceded the coming of Christ, the Septuagint translation of the Scriptures afforded a supply of information on Divine subjects, which evidently raised them above their predecessors, and gave a dignity and weight to their speculations, not to be found in those of remoter ages. Thus, from the important epoch, when God vouchsafed to become, peculiarly and exclusively, as it were, the Deity of his people Israel, the rest of mankind were enabled, through these various channels, to collect many scattered fragments of Divine Knowledge, in addition to those general notions which they had derived from their forefathers, respecting the great fundamental principles of Theology and Morals."

From the same source, the author considers the *moral* notions of the ancients to have been derived. And, indeed, the precepts of Noah have long been regarded, particularly by Jewish writers, as having constituted the foundation of every moral code which appeared in the Heathen world which very well accounts, as Mr. V. M. observes, "for their sublime, and sometimes just, *speculations* on the Divine nature and perfections."

"But with respect to all these doctrines, the Being and Nature of God,

the Immortality of the Soul, a Future State of rewards and punishments, and the obligation of Moral Duty, it may be observed, that they who have been instructed in them from their infancy, are so accustomed to consider them as natural and necessary truths, that they are little aware in what manner the knowledge of them has been first acquired. They suppose them to be deductions from natural principles, or discoveries of human Reason; because they are in all respects so congenial with our hopes and wishes, and are so strongly attested by every thing which passes under our contemplation in the natural and moral world, that they cannot be relinquished, without doing violence to the feelings and to the understanding. Yet nothing is more certain, than that Philosophers of old never arrived at a *knowledge* of these truths, never attained to a *conviction* of any one of them: nor is there, perhaps, at this day, a savage nation on the globe, which can properly be said to know or to believe them. Glimmerings of light and information there have always been; enough to excite the hopes, and fears, and wishes of mankind, but not enough to confirm their expectations."

The author next proves that Natural and Moral Philosophy are incapable *per se* of instructing their followers in the knowledge of God, or even of man, considered as a *Christian*. In regard to Moral Philosophy, he observes:

"It is the observation of one*, who had made the extent of the human faculties his peculiar study, that 'Philosophy is as unable to give rules, as nature is to practise them.' Various are the principles which have been adopted as the basis of Morals, by ancient and modern theorists; such as Utility, Expediency, the Fitness of Things, the Beauty of Virtue, the Moral Sense or Conscience, Justice, Veracity, Public Good, and the like; some of which differ from each other rather in name, than in substance. But in every system, which proposes to establish Morality on any other basis than that of the Revealed Will of God, there is one fundamental defect, that no satisfactory account is given of *moral obligation*, properly so called. For supposing any of these systems to be right and well founded; the question will still return, 'Why am I *obliged* to act thus? — 'Why am I *obliged* to act in conformity with Truth, Utility, Fitness of things, or any other criterion which may be proposed, as the test of right and wrong? — To these questions no proper answer can be given, but that it is *the Will of God*; and that if we obey not *His Will*, we must abide the consequences, and suffer the penalties attached to disobedience. This is the *sanction*, the *only* sanction, which can strictly be said to *oblige* us to any particular rule of conduct: and this (as was before observed) must depend on the *declared* purpose of the Almighty, to reward or punish us, according to our conformity or opposition to such rule."

In the Eighteenth Discourse, the author thus sums up his arguments, in the five preceding discourses, on the inability of man to frame a religion for himself; on the insufficiency of natural, moral, or metaphysical philosophy, to lead him to a knowledge of divine truth; on the reasonableness of taking faith for his guide in matters above the reach of human discovery; and on the inap-

* * Baker on Human Learning, chap. 6.

plicability of a priori reasoning on such subjects; in the following propositions.

"I. As all religion is founded in the knowledge of God, of a Future State, and of the Divine Will, a Religion which does not give us satisfactory information on these points, is no Religion at all, or worse than none.

"II. Man is unable to acquire any certain knowledge of these points, without Revelation; and, consequently, is unable to frame a Religion for himself.

"This proposition is proved in two ways: from the *fact*, that he never *did* attain to such knowledge; from the *reason* of the thing, which shews that he never *could* attain to it.

"That he never *did* attain to it, appears from a fair and impartial statement of the condition of the Heathen world before the preaching of Christianity; and of the condition of barbarous and uncivilized countries at the present moment.

"That he never *could* attain to it, is proved by shewing that human Reason, unenlightened by Revelation, has no *foundation* on which to construct a solid system of Religion; that all human knowledge is derived from external communications, and conveyed either through the medium of the senses, or immediately by Divine Inspiration; that those ideas which are formed in the mind through the medium of the senses, can communicate no knowledge of *spiritual* things; and that, consequently, for this knowledge we must be indebted wholly to Divine Revelation.

"In reply to the arguments usually alledged to prove the sufficiency of Natural, Moral, or Metaphysical Philosophy, to guide us into religious truth, it was argued as follows:—

"*Natural* Philosophy being wholly conversant with objects of *sense*, it is impossible that the phenomena, which are presented to its investigation, should enable us to discover *spiritual* truths, which have no perceptible connection with *such* objects.

"*Moral* Philosophy, without the sanction of Revelation, is radically defective in its principle; because the foundation of *moral obligation*, properly so called, is the *will of God*; and because, without Revelation, we cannot clearly know in what *relations* we stand to the Supreme Being; nor be assured that we are paying him an *acceptable* service.

"*Metaphysical* Philosophy, although it professes to treat of *mind* as well as of body, and to investigate the forms, qualities, or internal essences of things, rather than their external characters, is yet incompetent to instruct us in Theology, or spiritual truths; because its conclusions must be drawn either from objects of *sense*, or from the phenomena of the *human* mind; the former of which cannot advance us to an acquaintance with the spiritual and invisible world; nor the latter disclose to us the mind and will of God, which is the true source and fountain of all Theological truth.

"III. Revelation being thus necessary to enable us to attain to a knowledge of the first principles of Religion, it becomes reasonable and necessary, to take *Faith* for our guide; i. e. it is reasonable and necessary, that we should *rely on the Divine word*, for our knowledge of religious Truth.

"This position rests upon the acknowledged Attributes of God, his Omnipotence, his Omniscience, and his Veracity; that he is *able* to make what communications he pleases, that his *knowledge* is perfect and universal, and that he cannot *lie*. Unbelievers can only refute this position, by proving that these Attributes do not belong to God, or that the *Divine* wisdom and truth are no

more

more to be relied upon than the *human*: that is to say, they must prove either the perfection of Man, or the imperfection of God.

"IV. From man's inability to know any thing of Religion, farther than it is revealed to him, and from the necessity of his receiving it by Faith in God's word, it follows, that it is not allowable for him to *judge* of Revelation, upon any principles *subversive of Faith*.

"Since Faith originates in a conviction of the wisdom and truth of God, it cannot, consistently with itself, be swayed by arguments which militate against the Divine authority: and since the subjects, with which Faith is conversant, are things beyond the reach of human intellect, it is bound to receive the truths communicated through Revelation, not as matters of controversy, but as the incontestable dicta of Supreme Wisdom.

"Unbelievers can only set aside this proposition, by bringing positive evidence, that God hath released men from their obligation to rely upon his word; which is too absurd to be supposed:—or, by disproving, upon some certain and infallible data, what, upon the authority of God's word, we are called upon to believe; which is too blasphemous to be admitted.

"From the foregoing Propositions may be deduced two corollaries which will serve as maxims of controversy, in the discussions between Infidels and Believers; and a departure from which may be considered as indicating '*an evil heart of unbelief*.'—First; that Revealed Religion must be received as true, unless clear and solid arguments can be brought to invalidate the proofs of its *coming from God*.—Secondly; that no argument to that effect is admissible, but such as relates to its evidences as a matter of Fact."

In the five subsequent discourses the Historical Evidences of Christianity are considered and enforced, in a concise, luminous, and impressive manner. The author shews that both sacred and profane history combine to prove certain facts; and, in particular, that before the period at which Christians declare the birth of Christ to have taken place, the very name of Christianity was unknown; and that subsequently to that epoch, it was a matter of notoriety, was acknowledged, received, and protected. On the supposition that Christianity was a mere fable or imposture, as some modern infidels have impiously suggested, it is not possible to account for these, and other admitted, facts; while the Christian has a plain unvarnished history to relate.

"In the reign of Augustus Cæsar, a remarkable person, named Jesus, was born in the land of Judea, who, though of lowly parentage and education, came forth at an early age as a Teacher sent from God; wrought numberless miracles in the presence of multitudes of spectators; astonished all who heard him by the excellence of his doctrines, and the 'authority' with which he declared them; won the admiration of all men by his spotless life and conversation; boldly rebuked the vices, corruptions, and errors of his countrymen, even of those who were most distinguished for learning and authority; predicted many very extraordinary events which exactly came to pass; made disciples of men of low birth and mean occupations, whom, though uneducated and ignorant, he enabled to preach with great power and effect, and to perform many wonderful works; forewarned these men, that neither he nor they were to receive in this world any recompense of wealth, power, or reputation, but that they would be exposed to continual poverty and persecution;

'went

'went about doing good' to all, both friends and enemies; endured the utmost malice of the Jewish Rulers and the madness of the people; submitted to the most cruel and ignominious sufferings with unparalleled meekness and fortitude; died, and was buried in a sepulchre purposely secured and guarded to prevent any attempt on the part of his followers to fabricate a story of his revival; rose again nevertheless, on the third day, and appeared at sundry times to his disciples; and, lastly, ascended visibly into heaven, blessing his apostles, and promising that, within a short time, they should be 'endued with power from on high,' and miraculously gifted through his name to shew signs and wonders, to cast out devils, to heal the sick, to speak with new tongues, to preach the Gospel among all nations, and spread abroad the knowledge of it to the uttermost part of the earth.

"This narrative, contained in the writings of the four Evangelists, is followed by a circumstantial account of the fulfilment of this last promise of Jesus, in the sudden and miraculous descent of the Holy Spirit upon the Apostles; whence they were empowered to speak languages of which they were before wholly ignorant, to work great and signal miracles, and to preach doctrines far beyond the wisdom of man to invent or to conceive. This they did, notwithstanding the rage and bigotry of the Jews, and the proud philosophy of the Heathens; making proselytes of all nations, and among all ranks and degrees of men, though without bribe to offer, worldly interest to promote, or any means of gratifying the corrupt passions of human nature; and finally sealing with their blood the truth of the doctrines which they taught, and of the facts which they attested on their own personal knowledge.

"Besides these preachers of the Gospel, there was also one of still more extraordinary character and circumstances, a man bred and born a Jew, a bigotted disciple of the strictest sect of Judaism, a vehement persecutor of the followers of Jesus, and stimulated by interest and inclination to do every thing in his power to crush this Religion in its very infancy. Yet was this man suddenly, and in a most wonderful manner, converted to faith in the Gospel, became one of its most strenuous and successful Preachers, and suffered martyrdom for its sake.

"Respecting facts of so extraordinary a kind as these, it seems impossible that any persons professing to relate them from their own personal knowledge should be themselves deceived; and equally impossible that they should impose them on others as true, if they had not really taken place. The evidence of men's senses is the proper proof of all human transactions: and nothing is here related of which the senses of mankind were not fully competent to form a judgment. There was also the greatest publicity in the things said to have been done: and they were of such a nature as not to admit of any imposition by art, or any fallacy from accidental causes."

We cannot follow this able divine through the whole of his arguments on the different evidences of the Christian religion; nor, indeed, is it necessary to exhibit any more specimens either of his mode of reasoning, or of the style of his composition. He has proved himself a most able champion of the Christian cause, abounding in zeal, but equally abounding in judgement. He is strong without severity; temperate without tameness: he opposes his adversaries with fairness, but makes no unguarded nor unwarrantable concessions: he proves, by his own exertions, the efficacy of human

human reason, when properly directed and virtuously applied; while he marks its boundaries with precision, reproves its transgressions with firmness, and asserts with consistent steadfastness the empire of FAITH.

The style, throughout the work, is uniformly perspicuous, nervous, and classically correct. We have noticed only a single word to which an objection can be urged, in the two volumes. In pp. 96 and 285 of vol. ii, the term *promotive* occurs, which is not a legitimate expression, and for the use of which we know no example.

A Portraiture of Quakerism, as taken from a View of the Moral Education, Discipline, peculiar Customs, Religious Principles, political and civil Economy, and Character of the Society of Friends. By Thomas Clarkson, M. A. Author of several Essays on the Subject of the Slave-Trade. 3 vols. 8vo. Longman. 1806.

THAT a clergyman of the Church of England should sit down to write a *Portraiture of Quakerism*, seemed to us on first sight to be a *little* out of the common course of authorship; but when we found, from a perusal of the volumes before us, that they contain an almost uninterrupted eulogy of the Quaker system, in principle as well as in practice, we were obliged to pronounce the work, at least *ex cathedra*, and to conclude that, if the author had not actually joined in fellowship with the society, he must at any rate have abjured many of those principles and doctrines which he held at the time of his subscription.

The truly respectable society here *pourtrayed* is now known to the public chiefly in the walks of commerce and of practical morality; for their theological controversies and polemical exacerbations, which rendered them obnoxious to the different professors, and more ostensible to the world, ceased near a century ago: we see them *individually* on every exchange, and in every market, and on occasions of public distress and the pressure of calamity we always find them prompt and forward to relieve: but in the hours of leisure and relaxation we never meet them in the social circle, nor at any of the places of public amusement; so that our intelligent readers will, perhaps, be ready to wonder how the author found means to obtain an acquaintance with the *interior* of the sect sufficient for so ample a developement of it: this he will explain.

Mr. Clarkson published, nearly twenty years ago, an *Essay on the African Slave-Trade*, which obtained the first prize in the University of Cambridge; and, in consequence of this and other publications on the same subject, he soon became acquainted with the *Society of Friends*, many of whom had long before been deeply impressed with the same notions of that trade, and had been sedulously employed to effect its subversion.

“ From

"From the year 1787," says he, "when I began to devote my labour to the abolition of the slave-trade, I was thrown frequently into the company of the people called Quakers. These people had been then long unanimous upon this subject. Indeed, they had placed it among the articles of their religious discipline. Their houses were, of course, open to me in all parts of the kingdom. Hence I came to a knowledge of their living manners, which no other person who was not a Quaker could have easily obtained."

The subject of the Portraiture is treated under the different heads of moral education, discipline, peculiar customs, and religious tenets, in which, having summoned to his aid the all-salutary *science of bookmaking*, the potent instruments of which are tautology and amplification, and its motto "*about it, gentle Goddess, and about it,*" the author has eked out three fair sized octavos of sweet mellifluous praise, sometimes we must confess rather over-loaded: much of what he meets with among this secluded and unknown sect is superlatively *excellent*, almost every thing *good*, and some few things *only* might be rather better: but, alas! where is *perfection* to be found? "*Humanum est errare.*"

We ourselves respect the Society of Friends as members of society; the benevolence, moral rectitude, and commercial punctuality of its members, have always excited our sincere esteem, although we cannot coincide with their religious opinions, nor with many of their *peculiar customs*: but whilst we esteem the Quaker character, we must not lose sight of *moderation*, and the author's eulogy is sometimes *extravagant*! A Kamtschatkan, or an Anacharsis, if he should chance to travel in this country, and should first meet with these volumes, might be so far misled by them, as to imagine that there was nothing in society *quite good* except among the Quakers: no moral excellence, male or female, but especially female; no just estimate of human life; but little real piety and true religion, except among the Quakers.

However, if we be rightly informed, the high-sounded titillating melody of praise which pervades these volumes is not more than the society has been capable of inhaling; for it seems that nearly a whole impression has been already sold, chiefly amongst themselves, without any avaricious intervention of booksellers, those dear-bought obstetricians to authors.

"There is one trait in the Quaker manners which runs through the whole society, as far as I have seen, in their houses, and is worthy of mention. Their hospitality in their own houses, and their great attention and kindness, soon force out of sight all ideas of uncourteousness. The Quakers appear to be particularly gratified when those who visit them ask for what they want. Instead of considering this as a rudeness or intrusion, they esteem it as a favour done them. The circumstance of asking on such an occasion is to them a proof that their visitors feel themselves at home. Indeed they almost always desire a stranger who has been introduced to them, '*to be free.*' This is their usual expression. Nothing can be more truly polite

polite than that conduct to another, by which he shall be induced to feel himself as comfortably situate as if he were in his own house."

We certainly would not advise authors or others to quarrel with their bread and cheese, but true gratitude for true hospitality never need overleap the boundary of true moderation; and if this were any part of the general motive for the strain of eulogy which runs through the work, we must conceive that it is overdone; that it is more than the nature of the case requires; that, however graciously it may have been accepted by the Society of Friends, it is nevertheless too much for the taste of general readers.

Whilst the lady was sitting for the portrait, she seems to have admitted the painter to her entire confidence; and he may have discovered, whilst he was etching, how much colouring he might venture to lay on the picture: his calculation seems to have been tolerably accurate, if we may judge from the reception of the work.

Every privation which the Quaker system prescribes is supported in detail; and because evil passions are frequently generated at play, at the theatre, in novel reading, in dancing, music, and field diversions, therefore it is argued that such pursuits ought to be totally avoided; that is, the Quakers hold this doctrine, and our author takes up their cause. Moderation is our *watch word*, and we have no objection to the *use* of a thing merely because fools *abuse* it: neither can we from our experience join with the author in his opinion, that "the youth of this society who are educated under this system of privations, get earlier into a knowledge of just sentiments, or into a knowledge of human nature, or into a knowledge of the true wisdom of life, than those of the world at large." If by the words "*world at large*," the author mean civilized society at home of similar rank, which is the only comparison he ought to make, we deny the fact. Negation of vice does not involve possession of virtue; nor sectarian maxims, repeated by rote, a knowledge of human nature and the true wisdom of life.

It seems to us rather ungracious to bestow an almost unqualified approbation on the Quaker system of *moral education*, when it is at the expence of the character of society at large, unless society at large were so deeply involved in turpitude and deformity as to warrant it.

We know that a great proportion of those who indulge in the pleasures of music, dancing, the theatre, and other diversions here enumerated, retain the moral, amiable, social, and sympathetic virtues in as great a degree as any persons whatever: indeed, the author seems willing to allow some latitude in the pursuit of music, and to except that from the general censure: he would probably consider this accomplishment an additional charm in the character of the Quaker ladies, for whom he shews so decided a partiality.

His marked preference for Quaker ladies is evinced by the following quotation, and we shrewdly suspect that these sentiments, and

others of similar tendency in different parts of the work, may have cost the author a *curtain lecture*, and that they may sometimes occasion him to sit uneasy in female circles of his own community.

"When men wish to marry, they wish at least, if they be men of sense, to find such women as are virtuous, prudent, and domestic; such as have a proper sense of the folly and dissipation of the world. Now, if a Quaker looks into his own society, he will generally find the female part of it of this description. Female Quakers excel in these points. But if he looks into the world at large, he will generally find a contrast in the females there. These, in general, are but badly educated. They are taught to place a portion of their happiness in finery and show. Utility is abandoned for fashion. A kind of false and dangerous taste predominates. Scandal and the card-table are preferred to the pleasures of a rural walk. Virtue and modesty are to be seen with only half their energies, being overpowered by the noxiousness of novel-reading principles, and by the moral taint which infects those who engage in the varied rounds of a fashionable life. Hence a want of knowledge, a love of trifles, and a dissipated turn of mind, generally characterize those who are considered as having had the education of the world."

The discipline of the Quakers is exercised in their monthly, quarterly, and yearly meetings, which the author has chosen to call *Courts*; for what reason we know not, nor do we think this term is sanctioned by the usage of the sect: in fact, there does not appear to be any near approximation to our courts of law, nor any thing like *Judge or Jury* in them; and our readers will probably be at a loss to conceive how any great deal of important business can be transacted in these courts, or any matters in which discussion and debate precede the decision; for the mode of ascertaining the decision appears to us singular, and liable, if practised on a broad scale, to very serious objections.

"It may be observed, that whether such business as that which I have just detailed, or any other sort, comes before the meeting, it is decided, not by the influence of numbers, but by the weight of religious character." We apprehend the initiated understand this. Again, speaking of the formation of these meetings, he says, "We have seen, on a former occasion, the Quakers with their several deputies repairing to different places in a county; we are now to see them repairing to the metropolis of the kingdom. A man cannot travel at this time, but he sees the Quakers in motion from all parts, shaping their course for London, there to exercise, as will appear shortly, the power of deputies, judges, and legislators, in turn, and to investigate and settle the affairs of the society for the preceding year."

In these meetings, besides other matters, cognizance is taken of the deviations from prescribed rules, in the conduct of individuals; and if these deviations be very important, and persisted in, exclusion from membership ensues; and on this point the author argues, or rather pleads the cause in a manner not satisfactory to us.

"Those

"Those who are born in the society, are born under the system, and are in general educated for it. Those who become converted to the religion of the society, know beforehand the terms of their admission. And it will appear to all to be an equitable institution, because in the administration of it there is no exception of persons."

We did not know that the equal administration of laws constituted the equity of the laws so administered, and we think the argument of the above quotation more applicable to a country *benefit club, which has printed rules for the use of its members*, than to a religious society professedly established on Christian principles.

From the rules and regulations of every Christian society an appeal will always lie to the principles on which they are professed to be founded, and to the record from which those principles are extracted or deduced. If any of the deviations of individuals cognizable by these meetings, and which incur the displeasure of the society, or the pains of excommunication, should be found to be deviations from rules not authorized by that record, such displeasure and such pains are evidently unjust and unwarranted, and are certainly not equitable merely because they may be *equally dispensed to all*.

Let us try one instance only, and one as strictly adhered to by this sect as any other, that of one of their members forming the marriage contract *with a person of another persuasion*. St. Paul says, "If any brother have a wife that believeth not, and she be pleased to dwell with him. let him not put her away: and the woman which hath a husband which believeth not, and he be pleased to dwell with her, let her not leave him; for the unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband."

We think it may be fairly concluded that St. Paul, with these sentiments impressed on his mind, would not have instituted that portion of the Quaker system which prescribes excommunication for this offence, however otherwise meritorious the parties may be. Neither in the Gospel, nor in the Acts of the Apostles, is any precise direction to be found relative to this subject, but the spirit of the whole is decidedly against any such restriction as the Quakers impose; and we are fully persuaded their rule in this case is unchristian and untenable on a *legitimate appeal*.

So much for our author's powers as an advocate and a logician; our readers may, if they please, try his other reasonings and other of the Quaker rules by the above mentioned Christian criterion, the one which *must* be admitted, and the only one which *can* be admitted, in any Christian society.

"The peculiar customs" of the Quakers in dress, language, decorum, &c. &c. are diffusely treated; these are well known to the world; and the author supports his character of advocate and apologist throughout the work. It is not our business, nor consistent

with our limits, to discuss these points severally. How far such peculiarities contribute to the formation of virtuous conduct, and how far to that of sectarian pride, our readers may determine for themselves, and will doubtless determine variously, according to their different experience and their different views; but there is one effect arising from them which must be strikingly obvious to all, and in which we must all agree, and that is, that these peculiarities produce a separation and seclusion from general society, which nothing but the spirit of commerce could ever have broken in upon. It is this spirit, and this only, that has prevented the Quaker system from becoming a perfect monastery, and the Quakers from becoming perfect monks. The walls, it is true, are not built of stone or of wood, but with materials perhaps stronger than either—with *discipline*; and they are formidable enough to operate on the orthodox a seclusion from general society at every point, but at the breaches which the spirit of commerce continually makes, and which, when made, are sure to form outlets too wide to be strictly guarded. We value the facilities of access to general society so very highly, and estimate the effects of an *easy* intercourse with our fellows, so favourable to virtue and to the cultivation of all the best emotions of humanity; to benevolence, good nature, urbanity, and to all the amiabilities of life, that, we are free to confess, we look with suspicious eye on every thing that would tend to secession, on every thing that would shut up and separate, on every thing that would create what is strongly marked in this sect—the *esprit de corps*.

We must, therefore, consider the “peculiar customs” of the Quakers as meriting not an apology, not an eulogy, but a free and unshackled discussion; and the light of scientific sentiment, that light before the beams of which all errors and absurdities fly one by one unto the full effulgence.

Our author commences his view of the “religion” of the Quakers with a sentiment truly liberal, to a part of which all zealous sectarians will be likely to demur.

“If men do but fear God, and work righteousness, whatever their Christian denomination may be, it is sufficient. Every system of religion which is founded on the principles of Christianity must be capable, if heartily embraced, of producing temporal and eternal happiness to man. At least, man, with his limited understanding, cannot pronounce with any absolute certainty that his own system is so far preferable to that of his neighbour, that it is positively the best; or that there will be any material difference in the future happiness of those who follow the one or the other.”

They who are desirous of studying the religious creed of the Quakers, or, to speak more technically, their *scheme of salvation*, will find a pretty ample and diffusive development of it in this part of the work, and to such we recommend the perusal of it.

With respect to their leading tenet, that of immediate emanatory

tory inspiration, we conceive the author (who is still the advocate) is not quite correct when he states, or rather *implies*, that this was a doctrine held by the primitive fathers and the Protestant reformers. We believe; that neither Gregory, Jerome, Luther, nor Melancthon, if living at this moment, would concur *precisely* with the Quakers in this point: they supported the doctrine of the immediate operation of the Spirit of God on the heart, and, probably, with but few shades of difference from each other; but it was always, ~~so~~ for the most part, in connection with outward means; they appear to have believed that the Word, as delivered, could not operate any beneficial effect on the soul, without the intervention and co-operation of the Spirit of Grace; but they did not generally expect or wait for those independent and unconnected emanations and influences which the Quakers profess to experience.

As this is a very leading point in the system of quakerism, the author should not, as a theologian, have involved it in ambiguity, or, out of complaisance to the Quakers, have suffered the *unwary* reader to go away with an impression that the primitive fathers and the reformers were Quakers; Quakers, at least, in the *essence* of their spiritual belief. Instead of *implying* the identity of their metaphysical opinions and faith, he should have pointed out the precise distinction. His acquaintance with theological literature must have taught him that there is a difference, and his office should have been not to blend, but to discriminate.

The character of the Quakers for "morality, benevolence, humanity, complacency of mind, steadfastness, true courage, punctuality to engagements," &c. &c. &c. is *pourtrayed* in a very flattering manner; to which the few unfavourable points towards the end serve rather as a foil than a counterpoise.

We hope the author may be sincere and faithful in his Portraiture, and we have no reason to doubt the truth of the character in these points; but we think the eulogy is rather more, the colouring rather higher, than true modesty and *moderation* could without a blush either give or receive. If the Portraiture be faithful, and the eulogy sincere, we do not see how the author can be any thing else than a convert, or how he can escape an intimate union and communion with a society he so highly and generally approves and extols. Actions speak louder than words, and he should seal his testimony by profelytism.

It appears that the numbers in this connection are on the decline. "The general opinion is, and the Quakers, I apprehend, will not deny but lament it, that those who go out of the society are, upon the whole, more numerous than those who come into it by conviction; and, therefore, that there is, upon the whole, a decrease among them." This is not conclusive reasoning; for the author allows, in another place, that "the Quakers are a sober and temperate people; that they generally marry at a proper age; and

and that they have large families. It is therefore impossible, if the descendants of the early Quakers had continued in the society, that their number should not have been much larger than we find it at the present day ; and if so, there must have been a secession or an expulsion, amounting, notwithstanding all influx by conversion, to a decrease."

"That the Quakers are on the decline, there is no doubt ;" but instead of lamenting the fact, as our author does, we are inclined to view it as a symptom of the general improvement of society at large, and of the daily increasing light of science. The glorious liberty of the *British* press, freedom of discussion, and an unlimited circulation of opinion, whilst they generate and foster the broad principles of genuine Christianity, tend at the same time to break the charm of sectarian systems, and, by the collision of sentiment, to smooth the asperities of prejudice, and cleanse away the rust of error and ignorance, accumulated by the stagnant torpor of monastic seclusion : they illumine the recesses which before were gloomy, enliven the paths which before were dreary, and open to the philanthropist a more sure prospect of the future melioration of mankind than the world has ever enjoyed.

We have paid more attention to the work before us than many of our readers may think it merits ; but it seemed a novelty to us, for a clergyman of the church of England to stand forth as an apologist for the Quakers. However, we may venture to assert, that the society has not found so general an apologist and advocate since the days of Barclay ; and, provided they can digest the strain of eulogy, they may certainly think themselves peculiarly favoured.

If we had judged *a priori*, we should have pronounced, what appears to be the fact, that the work would find a ready sale within the circle of the society, and it may, as the author apprehends, be serviceable within that circle, "by affording to the Quakers themselves some lessons of utility : " but whether the world at large will listen to the voice of the charmer, or whether, if they shall attend to his delineation and his arguments, it shall produce any augmentation of numbers to the society, or any diffused conviction of the truth of the Quaker scheme, and the propriety of its habits and peculiarities, we will not presume to predict : we have not the spirit of prophecy, nor do we pretend to such powers ; but if we were to hazard an opinion, we should say, that the temper of the times is not adapted to the religion of the Quakers, nor is quakerism at all calculated ever to become the popular religion.

The style of the work is very far from being elegant or classical ; loose and unconnected, it is defective in concord ; sententious, but not terse ; dogmatical, but not nervous : such a style must always want dignity and impression.

My Pocket Book; or, Hints for "A Ryghte Merrie and Conceitede" Tour in Quarto; to be called, "The Stranger in Ireland," in 1805. By a Knight-Errant. 12mo. Pp. 240. Vernor and Hood. 1807.

OUR readers will readily perceive, from the title-page of this little book, that it is written in ridicule of Sir John Carr's "Stranger in Ireland," reviewed in one of our former volumes. To say the truth, we think that Sir John, in that Tour, rendered himself a fair subject for ridicule: had he emptied the contents of his Pocket Book, or Common-place Book, with his whole budget of bulls, jokes, and anecdotes, into a moderate sized duodecimo, he might have supplied a tolerably amusing companion for a post-chaise; but to swell them into an enormous quarto, was really to tax both purse and patience much too highly. We are truly sorry, when we see men of real sense, information, and talents, and Sir John Carr possesses no small portion of these requisites in the composition of a literary character, so misled. The *Knight-Errant* who now enters the lists with him, wields his light weapon with considerable skill and address; but he combats with temper, and his hits, though made with dexterity, are devoid of malice. Any attempt to analyze such a composition would be absurd, and any attempt to convey an adequate idea of it to our readers, without a specimen, would be equally so. We shall, therefore, extract the mementos or memoranda for cap. 11.

"DUBLIN Bay.—The sun shines here. Never saw such a prospect. Look into Ossian when you get home for something about *mountains with grey heads*, and *ocean smiling with a blue face*. Query: Can a person be said to *smile* when he looks *blue*? The ocean may perhaps!

"As I entered the bay, 'the rugged hill of Howth' was there, 'as usual, 'on the right.'—'Its rocky bays' also. At present it's like nothing but itself. If, however, it could get what it *wants*, that is, a *volcano*, 'I was well informed' that it would resemble 'the beautiful bay of Naples.' Here *the sea is fringed*, and the light house has an *alabaster front*. The light of the octagonal lantern of this house is '*increased by reflecting lenses*.' Query—*magnifying*. Perhaps *reflecting* is wrong? Enquire of those who know something about the matter.

"Now change sides. To be even with the right, on the left '*were* the town of Dalkey, with its romantic rocks.' Above, the Wicklow mountains ascend '*with majesty*.'

"Be '*enraptured*' with the sight, and introduce an Irish sailor, with a nod, saying, 'By Jafus, your honour! you're right there: it is God's own country.' These little things shew that a man has really been in the country which he describes.

"Say something about Dean Swift and the Drapier. It will come in here very well.

"*Bulls* are thought to be peculiar to the west, but here we have two called '*the north and south bulls*,' and devilish dangerous bulls they are too. A packet lost, not by being run at, but by running on one of them.

"Instance

"Instance of a noble 'presence of mind.'—Hang a quaker up in the shrouds of this packet, and make him say to a fellow sufferer, just as the ship seemed about to sink, 'Friend, should we escape death this time, canst thou inform me when the next Liverpool packet will sail?'"

"Dublin looks little though it's big.—Why? 'For want of towers and spires.'"

"The mole.—Refer to some printed account for its date and dimensions. 'The inner side of the mole reminded me of the wonderful embankments which I had seen on the sides of the Neva. See my travels—' *a Northern Summer*—which buy."

"The master of the 'Union packet' was very civil to me.—'Before you land,' commend the Union 'as infinitely the *swiftest* sailing vessel in the service.' This I can safely do from my own knowledge, as (see chap. I.) I expected to arrive in *nine hours*, and was *two days and nights*."

"Paid 'three shillings,' while 'along-side the pigeon-house,' for a custom-house fee.—Don't like this pigeoning."

"Three miles to the capital. A long coach—bad horses."

"Ask the reader whether he 'loves a laugh,' and then tell him that you took a bundle from 'one of the loveliest daughters of green Erin,'—in other words—'the niece of two Scotch butchers, which cost you a pair of pantaloons, through its contents being 'a large piece of prize pork,' whose fat oozed out, and larded your lean legs."

"I doubt whether this will create a laugh, therefore I shall add another joke, and roundly assert that the 'secretary of a celebrated English agricultural society received orders from its committee to buy several copies of Mr. and Miss Edgeworth's *Essay on Irish Bulls*, for the use of the members, in their labours for improving the breed of cattle."

"Call the essay an 'admirable book.'—You can't make too many friends—nothing like praise—honey for flies. Remember this all through your writings."

"Ringend, a 'horrible sink of filth.'—Turn up your nose, and say you don't like dirt at all."

"The *Jaunting Car*, 'an open carriage, mounted on two small wheels, drawn by one horse.' The company sit back to back, and the Irish call it a *vis à vis*—I called it a '*cul à cul*;' but I must say that I *heard* it called so, and that I don't think it *delicate*."

"Complain that the Irish are misrepresented. In Spenser's time, the wild Irish were believed to have wings, and it was lawful to shoot 'em—*flying* I suppose; and if not otherwise, there was no great harm done."

"'On this side of the water' (meaning England) nobody know any thing about the virtues of the Irish. It was left for me to discover that they are not wild and passionate, but mild and gentle; not rash and inconsiderate, but consistent, wise, and prudent."

"As Sir Isaac Newton sets his face against tradition beyond one hundred years, it will be well to say so, and then flourish about not enquiring concerning the sailing of Jason and the Argonauts for Ireland, or the descent of the Irish from Magog. If they think it, why e'en let them; I am a good-natured fellow, and 'would disturb no people in their fancy.' I am 'no antiquarian,' therefore all I say about the *Chinese*, the Carthaginians, Meleians, &c. may be taken for learning, but, depend upon it, it is not *knowledge*. This assertion must be softened down."

"Though

" Though no antiquarian, it will perhaps be wise to make some shew here, which, with the assistance of Goldsmith's Citizen of the World, will not be difficult. Leave out his *King Atoes*, and put in an Irish King, as thus:—The Emperor *Ki* is certainly the same as *Conar* King of Ulster; for if we merely change *K* into *Co*, and *i* into *nar*, we have *Conar* beyond all manner of doubt. Clearly, then, the Chinese and Irish monarch were one and the same person.

" It will now be high time to tell the reader what I am about.—He may be shrewd, but I'll be hanged if he would ever know, if I did not tell him. 'My attempt is to sketch the modern Irish.'—Yes, sir, 'and principally to describe what I saw.' Mark *principally*, for I shall occasionally describe what neither I nor any body else ever saw.

" At the Royal Hotel, in Kildare Street, I washed my hands and face; but this is to be styled 'gratifying ablutions.'—'Traverse the city'; that is, fetch a walk. Merrion Square like Cavendish Square. On the fountain, in the middle, is an inscription to the Duke of Rutland.—Copy it by all means: it will fill half a page.

" 'Roved through many noble streets; frequently struck with the novel sounds of—Blood and ounds; make haste, Pat, by my faith and shoul.' This alone will be enough to prove that I have been in Ireland.

" 'Took a ride' in a *Jingle*.—This carriage *resembles* a coach when it is not a coach; viz. 'after the doors and the upper sides and roof are removed.' It is called a *Jingle* from its rattling—the thing speaks for itself—*res ipsa loquitur*. The fare sixpence each—no starting without the usual complement. He that objects to pay for the vacant seats, must, till they are filled, 'Sit like Patience on a monument.' The quotation is new and pretty.—As there is only one horse, and six passengers, I may, supposing Patience to be looking at the miserable animal, add, 'smiling at grief.'—'People of the first respectability ride in them.' I rode.—Every low Irishman is called Pat. Tell the reader, that Pat is 'an abbreviation of Paddy,' though Paddy is 'derived from *Saint Patrick*.' 'Saint Patrick was a tangible being.' No one but an infidel can doubt it. The Irish ladies approach the altars of 'the immaculate Brigid, the virgin Saint of Ireland,' (Query, the only virgin ever known there?) 'with chastity instead of celibacy: but more of this hereafter.' This is rather dull; let us have a bull. I thought a poor jingle horse lying in a ditch was removed from this world, but whither I knew not. My sensibility was touched. 'Poor animal,' said I, 'he's dead.' The jingle-driver looked in my piteous face, for I was *the knight of the sorrowful countenance*, and replied, 'And plaze your honour, he is is not dead entirely.' Note. The low Irish repeat the verb.—It is exceedingly humorous.

" A single-horse chaise, so old and rickety that it nods as it goes, is called a *noddy*. Pat, who drives, sits with his nose close to the horse's rump. His own rump is in the same position with regard to the nose of the gentleman's inside—and so they travel like *nearest* friends.

" A hackney-coach in Dublin is like a hackney-coach in London. It has been said that these vehicles reach their destination by the contrivance of thrusting a long pole out of the front window, with some hay at the end of it, which the horse cannot get at, but, through always trying, is tricked into a trot, which would otherwise never happen. 'Tis

' A lie:

'A lie: an odious, damned lie: upon my soul a lie; a wicked lie.'

Othello.

"The Irish horse is hardy; and I have discovered, by coming here, that 'bone is no proof of weakness.' It is very singular, but the Irish horses understand English. If you say *gee* to them, it puts them 'in motion much in the same way' as in England. I have no doubt if you said *whoa*, they'd stand still; but that would not prove much, since they do that perpetually without a word being said. I don't like Johnson's etymology of *gee*. I think it is *ge*, or *geh*, the imperative of the German verb *gehen*, to go. In that case horses understand German; but perhaps it is from *ya*, and signifies to get over the *ground*;—then they understand Greek. As to *whoa*, I am a little puzzled. Perhaps it is the *ho*, which, in the Danish language, the herald cried out to combatants in a tournament; that is, *stop*. A learned Author, in his *conformity of the Persian and English languages*, says that *whoa* is Persian, and means *go on*. A devil of a *conformity*!—for which he deserves the boisterous driving an English and a Persian horse together for the remainder of his days. I call this learned. To cut a horse to the flesh, a jingle-driver terms 'establishing a raw.' These delicate touches must not be omitted.

"In Ireland an Englishman will find eleven miles fourteen. The driver, after taking me a mile, turned me out, because I would not give him 'another *hog*,' which I thought a great bore.

"The currency of Ireland ought to be given, but the currency of any country is really a thing in which authors are very little concerned. 'Seeing's believing, but feeling's the truth.' Therefore, I *believe* they have various species of specie, but I have little knowledge of the *truth*. 'Take over guineas' to Ireland, and you'll be very well received.

"The beggars of London sell their old cloaths to the beggars of Ireland. A beggar here is not very nice in his wardrobe, though he is remarkably fond of *change*.—I heard that one passing through a corn-field *exchanged breeches with a scare-crow*, set up to frighten away the birds.

"The miserable race of mendicants, scattered about Dublin, are no ornament to it. They should 'say to the Legislature

'You taught me first to beg; and now methinks

You teach me how a beggar should be answered.'

And then the Irish beggars would quote Shakespeare, and their horses understand German. *Παχυνά γαστήρ, λεπτερόν τε τίκτην νοῦν*, *the sharper the appetite, the sharper the wit*.—Great care is taken to brighten the intellects of the poor Irish! It succeeds. 'Ah, plaze your honour's honour,' said one to a stinging, gouty, limping old gentleman, 'I wish God had made your *heart* as tender as your *toes*.'

"*Note.* Before I proceed any further I must make some remarks on that excellent sentiment, '*the sharper the appetite, the sharper the wit*;' and I do it with the more pleasure, because it may tend to reconcile the hungry to their emptiness, and prevent those, who have plenty to eat, from stuffing too much. To do good in one's generation is commendable.

"The innumerable sonnets of misery and melancholy pieces of poetry which have been written on Otway, and others who are said to have been starved, have, in my opinion, always exhibited to the full as much ignorant folly as morbid sensibility. Never was there so much misplaced grief and idle

Idle pity. Were I to add another muse to the nine, it should not be an ancient or a modern Sappho, but *Hunger*; the most actively inspiring of them all. She drives

ex *disparum de*

‘*Tutor.*

Sleep from your eye-lids.—Does the full-fed blockhead enjoy this advantage?

MAGISTER ARTIS, *ingenique largitor*

VENTER.

PERSIUS.

Hunger a *master* is of arts,

Who brightens much the mental parts.

Give more authority, and I have done. What says the amiable Dr. Beattie, the last man in the world to recommend any injury to those ‘of imagination all compact?’ Does he not point out the way to preserve that imagination in its utmost purity? ‘*A full meal*,’ he observes, ‘gives a languor to the mind, and impairs a little our faculties of invention and judgment.’ Let me then hear no more whining about starving our poets.—They would never write so finely but for our kindness. Why are not aldermen poets and rare wits? Read Dr. B.’s remark. The conclusion therefore clearly is, that we must now and then starve a man for his own immortality and the honour of literature.

“I beg the public to understand that I hold this argument for the benefit of others.

“The low Irish like to be buried decently, and that their friends should get dead drunk with whiskey on the occasion.

“Pat’s dress is ‘a long loose coat, or mantle, made of woollen, of stone colour.’ Let Spenser describe its uses, then I am safe. ‘It is a fit house for an outlaw, a meet bed for a rebel, and an apt cloak for a thief.’”

Our readers will observe, that the passages marked with inverted commas are extracted from Sir John Carr’s Tour. This specimen will suffice to shew the *spirit* with which the author writes. He certainly displays considerable humour, and manages the dangerous weapon of ridicule dexterously. The following gentle touch on the “pert pedant” of the stage is not amiss.

“A foreigner ‘wishes that *shall* and *will* had never been heard of.’ An Irishman will say, If I eat that, I *will* have the belly-ach. Ignorant dog! he should say *itch*; shouldn’t he, Mr. Kemble? I do like that Kemble—he’s just such another *Minutius Felix* as myself.—What a cobweb brain he has! how he catches the *little* flies! There is a figure called oxymoron, of which Mr. Kemble furnishes a fine example—the *little great* man.”

Three other short extracts; and then we shall bid adieu to our facetious collector of Hints, for the *present* at least; for we shall be happy to meet him again, and we shall live in hopes of renewing our acquaintance with him.

“When a mad-man recovers his *reason*, he becomes attached to those who are kind to him. Talking of lunatics, it would not be amiss here to bring in Lord Erskine.—He has, in his official situation as Lord High Chancellor

cellor of England, promised to take especial care of lunatics and idiots.—Praise him—call him ‘that wonderful man’—‘transcendent genius’—‘consummate in wisdom’—‘unexampled in promptitude and purity’;—‘I know no man that will like it better, True, it has been said of almost every other Chancellor; but that’s of no consequence. No one was ever known to complain of the eulogy, therefore it has the advantage of practical experience to recommend it.’ From *Erskine* to *Grattan* the transition is natural enough, for reasons which must be obvious to every man who has attended to the state of the political world during the last fifteen years.—Sir John Carr, we must suppose, did not attend to it; certainly he never could have read the Report of the Secret Committee of the Irish House of Lords, or he would not have termed the patriot of Tinnahinch, notwithstanding his whiskey, his claret, his flattery, or his anecdotes of himself,—“a great man.”

“ ‘Upon quitting St. Valori, I paid a visit to that great man, Grattan,’ at Tinnahinch. *He conducted me through the beautiful grounds. The winding river fertilizing meadows reminded me of his eloquence; the cloud-capt scalp of the force of his roused philippic.*—You must make this out when you’ve time! Descant on his harangues on the right of England to change the government of Ireland.—The best of this story is, he got *fifty thousand pounds* for one of these speeches alone!—how much he had for the rest I can’t say.

“ ‘I am sure I have no right’—(under these circumstances you may say any thing!)—‘to assert that Grattan is the author of Junius’s Letters; but Grattan has the *very soul* of that immortal writer.’

“ *Private Note.* The reader’s attention must here be called, to observe that this is a transmigration of a new sort; for, granting they were both alive at the same time, they must have had between them only one soul, and that a *transferable* one, of uncommonly quick trans migratory qualities. However, this is perhaps not so rare a thing as may at first appear.—Many have probably only one soul between them; and that accounts for our often finding it absent in men, whom we then most aptly style—poor devils, *without soul*.

“ ‘To return to *Jos*’ will do no longer; therefore, having almost run the length of my own line, and yet not satisfied my conscience with regard to *quantity*, I may as well slip in *ten* pages of good things uttered by Grattan in his speeches. This will also serve to shew that *wit* is not peculiar to the *low Irish*. Put heads to the extracts from him:—they assist to explain what I am about in my *Chapters*, and they may do him the same service.”

Sir John Carr need not have told the world that he had no right to assert that Junius’s Letters were the production of Mr. Grattan; for though Junius stood unrivalled, in his day, in the art of *insulting* and of *libelling* his sovereign, yet was he as superior in all the powers of intellect, in all the endowments of mind, to the sage of Tinnahinch, as *Sully* was to *Marat*. Besides, had the Irish patriot penned those master-pieces of British eloquence, the whole world would have been apprized of the fact within six days of their publication; it would have needed no other *herald* than his *vanity*!

With the Knight’s closing reflections we shall close our account of his Hints.

“ ‘After a very *interesting* tour in the north,’ of which I shall, to deserve the reader’s thanks, say nothing more, ‘I returned to Dublin, and prepared
with

with regret, to quit a country, which delighted and astonished me with its richness and genius!" But especially was I pleased with its courtesies to me: these are things one can't forget. My gratitude has, I trust, been apparent. Has not my language, in consequence, been 'braided and festooned' for their service, and my storehouse of patches and feathers, of the *correctest phrasological millinery*, exhausted to adorn them?

"As I always carry my pocket book in my breeches' pocket, I may say that I returned to Dublin like a bee with thighs loaded with honey—the honey of rare, and never before heard of, anecdote and knowledge; when—

'Hicce dixi longum vale,

Solum repetens natale.

Taking leave of mountains many,

To my native country came I.'

Barnaby.

"Let the last words of my last chapter be, 'I was disappointed only in one instance: I quitted Ireland without hearing *one bull*.' A more important conclusion, or one more consistent with the beginning and middle of my work, could not have been his upon. That I neither saw such things, nor heard such things, as other travellers have, is easily accounted for. They found that I was a '*stranger*' in Ireland," and were constantly on their good behaviour.

"From my not having heard '*one bull*' there, I am convinced that the Irish never make them at home, and only in England for the purpose of rendering themselves agreeable to *John Bull*.

"After your last chapter, as you are writing an *Irish* tour, it will be necessary to add another, under the title of *General Remarks*: These you may pick up any where. Again let Ireland be 'quitted with regret,' and end, at length, in real earnest, with a scrap from Horace:—perhaps this will do for the present—

'*Longe finis chartaque viaque.*'

"This journey long in little ended,
Is for a '*d—square book*' intended."

The Architectural Antiquities of Great Britain, displayed in a Series of select Engravings, representing the most beautiful, curious, and interesting Ancient Edifices of this Country; with an Historical and Descriptive Account of each Subject. Part VI. By John Britton. 4to, 10s 6d. Longman and Co. 1806.

THIS part of these interesting selections, which ought to have been noticed long since, contains an essay towards a history and description of Malmsbury Abbey Church, Wiltshire, accompanied with a plan, and other engravings, all executed in a masterly style. Indeed, we scarcely remember any periodical work of this nature, which has been continued with such an uniformity of spirit and talent. In the essay we find some curious information respecting Malmsbury, and its monastic institutions; which sufficiently proves that Mr. Britton has carefully consulted the best authorities.

"There

"There is said to have been an ancient house of British Nuns, under the direction of the famous Dinoh, abbot of Banchor, who flourished A. D. 603, which was suppressed by St. Austin, the archbishop, under pretence that the religious had suffered themselves to be debauched by the soldiers of the neighbouring castle. This nunnery was situate near the South Bridge without the town, in the way to Chippenham, where was in after times a poor hospital for lepers. About thirty or forty years after this, Maidulf, a Scotch monk, settled here, and gathering together a company, first, of scholars, and then of persons disposed to live in regular discipline, began a monastery, which, in after times, became very famous. It was better established and augmented by Aldhelm, who had been educated here under Maidulf, and, after his master's death, was appointed, A. D. 675, to be abbot, by Eleutherius, Bishop of the West Saxons, and afterwards continued in a flourishing condition by the bounty of the Saxon kings and noblemen. About the year 950, King Eadwy removed the monks and placed secular clerks in this abbey, but they were, in little more than twenty years time, sent away by King Edgar, and the regulars restored. Upon the death of abbot Brihtwald, in the reign of King Edward the Confessor, Herman, Bishop of Wiltshire, had once obtained the King's consent to remove the Episcopal seat from Ramsbury to this abbey, but it was soon revoked by the interest of the monks with Earl Godwin. Maidulf's first church here was dedicated to our blessed Saviour, St. Peter, and St. Paul, but in King Edgar's and after times, the blessed Virgin and St. Aldhelm were the patron Saints of this abbey, which was found to be endowed, 26 Hen. VIII, with 803*l* 17*s* 7*d* per annum. The site was granted, 36 Hen. VIII, to William Stump, a rich clothier."

We cannot sufficiently praise the spirit of *impartial* investigation which pervades all the productions of this able antiquary, who, unlike many of his brethren, is not intent on the support of a favourite *system*, to which every thing is made to bend, but aims solely at the establishment of *truth*. A proof of this spirit will be found in the following passages, which we extract, for more reasons than one.

"Respecting the precise time when the present church (of Malmesbury) was built, I believe there is no decisive document preserved, and every author who has written on the subject seems to have been more desirous of asserting its remote antiquity and Saxon origin, than of proving the exact period of its erection.

"Influenced by a very different sentiment, I shall always prefer fact to fable and argument to sophistry; and I shall only expect the confidence of my reader, while I continue to adhere to this principle. Of the religious foundation at Malmesbury, as of most others, there are many idle and futile traditions related. These might formerly have answered the sinister ends of some sagacious monks, but are only deserving of notice now, as tending to display the customs of an age, when craft and credulity were the prominent characteristics of mankind. The impartial history of monachism proves, that, from its first establishment in this country, to the time of the reformation, including a space of nearly 800 years, the power, the intolerance, and the influence of the monks were excessive.

Many

Many of the Saxon monarchs were merely their passive agents, and influenced by their persuasions, or intimidated by their threats, were induced to make and confirm various decrees, grants, deeds, &c. In their favour. In some of these, promises of eternal salvation are held forth to those who would aid and support the monasteries, and everlasting torment denounced against any one who would have the temerity to oppose them, by adhering to the dictates of reason and truth. Instances of this may be found in various official documents, but an extract from the charter of Edward the Confessor to this monastery will be sufficient to justify these remarks, and will be found illustrative of the present subject.

"—I, Edward, through the Divine favour governing the royal sceptre of the English, being asked by Brithric, abbot of the monastery of Malmesbury, with the consent of my bishops and nobles, for the honour of the Holy Mother of God, Mary, *perpetual Virgin*, and for reverence of St. Aldhelm, formerly abbot of the same monastery, afterwards bishop of Sherburne; whose glorious body in the same church venerably reposeth, and *SHINES WITH MANY MIRACLES*; do grant, and by my royal authority do enjoin, that the same church, and all its lands and possessions, which this day it holds*, or hereafter by the bounty of any of my faithful people it may hold, in perpetual right and in perpetual peace they may hold.—And I do grant and enjoin that the same church be free from all worldly yoke, viz. of shires and hundreds, and pleas and quarrels, and all gelds and customs. I grant moreover to it full liberty, that is, *saca and soka, tol and theam, infangthecoffe, manbuche*, &c.† Whoever, therefore, assists this our donation or liberty, may it lead him to the enjoyment of paradise. But whoever contemns it, MAY HE, WITH HANDS AND FEET BOUND, BE PLUNGED INTO THE DEPTHS OF HELL‡."

"The charter of William the Conqueror to this monastery contains heavy anathemas and curses against all persons who should infringe upon, or diminish, its benefactions, and a blessing to such as should increase or improve the revenues§."

These were, indeed, the days of priestcraft; to prevent the return of which was the *avowed* object of the Whigs, who with the aid of the Tories brought about the revolution of 1688, which

* "In the charter of exemption from secular affairs and confirmation of privileges which Edward the Confessor granted to this monastery, in 1065, there is an enumeration of all the lands and possessions belonging to it at that time, from which it appears to have been immensely rich. The manors are said to have consisted of 350 hides of land—nearly equal to 40,000 acres."

† *Saca, soka, &c.* occur in most of the monastic charters, and imply the manorial privileges, common in feudal times, of free liberty of sale, or purchase, having markets, fairs, and mills, and exercising jurisdiction over their immediate vassals.—*Fosbrooke*."

‡ Translation of the charter, from the register book of the abbey, by Mr. Caley.

§ *Dugdale's Monasticon*, vol. I, p. 53.

placed

placed the House of Brunswick on the throne of these realms. With the Whigs of modern times we cordially join in the wish, that "*the recollection of one revolution may prevent the necessity of another.*"

Mr. Britton intends to complete this essay in another number, which will also contain the remaining plates of the abbey of Malmesbury. The engravings in the present number are very highly finished; and the literary part of the work is greatly improved in style.

POETRY.

The Battle of Trafalgar, a Heroic Poem. By the Reverend William Hamilton Drummond, Member of the Literary Society of Belfast, &c. 12mo, Pp. 124, 5s 5d. Archer and Ward, Belfast; Archer, Dublin. 1806.

NOTHING which recalls to his mind the glorious achievements of that gallant Hero, who rendered to his country services which will immortalize his name, can fail to be interesting to a British reader. How much then must the interest be heightened when the hero meets with a bard fully equal to the celebration of his high exploits! It is but common justice to say that Mr. Drummond is that bard. The subject of his Poem is not very favourable for the display of poetical beauties. The disposition of a fleet, its various manœuvres, the order in which the different ships advance to battle, the battle itself, the destruction of masts, yards, rigging, &c. are all extremely difficult to describe in verse, and of necessity require such a repetition of the same movements as to render the most tiresome tautology impracticable, without a great exertion of genius, and an unusual display of judgement and of taste. This difficult task, however, Mr. Drummond has achieved; and has produced a poem worthy of the subject; and more need not be said in its praise. The descriptive parts of the Poem are highly poetical, and, not unfrequently, sublime; and great ingenuity is displayed in the variety given to them by the classical allusions derived from the *names* of the ships.

The following description of a morning, at sea, is not to be exceeded in beauty by any of our best poets:

"Fair from her ruby throne, with roseate smiles,
The morn in glory cloth'd the sparkling Isles;
Light o'er the billows glassy concaves roll'd
The playful radiance of her fluid gold;
The silvery surges drank the purple day,
And rainbow-colours ting'd the dashing spray;
The milk-white foam along the pebbly strand
Danc'd on the surf, or fring'd the rustling sand;
While round and round the sportive sea-fowl flew,
Or dipp'd their plumage in the briny dew:
The sliken pendants from the tow'ring mast,
Stream'd o'er the wave, and wanton'd in the blast;
The surrowing keels the sounding ocean plow'd,
With sailors' cries the cliffs re-echo'd loud."

Villeneuve

Villeneuve is, erroneously, used as a *trifollable*, by which the metre is destroyed; and "*Moonybuckler*" is an affected and improper expression, worthy only of a place in Mr. Southey's *Sapphics*.

B(u)onaparte. A Poem. 8vo, Pp. 45. Hatchard, 1807.

NO small portion of ingenuity and perseverance was necessary, in the composition of a Poem filling forty-five closely printed pages, and describing the "Life, Character, and Behaviour"—(would, we could add, "the *Last Dying Speech*")—of Napoleone Buonaparte, in the following singular metre.

" Lamented Palm, with heart sincere
We venerate thy humble bier,
And o'er thy ashes shed a tear;
 In heart-felt woe
To Britain and to virtue dear
 While ages flow.

" Thy virtues are enroll'd on high,
Thy fame, true patriot, ne'er shall die,
But safe, embalm'd in honour, lie
 Without a stain,
And chance, and change, and time defy,
 In virtue's fane.

" Though snatch'd to an untimely grave,
Your heart's desire, in misery's care,
Yet Britons, noble Britons, brave,
 See how they pant
Your comfort and your babes to save
 From every want.

" Thy deathless virtues round them bloom,
And decorate thy patriot tomb;
They cheer their path amid their gloom
 Of sorrow's night,
And spurn a tyrant and his doom,
 For realms of light.

" When time is o'er, you'll meet again,
Where horrors come not, where no pain,
Where bloody tyrants and their train
 No entrance find;
Where God and love for ever reign
 To bless mankind."

From this specimen our readers will be able to form a judgment of the author's style and abilities. His brief sketch of the murderous Emperor's principal deeds is correct; and his principles and sentiments are unobjectionable.

An Invocation to Truth, upon a desirable Event, supposed to be near at Hand. Second Edition. By John Duncan, D.D. Rector of South-Warmborough, Hants. 8vo, Pp. 28. Bath, printed;—Cadell and Davies, Strand.

ALTHOUGH the event here adverted to, a *general peace*, has not taken place, nor is, according to appearances at least, *near at hand*, still the sage admonitions of this veteran champion of truth, for whom age has matured his wisdom without impairing his vigour, are highly salutary, and entitled to the greatest attention. That Dr. Duncan is an able writer, both in verse and prose, is a fact which has been long known to the public, who have been (or, at least, *might* and *ought* to have been) highly edified, by his different publications, breathing a truly Christian spirit, and, of course, a truly benevolent heart. The "Invocation to Truth" is preceded by a sensible and well written preface, in which the venerable author briefly adverts to the present depraved state of the Continent, and the evils resulting from the military successes of "the arbitrary outlaw." Our readers will be pleased with his just observations on the immediate seat of that Outlaw's Empire.

"The despicably-boastful GREAT NATION is most improperly now called a nation, peopled as it is with slaves, unprotected by any freely-acknowledged, regular, or legal establishment. In wretched France, exalted as it proclaims its glory, every security of person or property is alike annihilated! Every subordinate member that sustains a part of that horrid misrule, ill-denominated government, is deeply sensible it has utterly destroyed its claim to the goodwill of any man, who is not admitted to an immediate share of the opulence and grandeur of the arbitrary Outlaw. Upon the first frown, or suspended smile, of that unexampled good fortune, which appears to attend his beck, (and may, perhaps, for the correction of sinful nations, still continue to attend it) how long will they deign to pay allegiance to him? They know, they trembling feel their precarious stations; their very lives dependant upon his despotic nod. Upon whom depends the proud madman for his own momentary existence? We, who believe in God, can tell. But to us it is not given, any more than to the sightless passive instruments of his vengeance, to foresee at what time, or in what manner, the ALMIGHTY shall decree them unwittingly to overthrow the disastrous fabric they have more blindly conspired to raise.

"There has occurred, in the history of nations, nothing parallel to the present situation of France. With all its undiminished habitual arrogance, it still asserts its uncontrollable dominion over Italy, Spain, Portugal, Holland, Switzerland. It prepares, with apparent confidence in its devoted bondman, Prussia, to constrain all the other European powers, *except one*, to return affrighted back to their entranced neutrality. Against that sole consistently-honourable opponent it finds it has in vain enforced its conscription of armed myriads, it has impotently menaced to launch its *invincible* (because not hazarded) armada. Its faithless props are tottering. Most auspicious to the restoration of peace and righteous government upon earth appears to be the disaffection of half the provinces of Spain to the disgraceful government of a Bourbon despot, the prostrate worshipper of a Corsican robber. The smothered resentment of the unmentioned inferior states, half rekindled, may soon be ready to break forth, and impel them to join their strength to the confederacy, but imperfectly formed as yet, against the boundless extension of a dominion fatal to the security of every other state.

"Lee

"Let me lead you here to cast a momentary glance upon a prominent feature of the public character, indicative of the probable fate of the perfidious hypocrite. Blame not the harshness of a phrase, for which the subject loudly calls. Confusion soon shall seize his shameless panegyrists. He commenced his splendid career with the massacre in cold blood of thousands of unarmed citizens; how disgraceful to a cause, decorated with a specious title, a vindication of the natural liberties and equal rights of men! At its very commencement, it gave a manifest token of the horrors its progress must infallibly produce; the assertion only of an absolute freedom from all principle of religion or morality, all restraint by any law of God or man. The vizor was at once cast off by all the distinguished leaders of the profligate gang. The wily favourite of treacherous fortune seized the critical instant, when the ill-concerted plans of a revolution from a kingly government were successively brought into general discredit. They were all, in that volatile nation, almost as suddenly overturned as formed. The moment arrived, when the last abortive scheme felt itself crumbling, with a mighty crash, into utter confusion. It raised him unwittingly to a despotic empire. He assumed the plausible designation of the restorer of order civil and religious. Under pretence of giving perfect security to their re-establishment, he had the address to get himself invested with the supreme command of a countless host of too well disciplined ruffians. In gratifying their insatiable rapacity he has attached their leaders with a servile subserviency to his ambition. Through these he determines to extend his absolute empire over every nation of the terraqueous globe.

"With a painful retrospect, providentially ordained for their instruction, will all future ages contemplate the wide-spread havoc of a pestilent rage for innovation, ungoverned by temperance or discretion. The fatal successes and unresisted progress of its most formidable Corsican champion will by my happier country be laid to heart with instruction equally salutary, but less embittered with shame and remorse, than by any of our less consistently honourable neighbours and quondam allies. Incalculable are the calamities his brilliant fortune has brought upon the astonished nations, upon vain-glorious France itself above the rest. By his boundless aggressions and rapine it has incurred a general hatred. These have not relieved, they have aggravated its growing internal distress. The example they have set of triumphant perfidy has distracted the tone of equitable counsels in the cabinets of princes. It has created a sort of sanction to the dark maxims of perpetual mistrust, tending to break all bonds of union in human society."

We trust that this dreadful lesson will have its full effect, but when we hear a champion of Catholic emancipation in Ireland hail this base assassin, this general destroyer, this evil spirit laying waste the earth with his pestilential breath, as an example of *toleration*, to be encouraged, to be imitated, to be *looked up to for protection and for aid*, we cannot but perceive that the effect has not yet been produced. The preface closes with a true and animated sketch of the superior blessings which the British Constitution confers on all who have the happiness to live under it.

The Invocation to Truth is divided into four parts or sections. 1. *Religious Truth*. 2. *Moral and Political Truth; Ambition*. 3. *Moral Truth; Pleasure*. 4. *Moral Truth; Riches*. An earnestness, animation, and zeal, becoming the subject, characterize every page. The third section we shall extract, as being the shortest, and as fully sufficient to convey a correct notion of the plan and execution of the work.

"MORAL TRUTH—PLEASURE.

"Welcome, Pleasure, O Truth, on thy favourite child
Thou hast fondly, in sweetest complacency, smiled;
Let enthusiasts reject, at their cost, her delights,
To her gifts, at thy tender, all nature invites.

"Dear the prattlings, the gambols, of *infantine* glee,
Gay as guiltless in purpose, reproachless as free;
Airy mirth flits uncheck'd thro' the pastimes of *youth*,
Clear as yet from the world's bitter conflicts with truth.

"Bright in *manhood* of gen'rous exertions the glow,
While th' endearments of friendship most cordially flow.
Blest o'er all are the bonds of pure conjugal love;
How transcendent all sensual enjoyments above!

"Age serene, sagely placid, experience matures,
Within rule, from excess each affection secures;
Of all mortal existence the foremost in bliss,
Happier regions it owns, on the confine of this.

"Genuine, permanent, pure be but Pleasure approved,
She's at each fresh enjoyment refined and improved;
Conscience, Reason, give plaudit. Lo! fearless of harm,
In thy discipline train'd, she defies all alarm.

"—Help! quick, haste, guard of Pleasure, to save her. *Refrain*
What ensnares to th' embrace of her counterfeit, Pain.

—See a Sorceress vile, in her graces attired,
In that gay crowd advances,—how courted, admired!

"Witch, avaunt!—Rid us, Truth, of her frolicsome dreams,
Her lewd pageants, her wayward, her vicious extremes;
Bid us mark, through elaborate smiles, her regrets,
Yawns and poutings, her nauseas, her surfeits, her frets.

"All her modes of amusement most favour'd we rue,
'Tis to Gaming extreme deprecation is due;
Why thus cherish'd?—Speak, Frenzy—too sage for a friend,
Time's a grievous reprover, 'gainst whom we contend.

"Time a foe?—Thought a curse?—Mad or fools we confess,
Who pervert what were doomed life immortal to bless.
These, for Pleasure insane, shall we scorn?—Holy light,
Scare our dream, and that blood-sucking vampire to flight.

"Rouse us, Truth, from her spell's deadly torpor! 'Tis health
Earn'd by labour gives life. With an indolent stealth
Comes her fell magic o'er us. Remorse, abject scorn,
Blasted fame, public brand, are ills least to be borne.

"These, with shame, fear, and anguish, by order divine,
Shall reclaim thy stray pupils. Alike are benign,
Are parental the boons, the corrections of God:
Truth, with pious affection, thou blestest the rod.

"*Fashion*, check'd her vagaries, her crest shall unplume,
Licence writhe in the chains 'twas her vaunt to assume,

Flush of health to disease be by *Riot* inflamed,
Witless *Mirth* of her laughter convulsive ashamed.

"Thus, O Truth, to our dear prime attractive restore
The pure graces assign'd her, our guide to adore
The ALMIGHTY, whose justice and wisdom above,
Shine to man super-eminent Mercy and Love."

MISCELLANEOUS.

Hints to Fresh Men at the University of Cambridge. Third Edition. 12mo. Pp. 56, 1s 6d. Mawman, London; Deighton, Cambridge. 1807.

WE know not by what chance this valuable tract has advanced, in its circulation, to a *Third* edition without having met our eye. But happy we are to find, that *good advice* is so well received at Cambridge; and certain are we that the students of that University will derive more useful knowledge and information, from attention to these *Hints*, than they can possibly obtain from the *Political Philosophy** of Dr. Paley, in which, strange to say! they are still *lectured* by their tutors.—We shall select a few of the *Hints* for the satisfaction of our readers, who will, we are convinced, concur with us in our opinion of their excellence and utility. The first exhibits the character (alas! no *uncommon* character) of an *academic trifler*.

"*LEVICULUS*, with all the leisure and quiet (which) a student can desire, makes a slower advancement in science than any under-graduate of his college. He is remarkable for never having properly replied to a question proposed in the lecture-room, though, from his regular and temperate mode of living, one might expect to find him the best-read attendant there. How, then, does *LEVICULUS* pass his time? To-day, he is employed in polishing a collection of old books; to-morrow he will make out a catalogue of them; and, the next day, perhaps, adorn that catalogue with a painted cover. He spends a morning in copying, with due neatness, his weekly theme, in which, if no ugly blot appear, or ill-formed letter, he is unconcerned at the number of grammatical mistakes. Before he opens his *Euclid*, he carefully draws from his case of instruments the compass and rule, and would take more time to describe a quadrilateral figure in a circle, than any body else would require to prove its opposite angles equal to two right angles. From such a trifler as *LEVICULUS* nothing is to be expected. The Frenchman declares, *qu'il aime mieux ne rien faire, que de faire des riens*, in agreement with the remark quoted by Pliny—*satius est ociofum esse, quam nihil agere*."

—To men who go to an university merely that it may be said that they have had a *classical education*, and who are only intent, while there, on spending no-

* We have not seen the *last* edition of this work; but we have heard that the very objectionable passages which were noticed in one of the early volumes of this Review, have been *expunged*. Perhaps some of our readers may favour us with information on the subject.—RAY,

ney, a pretty numerous class! the following hint may, possibly, be of some service.

"I come to the university," cries a child of fortune, "to take my pleasure, and enjoy my friends. Talk not to me of lecture-rooms and tutors. Do you suppose I am to live by my learning?" By this rule (I answer in the words of *Dean Swift*), if what is commonly said be true, that '*money answerseth all things*,' why be honest, just, or charitable, since you have no intention to depend upon these qualities for a maintenance?"

We suppose that those illustrious Senators, Messrs. *Courtenay* and *Sherridan*, had the felicity of passing their academical hours at Saint John's College, and that they there collected that inexhaustible fund of puns, jokes, *bons mots*, and *jeux d'esprit*, with which they have, for many years past, continued to amuse and to edify the Senate—*Delectando pariterque monendo*.

"JOHNIANS have been famed for ages as the BEST PUNSTERS in the university. Let them enjoy the distinction still."

This is short, terse, and pithy; "but," adds our distributor of hints, in a note, evidently penned to avert the rage of the irritable Johnjans, for punsters, like poets, are *genus irritabile*;—"I would be understood as objecting, not to a good pun in its proper place and season," (in the Senate, for instance, during a debate on the *state of the nation*, or in the Chapel, during the performance of *divine service*) "but to an ambition of excellence in the art of punning, which occasions attempts at this sort of wit far from diverting to any, but those who produce them."

To argue *ex abusu ad usum*, is contrary to all the legitimate and acknowledged rules of ratiocination; therefore, most truly observes our author, "He who would stigmatize all religion with the name of methodism, and all learning with that of pedantry, is a contemptible idiot, *Longe fuge—cut him!*" We incline to think such a man much worse than an idiot, and should rather say to the Freshman, *Hic niger est; hunc tu, Romane, caveto*.

The following most despicable character is, by no means, peculiar to Cambridge. Oxford, Edinburgh, London, and, indeed, almost every other place, have each their Altamonts.

"ALTAMONT is a great dealer in the marvellous. When he prepares to speak, we expect a tale fraught with improbability. And ALTAMONT flatters himself that he is considered an agreeable companion. Flattery in the extreme! What can he be thought, but a LIAR or a FOOL—a LIAR, for asserting what he does not believe to be true; or a FOOL, for believing what he asserts?"

There is not a hint in the book more valuable or more necessary than the following; and we heartily wish that it were engraven in letters of gold over the gate of every College, in both Universities, and over the doors of many, many, houses which we could point out.

"Avoid," says *Spenser*, 'the occasion of the ill.' As the occasion of ills innumerable, keep from your lips the glass of ebriety. You may be rallied on your temperance, but determine, notwithstanding, to preserve it. Never consent to be laughed out of your senses." This kind of raillery has certainly a greater effect on young men than it could possibly be expected to produce on rational beings. It is the conduct which the impression made by such raillery occasions which is the proper *mauvaise honte* of the French, an expression frequently used, but seldom understood, and signifying, an action resulting from false shame, and repugnant to an innate sense of propriety. It is an undoubted fact that many freshmen get drunk merely to avoid the senseless pleasantries of their companions, and even when drinking is alike uncongenial with their ha-

bits and unpleasant to their feelings. As a memento to those practical wits, who make fools drunk in order to make them pleasant, the author subjoins, in a note; "It is a great mistake to suppose that stupid persons are ever made agreeable by wine. Somebody says very well,

"Fools fly to drink, as native dulness sunk;

In vain;—they're ten times greater fools when drunk."

If, indeed, there be any truth in the old adage, *In Vino Veritas*, this must be the case; and then, it were to be wished that drankennes should be confined to the *Altamonts* of the day.—These "Hints" are the production of the Reverend Philip Dodd, Fellow of Magdalen College, Cambridge; a most respectable clergyman, whose professions and practice are in strict unison with each other.

An Introduction to the Study of Moral Evidence; or, of that Species of reasoning which relates to Matters of Fact and Practice. With an Appendix on debating for Victory, and not for Truth. By James Edward Gambier, M.A. Rector of Langley, Kent, and Chaplain to the Right Hon. Lord Barham. Pp. 178, 12mo. 3s 6d. Rivingtons, 1806.

THIS is one of those treatises, which appear to all easily composed, but which very few, in fact, could produce. Such a concise yet complete introduction to the study of moral evidence, a knowledge of which is so essential to all classes of people in their every-day concerns of life, was hitherto a desideratum in literature. It is true, the principles have been often ably discussed in books of logic, a science now unfortunately become unfashionable with modern sentimentalists, and also in several works on the law; but those works were either too professional or scientific for the greater part of readers, and a small treatise like the present, divested of every thing foreign from the subject of forming our opinions on the truth or falsehood, credibility or incredibility of things, must be generally useful, and cannot fail to receive general attention. Even those readers who only read the titles and tables of contents of books, cannot read so much of the present without meeting with some important fact or observation which they have either not known before, forgotten, or not attended to as they ought. The volume is divided into five chapters; "on the nature of moral evidence, wherein it differs from demonstration; on the different kinds of moral evidence, with observations on the weight of each; general directions relating to moral reasoning; special directions relating to each kind of moral evidence; and on the kind of evidence of which different subjects admit;" in all of which the author discovers a vigorous, acute, and virtuous mind. The following remarks, in a note on moral reasoning, may be useful to those liberal and ingenuous minds who too frequently repose confidence in improper persons. "Experience is disregarded in a similar way when men put a confidence in persons of certain lines of life, the members of which are known to have been almost universally unworthy of confidence. In these cases also they err, not because they are ignorant of the result of experience, but because they suppose their case to be an exception to the general rule. In defence of this disregard of experience, it is sometimes urged, *that it does not follow*, that because men in that station have in general been unworthy of confidence, the man in whom we confide is so too. But I ask, what is meant by this expression, *it does not follow*? If it mean that it is not a *probable* consequence, the assertion is *not* true; both because it is probable that men in similar situations,

exposed

exposed to similar temptations, and under similar circumstances, will be affected in the same way; and because in almost every line of life there are certain modes of action established by custom, and custom is by almost all men regarded as a law. But, if the expression mean that it is not a *certain* consequence, I answer, this is nothing to the point. For human conduct is to be regulated, not by demonstrative, but by moral evidence, which does not admit of certainty. A farther defence of this disregard of experience is often grounded on the superior principles which, it is alledged, the person in whom we confide possesses beyond other men in similar situations. In deciding, however, on the existence of these superior principles, no allowance is made for the danger of delusion, arising from friendship, interest, or party: a danger which facts shew to be extreme. Other men have known the general rule to be derived from experience as well as we. They, too, have determined their case to be an exception to it; and in making that determination they have been generally deceived. This shews that it is most probable, that we too shall be deceived in following their example. It is in this way that experience becomes of so little use to men in directing their conduct. I do not say, that there may not be exceptions to general rules. But I say, that we cannot be too careful in examining whether or not our case be really an exception; and that we ought to have strong, positive, direct evidence that it is so; otherwise, we are not justifiable in disregarding general rules. It is, however, observable, that when men are not influenced by interest, friendship, or party, they are rarely deluded by these pretended exceptions. Thus, few people disregard the general character of horse-dealers in their transactions with them. They generally make use of all the skill which they possess, in examining the horse which they are going to purchase; and yet, after all, they are frequently deceived. But, this is not because of the confidence which they repose in them, but because the skill of the dealer in concealing the defects, exceeds their skill in detecting them."

In the Appendix, the following just remarks occur:—"Disputants for victory, and not for truth, often deny such positions of their opponent, as, though true, do not admit of specific proof. Of this kind are those which are founded on observation, or experience, or general notoriety. They dispute facts which they do not disbelieve, and take the chance of their opponent's not being furnished with positive proof of them. They explain away those maxims, which are founded on the general experience of mankind, and are delivered in proverbial expressions. They demand a species, or degree of proof, of which the subject does not admit. Thus, on practical questions, they dispute conclusions proved by strong palpable arguments, and withhold their assent, because they cannot be demonstrated. On the other hand, they require possibilities to be admitted by their opponent, in opposition to strong probabilities. They demand the admission of mere *ex parte* evidence, and that often of a very suspicious kind, in their favour, while they reject legitimate evidence on the subject against them. They lay hold of an occasional word, dropped by their opponent, either to divert the discussion from the subject in question, or to give a false sense to an argument. They cite their opponent's words unfairly, or give them a different meaning from what he intended by them. They endeavour to evade the question, by substituting some other question instead of it. They avail themselves of a mistake in some of the circumstances of a fact, to make the whole of it appear false, though the substance of the statement be true. They endeavour to confound the principles, either of evidence, or of morality, on which the decision of the question must depend.

depend. In a word, they shew, that they consider themselves entitled to take every advantage, however unfair, to establish their cause. Such disputants must, of course, have a good advantage over a fair and honest reasoner, whose only object is to discover and establish the truth. For he cannot allow himself to take any unfair advantage, or to use any methods which would be likely to mislead. It would be inconsistent with the end, which he proposes to himself, to urge any argument or objection which he does not believe to be well founded; or to give it greater weight than, in his judgment, it really deserves. He would rather even supply any defect, which he might perceive in his opponent's statement of facts or arguments, whereby they had less force than they ought to have, that he might contribute all in his power to a right decision of the question. Which of these characters is the more honourable and useful, cannot be doubted. But it is not sufficient to say, that disputing for victory is not so honourable or useful, as fairly enquiring after truth. It may further be shewn, that the practice is immoral.

"The powers of reason and speech are given to men for the attainment and communication of truth, and are perverted when they are used to deceive. This is acknowledged in the case of lying; and there seems no reason why it should not be acknowledged in this case also. For, the essence of a lie is the intention to deceive. The means employed are immaterial, whether they be words, or signs, or arguments. Now in this case there is an intention to deceive. For, the disputant does intend to make it believed, that some error is a truth, or some truth an error; or, that conclusive arguments are not conclusive; or vice versa: however, he endeavours to persuade his hearers that he believes them so to be. And, if he be successful in his endeavours, they are really deceived, no less than if they believed any other falsehood."

"What has been said has been urged with a view to the immorality of this practice; but its *folly* also may be shewn. And, as it is feared that this consideration will weigh more with many, than its immorality, it is necessary to add a few words on this topic.

"If the reputation of being an able disputant be his object, it may be questioned, whether it is not in general defeated. For, with men, at least of sound judgment, the notion of an able disputant includes that of a fair reasoner, but, the notion of a disputant for victory resembles that of a person who cheats at play, and is, in consequence, despised. If the reputation of a party be his object, it is generally frustrated, because, the delusion will rarely be of long continuance, but will most frequently be detected by reflection, and by subsequent information; and, when it is detected, it excites a presumption that his party is in the wrong."

We apprehend, however, that few judicious parents, teachers, or others, who wish to form just judgments of men, manners, and things, will omit perusing this introduction at least once, if not several times.

The Complete Grazier; or Farmer and Cattle-dealer's Assistant, &c. &c. By a Lincolnshire Grazier. Second Edition, revised, corrected, enlarged, and greatly improved. Illustrated by numerous Engravings. 8vo. Pp. 502. 12s. Crosby and Co. 1807.

THIS is certainly one of the *cheapest* publications of the present day; whether we consider the mechanical parts of it, or the various subjects of which it treats. Here the grazier and cattle-dealer will find, instructions for buying, breeding, and fattening cattle; directions for the choice of live stock, and for treating

treating them when labouring under the pressure of disease; the general economy of a grass-farm; the best mode of irrigation; cum multis aliis quæ nunc describere longum est. In a word, the volume contains a great quantity of useful matter, which appears to have been collected from the best treatises on the different subjects; and it has, besides, many wood engravings of implements of husbandry.

The Life of Thomas Chatterton. By John Davis, Author of "Travels in America." 12mo. Pp. 168. 4s. Tegg.

THE cause assigned for this biographical sketch is the circumstance of there being no life of Chatterton in existence but that by Dr. Gregory, which accompanies the works of Chatterton, in three large volumes. Mr. Davis has executed his task with industry certainly, and not with that inveterate partiality which marks too many of our biographical productions. The story of this unhappy boy occasioned too much discussion in the literary world to be soon forgotten. That he was richly gifted with genius, and in many respects highly favoured by nature, is no more to be denied, than that he was too apt to encourage the growth of malice and revenge. With his present biographer, we agree, that Horace Walpole's conduct to the young bard was most mean, ungenerous, and illiberal; but Mr. D. is mistaken in his supposition that he is the first who reproached the Sage of Strawberry-Hill with his inconsistency, in censuring poor Chatterton for the very offence which he had committed himself; the writer of this article pressed this objection against Mr. Walpole at the time, and produced the *Castle of Otranto* in support of his charge. Chatterton's attack upon Johnson was most silly and impotent. It were to be wished that Mr. Davis had strongly marked his disapprobation of *Suicide*; of which the verdict of the Coroner's Jury, *Insanity*, can form the only excuse. This book is disfigured by an unusual number of typographical errors.

EDUCATION.

Talents Improved: or the Philanthropist. By the Author of Interesting Conversations, &c. 12mo. Pp. 276. 5s. Williams and Smith, London.

THE professed object of this publication is "to convey religious instruction, in an easy and familiar manner, to young and inconsiderate minds." The fair author has perfectly succeeded in the accomplishment of her laudable and salutary task; nor have we found the smallest necessity for the modest caution in the preface. "Those (sentiments and arguments) which are agreeable to scripture and sound reasoning may they retain; and if any are contrary to those standards, may they have the penetration to discern, and the prudence to reject them." In this respect, fortunately, there is no room left for a display of the discernment, or an exercise of the prudence, of her juvenile readers. The story is told in a plain and simple manner, and in an easy, unadorned, and correct style; while the incidents are sufficient to awaken curiosity and to command attention. We select the following passage, containing the portrait of the tutor of her hero, as affording a fair criterion by which the talents and principles of the author may be estimated.

"As

As Mr. D—— will make a principal figure in this history, it may be proper to give a few more particulars respecting his character and sentiments. We have already said he was a scholar and a gentleman. This last term is not easily defined. Some wise and judicious persons have pronounced the epithet to be due only to the Christian. This, strictly speaking, may be true, though certainly it is a point of controversy on which much may be said on both sides. It is certain that the term, *gentleman*, is most commonly used with much greater latitude, and in this sense we apply it to Mr. D——. The politeness of his manners, the ease of his deportment, and the generosity and liberality of sentiment he always expressed in conversation, made him the idol of every society he frequented; whilst his general learning insured him admiration and respect. He was likewise esteemed the man of honour and virtue; but these terms also must be understood in a limited sense, as applied to the character in question, since, strictly speaking, the man of honour could not act the part of an unfaithful husband, nor the man of virtue be the betrayer of virgin innocence. Mr. D—— had been guilty of both these actions, yet continued to hold that high place in the opinion of his acquaintance, which is unquestionably due only to the man incapable of them. But surely it may be said, however Mr. D——'s male friends might overlook these defects in his character, his female friends would be less indulgent. It is utterly incompatible with female delicacy and propriety to countenance with the smile of complacency such an one, to shew evident marks of satisfaction in his company, and thus give a tacit acknowledgment that they disapprove not of his actions. Thus indeed would those argue, who are judges of what is proper and right in the female character. But 'the frequency of crimes have (*has*) washed them white;' or rather the abuse of terms has lessened the sense of their enormity. It is a truth much to be lamented, that the seducer is termed only the man of gallantry, and instead of being avoided as a pest in society, if he chance to possess agreeable qualities, and, above all, if he be of high rank, he is even caressed by that sex whose indispensable duty it is to discountenance him.

Although Mr. D——'s wife had, in the opinion of all his relations, fallen a victim to his unfaithful and unkind behaviour, he could talk much of the dignity of virtue, and the extent of moral obligation, and had been successful in persuading many who were unacquainted with the particulars of the affair, that his conduct had not been inconsistent with his sentiments. He could not, however, be always successful in his attempts to impose upon himself. The still small voice of conscience would sometimes, in the hour of solitude or dejection, bring past occurrences to his recollection, and all his philosophy was insufficient to silence the unwelcome monitor. We have already said, that he embraced no particular system of religious opinions; indeed he was inclined to hold in contempt those who had, for he thought it incompatible with sound reason to believe any creed which its powers were incapable of explaining: thus harbouring the unreasonable opinion, that *finite* understandings were justified in rejecting a revelation *infinite* wisdom thought proper to communicate, though not to explain in all particulars. This was the ostensible reason given by Mr. D—— for his disbelief of doctrines he had never candidly examined, and it passed current with most of his friends and acquaintances. But there was a secret reason scarcely known to himself, which lay at the root of all his prejudices

judices and rejection of the gospel, namely, the purity of its moral precepts. In the emphatical language of scripture, "he loved darkness rather than light, because his deeds were evil;" and he feared to come to the light, lest they should be reprov'd. He well knew that the Ten Commandments, if truly the words of God, and especially the spiritual explanation of them given by our Saviour, must condemn his conduct and inclinations. It therefore appeared to him to be his interest to disbelieve Christianity entirely. He wished the Bible might be false, and every adept in moral science knows how easily the understanding may be made the dupe of inclination."

Introduction au Lecteur François: ou Recueil de Pièces choisies; avec l'Explication des Idiotismes, et des Phrases difficiles qui s'y trouvent. Par Lindley Murray. 12mo. Pp. 240. 3s bound. Longman and Co. London; Wilfon and Spence, York; and Constable and Co. Edinburgh. 1807.

IN our Number for December 1802, we reviewed the work to which that now before us professes to be an *Introduction*. This little volume, which is designed for the use of persons who have just begun to learn the French language, "is composed of extracts from French writers of reputation, who are distinguished by the propriety and usefulness of their sentiments." Mr. Murray has exercised his usual caution and judgment in these selections; and his explanation, in the Appendix, of the idiomatical expressions and difficult phrases, which occur in the extracts, are well calculated to simplify, and consequently to facilitate, the study of the language.

An Abridgment of Dr. Goldsmith's Natural History of Beasts and Birds. Interpersed with a Variety of interesting Anecdotes; and illustrated by nearly Two Hundred Engravings on Wood, in the Manner of Bewick. 12mo. Pp. 376. 5s 6d. Scatcherd and Letterman. 1807.

THIS is a judicious and useful abridgment of Goldsmith's interesting work; and considering the quantity of matter which it contains, and the number of wood cuts, is one of the cheapest publications of the kind.

Arithmetic made easy to the Capacities of Children: containing above 550 Examples in the fundamental Rules, the Rule of Three, and Practice; a Variety of promiscuous Questions, and Bills of Parcels: designed as an Introduction to other Systems of Arithmetic. To which is subjoined an Appendix, containing Arithmetical Tables, &c. By John Thomson. 24mo. Pp. 60. 1s half-bound. Manchester printed; Williams and Smith, London; Mozley, Gainsborough. 1807.

THE object of this little treatise is to supply an existing deficiency in our schools, by affording a number of simple and easy examples, to facilitate the acquisition of arithmetical knowledge to young beginners. It is very well calculated to answer such a purpose; and, indeed, it appears to have the test of experience in its favour, having been long tried by Mr. Thomson himself, before he submitted it to the public. It must therefore be considered as a very useful publication.

An Introduction to Merchants' Accounts, in which the Intention of applying and opposing the Terms Dr. and Cr. according to the Italian Method of Book-keeping, is explained, by which the Art is demonstrated, made perfectly easy, and reduced under four plain Cases, or Rules, which are applicable and infallible in every Occurrence or Example of domestic or foreign Trade. Part the First; intended for the Use of Schools, and Persons who would acquire a Knowledge of this Branch of Science without the Assistance of a Teacher. By J. Sedger. 12mo. Law. 1807.

MR. Sedger has here performed an acceptable service to young men about to enter a counting-house, by furnishing them with a portable companion which may enable them to *think*, and fully to comprehend the apparently arbitrary distinctions which necessarily exist in mercantile accounts. It is rather unusual, indeed, with practical teachers, like our author, who, it appears, takes a limited number of pupils in Great Castle Street, Oxford Street, to publish works designed to supersede the necessity of a master. This fact does him honour as a man, and the work is highly reputable to his talents. The perplexing terms of Dr. and Cr. are very well illustrated with equal conciseness and perspicuity. The absurd terms, still used in many books, of "Sundries Drs. to Stock," which, as the author justly observes, is only "Stock Dr. to Stock," are here properly rejected, and the application of the term Dr. to increase or decrease of property is clearly pointed out, and rendered rational and intelligible to the commonest capacity. Mr. Sedger gives the four following "Rules for Dr. and Cr. 1st. Increase (of Stock) Dr. to Decrease: 2d. Increase Dr. to cause or imputed cause of increase: 3d. Cause or imputed cause of decrease Dr. to decrease; and 4th. Cause of decrease Dr. to cause of increase." These terms convey some more explicit ideas, and may be the means of preventing many errors, which at the commencement are often committed in accounts of profit and loss. The author, however, should have omitted all words in the first person, as "me" and "my," instead of "him" and "his," as no merchants now keep their own books. Young men, likewise, who know a little grammar, experience at first much fewer difficulties in discriminating the propriety of the distinctions of Dr. and Cr. in company accounts. Models of a Waste Book, Journal and Ledger are given, and also of an original and very convenient mode of trial balancing, all of which are well calculated to introduce youth to a complete knowledge of the general system of mercantile accounts. Upon the whole, this little Introduction will be found much more useful than most of the more splendid and extensive publications on the same subject which have lately appeared.

The Panorama of Youth, in two Volumes. By Mary Sterndale.

WE have here one of the best conceived and best executed little works for the young and improving mind that has ever yet come within our knowledge. And this, in itself, is no mean praise, when the multifarious performances which have of late years been addressed to the juvenile part of society: many of those performances, indeed, offered at the shrine of youth by some of the most distinguished writers of the country.

Mrs.

Mrs. Sterndale has promised, that the pictures are drawn from real life by the pencil of an affectionately-devoted mother who resides in the midst of a large family, and surrounded by such children as she introduces to the juvenile panorama. This intelligence cannot fail to gratify all parental readers; because the union of preceptive with practical instruction has always the impressive effect of rules illustrated and confirmed by examples. But, the interest created by the knowledge of this circumstance will be considerably heightened by a perusal of the passage which opens the book. "To a good and benevolent heart this world cannot present a more beautiful sight than a happy and united family: a father, who devotes his time and abilities to the interest of his children, and his heart to their happiness; a mother, who lives in their comforts and enjoyments; who regulates their duties, directs their improvements, partakes their sports, and soothes their sorrows; and children, who look up to them with reverence and affection, who listen to their admonitions with respect and obedience, and whose tender love towards *each other* forms the most perfect finish to the picture of earthly happiness. Such a family was Mrs. VILLARS'S." We understand, and from the best authority, that this description does not more immediately apply to Mrs. Villars than to the happy circle of the amiable author of the pages under our examination. It will, therefore, be less matter of surprise than pleasure, that a real mother and actual children here form the groupe; and that none of the fathers or mothers are the creatures of imagination who never knew a parent's care, and who manage ideal progeny as bachelors manage wives and children.

The first volume of Mrs. Sterndale's book consists of, 1st. The Museum—2dly. A Good Action meets its Reward—3dly. The Cottage, or the Purchase of Pleasure—4thly. The Triumph of Filial Affection—5thly. Jeffy of the Vale—6thly. Delia's Birth-day—7thly. the Village School. The second volume contains, the Sisters, Moorland Mary, and the Voyage of Life. These all possess appropriate merit, and are well calculated to gratify youthful curiosity, while they inculcate moral principle and useful knowledge. The "Voyage of Life" rises to a higher order of composition, though perhaps not more persuasive and alluring than the rest: its personifications are eminently happy, and the moral to be deduced no less impressive.

By way of specimen of the poetical part of the work, we shall make room for a tale of peculiar sweetness and simplicity, called

" MOORLAND MARY.

"With jet-black eyes, and sloe-black hair;
 With cheeks so red, and round arms bare;
 And teeth so white, and dimpled chin;
 And bosom fair, and pure within;
 And small straw hat, so loosely tied,
 And rusby basket at her side
 Quite full with berries red and blue,
 And heather buds of many a hue,
 And steps as light as any fairy,
 I met the little MOORLAND MARY.

' If you, sweet girl, will go with me,
 ' My little serving maid to be,
 ' And those soft notes you sweetly sung
 ' Repeat them to my nursing young;
 ' And leave these bills, so bleak and wild,
 ' To watch and tend my darling child;
 ' To cherish her I fondly love,
 ' And tender, true, and faithful prove;
 ' And o'er her infant steps be wary;
 ' I'll treasure you, sweet MOORLAND MARY.'

" Oh, lady, listen to my tale,
 ' And let my simple words prevail:
 ' My mother's old—she's old and poor,
 ' And scarce can totter to the door;
 ' And me she loves, her only joy;
 ' She has no other girl or boy.
 ' Ah! whilst *she* lives, with her I'll stay,
 ' But think of you, when far away:
 ' She says the grave will rest the weary;
 ' And then I'll be *your* MOORLAND MARY.'"

MEDICINE, SURGERY, &c.

An Examination of that Part of the Evidence relative to Cow-pox which was delivered to the Committee of the House of Commons by two of the Surgeons of St. Thomas's Hospital. To which is added a Letter to the Author from John Birch, Esq. The second Edition. 8vo, Pp. 40, 2s. Callow.

MR. Rogers, the author of this Examination, is a determined enemy to inoculation for the cow-pock, or, to speak in the language of fashionable practitioners, to vaccination. In opposition to Dr. Jenner's assertions, he affirms "that cow-pox has in more than one instance proved fatal. That cow-pox is productive of new appearances of disease, unknown before in the catalogue of human infirmities. And that cow-pox is not by any means to be depended on as a security against the natural small-pox." The first of these positions may readily be granted, without any impeachment of the general utility of the practice. But as various causes may have combined to produce the fatality alledged, it would be necessary to know a great deal more of the cases than Mr. Rogers has thought proper to explain, before we can with propriety judge of the validity of his conclusion.—In order to prove that vaccination is no security against the small-pox, he cites, as incontestible evidence, the case of a Mr. Bowen's child at Harrow, who is stated to have first had the cow-pox; and afterwards to have been inoculated for three successive years for the small-pox, without receiving the infection. But, on the fourth inoculation, the small-pox appeared; and another child, inoculated with the matter taken from these pustules, took the infection. This is certainly an extraordinary case; but until the same experiment shall have been made upon a child who

has had the small-pox from inoculation, to ascertain whether it will again receive the variolous infection, the comparative merits of the two modes of inoculation will remain to be proved. At all events neither this instance, nor many others, would suffice to counterbalance the many strong cases, in which children, who had had the cow-pox, had been exposed to the variolous infection, in its most virulent stages, and did not receive it. Mr. Rogers is a strong partizan, but a very inconclusive reasoner.

Serious Reasons for uniformly objecting to the Practice of Vaccination: in Answer to the Report of the Jennerian Society, &c. By John Birch. 8vo, Pp. 74. Callow.

MR. Birch was a most inveterate enemy to vaccination from the very beginning, and will, apparently, remain so as long as he lives. He is very confident in his assertions, but not very happy in his illustrations. Unable to deny the increased and increasing fatality of the small-pox, he, very modestly, imputes it to the want of confidence in the faculty, occasioned by the frequent failure of the inoculation for the cow-pox!!!—This is strange reasoning, indeed!—And, then, he has the assurance to propose the following remedy for this evil.

“Let us put things upon the old footing; let us drop vaccination altogether for seven years, and practise only small-pox inoculation; and if the mortality in small-pox do not return to its old standard, I will be content to give up my opinion, and become as devout a worshipper of the cow, as any idolator within the realms of Indostan or the precincts of Salisbury Court.”

If Mr. Birch mean to be *pleasant* here, his mirth is very ill-timed; and, if serious, his arguments are as weak as his prejudices are manifestly strong.

The Vaccine Contest: or “Mild Humanity, Reason, Religion, and Truth, against fierce, unfeeling Ferocity, overbearing Insolence, mortified Pride, false Faith, and Desperation:” being an exact Outline of the Arguments and interesting Facts adduced by the principal Combatants on both Sides, respecting Cow-pox Inoculation; including a late Official Report on this Subject, by the Medical Council of the Royal Jennerian Society. Chiefly designed for the Use of Clergymen, Heads of Families, Guardians, Overseers of the Poor, and other unprofessional Readers, who may be concerned for the Welfare of Mankind. By William Blair, M.A. &c. 8vo, Pp. 96. 2s 6d. Murray.

MR. Blair is very well qualified to speak of the efficacy of vaccination, by the best of all instructors, *experience*. His practice has been extensive; and he has watched and appreciated the result with a vigilant eye, and a judicious mind. He has here thrown together all the principal arguments on both sides the question in the form of a dialogue, and has thus exhibited a clear and satisfactory view of the contest. In this tract may be found very conclusive answers to Mr. Birch's objections; and the following observations place the comparative merits of vaccination and of inoculation for the small-pox on a proper footing.

“The only objection, which can (with a shadow of reason) be urged against the cow-pox, is, that it does not prove an **INFALLIBLE** security against the small-pox, there being a **FEW** instances of small-pox occurring after

after vaccination. I will give this objection its full force, and argue upon the supposition of its being true: but let me ask, what will be the consequence? Does the cow-pox fail oftener than the occurrence of small-pox *twice in the same subject*? Probably these events happen in nearly a similar proportion of cases.

"Again, suppose *fifty* persons have really had the small-pox subsequent to vaccination, out of three hundred thousand individuals vaccinated in this kingdom; even thus it will appear that only one person in six thousand is liable to have the small-pox afterwards; whereas at least one person in three hundred (and above double that proportion in London) *dies* of the inoculated small-pox! But, in fact, not more than *ten* admissible cases of failure can be reckoned out of three hundred thousand individuals, properly vaccinated; so that only one such person in thirty thousand is liable to the small-pox, and ten in three hundred thousand, the whole number supposed to have been already vaccinated in Great Britain.

"Now, granting that of those ten, two should die, who catch the small-pox after vaccination, it would then follow that *only two individuals die out of two hundred thousand* persons, in consequence of failures in the inoculated cow-pox; whereas, the number of deaths from so many people inoculated for the small-pox would have been at least one in three hundred, that is, *a thousand in all*! Consequently, it is evident from this plain calculation, that the deaths occasioned by small-pox inoculation, are AT LEAST FIVE HUNDRED TO ONE MORE THAN FROM THE COW-POX!!!

"But, if we reckon the small-pox to happen twice in the same person, as frequently as that disease occurs after vaccination, this proportion will be DOUBLED IN FAVOUR OF THE COW-POX; and if we allow that various inoculation has been the remote cause of the casual small-pox becoming so universally prevalent and fatal as it now proves to be, the advantages of vaccination (which proposes entirely to extinguish the contagion of small-pox) must appear great, indeed, BEYOND ALL COMPUTATION!!!"

These are strong facts, and well worth the serious attention of all who are interested in the consideration of this important subject.—It is needless to observe, that Mr. Blair and Mr. Birch are opposite as the poles in their sentiments; but, though the old adage, "Who can decide when *doctors* disagree?" may be applicable enough to this contest, we confess we have found little difficulty in deciding on which side truth and reason are to be found.

An Address to the Professors of Physic and Surgery, in the Cities of London and Westminster, proposing the Institution of a Society for investigating the Cause, Symptoms, and Cure of the Hydrophobia. 8vo. Pp. 16. 6d. Creighton, 1807.

THE substance of this Address was delivered by the author to the London Medical Society, in November 1789; and it is now published on account of the recent alarm respecting mad dogs, in the metropolis;—an alarm, by the bye, which we believe to have been perfectly groundless, and to have originated in the interested artifices of a band of dog-stealers. —Such a society, however, as the author proposes to establish would cer-

tainly be useful; and, indeed, no exertions should be left untried for ascertaining the cause, effect, and cure of a disease which is truly represented as the *opprobrium medicorum*.

DIVINITY.

A Sermon, occasioned by the Circumstances of the late glorious and decisive Victory, obtained by the British Fleet under the Command of Lord Viscount Nelson, over the combined and more numerous Forces of France and Spain, off Trafalgar, on Monday, 21st of October, 1806. Delivered on board his Majesty's Ship Britannia, at Sea, on Sunday, 3d November, 1806. By Lawrence Halloran, D.D. Chaplain of the said Ship, and Secretary to Rear Admiral the Earl of Northesk. 4to. Pp. 32. 2s 6d. Gardiner, 1806.

THIS may be considered, both as a *Thanksgiving Sermon* for the Victory of Trafalgar, and as a *Funeral Sermon* for the gallant Commander who lost his life on the occasion. The text, from Maccabees, is peculiarly appropriate:—"He put himself in jeopardy, to the end, he might deliver his people, and yet him a perpetual name." The Sermon is written with considerable ability; and the style is animated, impressive, and chaste.

The Duty of the Clergy to enforce the frequent receiving of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper; a Sermon preached at the Visitation bolden in the Parish Church of Holy-Rood, Southampton, on Monday, September 8th, 1806. By the Rev. Samuel Clapham, A.M. 8vo. Pp. 26. 1s. Verner and Co.

WE have read this Sermon with unusual satisfaction. As an eloquent composition, and an oratorical address, it possesses considerable merit. But what chiefly attracted our notice was the solicitude and zeal which Mr. Clapham expresses to persuade his reverend hearers to enter into his own views. He is ardently desirous that they should shew themselves successful labourers, in restoring the church as nearly as possible to its original purity, and inducing their hearers to become wise, and good, and happy. Mr. C. does not treat the subject as merely commemorative of the death of Christ, but as conveying pardon and sanctification. His principal object, however, is to remove all the obstacles to a frequent and devout participation, and to infuse his own spirit into his hearers.

He thus reprehends the too prevalent custom among the clergy of reading only the first sentence in the exhortation.

"It is the custom of some Clergymen to read no more than the first sentence of the first Exhortation, by which all such may be considered as addressing their flocks in the following manner: 'When we next assemble, a certain ceremony will be performed; it is, indeed, no other than a commemoration of the meritorious cross and passion of Christ. To this Ceremony you are invited: you will come if you are disposed; but if you have not such a disposition, I do not think that ye will be condemned as guilty; and therefore, I forbear to recommend it to you to embrace the Invitation, much more to urge

it upon you.' How! Does a worthy participation of the Lord's Supper impart 'strength to the poor, to the needy in his distress?' Is it in itself 'a refuge from the storm, a shadow from the heat?' Does it encourage them that receive it 'with a fearful heart, to be strong, and not to fear—to sanctify the Lord of hosts—to enrol themselves among the children who shall be taught of the Lord,' that they may feel and know how 'great is the peace of his children,' and may become 'the holy people, the redeemed of the Lord?' If the Institution contain within itself such mighty blessings, and we perceive our respective flocks inattentive to appropriate them to themselves; is it not our indispensable duty to expostulate with them in the language of the second Exhortation—'whether they are not ashamed when God calls them not to come? and to beseech them to consider whether their feigned excuses will avail them any thing before God?' And is it not further incumbent on us, previous to its celebration, so to illustrate the design of the Ordinance, that the lowest comprehension may understand it; and to enforce it warmly and vehemently upon the minds and consciences of our hearers? for on this subject, above all others, may it not be said to us—'ye that make mention of the Lord, keep not silence—?' If we have done this, and without effect—and if we have not done it, is not the lamentation of the Prophet applicable unto us—'O, my people, they which lead thee, cause thee to err!' it is natural that we should enquire of ourselves, how it is that 'the word,' which is described as 'sharper than a two edged sword,' should, in our mouths, 'return unto us void,' and should disappoint and mortify us, by not 'accomplishing the purpose for which it was sent.'"

The peroration is so patriotic, so truly evangelical and affecting, that notwithstanding it requires more room than we usually allot to single sermons, we are unwilling to injure it by abridgment.

"I have presumed, my Reverend Brethren, and I trust without offence towards any individual, to direct your attention to a subject which no thinking man can, I believe, contemplate without many, the most melancholy, reflections. The state of the Church first presents itself to the mind as very, very different from what some of us have seen it, and what 'our fathers have told us.' Whilst we observe every rising sect flourishing and prosperous, extending its influence, and establishing its adherents; the Church of England, I speak it with sorrow of heart, I meditate on it in bitterness of soul, appears to the accurate observer, with its foundation sapped, and its walls decayed. The danger may, indeed, be overlooked by indifference, or contemned by presumption; but let us, for God's sake, employ all our powers to avert it, lest, although we ourselves may escape, our posterity should be buried under its ruins. But even allowing that the dilapidation of the edifice should be prevented by authority, that the wiles of the adversary should be defeated by vigilance—will there be 'heard within its walls, salvation; and within its gates, praise?' I speak not of those vast multitudes who absent themselves from her worship. I speak of those only who pretend to belong to her, but who manifest neither attachment to their Church, nor love to their God by commemorating at his Altar, their deliverance from sin, and redemption from perdition. 'These her children whom she hath nurtured and brought up,' are they who overwhelm their venerable parent with shame, and her ministers with reproach. Some of them may, I allow, appeal to the tenor of their behaviour, and say, that, although they are not religious, they are not immoral: but the morality of such men is merely negative; it is rather the absence of evil, than the existence of good. They may with the same propriety be denominated Chris-

tians, as a pole stuck into the ground may be called a tree; there is the appearance of a stem, but the root and the branches are wanting. Dangerous is their situation when they flatter themselves, that, because they are, comparatively, 'innocent, surely the Lord's anger shall turn from them.' May it be our unremitting endeavour—and may the endeavour be productive of unexampled success!—to persuade them and all men, to 'seek the Lord daily, and to delight to know his ways, as a nation that do righteousness, and forsake not the ordinances of their God!' Instead of trusting to their own righteousness, and 'hewing out to themselves,' as the Prophet elegantly expresses it, 'cisterns, broken cisterns that can hold no water—may they ask their way to Zion with their faces thitherward, saying, Come, and let us join ourselves to the Lord in the new Covenant in his Blood.' Let us, my Reverend Brethren, endeavour, earnestly endeavour, by fervent prayer to God and unwearied diligence in our calling, to excite this spirit in our hearers, convinced as we all must be, that he is the most useful to men, and will be the most approved of God, who employs the greatest attention, and exercises the most consummate judgment, in persuading the people committed to his care, to become worthy communicants. The best criterion of our utility in the support of the State, and of our solicitude for the interests of the Gospel, is, our bringing men to the Lord's Table with right dispositions. In a cause like this, where we are at once promoting the welfare of society, the glory of God, and the salvation of men, zeal 'might open the mouth of the dumb, and make the tongues of them that cannot speak eloquent.' If men are not by our preaching awakened to a sense of their obligations as Christians; if we fail to impress them with the conviction of the relation in which they stand to God as their Redeemer, and of the necessity, according to the Apostle, of co-operating with Him in the great work of our sanctification*, feeble, I had almost said injudicious, will be our attempts, and correspondent will be our success, in prevailing with them to 'eat the flesh of the Son of man, and to drink his Blood,' and thereby to appropriate to themselves all the blessings of Redemption. But will not the natural conclusion be, that 'we labour in vain, and spend our strength for nought?' Will not those 'who preach another Gospel' accuse us of being slothful, or at least, unskilful, labourers, sowing improper seed, and, in consequence, disappointed of an harvest? A parish, in common estimation, is not, I believe, considered as notoriously irreligious, provided that one in ten of its inhabitants celebrate the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper. How melancholy the reflection! Instead of one only, the ten may be cleansed; but what will become of the nine! The declaration of our Lord may, I fear, be understood in a literal sense, that 'strait is the gate, and narrow is the way which leadeth unto life, and that few there be that find it.' Gracious God! is such the influence of that Dispensation, under which, as the Evangelical Prophet describes, 'many shall say, Come ye, and let us go up to the mountain of the Lord, to the house of the God of Jacob; and He of his mercy, will teach us of his ways, and we on our part, will walk in his paths?' To preserve ourselves from blame, and our people from condemnation, let us be fully persuaded in our minds, that every parishioner who frequents the service of the Church, and absents himself from God's altar, subjects us, in a certain degree, to the suspicion, either of want of diligence in the distribution, or of judgment in the application, of the word of life. Let us then review our ministry, judging fairly and impartially of ourselves, as the

shall one day be judged at the Tribunal of God; let us accurately ascertain how many of our hearers have, through the efficacy of our preaching, become communicants, and have, in consequence, imbibed the spirit of the ever-blessed Gospel; let us accurately ascertain whether there is a progressive increase between each succeeding communion; and whether we dispel the fears, remove the scruples, and obviate the objections, by which the weak, the superstitious, and the conceited may, severally, be withheld from communicating. For if my parish exhibit no spiritual improvement—if my hearers are not led to reflection, and from reflection to a devout participation of the Lord's Supper—I have, during that interval, been, comparatively, useless—and whatever cause I may assign, or whatever I may urge in my own justification, will it not, ultimately, be found, that the fault is in myself; either in my want of talent, of zeal, or of judgment? And there is not, perhaps, a situation in the world, so little to be envied, nay, so much to be deprecated, as that of a Clergyman, whose ministry brings forth no fruits of good living. It is not sufficient, it cannot be satisfactory to a reflecting mind, to rest in good intention, and in the conviction of the Gospel being 'worthy of all acceptance.' No! if I have neglected to promote the furtherance of it, by zealously inculcating a solemn celebration of its most holy Rite—may I not with justice expect that my reception will be that of the slothful servant, 'who laid up his talent in a napkin—out of thine own mouth will I judge thee'—because thou knowest that unto thy care was committed the salvation of those who were, by their uniform observance of religious duties, graciously intended to be 'habitations of God through the Spirit?'"

After this follows a devout prayer.

We forbear to make any comment or observations. All who patronize our labours will, we believe, from the perusal of these extracts, think with us, that they are deserving, as we can assure them the whole discourse is, of high commendation.

MISCELLANIES.

DR. GLEIG AND MR. LAING.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW, &c.

Sir,

IN the Monthly Magazine which was published on the first of last November, and which fell into my hands only last week, there is a letter from Mr. Laing to the Editor, on which I feel myself called upon to make some animadversions. It may seem indeed that these should pass to the public through the same channel with the letter which is the object of them; but the Monthly Magazine is read by so very few persons, whose good opinion I have any desire to retain; and the conduct of the publisher of that miscellany, with respect to Squire Laing's correspondence and mine, has been so different from what, in his situation, I should have thought honourable, that I trust you will indulge an old friend with a few pages in your more impartial journal. You will perceive the propriety of complying with this request, when you find an assertion advanced by Mr. Laing, which you, and you only, can prove to be either a truth or a falsehood, and perceive yourself, as well as our learned

and

and most respectable friend Mr. Nares, involved in that torrent of obloquy which this *sage* historian has thought fit to pour upon me.

The object of Mr. Laing is to refute the arguments, by which, in the Monthly Magazine for August, I had vindicated myself from the calumnies which, in the same miscellany for June, he had published against me, only because I had dared to expose, in the British Critic, the sophistry and perversion of facts which pervade his *Dissertation on the Murder of Lord Darnley*. As you have re-published his letter and mine in the Anti-Jacobin for November last, I request the favour of you and your readers to peruse with attention the paragraph which begins (p. 314 of that number) with the words—"The first passage to which he objects," &c. and then to say, whether that paragraph contains any thing which can with truth be called a *subterfuge*.

It is a subterfuge, says Mr. Laing, because Durham's place and pension "had been fully explained and confirmed by an authority a few pages before;" because "Durham has been particularly accused by Buchanan, of treachery in deserting and betraying his master on the eve of his murder;" and because "that the grant to Durham is not stated in the privy seal record as the reward of his treachery, is an evasive statement of the charge preferred against the dissertator in the British Critic."

For a confutation of this last argument intended to convict me of evasion, I refer with confidence to my letter already published in your journal and in the Monthly Magazine; and with respect to the second argument, I must take the liberty to inform Mr. Laing, as I have repeatedly informed him already, that Buchanan, who was one of the Queen's *accusers*--the tool of Murray and his associates, cannot be admitted as a *witness* against her. There remains, therefore, but the *first* of those precious proofs of *subterfuge* to be considered; and that full justice may be done to it, I must beg leave to call the reader's attention to the arrangement which Mr. Laing has given to his *Dissertation*.

He divides it into seven chapters, in which he investigates, as he says, historically, 1. the facts that preceded; 2. those which succeeded the murder of Darnley, &c. The arrangement is good; and, in the British Critic, I willingly allowed to it all the merit which it can justly claim. I did not, however, look in the chapter devoted to the facts which preceded the murder of Darnley, for proofs of *those* by which that atrocious deed was followed; and if I had adopted this preposterous method of proceeding, and declared that I found in the *first* chapter no evidence of the Queen's having rewarded Durham for his treachery, I apprehend that Mr. Laing would have complained, and with justice, that I had paid no regard to the arrangement which I professed to admire. This, however, it seems, is the method of proceeding which I ought to have adopted. Be it so; and let us enquire what benefit would have redounded to Mr. Laing's cause from this *salvo* and *salvo* order of criticism.

In page 33, which makes part of the chapter in which the facts preceding the murder of Darnley are investigated, I find the dissertator affirming indeed, that "it is certain that Durham, the one particularly accused of betraying his master, was rewarded by Mary, five days after his death, with a pension and place;" but surely this lawyer does not expect that his unsupported assertion will be held as evidence of a fact, which took place two hundred years before he was born! O! no, says he, "I have supported it by a note at the bottom of the page." Very well; here follows the note, and let the reader judge for himself.

"When Paris was sent on Saturday the 8th for the coverlet of the Queen's bed, Durham, whom he calls *le portefaix du Roy*, demanded the key of her chamber;

chamber; and when that was refused by Paris, *carried the coverlet to the abbey, as if to earn his reward.* (Paris's First Declaration, Appendix). On Monday he kept the King's body in a neighbouring house from public inspection, till it was removed to the abbey (Melvil, 78); and on Saturday the fifteenth, when the King was buried, this porter of Darnley's was appointed, by the Queen's signature, master of the wardrobe to the young prince for life, with a yearly salary of a hundred pounds Scots. Privy Seal Record Book, 86 fol. 15."

Such is the evidence of Durham's *treachery*, and of his having been *rewarded* for his *treachery* by Mary five days after the death of his master. Yet there is not, in this note, the slightest insinuation that Durham was a *traitor*, except in the assertion that *he carried the coverlet to the abbey, as if to earn his reward*; and that assertion, as the reader perceives, rests not on the authority of the Privy Seal Record, but on the reverend authority of the paper called Paris's First Declaration.

Let us now turn to page 276, vol. 2d, and see what Paris really says, or is made to say.—"Au bout d'une heure Marguerite me prie d'aller à Kirkefield querir une couverture de maytres à la chambre de la Regne ce qui je fais et prens une garson avecque moy et entre en la dict chambre, en presens de Sande Duram le jeune, et le porte-faix du Roy, et fais emportier la dite couverture, le dict Duram me demande la clef. Je luy dis que ce n'estait pas à moy à la donner, mais bien à l'huyssier, luy pryant de me pardonner. Bien, donc (ce dict-il) puisque ne le me veullez donner. Ladessus ie m'en vins à l'Abbaye à la chambre de la Roynne et delivre la couverture à Marguerite, ceste jouer-la de Sabmedy estant ainssy passé, je m'en alloys me coucher." Here it appears, that *not Durham*, but the *boy*, whom Paris took with him to Kirkefield, *carried the coverlet to the abbey*, and that *Paris himself* delivered it to Margaret. Accordingly Squire Laing himself, in a note referred to from the words *Ladessus ie m'en vins*, requests the reader to correct a mistake in the first volume, p. 33, note; viz. "*that Durham carried the coverlet to the abbey*;" and it was this correction, which I thought had been candidly made to destroy the effect of the note, p. 33, vol. 1st, which prevented me from making any remark whatever on the said thirty-third page.

Still, it is a *fact*, either that Durham was particularly accused of betraying his master, or that he was *not* particularly accused of betraying him; and it is *another fact*, that Mary was either *believed* or *not* believed to have bestowed on him a place about the person of her son as the *reward of his treachery*. Mr. Laing having, by the correction of his note, page 33, vol. 1st, confessed that he had *not there* established the truth of his assertion respecting the *facts*, it would have been extremely unfair to animadvert on his failure. But he repeats his assertion, in its proper place, when investigating the *facts* which *succeeded* the murder of Darnley, and refers, at the bottom of the page, to Robertson, vol. 2d, p. 334, who says *not one word of Durham's treachery or reward*!

To that part of my last letter which begins (p. 315 of your journal) with these words, "Is Mr. Laing quite certain of these facts," and ends with the short quotation from Whitaker respecting "the interpolating hand of Cecil," Mr. Laing makes no reply that is worthy of the slightest notice. I only beg leave to assure him, that I did not "measure the size of the letters by the bulk of the commentaries;" but thought, and still think, it *utterly incredible*, that Lettington's wife could, in *one* night, *translate* and *transcribe* eight letters, of which the *first* is so long, that the Queen, who is represented as pouring out, without study and without order, the feelings of her heart just as they arose, is made to employ the greater part of *two* nights in the *writing of it alone*! The candid

candid Squire may meditate upon this fact at his leisure, and then talk as he thinks fit of anonymous libellers.

Mr. Laing next attempts to evade the force of the argument arising from the extract from *Murdin*, printed in page 316 of your Magazine; but confident as he is, he dares not say that the extract has not been fairly taken. He affirms, however, that the Duke of "Norfolk never was in Scotland unless in 1560, when he formed the treaty of Berwick, with the Lords of the Congregation." If this be true, and let our consistent historian maintain its truth, I have no hesitation to affirm, that this manufactured confession of the Bishop of Ross is a paper of *no authority whatever*; for nothing is more indisputable than that, in it, the Bishop is made to say, "I talked with the Duke alone in a gallery, whair he uttered to me he bare god-will to the Quene my mistresse; and that he had talked with Therle of Murray and Leithington at Leith, and sene the lettres," &c. This our Squire has not ventured *directly* to deny; but he denies it *indirectly*, by saying that the mention of *Leith* is probably an error of the *pen* or press; and that he may the more plausibly and safely insinuate that the error was of my pen, he gravely tells us, that "neither *Murdin* nor the State Trials were within his reach" when he was writing his letter!

This assertion, I confess, surpris'd me. In that public library, to which he has told us that he has *professional access*, as well as in different private libraries in Edinburgh, to which any gentleman or scholar *may* have access, I *know* that both these publications are to be found. I looked therefore to the top and bottom of the letter for the place where, and the time when, it was written; but Mr. Laing has been too cunning for me; it has *no date* either of *time* or *place*! The probability however is, that it was written either at Edinburgh or its neighbourhood, or in one of the northern counties, where Mr. Laing has an estate, on which he is accustomed to reside during the long vacation of the Court of Session. That it was not written in Sutherland, Caithness, or Orkney, appears to me more than probable, from Mr. Laing's declaring, in the first sentence, that it is a reply to my letter in the *last* number of the Monthly Magazine. In Edinburgh and Stirling we often get the London periodical journals on the sixth day after they are published, because to these towns they are sent to the booksellers by the coach; but I doubt whether, in the northern counties which I have mentioned, any gentleman *can* receive a magazine from London within a month after its publication, unless it be sent to him directly by post. On these facts the reader will make his own reflections: I make none.

As I keep no copies of letters written to such confidential friends as Mr. Nares, I cannot say whether the words which Mr. Laing quotes from my correspondence with the Archdeacon be correctly quoted or not; but I *can* say with truth, that I answered my friend by the return of the post, which brought me his letter; and as two hours do not elapse between the arrival of the London post in Stirling and its departure from it, I wrote hurriedly, and, I doubt not, confusedly. I have written *Murdin*, when I ought to have written *the State Trials*; and very probably, *the State Trials*, when I should have written *Murdin*. The plain truth, however, respecting my knowledge of *Murdin*, I have given in my printed letter, which the reader, who reflects on the variety of books that my former employment compelled me to consult, will readily believe; and Mr. Laing, if a man of his disposition can enjoy any thing, may enjoy his triumph in having detected some inaccuracy of language in a private letter

letter written on a variety of topics, and probably in less than an hour, to a confidential friend not accustomed to scan my letters with the eye of malignity.

This benevolent Squire and candid historian returns, I think rather impertinently*, to the story of Mr. Plenderleath, whom he still chooses to call simply a recruit. I do not complain of this, as if there were any thing incorrect in the designation; for a recruit my young friend certainly was; but there is something extremely incorrect in the assertion, that *when I applied for his discharge*, "the commanding officer of the Clan-Alpine Regiment was employed in procuring him a commission." The name of William Plenderleath had appeared as an officer not only in the *Gazette*, but also in the *Monthly List of the Army*, before I felt myself called upon to make any application about him; and accordingly, the colonel of the regiment, in which he was a recruit, never laid claim to the merit of having solicited for him a commission. I say this on the authority of an extract of a letter from the colonel himself to Sir John MacGregor Murray, which is now before me in Sir John's hand-writing. In it he enumerates all the instances of his kindness to Mr. Plenderleath, some of which do honour to the delicacy of his feelings; but though it appears that, from the first, he gave to the recruit serjeant's pay, and directed him not to do duty with the other recruits, which is probably the origin of this *accurate inquirer's* mistake, he is very far indeed from saying that he had, at any time, been employed in procuring for the young man a commission.

Mr. Laing says that my letter to Sir John MacGregor Murray, denying that I was the author of a scurrilous libel against the MacGregors, almost persuaded the Baronet that his suspicions were groundless. Almost persuaded him I have not a doubt, Sir, but it persuaded him altogether; for it was written with the artless simplicity of a man firmly persuaded, for the reasons assigned in my last letter, that he was writing the truth, and nothing but the truth.

But how comes Mr. Laing to be so well informed, as he wishes to persuade the public, of what Sir John MacGregor Murray felt or thought of my conduct with respect to Mr. Plenderleath? Did he derive his information from Sir John, himself? This, I doubt not, he wishes to be believed; but it is very little probable that a gentleman, laudably anxious for the honour of the Clan of which he believes himself to be the chief†, should have taken into his intimacy

* It has been suggested to me by a friend that the word *impertinently* is here improperly used; for though the story of Mr. Plenderleath has indeed nothing to do with the review of the dissertation on Darnley's murder, it may yet be very pertinent to what appears to be Mr. Laing's purpose, viz. to revive an old grudge, or excite new dissention between Sir John MacGregor Murray and me. It is indeed extremely probable that this is his purpose; but I have not a doubt but he will as completely fail in it, as he has already failed in his attempt to sow dissention between Mr. Nares and me. A coalition between Sir John MacGregor Murray and Mr. Laing would to those, who know any thing of their characters, appear as extraordinary as any political coalition that we have ever witnessed; and more than one of these have been very extraordinary.

† In a note on my last letter (p. 321 of your journal), I have mentioned another family as here generally considered to be at the head of the Clan. That family is Drummond of Belhaldie; but whether Captain MacGregor Drummond, Sir John MacGregor Murray, or Mr. MacGregor Graham of Glanguyle,

intimacy a historian who has libelled, in the grossest language, the whole race and name of MacGregor; who has represented that Clan, as well as the Macdonalds and Colquhouns, as, in the reign of the sixth James only, "not unsusceptible of a slight civilization;" and who, in his history of Charles the First, has reviled all that was good and great—the King himself, Strafford, Laud, and the gallant Montrose, &c. &c. &c. ! The author of such ribaldry as this is not the man whom Sir John MacGregor Murray is likely to have chosen for his friend; and I can assure the public, on the most respectable authority, that Sir John feels all that indignation at Laing's conduct on the present occasion, which, in my last letter, I ventured to predict that he would feel.

Mr. Laing talks something about my penitence being quickened by an action brought before Lord Armadale, and then proceeds in these words:—"Lord Woodhouselee, to whom he (Dr. G.) appeals for his innocence, was ignorant even of his DENIAL of the libel (the letter replete with scurrility, about which Sir J. M. M. had enquired), till informed of it *lately by myself* (Malcolm Laing), and by the opposite counsel!"

If, by the mention of an action brought before Lord Armadale, Mr. L. mean to insinuate that an action brought against me was carried on before *any* Lord; or if he mean to *contradict the minutest particular* of my last statement, which was *not* written, as he writes, from *memory*, I have no hesitation to adopt your words, and tell him plainly that he says *the thing which is not*. Were it possible for me to suppose that Lord Woodhouselee had appeared to Mr. Laing ignorant of *any part* of my correspondence with Sir John MacGregor Murray, till *lately informed* of it, I could attribute such apparent ignorance only to forgetfulness, or to Lord Woodhouselee's reluctance to hold any conversation with Mr. Laing on the subject. Of all the correspondence which, in the years 1799 and 1800, took place between Sir John MacGregor Murray, Mr. Frazer Tytler (now Lord Woodhouselee), Mr. W. Erskine (Advocate), Mr. John Macfarquhar W. S., and myself, not a fragment has been lost. Nay, the very letter in which I had requested the satirical effusion subscribed *Gregor MacNab* to be *suppressed*, was fortunately preserved and returned by my friend from London, not to me, but to Mr. Macfarquhar, from whom it passed to Lord Woodhouselee, and from Lord Woodhouselee to Sir John M. M., who, like a man of honour, gave it to me, as soon as he received the apology which he had demanded. But there is no occasion to appeal to these papers, which, while they remain in my custody, can, indeed, be no authority to the public. Lord Woodhouselee, in answer to some queries put by me, writes thus, in a letter dated Edinburgh, 23d January, 1807.

"What Mr. Laing alludes to, when he asserts 'that Lord W., to whom he (Dr. G.) appeals for his innocence, was ignorant even of his DENIAL of the libel till informed of it by myself and the opposite counsel,' refers, as I presume, to this—that when Mr. Laing, conversing with me on the topic of Gregor MacNab's letter, affirmed, that when taxed by Sir John MacGregor

Glanguyle, be the chief, I know not. Each of them has many documents to shew for his claim, and each of them has, in the Clan, a large body of adherents; but out of the Clan I hardly think that the question can be interesting to a human being. For my own share, I have not formed so much as an opinion on the subject; though I have long been on terms of intimate friendship with the amiable family of Belhaldie.

with

with being the author of that libel on the Clan MacGregor, you denied that you were the author, *protesting at the same time the greatest respect for that Clan*, I answered that I had never heard of any such *PROTESTATIONS* on your part.—I truly never heard of your making any such protestations; and I will add, that if I had believed that you had done so, I should have thought your conduct much less excusable than I yet suppose it to have been.”

His Lordship is perfectly right. He had never heard of such *PROTESTATIONS* on my part; and had I made such protestations of respect for the whole Clan of MacGregor, conscious as I was of having written a satire against part of that Clan, my conduct, notwithstanding my having countermanded the publication of the satire, would have been much less excusable, than I believe it now appears to most people to have been. Fortunately Sir John MacGregor's letter to me, as well as mine to him, are both in existence; and if he will give his consent, I have no objection to their both being published, provided they be published *entire*; but neither he nor I can honourably publish them without the consent of the other; and probably neither of us has much inclination to gratify the impertinent curiosity of Mr. Malcolm Laing. In the mean time it is sufficient to observe that Lord Woodhouselee's declaring himself ignorant of my having made *protestations of inviolable* respect for the Clan and name of MacGregor*, is something very different from his having declared himself ignorant of my having *denied that I was the author of "a letter replete with scurrility against the officers of the Clan-Alpine Regiment!"*

Mr. Laing proceeds to say;—"The two reviews in the Anti-Jacobin and in the British Critic, I considered, and I still consider, as written in the style and spirit of two *anonymous libels*, replete throughout with the most scurrilous abuse; of which every reader may satisfy himself by the slightest inspection."

How absurd is the first part of this sentence! The paper subscribed Gregor MacNab was anonymous, and on various accounts improper; but no review that I have ever read was *anonymous*. Of all such journals the conductors are known; every communication to such journals is submitted to the conductors, to be by them revised and altered as they think fit, and then published in their own names. It would be hard, indeed, to make the original writers answerable for such *alterations*; or even for the errors of the press, which, if they live at a distance, they have it not in their power to correct. Accordingly I believe every English judge and jury consider the conductors or *publishers of reviews* as alone answerable for their contents †; but when Mr. Laing

* See Mr. Laing's former letter.

† Let not the reader suppose that I here wish to shelter myself under the authority which the conductors of the British Critic undoubtedly have over every article contributed to their journal. They never made the slightest alteration in any thing contributed by me, except by once inserting the word *truly* to give precision to a sentence, and once leaving out a sentence, which was indeed superfluous. It is with Mr. Nares only that Mr. L. has corresponded; and I do not believe that between that dignitary and me there is any difference of opinion on matters of real importance.

‡ It is our duty to correct a mistake under which Dr. Gleig here appears to labour. The *conductors* of Reviews, generally speaking, are *not* known. We, who live in the centre of literature, as it were, know not the conductors of any Reviews excepting those of the British Critic and the Edinburgh Review. The

Laing shall become a judge, the practices of the court in which he may preside will, it seems, be very different; and the liberty of the press, which never was, in Scotland, so free as in England, will be extended only to *Whigs and Whigs of the modern school!*

With the review of Mr. Laing's *Dissertation* in the *Anti-Jacobin*, and of the first edition of his *History* in the *British Critic*, you and Mr. Nares know that I have no concern; but for every thing, typographical errors excepted, that is to be found in the review of the first edition of his *History* in your journal, and in the review of his *Dissertation* in the *British Critic*, I hold myself answerable—not indeed to him, but to the conductors of the two journals; and I cheerfully take to myself the blame that any candid man, who has read the works reviewed, may be disposed to impute to the scurrility of the reviewer's language. As it is ridiculous to affect a modesty which a man does not feel, I will candidly own that I think myself, in most kinds of composition, at least Mr. Malcolm Laing's equal; but in pouring on his antagonists *scurrilous abuse* he is infinitely my superior; *nam in vitium libertas excidit.*

Of the review of his *History* in the *Anti-Jacobin*, he says—"I immediately, on seeing it, pronounced it to be the production of the author of *Gregor MacNab*; and in April 1802, I was directed for the first time (by his friend Lord Woodhouselee) to the *Edinburgh Encyclopædia* for the confirmation of the fact."!!

Various reasons conspired to make me doubt the accuracy of this statement. In the first place, I doubted whether, at so early a period as April 1802, Lord Woodhouselee could say, from his own knowledge, that I was the author of the review in question; 2dly, I did not believe Lord Woodhouselee capable of betraying the confidence of any man, whom he had honoured with his friendship; and 3dly, I knew that of *this fact no confirmation* is to be found in the *Encyclopædia Britannica!*—The whole affair, however, is explained to my satisfaction, and, I doubt not, to that of the public, by the following extract from Lord Woodhouselee's letter already quoted.

"What Mr. Laing alludes to, when speaking of the two reviews in the *Anti-Jacobin* and *British Critic*, he says—'The first I pronounced, on seeing it, to be the production of (the author of) *Gregor MacNab*; and in April 1802, I was directed for the first time by his friend Lord Woodhouselee to the *Edinburgh Encyclopædia*, for the confirmation of the fact,'—is this: Mr. L., on mentioning to me the review of his book in one or other of those journals, put the question—'Whence has this reviewer got his intelligence regarding your father's book, viz. that the Chancellor Hardwicke declared it the best concatenation of circumstantial evidence that he had ever seen?'—My answer to this question was—'He could very easily have come by that intelligence; for that fact is related in the *life of my father*, written by Mr. Mac Kenzie, and printed in the *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*; or

The persons on whom legal responsibility attaches, for the contents of such publications, are the authors, publishers, and printers; as, in newspapers, it attaches on proprietors, publishers, and printers, as well as on the writers of any libellous matter. But no responsibility attaches on the conductor of a review, nor on the editor of a paper, *as such*;—they are persons unknown to the law. If a conductor make any alterations in an article, he is certainly liable, as an author, for any libellous passages contained in such alterations; but no farther.—EDITOR.

if he had not met with it there, he may have found it in the account of my father's life in the *Supplement to the Encyclopædia Britannica*, which account is nearly an abridgment of the former.* Hence, Mr. Laing, taking it for granted that no man had ever read the Supplement to the Encyclopædia Britannica but its compiler, *naturally inferred* that I must have been the reviewer who found this anecdote there; and converting, as usual, his *inference* into a *fact*, has dared to relate it as a *fact confirmed to him by Lord Woodhouselee*!

Mr. Laing says that "he called for the name of his reviewer in the *British Critic* in such pointed terms as no man of spirit would have attempted to evade; and had he (Dr. G.) fairly come forward, instead of trusting to concealment, and *declining to be made known*, he might have avoided the ignominy of a public detection!"—A public detection! In what has Mr. Laing detected me? In what can he detect me, that should excite a blush in the face of any man of integrity? I dare him to do the utmost that even malice can prompt; and, if he deviate not *entirely* from the line of truth, I dread not the consequence of all his labours. Without pretending to any superior measure of virtue, far less to impeccability, I have no hesitation to stake my character against Mr. Laing's, and abide by the verdict of any man or number of men, to whom we are equally known. But did not I decline to be made known as Mr. Laing's reviewer? To this question I positively and explicitly reply that I did *not*. I might, indeed, without any imputation on my *spirit*, have refused to answer a question, which Mr. Laing had no right to ask; but I had too great a regard for my friend Mr. Nares, to leave him in the lurch, exposed to all the gross abuse which, notwithstanding Mr. Laing's private professions of respect for the dignitaries of the church, might have *accidentally* been poured on the Archdeacon of Stafford. I therefore commissioned him to say, that, though I declined to have any *personal* correspondence with Mr. Laing, or to give up my name to him *privately*, I was ready, if he would publish his remarks on the review, to make my *apology*, if any should be requisite, or my *vindication*, if I should find his objections frivolous, equally public, and to subscribe that reply with my name; and I call upon Mr. Nares to contradict me, if this be not the real state of the case.*

Mr. Laing says that the *British Critic* for February 1800 contains the most offensive insults to his friends, Professors Stewart, Playfair, and Lefsy; and that the article containing them has been ascribed to me. Will Mr. Laing forgive me, if I express some doubt of his being honoured with so much of Professor Stewart's friendship as is here insinuated? There are, in the *British Critic*, four reviews, all relating to the same subject, of some of which I am the author, and of others not. The first is of Mr. Stewart's *Short Statement of Facts*; and as it accuses my friends, the Rev. Doctors Finlayson and Inglis, and Mr. Ritchie, of teaching the doctrines of *Spinoza*, it has given very general and, I think, just offence; the second is, of *Observations on the Doctrine of Hume concerning the Relation of Cause and Effect*; the third is, of Drummond's *Academical Questions*; and the fourth, of *An Examination of Mr. Dougald Stewart's Pamphlet, &c.* The history of these reviews, together with the names of their authors, is perfectly known to me, and, I trust, to Mr. Stewart, to whom, as well as to the other parties concerned, I took care to

* Dr. Gleig has here very truly stated the commission he gave to me, which, indeed, I could prove, if necessary, by referring to his letter, which I have preserved.—R. NARES.

have it communicated, as soon as I learned how busy Mr. L., and some of his tale-bearing friends, were to circulate in Edinburgh their own surmises for truths. For every thing contained in two of the reviews, typographical errors excepted, I am answerable; of every argument stated in another I heartily approve, though I cannot take to myself the merit of having written it; and I believe that the tendency of them all, except the first, has met with the approbation of every reflecting friend to religion; but I cannot disclose the names of the authors without a violation of trust, nor even point out more explicitly those which were written by myself. Messrs. Stewart, &c. are satisfied with my conduct, which, indeed, they have no more right to arraign than I have to arraign theirs; and I value very little the satisfaction of Mr. Laing.

"Another associate of the same school, encouraged," says Mr. L., "by his (Dr. G.'s) example, comes forward in the Anti Jacobin for April with a torrent of abuse," &c. At first, some of Mr. Laing's friends, and probably Mr. L. himself, ran through Edinburgh, assuring every person who would listen to them, that *I* was the author of what they called that effusion of contemptible Billingsgate*; but finding that nobody, to whom I am known, would believe them, they have now, it seems, changed their ground, and given the review to an associate of *the same school encouraged by my example!* Of what school does Mr. Laing speak? I am, indeed, of a school where no man ever taught that there can be a *change* or *event* in nature without a *cause*; that it is possible to be a *theist* without having some notion of *power*; or that there can be a notion of *power*, in the proper sense of the word, which does not involve in it the notions of *volition* and intelligence. The principles, indeed, both religious and political, of the school to which I belong, are not now so fashionable in some places as they were *late*ly; and numbers, who gloried in them two years ago, have *prudently* laid them aside like an old suit of clothes, upon finding that they cannot retain them without being excluded from what is *now good company*. My

* We have referred to the Anti-Jacobin Review for April last, and have read, with attention, the articles here so strongly reprobated. That the *phrasology*, in some instances, may not be strictly defensible, and that the *language*, in general, is not susceptible of improvement, it would be ridiculous to affirm; but that they deserve to be stigmatised as an "effusion of contemptible Billingsgate," we cannot possibly admit. Assuredly they contain matter highly offensive to a certain metaphysical junto, in Edinburgh; but that does not justify the harsh language applied to them. Indeed, we incline to think that the severity exercised against certain followers of the atheist *Hume* was fully sanctioned by the language adopted by those *dubious and doubting Christians*, who would do well to read Jeremy Taylor's *Ductor Dubitantium*. Such men may rest assured that, though we shall ever be ready to do them *justice*, they shall never experience from us *indulgence or forbearance*. We shall never be deterred, by the dread of giving offence to *them*, from discharging our duty to the *public*, by holding up their principles to detestation whenever they shall be promulgated, and shall appear to deserve exposure and correction. We abhor that spurious liberality, so much in vogue in the present age, which has not the most distant relation to Christian charity, and which is the most dangerous enemy of TRUTH.—EDITOR.

conscience

conscience is not; in this respect, so pliant; and even though it were, I am not sure that it would be altogether *prudent in me* to lay aside a set of principles, which, as I believe them to be founded in truth, I am persuaded that necessity will soon bring again into fashion. Few men in my station have had such opportunities of making their principles generally known; perhaps still fewer can shew the same testimony of approbation from the most EXALTED CHARACTER in the kingdom; and with this testimony, and the friendship of a pretty large number of learned Christians of different denominations, I must endeavour to console myself, as well as I can, under the *odium*, if I have incurred it, of modern philosophers. In the mean time, as Mr. L. points out as the author of the review in your journal for last April, which he justly censures, our poor unfortunate friend, who, though the slave of one-befotting sin, possessed talents, erudition, and honesty, which have not been often surpassed, give me leave to ask you if Mr. L. be indeed right in his conjecture. The voice of slander cannot injure the dead; but I should be glad to have it proved that B—— was not the author of the article in question*; for if he was, he must have been, when he wrote it, in a state of dreadful intoxication indeed.

I trust that I have now done with Mr. Laing for the present, and I ought to beg pardon of you and your readers for occupying so much of your time with such a subject: but this letter will not be altogether useless, if, by pointing out the looseness of his reasonings and the inaccuracy of his reports, it put the public on its guard when reading any subsequent publication of his. He seems to think that he has deprived me of the opportunity of again becoming his reviewer; but, to convince him of his mistake, I take the liberty to assure him, that after he had transmitted to Mr. Nares much grosser calumnies against me than he has yet ventured to publish, I reviewed, in the *British Critic*, his edition of the *Historie and Life of King James the Sixth*. I beg leave to assure him farther, that I shall review, in some journal, the first work that he may think fit to publish, provided I be in health at the time of the publication, and acquainted with the subject discussed in the work; and, to prevent all occasion for such inquiries as those which he lately put to Mr. Nares, and which it is not likely that any man would now answer, I shall request the editor of the journal, in which I may review his book, to inform him immediately that I have done so.

I am, with great regard, dear Sir, your's truly,

GEO. GLEIG.

Stirling, Jan. 27, 1807.

* In order to be able to answer Dr. Gleig's question, we have made the necessary enquiry; and have authority to assert, in the most unequivocal manner, that there is not the shadow of a foundation for Mr. Laing's conjecture. The unhappy gentleman to whom he alludes was not the author of that review; and assuredly never saw it, till he read it in print. If we had not been perfectly acquainted with Mr. Laing's disposition to libel the dead, we should have felt surprise at his attack upon one who, notwithstanding the dreadful propensity which brought him, prematurely, to the grave, was as much superior to him in every intellectual quality and endowment, as *Hector* was to *Thersites*.—EDITOR.

THE PICTONIAN PROSECUTION.

TO THE EDITOR.

Sir,

AS a friend to justice, I cannot avoid observing, from authentic information, that, on a late trial in the Court of King's Bench, two circumstances in particular occurred which I conceive to have been rather unaccountable, although, there can be no doubt, entirely attributable to professional discrimination: I mean, the Proclamation issued by General Sir Ralph Abercrombie, Commander in Chief, on the capture of Trinidad at discretion, by which he invested Colonel Picton; whom he appointed to be his representative in the military command and in the civil administration of the captured island, with full and ample powers, in aid of few troops (other important expeditions being in contemplation), in order to enforce the preservation of the conquest, the protection of the respectable and well-disposed planters and residents; as also the repression of disaffection, insubordination, and insurrection; the refractory and revolutionary disposition of various outcasts from different islands, &c. who had taken refuge there whilst subject to Spain, indispensibly requiring the utmost exertion of vigilance, activity, and vigour, to effectuate those salutary and essential purposes. The preceding proclamation, however decisive and imperative in a state of war, by which such ample powers were delegated by authority, although produced in Colonel Picton's vindication, has not been permitted even to be read in court. I further mean, as, on a late trial it was delivered from the bench, in the charge to the jury, "If what the defendant has done be not under the authority of law, he ought to be punished; if under that authority, he ought to be quit, and go free—however repugnant this might be to our feelings."—It may reasonably be presumed that his Lordship could not have been unacquainted with the depositions taken at Trinidad, by virtue of a mandamus being transmitted thither for that purpose, which had been officially reported from thence to the Court of King's Bench. According to these depositions, now in print, the nominal prosecutor, whose sufferings have been represented at all events such as to interest our feelings, most fully and unequivocally appears to have been the bastard of a bastard's bastard—to have been a concubine and prostitute—to have been guilty of wilful perjury—to have been an accomplice in the robbery of her master's house to the amount of two thousand dollars, which she had the charge of during his absence in the course of the day on his usual occupations: it being unnecessary to detail her being confined on suspicion—her prevaricating on her examination, which induced the Alcalde to submit a proposal in writing to the Governor, as the superior tribunal (since the capture of the island, the power of the royal audience at the Caraccas having necessarily been superseded), that she might be slightly picketted, to compel a discovery; which written proposal was delivered by the Escrivano, or law clerk, the office of assessor having been abolished, who dictated the identical words, as conformable, he said, to the Spanish law, that immediately preceded the signature of the Governor, who acted judicially; and, in consequence, she was slightly picketted by order of the Alcalde, which produced a confession. But the inhuman torture, as it has been pathetically termed, could by no means have been so exorciating

excruciating as usually inflicted in Spain, &c.; as it is ascertained, by the depositions, that she walked, without even complaining, a day or two after, from the prison to her late master's house, and back again (being several hundred yards), for the purpose of explaining, in the presence of the Alcalde, in what manner the robbery had been effected; and after the requisite confinement, during repeated examinations by the Alcalde, or chief magistrate, in order to recover the property stolen, she was ultimately pardoned and liberated (although she had, in particular, committed a capital offence) by the mistaken lenity of the Governor, whose public accuser she has, with the most unprincipled ingratitude, been induced, by some means or other, to become. If the sufferings, as implied, of such a notorious criminal and capital offender should be deemed sufficient to affect our feelings, as a friend to justice, I must confess that I am by no means endued by nature with such excessive tenderness, and with such exquisite sympathy and sensibility.

As to the nominal prosecutor being produced in the Court of King's Bench, and previously, on the finding of the indictment, as a witness, after having been convicted of wilful perjury (a circumstance which, in this country, would utterly destroy her competency as a witness), and a coloured drawing of her, in the attitude of being picketted, having, in an unprecedented manner, been publicly exhibited by her garrulous advocate, no less dexterous in legerdemain, highly derogatory, it is presumed, to the dignity of a solemn court of judicature, for the evident purpose of creating and exciting prejudice and prepossession, although the depositions taken at Trinidad, by virtue of a mandamus, could not, it may be supposed, have been unknown to him—*Ecce Proteus!*—Comments would be superfluous.

PHILO-JUNIUS.

EXTENT OF THE TOLERATION ACT CONSIDERED.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN.

Sir,

THE zeal displayed in your Review in defence of religion and good order deserves the thanks of every friend to our venerable establishments, both ecclesiastical and civil; and, professing myself to be of that number, I much rejoice that your miscellany is still to be conducted "on the same principles religious, moral, and political." Encouraged by your recent avowal of this determination, and your promise of admitting the communications of correspondents, I am induced to address you on a subject intimately connected with the professed object of your publication.

The increase of schismatics, both in and out of the Church of England, as noticed by you on a late occasion, is a circumstance of so lamentable a nature, and may ultimately be productive of consequences at once so serious and distressing to the public community, that every possible means should be employed, in these times of no ordinary danger, to check its further progress. It is not my intention to advert to the means industriously used in spreading far and wide the mania of dissent, and dissolving the bond of brotherly love by which the whole Christian body should be united. I wish merely to call attention to one particular branch of separation from the Established Church, which does not appear to me to have been hitherto sufficiently noticed. I allude to that species of dissent noticed by the writer of a pamphlet reviewed in the *Anti-Jacobin*

for January last (p. 94), in whose words I shall explain it. When "a minister in episcopal orders (and who has consequently promised canonical obedience) officiates in a congregation, *licensed under the Toleration Act*, according to the Liturgy of the Church of England." Here, as this writer truly observes, is "schism, without a motive; dissent, from a mere love of dissent; dissent, if I may so speak, without dissent."

Upon what principles such conduct could be justified, I was for some time at a loss to conceive; nor (as it appeared to me so contrary to the peaceable tenor of the Gospel, so subversive of all ecclesiastical discipline and good order) did I imagine that any writer could have the effrontery to advance a single argument in its defence. Much, therefore, was I surprized, on perusing lately a work entitled "*Village Dialogues*, by Rowland Hill, A. M." to find the author endeavouring to defend the conduct of persons so extremely culpable, inasmuch as it tends to sap the vital principle of Christianity, which is to promote a spirit of peace and unity. In one of the dialogues in this notable production, the writer, in the characters of a magistrate and a clergyman, thus argues in defence of the practice I have reprehended:

"*Worthy.*—But should Mr. Reader take out a licence for himself and his school-room, where would be the harm of it? He only swears allegiance to the state: and if he cannot swear allegiance to his protectors, it is not fit that he should be protected.

"*Lovegood.*—Yes, Sir; but then does he not by that oath put himself under the protection of the act for the relief of Protestant Dissenters?"

"*Worthy.*—Certainly so. But there is nothing said why he dissents. That is entirely out of the question. He takes precisely the same oath enforced on the clergy. The law only demands that every public preacher should be obedient to the state. ***** That mild and wise law, therefore, 'asks no questions for conscience sake;' but gives equal protection to all who can give a proper test of their obedience to the state. No man is obliged to swear he is a Dissenter; but all public teachers, whether Dissenters or otherwise, swear allegiance, and would to God that all denominations of Christians were as candid to each other as the laws of the land are liberally framed for the protection of all.

"*Lovegood.*—Upon these principles every clergyman may take out what is called a Dissenting Licence, at any time, if there were occasion.

"*Worthy.*—Indeed he may, unless he hates the government, and wishes to overturn it, and then instead of protection he deserves a gaol."—(*Village Dialogues*, 4th Edit. vol. iv, pp. 96, 97.)

Such is the argument advanced by this writer, and a more palpable piece of false-reasoning cannot easily be produced. It may be observed that Mr. Hill admits that "by taking the oaths" prescribed, the person petitioning for a licence "places himself under the act for the relief of Protestant Dissenters;" and he seems to think that any person, "whether Dissenter or otherwise," may claim a licence to be a public teacher under that act, and "take out what is called a Dissenting Licence at any time, if there be occasion." Now, Sir, I contend that the Magistrate has no power whatever to grant such a licence to any person except he is proved to be a Protestant Dissenter. Something more is required by the act than the giving "a proper test of obedience to the state." The preamble of the act states that it was intended to "give some ease to scrupulous consciences in the exercise of religion," thinking that to be an effectual method of "uniting their Majesties' Protestant subjects in interest and affection;" so little idea did the framers of this act entertain, that it would ever be employed as a mere engine of division. Throughout the act reference

is made only to "persons *dissenting* from the Church of England," and to those persons *only* was this act intended to apply. So far, therefore, the act does not in the smallest degree accord with the interpretation affixed to it by the writer of the Village Dialogues.

But if we examine it minutely, the fallacy of Mr. Hill's reasoning will appear still more evident. By section the 14th it is enacted "That two sufficient Protestant witnesses are to be produced to *testify upon oath* that they believe 'the person applying for a licence' to be a Protestant *Dissenter*." There is further required "a certificate under the hands and seals of six or more sufficient men of the congregation to which he belongs, *owning him for one of them*." By a subsequent section it is further enacted that *no licence is to be granted until the aforesaid conditions are complied with*. Comparing these provisions of the act with the above extract from the Village Dialogues, it appears manifest that the magistrate has no power to grant a licence to every person that may apply in the manner the writer seems to contend for. In this opinion I am supported by the authority of Dr. Burn. He says, "The act *does not extend* to all persons *who shall think fit to style themselves Protestant Dissenters*; but in order to be entitled to the benefits thereof, *they must first qualify themselves as is therein directed*: until this shall be done, they are not entitled to any benefit by this act. *Which observation is applicable particularly to a sect which has sprung up of late years, distinguished by the name of Methodists*. They did originally proceed as members of the Church of England professing only a stricter purity, and an adherence to the genuine doctrines of the Church, which they supposed the Church itself had deserted, or did not sufficiently inculcate. And this was first set on foot by clergy-men of the Church of England; no doubt with a very good intention. But as Solomon saith concerning the beginning of strife, that it is like the letting out of water; so here the flood-gate being opened, it doth not as yet appear where the inundation will stop. *If they continue to profess themselves still members of the Church of England, and at their assemblies do perform their religious exercises according to the form and manner of the Church of England, this act does not extend to them*."—*Ecclesiastical Law*, vol. ii, p. 172.

Having thus, I trust, sufficiently shewn the fallacy of the reasoning made use of by the author of the Village Dialogues; having shewn that the law *presumes* that every person applying for a licence is a Protestant *Dissenter*, although no questions may be asked "*why* he dissents;" let me ask, how can any honest man, any conscientious minister of the gospel of truth, however tempting may be the allurements of popularity or of gain, under the paltry subterfuge of "*not being obliged to swear that he is a Dissenter*," apply for what he must know he is not entitled to, and thus hypocritically impose upon the unsuspecting magistrate? Much as I lament, with Mr. Hill, that there may be "*Fribbles*" and "*Do-bittles*," who disgrace the Christian ministry, yet I can never think that the doctrines of the Church of England can be promoted by overthrowing its discipline. In these lax times indeed it is to be regretted that the primitive discipline of our Church is not more strictly adhered to, and that *every* officiating clergyman of the Church of England is not licensed (as the Canons expressly enjoin) by the bishop of his diocese.

I am credibly informed that there are many places of public worship in London and its vicinity, which must be registered under the Toleration Act, wherein the Liturgy of the Church of England is regularly used, and where clergymen episcopally ordained officiate; nay, I am told, that one of these clergymen scruples not to hold a weekly-lectureship in one of the churches in

the city, although the chapel to which he belongs can only be licensed (if licensed at all) under the Toleration Act. And can such conduct be considered as justifiable? Surely this is a strange perversion of a well-meant and salutary regulation.

Now Mr. Hill's argument as above stated, and indeed the whole of his book, appears to have been written in defence and support of the party to which these very conscientious clergymen belong. For, that it can be written for no other purpose, the book itself bears ample testimony. Never was reformation effected by scurrility and abuse. That such a publication can produce any good is very questionable; whilst the mischief it must do, by alienating the minds of the common people from all established religion and good order, is incalculable. If Mr. Hill, then, be really a friend to religion, let him be advised to take other means to accomplish his ends; nor let him again venture to expose the errors of others, when he is so open to censure himself.

I am, Sir, yours,

A FRIEND TO THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

March 4th, 1807.

Our correspondent is perfectly correct, as well in his notion of the Toleration Act, as in his estimate of the motives of Mr. Hill, and of the object of his *evangelical* labours. As to the former, no one is entitled to the benefits which it offers but a *Protestant Dissenter*; and if a man apply for a licence he must state himself to be a *Protestant Dissenter*. But, we suspect that Mr. Hill's audacity has not yet carried him quite so far; for we believe that his *round-house* is not licensed in his own name, but in that of *Wilkes*; probably, the same Mr. Wilkes, who is a methodist preacher, in the vicinity of Finsbury Square. In that case, he has not been guilty of *falsehood*, but only of *hypocrisy*; for the licence must have been obtained under a *false pretence*. Let Mr. Hill reconcile such conduct with the christian notions of honesty, or plain-dealing, if he can. As to his *Village Dialogues*, they are of a piece with all the mischievous trash which has issued from his pen or his tongue. Their object, an object which he has, for many years, been labouring to promote, is to degrade the Church, and to render her lawful ministers contemptible in the eyes of her members. The object is worthy of the man. We have more than once had occasion to reprove this mischievous fanatic, for his impudent, malevolent, and most unfounded abuse of our prelates. But he is incorrigible; his obstinacy and perverseness increase with his years; he may be chastised, but cannot, we fear, be reformed.

We with our intelligent correspondent would direct his attention to the *Unitarian* chapels, which have considerably increased in number of late. *How are they tolerated?* Unitarians are expressly excluded from the privileges conferred by the Toleration Act. Every person who claims these privileges is bound to make the following profession of faith.

"I A. B. profess faith in God the Father, and in Jesus Christ his eternal Son, the true God, and in the Holy Spirit, one God blessed for evermore; and do acknowledge the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testament to be given by divine inspiration."

And by the XVIIth clause of the act, it is expressly enacted, "that neither this act, nor any clause, article, or thing, herein contained, shall extend or be construed to extend to give any ease, benefit, or advantage, to any Papist or Popish Recusant whatsoever, or any person that shall deny

"in his preaching or writing the doctrine of the blessed Trinity, as it is declared in the *aforsaid* articles of religion."

We are not aware of any subsequent statute which has either repealed or done away the effect of these provisions of the Toleration Act; and we should be glad to learn under what authority the *oppugners of the Trinity* are allowed to preach and promulgate their impious doctrines.

ON THE PROGRESS OF MODERN PHILOSOPHY.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW.

Sir,

YOUR incomparable miscellany, which future generations will read with reverence, and quote with applause, when the puny productions of modern literature shall have sunk into the oblivion they merit, has been always distinguished by its hostility to that pestilential monster, *Modern Philosophy*. It is on this account that I trouble you with a few remarks on the alarming symptoms of its prevalence in one of our learned bodies, which a visit to this University has but too clearly revealed to me. I learnt with astonishment that the Works of Paley are here quoted as authority in lectures on moral philosophy, and that his doctrines are defended by the under-graduates in the public exercises for their degrees!! Is he not, Mr. Editor, a modern philosopher? and are not all his doctrines modern philosophy? This alone ought to have excluded his Works from the studies of an university, and to have induced the senior part of that body to have strictly interdicted their perusal to the juniors.—But this is not all. Chemistry, a science which, among our wiser ancestors, was cultivated only by druggists and apothecaries, but which modern philosophy has exalted above all the wisdom of antiquity, has numerous and ardent votaries among the students of this University, who, instead of orthodox divinity and the sound learning of antiquity, are immersed in their carbonated conchoids, their hepatic oxyds, and their crystallized hydrogens; terms and things altogether unknown to the wisdom of our ancestors. Where this will end it is impossible to say. Surely you will agree with me, that such studies ought to be checked by authority; for they are doubtless of an heretical nature, and cannot have a good tendency. I would therefore propose (though, alas! without much hope of seeing the proposal adopted) that those students who, after proper admonition, shall be found to persist in their attachment to such studies, should be rusticated or expelled; or, at least, prevented from taking a degree until they have given sufficient proofs of amendment.

But while I am remarking the progress of modern philosophy in an University, it is with additional regret I am obliged to confess that it has lately taken a wider range: it has entered the Cabinet; it has seated itself at the foot of the Throne! The proofs of this melancholy fact are too recent and too notorious to be doubted. Modern philosophers have, it seems, lately discovered that the slave trade (which our ancestors, in better and happier ages, carried on to a great extent, without thinking it contrary to religion or morality) is unjust, inhuman, and impolitic; and the Legislature have been compelled to sanction their clamour by its abolition. The disposition which has been too plainly shewn to do away those restraints on Catholics and Dissenters, which all but atheists and jacobins must allow to be

be necessary to the safety of church and state, is an alarming symptom of degeneracy; and equally so is the heretical and republican idea, of teaching all the common people not only to read but to write; an idea which, I lament to say, appears to have been seriously entertained by many, whose high birth and elevated stations should have taught them more dignified and correct notions. In short, with all these alarming signs of national depravity, I fear that, bad as the present age is, it will be surpassed in degeneracy by the next. But the subject is too melancholy to dwell upon: I therefore conclude, and remain

Your's,

ANTIHERETICODEMOCRATICUS.

Cambridge.

ON THE LIBERTY OF THE PRESS.

Sir,

I SHALL not condescend to reply to Præcursor: his menaces I despise, his scurrility it is foreign to my habits and manners to retort; and as to argument, he has given me none to answer. While Mr. Sullivan's own admissions and the sentence of his punishment stand recorded, his pretensions to that high reputation and character which Præcursor so imperatively claims for him, can never be admitted. The style in which they are demanded is the counterpart of that in which Lord Peter insisted upon his brother's believing his brown loaf to be a shoulder of mutton*. "Look ye, gentlemen: to convince you what blind, positive, ignorant, puppies you are, I will use but this plain argument:—By God, it is as true, good, natural mutton, as any in Leadenhall Market; and God confound you eternally, if you offer to believe otherwise."

In a former letter, I stated my intention of appealing to those who guided the affairs of the state, Whether a man who had demeaned himself as Mr. Sullivan has done, respecting the ship Elizabeth, was fit to hold any situation of public trust or emolument. He now holds none. Both he and his friends are out of office. He is no longer a Member of the Board of Controul; nor has he the remotest prospect of obtaining the government of Madras, which he was lately again soliciting. I animadverted on Mr. Sullivan as a public character, not as a private individual, and therefore shall now pursue him no farther. He may find shelter in obscurity.

Præcursor's invectives against what he terms personal defamation, have directed my thoughts to that palladium of all our civil and political rights, —the liberty of the press. From the nature of my education and pursuits, my ideas on this topic can only be drawn from those general principles of reason and justice, which, in this happy land, are the foundation of law: but, should my desultory observations induce any of your correspondents to bring that legal knowledge to the discussion, without which no finished essay on this important subject can be produced, I shall, at least, be entitled to the merit sought by the Roman satirist:—

* Swift's Tale of a Tub.

— *sungar vice cotis ; acutum
Reddere quæ ferrum valet, exors ipsa secandi*.*

The liberty of the press I should define to be, the right of publishing the truth respecting public measures, and the *public conduct* of public characters. In this free country, where the constitution gives the people a share in the government, the exercise of the former branch of this right is absolutely necessary to their forming just ideas of those measures in which they have not only an undeniable interest, but on which they have the privilege of instructing their representatives, and of petitioning either parliament or their sovereign. The exercise of the latter branch of it is also necessary to their forming a just judgment of the character and conduct of those to whom the administration of public affairs is entrusted, both for their guidance in choosing their representatives, and in using another legal privilege, ---that of remonstrating against the continuance of men in place or power, whose characters and conduct have rendered them unworthy of trust or confidence.

All parties are agreed as to the principle of this right, but the precise extent to which it should be acted upon has been an invariable subject of dispute between the governors and the governed ; between those in and those out of power. What the one term liberty, the other term licentiousness ; what the one consider as conclusions justly drawn from the premises, the other consider as inuendos originating in malice or slander. Writers actuated by party spirit, sharpened perhaps by personal animosity, will push their satire to the extreme verge of propriety, and, in doing so, will occasionally pass beyond it ; as the charioteer who always endeavours to drive to an inch will sometimes err, and entangle or overset his equipage. To ascertain the exact boundaries of right and wrong, is the province of the law ; and notwithstanding the numerous decisions that have taken place in cases of libel, the subject is as far from being elucidated as ever. Indeed, the same observation applies to cases of every other description ; for though, for ages past, we have been piling volumes upon volumes of reports, the number of new causes is progressively increasing, and “ the glorious uncertainty of the law ” is still a congratulatory toast among the gentlemen of the long robe.

Unfortunately, those who, when out of power, were advocates for extending the limits of the liberty of the press, have generally changed fides, and endeavoured to abridge them, as soon as they got in ; thus kicking down the ladder by which they rose to preferment, lest others should use it to climb up after them. These limits, therefore, though occasionally expanded by the discussion of some great popular question, have, on the whole, been considerably narrowed. They lost ground whilst the administration of justice was in the hands of the subtle and specious, though able, Lord Mansfield, who contended that it was the province of the judge, not of the jury, to decide what did or what did not constitute a libel†. Lord Kenyon went so much farther than his predecessors, as to tell a jury that whatever hurt the feelings of another, or made him uncomfortable, was a

* ——— let me sharpen others, as the hone
Gives edge to razors, though itself has none.

Hor. de Arte Poetica, Francis's Translation.

† Rex versus Woodfall.

libel.

libel*. The jury, however, in their verdict, very properly rejected this opinion of his Lordship. On a late occasion, a barrister in the Court of King's Bench contended for a distinction between public and private characters, asserting the liability of the former to be arraigned at the tribunal of public censure; but the Lord Chief Justice is represented to have been astonished at his doctrine, and to have said, he should soon be obliged to ask his brother Judges whether he was sitting in a British court of justice. I trust that, if any attempts are made to establish the contrary doctrine in the court where his Lordship presides, a British jury will know that they are sitting in a British court of justice; will feel that the development of misconduct in public situations is essential to the public good; and will think that the writer who exposes such truth from such a motive is not only entitled to a verdict of not guilty, but to the best thanks of his country. Such were the sentiments of the Romans; even when little but the shadow of liberty remained among them; and public accusers are declared by Cicero to merit encouragement, for this incontrovertible reason, "that the innocent, if accused, may be justified; but the guilty, unless accused, cannot be convicted."

The pernicious consequences that would result from suppressing the right of scrutinizing into the public conduct of public men, are equally obvious and alarming. If the monstrous proposition, that "the greater the truth the greater the libel" be established, it necessarily follows that the more atrocious the conduct of men in power, the greater will be the danger of exposing it, and the greater, therefore, their chance of escaping undetected. Those who enjoy high public honours and emoluments are servants of the public, and, therefore, justly liable to public account: this salutary check is, to them, in things temporal, what that admonition and dread of punishment, which we are taught the efficacy of in Holy Writ, are to mankind in things spiritual. In private life, what individual would see his friend place confidence in an unworthy man, and not warn him of his danger? In public life, every real friend to his country is bound to act the same part; and it is by the exercise of the liberty of the press alone that this duty can be properly and effectually discharged. If the doctrine of libels, as now contended for, can be maintained, libellers will be the only men who dare speak the truth; and the appellation, so far from being infamous, will designate the most patriotic, public spirited, and useful characters.

The reproaches of the world, as well as of conscience, were the punishments originally annexed to bad actions; but the laws of God and of man are now become so much at variance, that truth, the unerring standard of right and wrong, the chosen attribute of the Deity himself, the practice of which is recommended by his example, as well as enjoined by his precept, and will be rewarded by him with a crown of glory in the world to come, may be rewarded by the Court of King's Bench,

* Rex, on the prosecution of *Soane versus Norris*.

† "Quare facile omnes patimur esse quam plurimos accusatores, quod innocens si accusatus sit, absolvi potest; nocens, nisi accusatus fecerit, condemnari non potest."

"Oratio pro Sex: Roscio Amerino Accusatores multos esse in civitate utile est, ut metu contineatur audacia."—*Ibid.*

in the present world, with fine, imprisonment, and the pillory! Well may the goddess, when thus despised on earth, re-ascend to her native heaven!

It has been argued against this exercise of the liberty of the press, that the minds of the public are alienated from the government, by men in power being rendered objects of contempt or detestation. If the accusations be just, let the objects of them be degraded; if unjust, let the authors of them be punished, and those who have been falsely accused will increase their claim to the regard and confidence of a generous people. No man, conscious of innate rectitude, will fear being exposed to this ordeal. He who is styled Right Honourable, has the stronger obligation imposed upon him to make his actions correspond with his title. Vice is vice, whether clothed in rags or in ermine; and the lower classes of society will never look up with reverence to their superiors, while they avail themselves of their rank as a screen from investigation. The plea that writings are libellous, because they tend to provoke a breach of the peace, by exasperating the parties, is absurd, as applied to those which appear under anonymous signatures; for no man can fight a shadow. That dread of exposure, which has suggested these pretenses, in order to elude it, is an awful presage in the national character; as I shall illustrate by the following example.

In the declining days of the Roman empire, the brave and virtuous Decius felt it an easier task to restore the lustre of the Roman arms, than to re-establish the integrity of the public administration. Sensible, however, that national greatness could only be lasting while supported by national virtue, he revived the obsolete office of Censor; trusting that the person invested with that sacred character would be able to effect the arduous but necessary reform. To his inquisitorial tribunal the conduct of every officer of the state was submitted; his sentence removed from situations of trust and emolument, degraded from the rank of nobility, and consigned to public ignominy those who had abused the public confidence. Unfortunately, the event proved that this measure had been adopted too late; and that, though the Censor might maintain, he could not restore, the morals of the empire. The corruptions of the times would not bear the probing necessary for their cure; that high sense of honour and virtue, by which alone his authority could be supported, was lost in the minds of the people, as well as in those who had the administration of their affairs; and the censorial jurisdiction sunk into empty pageantry, the shadow, but not the substance, of what it had been in the virtuous ages of the republic*.

History is experience teaching by example; and, by a proper application of the above instance, we may form a just judgment of our own situation. If, with manly and stern virtue, we yet dare expose vice, however sheltered by greatness; if we abandon to public infamy and public justice those whose hearts are tainted by corruption, or whose hands are stained with plunder; then may we feel confident that our glory has not yet passed its zenith, and congratulate ourselves on that union of national valour and virtue, which alone can constitute permanent national greatness. But if,

* Montesquieu *Grandeur et Decadence des Romains*, c. 8—Gibbon's *History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire*, vol. i, c. 10.

on the contrary, we shrink from the Herculean task of cleaning this Augean stable; if guilty men in power are sheltered by their colleagues; if the sacred majesty of the laws is oppressed by authority; if truth is proscribed as a libel, only that vice and falsehood may stalk with unblushing countenance; and we find it easier to vanquish the public enemy than to eradicate the public vices; then too surely may we pronounce that the sun of our national glory soon will set for ever, and that future historians will class the present days among those of the decline and fall of the British empire.

VALERIUS PUBLICOLA.

April 10, 1807.

LETTER II.-----TO HIS GRACE THE MOST REVEREND THE
ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY.

My Lord,

THE last address to your Grace hazarded a prediction, "that any measure tending to regulate dissenting preachers, &c. which might be brought before Parliament," would meet with decided opposition "from the zealous friends of humanity." It would certainly be incorrect to consider as true any human prediction before the event predicted come to pass; yet, in this case, the truth of that prediction seems to flow as a corollary from a late political problem—paradox I should have said: for it is certainly a paradox, or, in plain language, a downright contradiction, for men whose duty it eminently is to protect the Established Church, to claim merit for having endeavoured to throw down the guards and bulwarks of that very Church, and to place upon "the vantage ground" its most inveterate foe.—May the Almighty, in his mercy, long preserve to us our beloved and magnanimous Sovereign; and may he, under the Divine protection, ever have the spirit to convince even the haughtiest of his subjects that *they have a King!*

My Lord, a late event in the political world is an additional motive to vigorous and united endeavours in support of our glorious Church. Another deadly blow has been averted from it. The gloom that so lately overhung it is, in some measure, past: a more auspicious day breaks upon us. Let us labour while it is day, lest, in this sense also, such a night may overtake us as may preclude all further endeavours.

It is matter of exultation to your Grace, and to all true Protestants of the Church of England, that every doctrine hostile to its faith has, immediately on its appearance, met complete refutation. Priestley was vanquished by the learned Horfeley; and the "True Churchman" has been thoroughly investigated and ascertained by the amiable Daubeny and a host of Christian worthies.

But, my Lord, I trust that the doctrines of the Church are not its vulnerable part. There are numerous lines and outposts which, though immediately connected with the safety of the citadel, have in some instances fallen into decay, and in others are but slightly guarded. Were all true members of the Church to unite in "repairing the walls of Sion," and *building up* her waste places, it would probably conduce more to the safety of the Church at the present momentous crisis, and, under the blessing of the Almighty, be a surer guard to it for the future, than all the aid which the civil power can give it, independent of such exertions. But while the

Clergy

Clergy move in this their more immediate line of duty, should they be doomed to fall amid the ruins of their Church, they will have the glory to fall at their post.

Were the mind of man, my Lord, under no thralldom to the body, a conviction of the truth of religion might be sufficient to produce obedience to its precepts; but in the present state of things, when the flesh lusteth against the spirit, your Grace must be well aware that to convince the reason is not always to regulate the conduct. Where the propensity to evil at all preponderates, every circumstance, however trivial, that may at all militate against the influence of religion, will be industriously called in aid to give a colouring of excuse to every breach of duty. From hence arises the necessity of a strict attention, not only to the more important, but also to the lesser, concerns of religion; since the various modifications of circumstances, relating to persons, times, and places connected with religion, have, according to the nearness of their connection, a power to influence the mind either for or against it. For instance; the regular or the irregular conduct of the clergy; their manners, amusements, &c. the external and internal state of Churches; the manner and the times of performing divine service, &c. &c. must all, in their several instances, have a certain influence on the minds of men either for or against the religion of the country.

My Lord, I do not say that these are the only causes which injure the established religion;—there are many others, from the existence of which it cannot possibly take blame to itself, and which the law of the land has alone the power to counteract. But at this time, when, as your Grace must be fully sensible, the Church has but too much reason to exclaim with David, “Mine enemies close me in on every side,” it eminently behoves the members of the Church, each in his several station, to assist in removing every thing that may “cause to offend.” That such causes do exist, it would be madness to deny. I have before observed, that to grant this is not to concede any thing to our adversaries; though to use no endeavours to remove those causes is to place in their hands one of the surest engines of destruction. I hope, therefore, my Lord, while I glance at some of those spots and blemishes which are the mere rust of time, or which have been occasioned by the partial neglect of individuals, I shall excite in the minds of those who may take the trouble to read these pages, an earnest desire to co-operate in rendering the visible Church upon earth, as far as human means can render it, “a glorious Church, not having spot or wrinkle, or any such thing.” Your Grace well remembers by whom it was said, “*ἡ προβατα σου πολλὰ ἔστιν, ἡ μὲν τινος ὀφθαλμὸς ὄν. ἡ δὲ μὴ, ὅσον μίσην, τοσούτῃ χαλεπύρεται.*” But I trust that my zeal is according to knowledge. Should it produce too much plainness of speech, place it, I pray your Grace, to the account of my earnestness to promote “the welfare of Zion.”

Among the many causes which produce scandal to the Church, the salaries of the inferior Clergy is one. Your Grace is not likely to hear how this subject is frequently treated at market dinners and other meetings. Such conversation indeed is generally kept for the ears of laymen only; unless where some unguarded curate, preferring any society to the society of his own mind, will bear to hear his own case defended at the expence of the Clergy at large: such instances have happened, though I trust but seldom. Tythes, which the noble and the honourable agriculturists of the day have

have taught every farmer to descant upon, form not the only topic of conversation at such meetings: where the Clergy are the subject, the salaries of curates do not unfrequently give rise to coarse jests, vulgar witticisms, and low comparisons. I beg your Grace to consider me as disclaiming, in the most unequivocal manner, all intention of throwing indiscriminate blame upon that most respectable body of men, the beneficed Clergy. I do not believe that it is in their power, in the present state of things, radically to remove the evil complained of. Many of those who are obliged to employ a curate have large families, and have most probably fallen into the fashion of living very much in the world, as it is called. Besides, the expensive mode of educating children, and the high notions which they consequently acquire, render the incomes of incumbents far from being commensurate to their own ideas of living as becomes their station, or of suitably providing for their children. It is likewise difficult to impress upon the mind of every man the *exact intent and meaning** of church preferment, and in what respects it differs from other property. Although such reasoning is, as your Grace must be well aware, but in some measure to plead one evil in extenuation of another; yet does it shew the difficulty of the subject. For difficult it indeed is to draw an impartial line where you must be perpetually liable to trench upon what have long been considered as the legitimate habits and comforts of one set of men in order to meliorate the condition of another. The truth of this appears from this one consideration, that, with some few exceptions, the state of the curates remains nearly the same as it was previous to the interference of Parliament. Your Grace, and every rational man in the King's dominions, must be sensible, that, generally speaking, the stipend of a curate is neither adequate to his maintenance, nor a proper compensation for the important, and as it in reality is, arduous task of a cure of souls; neither does it bear any proportion to the emolument of other offices, either civil, military, or even menial. Leaving, then, this subject to the consideration of your Grace, and of those whom it may more immediately concern, I shall briefly observe, that this evil of which I am complaining seems to be rapidly advancing towards its own cure, if it may be so called. The time is fast approaching when a sufficient number of curates will not be found, since few will enter into holy orders without good cause to expect preferment either by donation or by purchase. Could we be assured that a scarcity of curates would oblige incumbents to residence, or to increase the salaries of curates, we might say with truth that the evil had corrected itself. But when evils are left to work their own cure, they too frequently produce other evils no way inferior to themselves. This, it is to be feared, will be the case in the event of a scarcity of curates. For, as it is probable (I judge, my Lord, from the past) that exemptions from residence will always be within the reach of a certain class of the Clergy, the bishops will be obliged to ordain men of inferior habits, manners, and education, who will be content to live upon a slender salary, on the prospect of a lift in the scale of society. Whether or not this last evil would not be greater than the first, I leave your Grace to be the judge. But I shall

* They who are desirous of information on this head, would do well to read the Life of the pious Dr. Wilson, Bishop of Mann.

beg leave to trouble your Grace a little farther on this subject when I come to treat of the manners of the Clergy. For the present, I must request you to believe that I possess the utmost esteem for your Grace's individual virtues; and am, truly,

Your obedient servant,

FREDERICK DE COURCEY.

April 13th, 1807.

THE POPISH VERSION OF THE TEN COMMANDMENTS.

TO THE PROPRIETORS OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW AND MAGAZINE.

Gentlemen,

AS you say in your review of the Bishop of Durham's most excellent Charge (page 145 in the review for February last), that you were not aware that the priests of Europe had dared to mutilate the Commandments by the suppression of the Second, and as I think that the abominable frauds of the church of Rome in that and every other instance cannot be too much exposed, I beg to inform you that in "A Manual of Prayers and other Christian Devotions; revised and corrected, with large additions, by B. C., D. D. London; printed 1768," the Ten Commandments are thus printed in page 18:—

"I am the Lord thy God, who brought thee out of the Land of Egypt, and out of the House of Bondage.

"1. Thou shalt not have strange gods before me. Thou shalt not make to thyself any graven thing, nor the likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or in the earth below, nor of the things that are in the water under the earth: Thou shalt not adore nor worship them: I am the Lord thy God, strong and jealous, visiting the sins of the fathers upon their children, to the third and fourth generation of them that hate me, and shewing mercy to thousands of those that love me, and keep my commandments.

"2. Thou shalt not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain; for the Lord will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain.

"3. Remember that thou keep holy the sabbath-day.

"4. Honour thy father and thy mother.

"5. Thou shalt not kill.

"6. Thou shalt not commit adultery.

"7. Thou shalt not steal.

"8. Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour.

"9. Thou shalt not desire thy neighbour's wife.

"10. Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's goods."

Now, though the Second Commandment cannot strictly be said to be here suppressed, yet I think it appears very clearly from "A Table to help our Memory when we prepare for Confession," at page 372 of the same book, that it is added in this manner to the First, in order that the injunctions contained in it may be passed over without notice; for in this Table, though there are fifteen heads for self-examination upon what the Papists call the First, there is not one which in the most distant manner touches upon that part of it which is in truth the Second Commandment.

There is in the above-mentioned Manual, at page 333, "A Prayer for the

the Pagans," in which are these words: "mercifully admit of our prayers, and deliver the Pagans from the *Worship of Idols* !!!—*Risum teneatis!*

I am, Gentlemen, your well wisher, and

A sincere Layman of the Church of England as by Law established.

April 17th, 1807.

SONNETS.

HOPE.

PARENT of joy, thy heav'nly prospects, fair,
Have often sooth'd the troubles of this breast;
Thy beams have oft dispell'd the gloom of care,
And lull'd my agoniz'd soul to rest.
Still have thy visions rose in Fancy's hour,
When youthful dreams to future joys aspire;
But, still the clouds of disappointment lour,
And all my flatt'ring prospects now expire.
Delusive Hope! thy cheering scenes are fled;
To me thy magic power no joys impart:
Despair to me her dark'ning visions spread,
And paints her dreary prospects on my heart.
Yet dost thou point where heav'nly joys await
In bliss divine, beyond the reach of fate.

Twickenham.

HENRICUS.

WINTER.

Now Winter spreads his devastations round
O'er all those scenes that golden autumn yields;
While every stream in icy fetters bound,
And leafless trees surrounding all the fields.
No more the tenants of the grove rejoice,
Since Nature now withholds her kind supply;
Tho' late they gaily rais'd their tuneful voice,
And little thought sad pen'ry was so nigh.
So, when the autumn of our life is past,
And fortune gilds no more the vernal morn,
Then on the world the wretched victims cast,
Unknown to flatt'ring friends, but as their scorn;
Still thro' his heart the bleeding pang shall roll,
Till death's cold hand shall freeze the current of his soul.

Twickenham.

HENRICUS.

IMPROMPTUON A LATE MELANCHOLY EVENT.

"ALL Talents, Weight, and Rank," alas! are gone,
Crush'd by superior weight of *Portland-stone*,
And left us *nothing* but—Our CHURCH AND THRONE. }

AN

AN EPISTLE TO A FATHER IN LAW AT SOMERSET HOUSE

FROM HIS SON IN LAW IN NEWGATE.

Infelix gener, et dignus f——ane parente.

WHILE in a dismal dungeon's dampness, I
Swear unregarded, unsupported lie,
You, at your ease, in all the pomp of place,
With Players, Perry, Hardy, or his Grace,
Display the blushing honours of your face;
And live on those, whose speculative skill
Is hasty credit, and a distant bill,
By privilege from debts and bailiffs free,
Nor cast a thought on misery and me.

Yet by those joys *She* gave in early life,
She, whom you made a *Mother*, not a *Wife*,
Let her, who boasts a **Natural Daughter's* name,
Urge to a Father's heart her Husband's claim;
Her, whom you gave me without paltry pelf,
Like you, sweet soul, a fortune in herself;
Let her your bowels of compassion thaw,
And spare, in pity spare, your Son in Law.
If in the †*Commons House* no mercy dwell,
My last resource is S———, or Hell.

Heaven knows, the wisest men sometimes mistake;
E'en you, my Father, you have err'd, like Drake;
In friendship's cause, not long the time is o'er,
You once, like me, unfortunately swore.

Can none remember? Yes, I know, all must!

How to O'Connor and his merits just,
(When at the bar we saw the Culprit stand,
And horror struck hold up his shaking hand,
When Scott, all-eloquent, the charges prest,
And brought conviction home to every breast,
E'en Plumer shrunk, unequal, from the strife,
And Treason trembled for her votary's life)
You, in that hour, a Guardian †*Dæmon* came,
And prov'd your friendship, not an empty name.
You with your lips the sacred volume prest,
You bade the Gdd of truth your truth attest:

"So help me God, I solemnly declare,

"*Truth, the whole Truth, and nought but Truth, I swear.*

"I know him well, so great his merits deem,

"He has my *friendship, confidence, esteem*:"

* Genus huic de Patre superbum,
Sed de Matre nothum.

† Flectere si nequeo Superos, Acheronta movebo.

† *Dæmon*—"a good or evil Angel:" in this instance, of course, "good."
"Without

" Without reserve, incapable of art,
 " He told me every secret of his heart ;
 " To me his views, his principles are known,
 " *Whig* views, *Whig* principles, and all my own.
 " I swear (and let my oath's sure pledge have weight),
 " *Like me*, he's well-affected to the state ;
 " *Like me*, of French Fraternity afraid,
 " He shudders at the thought of foreign aid."

What did I swear ? I swore, alas ! 'tis true,
 That you had private dealings with a * Jew ;
 Had urg'd Paul's friends his interests to betray,
 And tamper'd with them—in an honest way.
 This, this was all I said, or swore, or meant ;
 This of my guilt's the front and full extent.
 Grim GRAY, with verjuice visage, cried, " Hear, hear ;"
 Storm'd the loud TAYLOR, foam'd the MAN OF BEER.
 † Freedom's Asylum, with a single No,
 Doom'd me to Newgate, slavery, want, and woe.

We both have err'd ; but here the likeness ends,
 A different fate the same mistake attends.
 The † Navy's Treasury, a glorious prize,
 Is yours : my recompence in Newgate lies.
 But let your Daughter's tears and prayers prevail,
 And save me from the horrors of a jail :
 Think of the Poet's memorable line,
 " To err is Human, to forgive Divine."

T. D.

Newgate's Dungeon, March 19, 07.

CORRESPONDENCE.

PRÆCURSOR's Letter shall appear in the Appendix to the present volume, which will be published on the *First of June* ; and to which will be prefixed an HISTORICAL SKETCH OF EUROPE during the last four months ; in which will be introduced a full account of the Proceedings of the Corporation of Dublin on the Catholic question. All other communications of Correspondents not inserted this month will appear in the same Appendix.

* Harris, an old Clotheshman, voted for S——.

† " The Commons House, the nursery of freedom, the asylum of liberty," &c. &c. See Romilly's gingerbread speech on Lord Melville's trial.

‡ *Ille crucem pretium scelus tulit, hic Diadema.*

APPENDIX

TO VOLUME XXVI.

Recherches sur plusieurs Monumens Celtiques et Romains.

Researches on several Celtic and Roman Monuments: on the People denominated Cambovicenses in the Chart of Theodosius, called Peutinger's: on the Ancient Roman Town of Neris, Department of Allier: on the Ruins of several other Roman Towns in the Ancient Berry: on the Celtic Monuments in the Cantons of Huriel and Montluçon, Department of Allier, compared with many others which exist in France and elsewhere: on the Ruins and Monuments in the Celtic Town of Toull, Department of Creuze: and on the first Establishment of Tile and Brick Manufactories during the Residence of the Romans among the Gauls; the Use made of them, and the Manner in which they degenerated. By J. F. Barailon, Member of the Legislative Body, of the Celtic Academy, &c. Pp. 440, 8vo, 1806. Paris. Imported by Deconchy.

THERE is perhaps no study more important to a civilized and populous country than that of its antiquities. Antiquarian researches, conducted in a truly philosophical spirit, whilst they excite curiosity and awaken reflection in a peculiar degree, furnish us with the means of comparing our ancient manners, customs, and implements of social life, with those of the present day; and from this comparison the rational mind can be at no loss to discover what has been, what is, and what might be, the state of society, were men actuated by proper principles. The labours, indeed, of the antiquary, whose good sense always predominates over his imagination, are particularly qualified at once to abate our modern vanity, and at the same time to make us contented with our actual situation, by habituating the mind to just notions of things past, present, and to come. To this end a new institution has been formed in Paris on the ruins of the former, under the title of the *Celtic Academy*. Hitherto it has been, like most other French institutions, *non factis, sed verbis*; and the few works published by some of its members evince such extraordinary mental imbecility, that it was with no little indifference we took up the present

volume. We cheerfully confess, however, that we were very agreeably disappointed. The Researches of this non-resident Celtic Academician discover more reading, more clear, concise and just opinions, and excepting the author's national prejudice, which is gross in the extreme, more accurate reasoning than we have found in most of the modern French publications. M. Barailon's first enquiry is into the true geographical situation of the capital of the *Cambioricenses*, laid down in the Theodosian chart by Peutinger. These people, he conceives to have been the inhabitants of the valley in which are situated Evaux, Aurillac, Chambon, and Combraille. The following extract will convey some idea of the author's manner. After defining the etymion of this name to be *Cambiovicus* or *Cambionis vicus*, whence *Cambioricenses* was formed; and Combraille from the Celtic *chom*, or *cham*, and *com*, or *cam*, a valley, whence *Dea Cambonia* or *Cambona*, the goddess of this country whose name was given to the chief town *Chambone*, he proceeds to prove that

"Chambon is precisely the place in Combraille, where there exist most traces of the people whose capital it was. We there find a square temple, very strait, solidly constructed of hewn stone, rounded on the south, and originally open at top, to which the Romans added an arch. The marks of that nation are, also, manifest in the bricks and tiles which were employed. This temple, which contains within only thirty feet on two opposite sides and twenty-one on the other two, is at present part of the church of St. Valery, and has long formed the chapel of this patron. This fact completely contradicts the assertion of those who pretend that the primitive Christians destroyed the Pagan temples, and never converted them into churches. The clock-tower is a Roman work which cannot be later than the year 400; it has nothing of the Gothic, and its solidity will still brave many centuries."

"The archives* of the Benedictines of Chambon contain six or seven narratives of sieges, many of which have had a similar issue. We should not know to what to attribute the dauphins in bas-relief, which in 1792 were still seen on the lintels of the doors of a great number of houses, if we did not know that this town took the part of Louis XI against his father. These dauphins are here a true emblem of fidelity and attachment to a monster, indeed; as the rabble never praise but those whom they fear. The English also took this town; the three lions which were seen before the revolution on the ancient public place, are the monuments of their conquest, and of their domination. They have frequently left similar traces of their extreme frontiers; and they have been preserved, also, at Toul. That town may have likewise belonged to them in consequence of the treaty of Bretigny in 1360, as making part of the diocese of Limoges. I know but the old house in the hospital which has a lion on one of its windows. *Were the English capable of such beneficence? Would they have concurred to endow this establishment?* The truth is, that this institution is long since forgotten, long since suppressed."

* All of which were destroyed in 1793, as the author afterwards remarks.
The

The latter remark is a proof of the author's vulgar national frenzy. We are, however, very much obliged to him for developing these interesting facts, and we shall receive the abuse with complaisance whilst he continues his important researches among the ancient edifices and ruins in France. The more he is intent on finding new subjects to call forth his vengeance against the English, the more he will convince the impartial world of the numerous and imperishable monuments of their power and beneficence in France. Be it therefore known, that in the twelfth, thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, while Frenchmen were desolating their own country in hordes of barbarians, whose chiefs were often qualified with the title of *princeps malignantium*, and who amused themselves with cutting off the noses, ears, hands, feet, tongue, &c. of every unfortunate peasant they happened to meet, their English conquerors were busily employed in building churches, and endowing hospitals for the relief of the poor and diseased! It is a question we shall leave to those who devote their attention to such subjects, whether the English have not in fact been as efficient in civilizing the French as they have the Irish?

"I forgot," says M. Barailon, "to mention, that at Lavillate, in the vicinity of Chambon, several pieces of earthenware, paintings in fresco, columns of burnt clay, pieces of Roman pottery, nails, antique keys, coins of our first kings, &c. were found. Roman medals, coins of Clovis, Sigebert, Brunehaut, &c. were also discovered.

"On the 13th of September, 1805, in the remains of a burying-place many centuries abandoned, was discovered a black urn of burnt clay, six feet under ground, of a great capacity and in the shape of a bomb. It contained a little water, which the ashes had attracted from the air, and was covered with a flat stone. It was easy to judge, even from the quantity of the ashes, that it contained those of many dead bodies. Macrobius, indeed, informs us that several dead bodies were burnt at once, and that to every ten men a woman was added, as experience had taught them that the latter augmented particularly the activity of the fire. Not far from this urn was found an aqueduct solidly constructed of rough stone."

M. Barailon proceeds to detail many of the customs of the ancient Celts, their mode of warfare, and their retreats into caves and subterraneous passages, by means of which they often defeated and destroyed numerous armies. "The Gauls, the Germans and the Scots carried on war in this manner by feints and retreats. Their caves or hiding-places were often under a mass of earth of thirteen or fourteen feet thick, consequently very difficult to find. They are contracted, crooked, or choaked up, especially where the hard succeeded the soft sand-stone, and resisted the instruments employed by the workmen: they are therefore very ancient, prior to the use of iron or of iron-implements. It must be confessed, however, that these caves were still used under Constantia II to surprize the enemy." The author adds that these

caverns are found all over the north of Europe as well as among the Gauls, Ligurians and Scots; but he might have also included not only those in England and Ireland, where they are still more numerous than in Scotland, but also among the Tartars and several nations of the East. It is, indeed, extremely probable that something similar has been common in almost all countries at some period of their cultivation, and that they were originally constructed rather as places of security against the fury of wild beasts, than as places to deceive and annoy any human enemies.

Our author avails himself of every occasion to declaim against the English, and he zealously endeavours to prove that they desolated the country of Combraille about the year 1370; and, also, that they occasioned the massacre at Limoges in that year. The fact is true, we believe, that the country was pillaged at that period; but it was not by the English, but by the French themselves, who, by order of their king, put a whole country to fire and sword, merely because some of the unfortunate people had manifested a partiality to the English.

M. Barailon, after stating that the chart executed by Peutinger under the direction of Theodosius the Great in 393, in which the *Cambiovicenses* are distinguished in large characters, concludes "that the people of the present Combraille are their descendants, and that in the fifth century it formed a distinct country, perfectly independent of those which surrounded it, and in a word a peculiar people." As proofs of this conclusion, he adds, that "their vulgar idiom is a compound of Celtic, Latin, and Teutonic, which a corrupt French has insensibly circumvented; that they still count by nights like the ancient Gauls in the time of Cæsar; that all the proper names of places or things are purely Celtic, very expressive and very analogous to their subjects; and that the explanation of them is only to be found in Lower Brittany, in Ireland, but above all in Wales and Cornwall." He likewise gives the Celtic etymon of a great number of the names of places in the district of Combraille, and however objectionable some of them are, they nevertheless sufficiently prove the point in question, that this country is the same as that inhabited by the *Cambiovicenses* in the Theodosian chart. The following are the author's observations on the manners of this people.

"I will never believe that there were among the Celts any other slaves than the prisoners of war. They allowed the most perfect equality, and obeyed only the most brave. Some perjured usurpers occasionally assumed the first place, but they could command only for the common interest, and according to the public will: *auctoritate suadendi, magis quam jubendi potestate*, said Tacitus.

"Should we compare the manners and the character of the inhabitants of Combraille with those of their neighbours, perhaps we shall still find some difference. Their mildness, for instance, contrasted with the rudeness

rudeness of the Auvergne; their frankness with the cunning of the Marchese; and their vivacity with the dulness of the inhabitants of Berry. Let us add, that their taste, habits, idiom, kind of industry and labour, are not the same. They have no particular accent; their pronunciation is neat, whilst the contrary is observed of their neighbours. These distinctions, whether the effect of the climate, of domestic education, or of the continual intercourse of individuals, still exist.

"The ancient Gauls imposed on themselves privations and constraints until that they had killed their enemy. The rebel Civilis imitated them in this particular. The ancient Germans, according to Tacitus, had the same custom. In later times the brave chevaliers maintained their resolution until their object was accomplished. It is thus that Geoffroy de Rançon, in 1242, wore the *grève*, (that is to say, his hair long and divided on the top of his head,) till the moment that Louis IX had humbled Hugues de Lusignem, Count de la Marche. The revolution in our own days has recalled this custom; and we have seen private individuals constrain themselves to suffer their beards and hair to grow until the return of the ancient regimen.

"In the territory of Combraille the vine is not cultivated, and the country is often subject to earthquakes. At Chambon the lowest class of people is sometimes attacked with *goitres*, which were formerly more common. The people of Evaux, highly situated with regard to the other parts of this country, are incessantly menaced with the scurvy, which gives to many a very strong breath. Spitting of blood and its consequences are familiar at Auzance, on account of the coldness of the fountain water with they drink. Asthmas are very common at Bellegarde, although some live to eighty and even one hundred years. The difference of situation furnishes important observations. In general, the men in the plains are little, large bellied and not active. The change of constitution often does not operate among them till after their twentieth year; and they rarely attain their sixtieth. Intermittent fevers, obstructions, and dropsies, attack them at an early age. On the heights the human specie is taller, stronger and more lively: its existence is there prolonged. The inhabitants of the district of Lepaud, above all, were remarked fifty years ago for their stature, their corpulency and their strength. The two last generations are singularly degenerated."

The latter observation would tend to establish a fact at present neither attended to nor believed, namely, that the modern French have degenerated and are degenerating *physically* as well as *morally*.

The author's researches on the antiquities of the ancient Roman town of Neris are worthy of a better age, and would not disgrace the memoirs of the late Academy of Inscriptions. The immense variety and number of the ruins, Roman utensils, fragments of ancient implements and works of art already discovered, and the prospects of discovering still many more curious remains, render it extremely interesting to all those who wish to acquire a real knowledge of the arts and conveniences of life among the Gauls and Romans.

The following articles are in the possession of the author, the greater part of which were found in a round well, about fifty-two feet deep, at the village of Pechein, in September 1805; and it is presumed that they have remained there since the destruction of Neris under Constantius II, about 354 or 357.

"1st. Three plain cups of *terra campana*, very wide for their height, the lid or cover of the least one being veined with brown and red: 2d. a vase perfectly round, bronzed with gold-coloured mica, such as those in which aromatic resins were burnt at sacrifices: 3d. two kinds of black three footed stew-pans, one of which has a cover: 4th. three pots of extreme delicacy and lightness, one of which is red in its fracture, and is encased and veined with black and a rust colour: another of a silvery black, is white in its fracture; and the third is variegated with brown and red: 5th. two other pots, the surface of which appears to have been exposed to the action of manganese; the one is plain, the other heavier and furrowed on the belly: 6th. another pot sufficiently coarse, red in its broken parts, varnished with gold-coloured mica, where we can distinguish the part blackened by the fire: 7th. a large vase with the brim and cover of manganese: 8th. another reddish vase with a spout for pouring the liquid: 9th. a handled bottle of a particular form: 10th. five large coarse earthen jugs blackened by the smoke, one with a spout, the others without it: 11th. and a great quantity of potsherds and fragments of vessels of *terra campana*. It appears that the precipitation with which they were all thrown into the well multiplied the fractures. Here we may remark, that the ancients did not know how to vitrify the covers of their pottery, although they understood enamelling. The manganese furnished them with the silvery black, which distinguishes the most delicate pieces. As to the coarse kinds, they had then, as now, recourse to the smoke to blacken them, by intercepting the air from the fire near the end of the burning. This practice is one of the most ancient, as these burnt clay jugs, and the urn found at Chambon, attest."

The researches on the ruins of several Roman towns in the ancient province of Berry, present fewer objects of curiosity to the antiquary. In the ruins of Bruere on the river Cher, a M. Pajonnet has discovered such a number of coins and medals in gold, silver, and bronze, of the Roman emperors, that has obtained him two annuities of six hundred francs each, about fifty pounds a year. In the plain adjoining the ruins of this town is seen at night a phosphorescent light, which, contrary to the usual character of such luminous appearances; remains stationary, and disappears as the spectator approaches it. The author ascribes it to the decomposed fat of the dead bodies buried there, but is at a loss to explain why it is seen only in dark, dry evenings between nine and ten o'clock, and that it does not continue above half an hour. Had he examined the surrounding substances more minutely, his superstitious feelings (which he endeavours to conceal) might have been removed perhaps by the discovery of substances which imbibe the rays of light during the day, and emit them again when reduced to a
certain

certain temperature. This, indeed, would have rendered his account less marvellous, and consequently less agreeable to the present taste of his countrymen. At Drevant, it appears, great quantities of iron balls and chains, which were attached to prisoners of war and convicts, have been found, and it is from these circumstances inferred, rather hastily, that there were several forges and iron mines in the neighbourhood at that period.

The fourth of these researches is devoted to the "Celtic monuments in the cantons of Huriel and Montluçon, in the department of Allier, compared with several others which exist in France and elsewhere." Here M. Barailon has not been so fortunate as to add much to our knowledge of the worship, as supposed, or tombs of the ancient Druids. He describes, indeed, a considerable number of huge stones, such as those called Stonehenge, but gives no satisfactory account of their real purpose. On Mount Giraud or Girad are several of these enormous stones, which measure from twelve to forty feet long, eighteen to twenty feet thick, and from thirty to forty in circumference. Some of these stones, presenting different figures of course, the author is pleased to suppose represent a pulpit; he also imagines that there must have been turrets all round the summit of this mountain, and that such turrets were devoted to the use of the female Druids! At Boisdioux, canton of Montluçon, the monuments are found placed in the direction of the solstices. Boisdioux is, he says, a corruption of *Bed-ioun*, which in Celtic means *sepulchre of the Lord*. Karnac, in Quiberon Bay, Lower Brittany, likewise presents numerous monuments of Druidical or Celtic remains, the whole of which the author classes under the following denominations; "1st. sanctuaries; 2d. objects of worship; 3d. implements of worship; 4th. places consecrated to justice; and 5th. tombs. Thus the stones of Karnac, being sanctuaries, are different from the others; those of Mount Barlet and Mount Giraud are circular; those of Targes present an oblong square; and those of Stonehenge in England are perfectly round." M. Barailon, however, in this dissertation very judiciously observes, that "it must be confessed, that things have so changed; our manners, our laws, our arts, our belief, are so different, that there no longer exists any relation between our ancestors and us, between their productions and ours; time, new systems and violent political storms have sunk all in oblivion."

The author's observations on the "ruins and monuments of the Celtic city of Toull, department of the Creuze," are entirely in the modern style of his countrymen, and very unworthy the preceding researches. He has laboured most assiduously to say something new, and to establish the ancient geography of this town, but he has been particularly unsuccessful, and except a few observations which he has borrowed from Dr. Charke's Dissertation on Cesar's Commentaries, relative to the ancient geography

of Gaul, and also some hints from Strutt's *Works on Rocking Stones, &c.* and other English writers, whom he has most wantonly abused, it is a flippant, vague, and contemptible tissue of *verbiage*. The houses of the Celts or ancient Gauls, he avows, were poor miserable cabins covered with straw, without windows or chimneys, and not occupying above twelve or fourteen feet of ground; yet he is in a violent passion with Pelloutier for saying that they had no edifices before the invasion of the Romans. *Toull*, he says, is very significative, and expresses a hollow pit or cave, or any place undermined, such as this city was; these subterraneous caves they used in time of war. He adds, that they were very skilful in works of gold, silver and brass, and that the brilliant colours of the Druids' garments are proofs of their knowledge of the art of dying. This may or may not be true: but if it be, it is no proof that such things were of their own manufacture: on the contrary, it is much more probable that they were of foreign origin, in order to exalt the dignity of these sanguinary priests; but the history of mankind at present furnishes no examples of people persevering in the horrid rite of human sacrifice, and at the same time possessing much genius or skill in the arts. The coins of which they are said to have been possessed, were most probably brought to them either as offerings, or the plunder of more enlightened nations. Near *Toull* are the famous *Io-math* stones on Mount Bar-lot, and also those of *Ep-Nell*, amounting to about thirty-five, one of the largest of which is forty-five feet and a half long, sixteen and a quarter high, and thirteen feet broad. *Ep-Nell* consists of two Celtic words signifying *without a chief*: *Io-math* signifies to wound, cut and trample under foot; *bar-lot* indicates at once the punishment of the crime and the kind of expiatory sacrifice practised on this mountain. The author presumes that the excommunication of the Druids was the same as that used by the popes against sovereign princes. *Gou-By*, in the same vicinity, means the habitation or the mouth of Jupiter. To this place the author is determined to assign *female* Druids, and not content with investing the women with the dignities of a priestess, but he also gives them the horrid office of prophesying over the human sacrifices! Yet his praise of the Celts and abuse of the Romans are equally unlimited. The following sentence evinces the true spirit of the French demon, and is worthy the reign of Buonaparte.

"Let us not imitate the English, who multiply folio volumes on monuments long since known and a thousand times described. Such works may fill and surcharge our libraries; but they do nothing to science, they do not increase our knowledge. And we Frenchmen, we would not make known our richness; we would leave in oblivion the works which *revenge* our ancestors of the *calumnies* and *impostures* of Cesar, and of the declamations as false as senseless of so many historians and ancient geographers!"

We

We might ask the author, where is the English folio work on monuments that the whole of the Celtic Academy could produce one equal to it. We are not ignorant of the superficial learning of the modern French, and we hazard nothing in asserting, that if all the men of learning now in France (natives) were assembled together, they could not produce a volume equal to any one of the *Munimenta Antiqua* already published. As to the abuse of Cæsar, it is a proof of the author's malignity and gross ingratitude, to the memory of the man who *humanized* his savage ancestors. But what should we expect from a man who asserts that the Gauls, merely because a Phœcean colony established itself at Marseilles, although it never extended into the interior of the country, but traded to Spain, used familiarly the language and characters of Greece? In fact, it was with great difficulty these savages, who were very little less ferocious than the present inhabitants of the Sandwich Islands, could learn to speak Latin, much less Greek. There are, indeed, some presumptive proofs that the Druids in Great Britain and Ireland possessed genius, learning and humanity, but notwithstanding all our author's zealous labours he has not been able to furnish one circumstance* which would induce a belief that his Celtic ancestors possessed in the very lowest degree any of these desirable properties: except a little cunning, their gross ignorance and ferocious barbarity only appear. Such, however, was the influence of their disgraceful ceremonies, that in defiance of the Christian religion, M. Barailon acknowledges that they were not totally abolished before the eighth or ninth century, and Dulaure asserts that they were still practised in several parts of France till 1745: and we know that they were restored during the frenzy of the revolution, and have so far gained a general ascendancy throughout the country, that they are not one of the least causes of the actual depopulation of France.

The last dissertation in this volume is on the first works of tile and brick-making during the residence of the Romans in Gaul; and on their use and degeneration. This contains nothing new to English readers. The Gauls knew nothing of brick-work or pottery, and their success under the Roman direction appears to have been very slow, and their productions still very imperfect, till the irruption of the Goths and the decline of Rome put almost a final stop to their awkward labours. The Romans, who borrowed their knowledge from the Greeks, certainly surpassed any thing that modern times can boast of in bricks or tiles, especially in solidity: but notwithstanding the architectural grandeur of their aqueducts, they were greatly inferior to those of the moderns in

* He asserts that the Celts, like the Romans, had the custom of putting a piece of money or metal under the first stone of their edifices, but as they raised no edifices, and had only these huge stones for their altars, such visionary assertions are unworthy of any observation.

general utility. The earthen tubes which they used for the conveyance of water the author describes as being composed of two parts, the upper one having the form of a Greek omega (Ω), and the under one nearly similar, only square instead of being circular. Their dimensions, however, have been much better described by several English writers.

In conclusion, we may be permitted to express our regret, that an old man, and a respectable writer in a better age, should thus permit himself to become the vile slave of national malignity and ambition, and wander from his subject only to abuse that nation from whose writers he has gleaned almost all his knowledge. So general is this practice become in France, that it is surely no equivocal proof of their great and rapid degeneracy and national decline.

Exposé des principales Circonstances, encore peu connues, qui ont occasionné les Désastres des Armées Autrichiennes dans la dernière Guerre Continentale, et sur-tout en 1800. Par un Voyageur Suisse. Traduit de l'Anglois. Londres, Mai 1801.

Detail of the principal Circumstances which occasioned the Defeat of the Austrian Armies. By a Swiss Traveller.

NO credit is to be given to this title page, when it tells us that the work is a translation from the English, and that it is printed at London. It is evidently a foreign production, and printed on the Continent. When an author wishes to speak the truth, subterfuges of the kind must always be employed in those countries where to write or to print truth are considered as crimes against the state; and are not unfrequently followed by the ruin of those who have the hardihood to lay before the public the foibles, the faults, and the crimes of the rulers whose weakness or vice, or both, bring upon the governed calamity and destruction.

This Swiss Traveller is much too discursive; he seems to have no idea of a proper arrangement of his subject; and introduces many things which appear to have little if any relation to it. With regard to his facts, some are known to be true; some have much probability from what is generally known to have taken place: for the rest we must trust to his anonymous authority. Without paying much attention to his arrangement, we shall enumerate some of the causes to which he attributes the late Austrian disasters. He might have gone back for this to Joseph II, who, from a rage for innovation, and from carrying it on with precipitation, and with that spirit of despotism against which the minds of free men revolt, eventually lost the Belgian provinces. But he begins with the weakness and blunders of Leopold II.

" That

"That Emperor," he tells us, "detested war, and did not much respect warriors. Although surrounded by formidable neighbours, the natural enemies of his monarchy: although every man of understanding foresaw that, whatever might be the issue of the French revolution, a foreign war would soon become indispensable, to give occupation abroad to those fiery spirits which such an extraordinary explosion would otherwise excite to reciprocal destruction; and in spite of the multiplied outrages of the new French rulers, this monarch disbanded a great part of the numerous troops of his predecessor. More than forty thousand Germans, the subjects of different Princes of the Empire, whom enthusiasm had led to fight under the banners of Joseph, were dismissed.—Cabal and court favour became the most efficacious means of attaining military promotion; and a general decay of discipline pervaded the army when Francis II mounted the throne." (Pp. 73, 74.)

Thugut, the supposed natural son of old Kaunitz, succeeded to this veteran minister, and governed with despotic sway the councils of the young Emperor Francis, whom his feeble constitution and bad health had prevented from attending to the affairs of government. To the *perfidy* of this minister the writer ascribes the great and numerous faults of the cabinet of Vienna. That cabinet, under his guidance, excited the distrust and resentment of all the Allies of the Austrian Monarch. It did every thing in its power to discourage, and to spread discontent among the troops. States under the protection of Austria by the most solemn treaties were abandoned, and princes who had supported her cause were sacrificed; while the finances of the state, notwithstanding the immense voluntary contributions which poured in from all quarters, and the subsidies from Great Britain, fell to ruin with inconceivable rapidity. No revenue could resist the folly and criminality of the Austrian cabinet. With mismanagement in one hand, and speculation in the other, it contrived to oppress the country, and to impoverish the State. A profuse issue of paper money, and a most impolitic and unwarrantable depreciation of the current coin, raised the price of every necessary and comfort of life, and spread a general discontent and despondency.

We subjoin a few instances of the Austrian conduct, which gave disgust and alarm to her Allies, and contributed to her own discomfiture and disgrace.

"When Belgium was re-conquered, the States of the different provinces offered to raise, and to pay forty thousand men to assist the Imperial forces. For this assistance they only requested the recall of the officers in the Army of Insurrection (as it was called), who could not be considered as rebels, because that insurrection was warranted by the most sacred and fundamental laws of the country. The court of Vienna, rather than comply with so just a demand, preferred the loss of these rich provinces.

"The Republic of Holland might have existed to this day, as Prussia would have supported it, had not the cabinet of Vienna given up Valenciennes,

ciennes, Condé, Quesnoi and Landrécies without the smallest resistance. There were stores and provisions in these towns for many months; and the loss of Holland, from whence the French have since drawn immense resources, followed this act of folly, or of treachery. Prussia, justly suspicious of such a conduct, and dreading to be overwhelmed by the French army, prudently made peace.

"During the course of the war between France and Sardinia, the Austrian cabinet, instead of sending twenty-three battalions, and cavalry in proportion, to join the Piedmontese troops, as had been stipulated, never furnished the fourth part of that number; and even the Austrian troops that were sent, on every attack of the enemy, retreated, and left the Sardinian army to be cut in pieces by superior numbers.

"During the negotiations at Campo Formio, the Archduke Charles wished to have it stipulated that Switzerland should remain unmolested. To this the Austrian ministers deigned not to pay the smallest attention.

"When the Grisons implored the protection of Austria, which she was bound to give by ancient treaties; instead of sending a force that could be of any service, only six thousand auxiliaries made their appearance; and these made so feeble a resistance, that defeat seemed to have been their wish; of course the subjugation of the Grisons was soon completed.

"The courts of Austria, Petersburg and London had agreed that the governments of the re-conquered countries in Italy should all be re-established. Of this the most solemn proclamations had assured the inhabitants, who, for that reason, favoured as much as possible the enterprises of the combined armies. Notwithstanding these sacred promises, the cabinet of Vienna, after the taking of Turin, pulled down the arms of the legitimate sovereigns which had been displayed afresh, and placed in their stead the Imperial arms. It was in vain that Russia and Great Britain made the strongest representations on this subject; they were listened to with the most insulting apathy.—The inhabitants of most of the re-conquered cities in Italy, exasperated at being thus bereft of their lawful sovereigns, and indignant at the Austrian provisional administrations, sent deputations to Vienna, imploring the Emperor to abandon the measures which had been adopted. That monarch was inaccessible. Those of the deputies whose high birth in some measure gave them a right to be admitted to his majesty's presence, received, before the audience took place, the most decisive commands to abstain from politics. And those of them who disobeyed this command, we are assured, by the concurrent testimony of Vienna, have not been since heard of!"

We are afraid that all this is in a great measure true; and that the impolitically ambitious views of the House of Austria, which the ministers of that House were at no pains to conceal, greatly contributed to establish the present extensive power and despotism of Buonaparte. This conduct was the more absurd, as the mismanagement of the cabinet in every department of the State, had rendered the power of that monarchy to support its ambitious projects perfectly inefficient. We have already hinted at some of its financial arrangements, or rather disarrangements; we shall now give a few examples of the state of that body of men (we mean

mean the army), by which alone it could attain to the accomplishment of its views. Never, in our opinion, could ambition have set out in its career with means so inadequate to the end in view. The provisions of the army were at all times bad, often scanty, and sometimes totally deficient; and this by the winked at enormities of those employed by the rulers of the State; the pay of the soldier was insufficient for his subsistence, and his clothing left him naked at the end of six months. What was worse than all, his profession was held in contempt, and he himself, of course, was exposed to a thousand indignities. And yet it was with this most unsuitable instrument that Austria weakly imagined she was to realise her ambitious dreams! If we add to this an universal insubordination in the troops, a thing not to be wondered at, the fatal consequences will not be surprising. We shall lay before the public a few facts brought forward by the author, in confirmation of what has been said in general on these points.

"When the Austrian army is in the field, the pay of the common soldier is only eight kreutzers* a day, with two pounds of bread; when in cantonments, he receives only five. Out of this miserable pittance he is obliged to expend a kreutzer and a half in keeping his arms, accoutrements and clothing in order. That clothing is so bad, that in three weeks the lining falls to pieces, and his scanty and thin coat leaves the poor fellow exposed to every inclemency of weather. His shoes hardly last a fortnight. The bread with which the army is supplied the soldier can hardly swallow. Whenever the Austrians sold their magazines, the flour was purchased only to feed the hogs and poultry. I have seen the flour of these magazines which contained a mixture of pounded chalk. To all these enormities of commissaries and army tailors the Austrian government is blind, and deaf to the complaints of the sufferers.

"Joseph II loved his troops, and during his reign and that of Maria-Theresa the military were held in consideration. Under the present emperor matters are quite different. Riches, civil offices, or purchased titles, are now the only objects of esteem and respect; the military are looked upon as despicable mercenaries, and treated with contempt, without daring to complain; even officers, when quartered on rich men, or nobles, are often compelled to sleep on straw.

"Such united causes of discouragement and disgust render the Austrian soldier almost indifferent to the service of his sovereign, and the success of his country. If he fights, it is unwillingly—If he is successful, so much the better, says he, coolly, we shall the sooner have peace; if he is defeated, he comforts himself by saying, This check is, perhaps, a lucky event; had it not taken place, peace would have been at a greater distance.

"In general a victory excites neither zeal nor enthusiasm, and from the highest to the lowest officer no humiliation is felt at a defeat. The Austrian common soldier has, in this respect, higher sentiments of honour than those who command him, and use him ill."

* Not quite three-pence.

With regard to the insubordination of the Austrian army, it was, during the fatal contest with France, every where notorious, especially after the numerous defeats, of which it was in a great measure the cause. The soldiers were seen threatening their officers, insulting their colonels, and these setting their generals at defiance, by paying no attention to their commands.

A single example will be sufficient to shew the lengths to which this insubordination was carried. When the Archduke Charles had been recalled from his command on the Rhine, and sent in a kind of honourable banishment to Prague, he was succeeded by General Kray, a Hungarian gentleman, but not of the higher order of nobility: "The Count de Sztarray, a Magnate of Hungary, with whose conduct the Archduke had been highly displeased, continued in the command of the right wing of the army. Kray, as commander in chief, intended to inspect the position of the wing under Sztarray. The haughty Magnate, being informed of his intention, sent him word that if he dared to shew himself in the division under his orders, he would have him driven away by his footmen!"

After enumerating these, and several other causes of defeat, the author concludes this part of his work in the following words. "Must not such a chain of weakness and folly be the consequence of a perfidious plan to overthrow the Austrian monarchy? Such a plan is attributed to Thugut, who is said to be one of the *Illuminés*, and whose principal secretary was formerly in the same situation under the too famous Count de Mirabeau."

The following extract from this Swiss Traveller, written in 1801, and published in 1802, is curious, as prophetic of the fate of Prussia.

"It is well known that the great fault of the Germans is a propensity to imitate what strikes them in other nations. The manifest contempt in which Frederic (called) the Great, in imitation of the French philosophers, held religion and morals, has been fatal in Germany to every social tie, especially among the Protestants, who having a better education than those of the popish persuasion, are more susceptible of the enthusiasm of novelty. It is to be feared that *the Prussian monarchy will one day feel the effects of this.*

"Never, indeed, were licentious morals carried to such a length either in France, or Italy, as they are at present in Prussia. Deism and every revolutionary principle are openly taught in all their Universities. In Germany, literature is not what it was twenty or thirty years ago. Nothing but absurd romances are read, or dramas equally deficient in taste and morality. I have seen comedies acted in which filial duty and respect were laughed at. The most irreligious writings, the most hostile to good order, are openly published in the Prussian territories. Such is the rage of Jacobinism in that country, that an emigrant, be he French, Swiss, or Belgian, cannot pass through without being exposed to contempt and insult. They even insult those travellers from Lyons, and the other towns
of

of France, who formerly dared to resist the atrocities of terrorism. It is owing solely to the troops that a semblance of order is preserved. But if circumstances should compel the court to take the field with these troops, the foreigners, who form a considerable part of them, will *desert in crowds, and insurrections will every where burst forth, especially in POLAND.* May these forebodings never be realized!"—They have, however, been but too literally fulfilled.

For several other causes to which the disasters of the Austrians may be attributed, we must refer our readers to the work. Nor shall we enter into a detail of other matters contained in it, which do not seem to us to belong to the subject, or which, at least, should not have occupied so considerable a portion of the publication. We mean what is said of the manners, &c. of the Russians, of the characters of Paul I, of the Grand Duke Constantine, and of Catharine. The gross and cynical follies of Suwarrow do not appear necessary to his work; and much of what the writer says of the Swiss troops in the pay of Great Britain might have been omitted; and yet this is spun out to upwards of forty pages.

Upon the whole, some useful information is to be collected from this publication; but, as a composition, it is in two ways very faulty; it contains too much irrelative matter, and wants every thing like regularity and ordonnance in the manner.

La Floresta Espanola ; 6 Piezas escogidas, &c.

Select Passages in Prose, extracted from the most celebrated Spanish Authors, Ancient and Modern. To which are prefixed Observations on the Origin, Progress and Decline of Literature in Spain. Pp. 200, 12mo, 5s. Boosey, 1807.

THE difficulty of procuring Spanish books is not one of the least obstacles which the student of Spanish literature has to encounter; and it is equally strange and true, that almost all the new books in the Spanish language to be had in this country are of French manufacture. The same inconvenience is experienced in Spain with regard to English books, which the French in like manner supply. Thus, the people the least acquainted with the languages of their neighbours of any in Europe, have, notwithstanding their ignorance, become the chief traders in literature, and the French press teems with editions of English, Spanish and Italian books which are circulated over a great part of Europe. Those in the two latter languages are imported into this country, whilst the former are sent into Spain and the South of Italy. Under such circumstances, we must regard any effort to familiarize the Spanish language in this country, by means of our own exertions,

exertions, with a partiality proportioned to the virtue of the motive and the importance of the object. We shall, therefore, proceed to examine this author's preface, as he not only favours the public with a neat little miscellany of Spanish compositions in prose, but actually introduces it with what he calls a history of "the Origin, Progress and Decline of Literature in Spain." Perhaps it were enough to observe of this somewhat arduous undertaking, that it only occupies eleven small pages!

The first sentence opens with a discovery worthy of some of our more Western brethren, and highly deserving attention. "The capture," says our learned author, "of Buenos Ayres, has given to the Spanish character a name and importance which it never before possessed!" What a blessing it is for the good people of this country, that *learned* men so freely communicate such important discoveries; for we must frankly confess, that had not this generous author informed us, we never should have discovered how the surrender of a town could give a "name and importance never before possessed," by the nation to which it formerly belonged. We can easily conceive, however, that on the Exchange, Buenos Ayres, as a British settlement, is of much more importance than the whole kingdom of Spain in its present degraded situation. The author, indeed, adds more serious reasons for the production of this volume.

"The numbers that will doubtless hasten to the Spanish colonies in the hope of future fame, or of future wealth, will soon find it essentially necessary to have a previous knowledge of the language, manners, and customs, by which these colonies are distinguished. For the purpose of assisting the adventurer in this attainment; and at a small expense, this little volume has been compiled. It will be found to be of a mixed nature, occasionally serious and lively, and composed of materials from the works of the best writers, historical, literary and humorous; demonstrating that the Spaniards have been equally successful in every department. To add to the value of this collection, and with a view to instruct as well as to amuse the student, it has been suggested that a few preliminary remarks on the origin and progress of the language and literature of Spain; with the names and characteristic sketches of the most approved authors, would not be an inappropriate introduction to the following pages."

"Though the founders of the Spanish monarchy were, like the first inhabitants of every other nation, more eager to ennoble their country by their valour, than by their writings—more ambitious of the meed of heroism, than of the palm of eloquence—yet, in the establishment and improvement of their language; and in the succession of illustrious writers, they kept pace with the most enlightened nations of Europe!!!"

Here we must pause, and ask our author, what were the nations more enlightened than Spain in the fourteenth, fifteenth and sixteenth centuries? If he has read *las Leyes de la Partida*, and *las Leyes de Toro*, what other country does he think has given such

such a specimen of sound political knowledge at the same period? These laws, it may be remarked, the former given by Ferdinand about the same time that John of England had reluctantly signed Magna Charta, and the latter by John II of Castile just two hundred years after, are the most unequivocal proofs of an enlightened nation; and had they not been abused by subsequent superstitions, they might have become models to the surrounding nations now supposed to be better informed. They, however, had the merit of furnishing the principal data of the famous Tuscan code; and all the rational or practical legislative institutions in France since the revolution, such as the Council of Five Hundred, &c. have been taken, without acknowledgment, from the same stock. But to return to the language:

"The Spanish idiom," observes our author, "seems, in its origin, to be derived from the Phœnician, the Hebrew, the Greek, the Arabic, and the Latin; and to have subsequently adopted from the Italian and the French many of its present phrases and terminations. When the Romans conquered and settled in the rich provinces of Spain, the natives gradually assumed the laws, customs, and language of their conquerors. The latter experienced a material corruption by the arrival of the Goths, who, in the decline of the Roman empire, overran and subdued this as well as many other parts of Europe, and imperiously substituted their own barbarous manners and idiom for the elegance and purer language of Rome. The irruption of the Moors again unsettled its form and pronunciation.—What it gained in richness and variety it lost in regularity and consistency. It is in this mixture of idiom that consists the chief difficulty of the Spanish language. The classical reader will often, no doubt, find the root of a word in one or other of the learned languages; but there are also many for which he will be at a loss to account, which owe their origin to the obscure ages which succeeded that of Augustus, or to the dialects introduced by the Moors."

There is a general misconception both of facts and of the true genius of the Spanish language in these observations. To the Phœnician and Hebrew the "Spanish idiom" owes nothing; for it cannot be said that the *idiom* of a language is changed merely because it acknowledges as legitimate expressions, five or six Phœnician and about fifteen or sixteen Hebrew words. It would be just as proper to say, and not more erroneous, that the English idiom owes something to the Sanscrit or Hindostanee language, because our commercial intercourse has obliged us to naturalize some of their terms. To the Greek and Arabic, indeed, the Spanish idiom certainly does owe some of its phrases and inflexions, as many Greeks and Arabs were obliged to speak and write in the vernacular dialect of the Spaniards, and many of the latter studied, spoke, and wrote the Greek and Arabic with great fluency and even elegance. In this manner different idioms were reciprocally adopted in the different languages. But the great basis

of the Castilian tongue is the Latin; and had our collector of the flowers of Spanish literature made himself more intimately acquainted with a language which is admired by all who know it, he would have perceived that by far the greater part of Spanish nouns are only Latin ablative cases of the same words. To the Italian and French the Castilian idiom owes very little if any thing. Some of the provincial dialects, indeed, have been corrupted by the admixture of phrases from these languages; but the fountains of pure Castilian in Toledo or Valladolid remained unadulterated by any thing foreign, till the language was so perfect that it could only borrow terms but not idioms or phrases. As to the French, the Spaniards have, in this respect, always been wiser than we, and have uniformly avoided it as they would the touch of a deadly poison that transfuses a pestilence to the most remote regions of the earth. Nor did the language of the Troubadours, however popular it might be at one period, much affect that of Castile. In Portugal, Catalonia, and Valencia, indeed, some vestiges of it in the particular dialect of those parts are still cognizable. The former, however, is a distinct language, as is also that of the two latter.

The author is also not quite correct in saying that the Goths imperiously substituted their own language for the Latin: the fact is, they laboured excessively to learn the Latin in Spain, and endeavoured to publish all their laws and decrees in that language: but possessed of little natural capacity, and soon becoming effeminate and debauched in a warm climate to which they had not before been exposed, they never could make themselves masters of the Roman terminations, and at length were obliged to substitute any connecting aspirates or words in order to render themselves intelligible to the peasantry of Spain, who then understood and had spoken good Latin from the days of Augustus. From this period, and from this cause, the ignorance of the Goths (or rather of the Vandals), may be traced the modern use of articles prefixed to nouns. Still, however, the Latin language was in part restored; and it is recorded of a Spanish Ambassador (a native of Valencia) at the Court of Rome, that having debated with another Ambassador from one of the Italian States, whose language was most analogous to the Latin, that of Spain, or that of Italy, they agreed to refer it to the Pope Alexander. The parties met before his Holiness, when the Spanish Ambassador addressed a long oration to the Pope, in praise of his country and its language; every word of which was intelligible both to a Roman and to a Spaniard, and thereby settled the dispute.

"The distinguishing features of the Spanish language," says our florist, "are dignity, copiousness, and harmony. In sweetness it is not inferior to the Italian, whilst it possesses greater majesty and less effeminacy. It has a gravity and solemnity peculiar to itself, which render it admirably qualified

qualified for works of religion, morality, history, and the higher species of poetry. There is this advantage which the Spanish has over most other languages in Europe, that it has for the last three centuries experienced little or no difference in expression and construction; and the best authors, who flourished under Charles V and Phillip II, are, with some orthographical exceptions, as intelligible as those of the present age."

After mentioning a number of Spanish classical authors already known, this writer proceeds to recommend school books; but his list should have been confined to the Grammar of Fernandez, whose merit consists in adhering faithfully to that of the Academy, and only adding a few illustrations necessary to the English reader, and to the Dictionary of Neuman: all the other books, whether French or English, designed to teach the Spanish language, are a disgrace to literature. In addition, however, to the Spanish books mentioned by our author, we shall add the works of *Mayans y Siscar* and *Aldrete* on the Spanish language, and also a work on the Spanish particles, published under the direction of the Academy, the first edition in 1800, and the second improved in 1802. The Essay on Spanish Synonymy by *Sempre*, published in 1803, is also necessary to those who wish to acquire a profound knowledge of the Castilian language.

With respect to the merit of the present collection, which includes witticisms, humorous tales, novels, and historical sketches, it is very well adapted to exercise the judgment of the student in placing before him some of the most difficult phrases and idioms in the language, but we cannot say that it contains many of the most elegant and fascinating pieces which abound in the works of Spanish authors. Such as it is, however, the author is entitled to our thanks for thus accommodating the public, and attempting to facilitate the acquisition of a language, which, for the most serious of all moral reasons, we wish to see gaining the fashionable ascendancy over that of France, Italy, or Germany.

Recherches curieuses sur l'Histoire Ancienne de l'Asie.

Curious Researches in the Ancient History of Asia, extracted from the Oriental Manuscripts in the different Libraries in Paris.

By J. M. Chahan de Cirbied, a Native of Armenia, and Professor of the Oriental Living Languages; and F. Martin, a French Armenianist. Pp. 332, 8vo. Paris, 1806. Imported by Deconchy.

WITHOUT the epithet *curious* we should have received with pleasure any history or fragments of the history of Asia, extracted from original manuscripts. With the Greek and Roman authors we have long been familiar; but the paucity of our knowledge

of the works of the provincial writers in the vernacular dialects of Asia naturally excites a curiosity which the present volume is not well calculated to allay, or, if it should, it must be from the impression of their general insignificance. Armenia is the country which chiefly occupies the attention of our authors; but instead of any curious researches into its ancient geography compared with its modern divisions and name, we are only presented with vulgar and disgusting abuse of the Greek historians, who are invariably accused of exaggeration and wilful misrepresentation of all the nations of the east. Such accusations are very natural from modern Frenchmen, who every day witness similar conduct towards other countries; but the immeasurable superiority of the Greeks over all the nations of antiquity, rendered it not only unnecessary, but to them scarcely practicable, to give a history of other nations merely to depreciate them. But for the genius and learning of the Greek writers such people must have irrecoverably sunk in the oblivion of their own nothingness: and to have their names rendered immortal by the great father of history is alone an honour equal to any merit they might possess, if we may judge from the few disjointed panegyrics here presented to the public, and said to be drawn from original Armenian historians of their native country. It is no disparagement, as the authors presume, to the Grecians that their religion and mythology were absurd and irrational; all other nations have evinced similar absurdities, not even excepting the Jews, who have always been corrupting their religion from the earliest records of time to the present day. They ought to have known that nations, as such, never exercised their reason in matters of religion before the light of Christianity illumined the human mind, and that even then, that light was partially obscured till Protestantism arose and purged the church of heathenish superstitions, and united reason and piety in a manner truly worthy of the great end of their existence. Many ancient philosophers, indeed, have had just notions of true religion, but they were never transferable to the people, till the simple truths of Christianity commanded, by their native superiority, a place in their minds. In a preface, more replete with zeal than wisdom, is the following account of Armenia:

"From the time of Paganism, and in the most remote ages, Armenia placed its historical records in the rank of things sacred, and thus the idols and public annals were preserved in the temples under the charge of the priests. Since its conversion to Christianity, it has produced celebrated writers in every department of literature; and its historians have published the numerous documents consigned to the temples. By one of those extraordinary events which prove the profundity of the designs of God, this province, although subjected to the Mahometans, has had the good fortune to preserve its customs, establishments, and religion. Georgia and Circassia, countries in which the women are generally of great beauty,
make

make a part of Armenia. It is principally in these countries that the Turks recruit their seraglios, as it is one of the articles of the Alcoran not to take concubines but among the natural enemies of the Turks, and among Christian nations. Such, among others, has been one of the hidden causes which have procured for Armenia the advantage of retaining the Christian faith till the present day, of preserving an enlightened clergy, and of constantly cultivating the arts and sciences under the domination of a government which has long been the enemy of the arts, sciences, and of Christianity. What literary riches ought not to be contained in this fortunate country, which was an asylum to the weeping and fugitive sciences in a time of universal persecution? How much it is to be wished that we had a great number of able workmen to explore this new mine! M. Cirbied, a native of Armenia, has finished a grammar, and is already considerably advanced with a dictionary Armenian and French, in order to facilitate our knowledge of the literature of that country."

The history of Armenia, the authors observe, is almost unknown in the West, and they propose to give a general history of it, of which the present essays are only a prelude, from the creation to the present time. We fear, however, that this volume will not prepossess the public in favour of their sanguine hopes. They commence with "Observations on the Assyrian Chronology," and after rejecting the age of 403,000 years given to Assyria by Diodorus Siculus, and 470,000 by Cicero, and others, they agree with the Armenian historians:

"1st. That the origin of the Assyrians amounts to twenty-seven centuries before the expedition of Alexander, or about 3000 years before the Christian era: 2d. that Belus was not the first Assyrian, but only the chief of a new dynasty which was more distinguished than the others. It is in this sense that he should be considered as the founder of the Assyrian monarchy, because his predecessors possessed but the patriarchal authority, and their jurisdiction was not so extensive. In this manner only can we reconcile facts with dates.

"Belus was a great king, endowed with rare qualities very superior to his age. He maintained a cruel war against Haik, the founder of the Armenian monarchy, and died like an hero in the field of battle, in the year 2350 before the vulgar era. The fame of his exploits, the fables respecting him propagated by the Magi, and the credulous spirit of posterity, caused him to be ranked among the gods. The Phœnicians adored him under the name of Bael; the Arabians and people on the borders of the Red Sea, under that of Ninrod; the Persians under the name of Ormezte or Oromezte; the Armenians, Aramezte; the Greeks, Theos; the Egyptians, Saturn. The Babylonians preserved for him his proper name of Bel. Such is the unanimous testimony of the historians of Armenia, and particularly of a learned patriarch of that country, Ciamcian, who lived at the commencement of the eighth century."

To reconcile the above chronology with that of the Hebrews, the authors are obliged to suppose that an error of 136 years has crept into the Bible account of the era of the deluge, otherwise,

they say, it would be impossible to make the history of Assyria agree with that event. Such gratuitous suppositions, to gratify the national vanity of the Armenians, are surely much more reprehensible than the erroneous statements ascribed to Herodotus. To this father of history, indeed, they are particularly inimical, although Armenia, even at the present day, cannot boast of ever having possessed such a writer. His assertion respecting the annual debauch of the Armenian women with strangers in their temples, is directly contradicted as a gross falsehood and malicious calumny. We wish, for the honour of human nature, that it were so, although the authors mention no facts to disprove it, and there is nothing in the character of the women of that country, even when Christians, and certainly still less so when Pagans, to induce us to believe the indignant reproach of the historian unfounded. The observation that the Asiatics have always been very jealous of their women, is of no consideration: their statement, however, rectifying an error of the historian respecting the inability of parents to marry their daughters, is more worthy of attention. It appears that "poor parents in Armenia, who had not the means of furnishing their daughters with bride-clothes and a portion, applied to a magistrate appointed for that purpose; when they communicated to him their state of indigence, he furnished them, at the expence of the government, with the means of having their daughters married. This custom was rigorously observed in consequence of a law which prescribed the state of celibacy." The utility and wisdom of such an institution must be self-evident, and we should have no objection to see something similar adopted in this country.

Our authors, in order to obviate the fatigue of historical and chronological discussions, present us with a chapter which they call a "History of the First War." The descendants of Xisutros or Noah, established in Chaldea, were living peaceably and happily under their patriarchal government, till Belus and Haik, the son of Gatlus, arose; the former, determining to make himself king of Babylon, intimidated the inhabitants with the apprehension of another deluge, unless they erected a tower to preserve themselves from a similar catastrophe; and the latter, jealous of the increasing power of his rival, made an unsuccessful effort to oppose it. Haik afterwards retired to his paternal provinces, where he devoted himself entirely to the disciplining his subjects, and preparing them for war, against the King of Babylon, and which war, according to our authors, commenced about the year 2350 before the Christian era, or 4157 years before the present period. It terminated in a single battle, in which Belus fell. But as this account is not very consonant with that given in the Bible, and as it rests on no contemporary or even very ancient authority, but merely that of Moses of Korena, an Armenian monk of the fifth century, its claims to implicit belief are certainly very slender.

We

We have here also some supposititious accounts of the siege of Troy, in which the authors state, as an unquestionable fact, that Zarmair, King of Armenia, went to assist Priam, King of Troy, and that he and Hector, the son of Priam, both fell by the hand of Achilles in the same day, about the year 1185 before our era. In the same manner, it is stated, as in some other ancient authors, that the Assyrian empire, under Sardanapalus or Touos Concoleros, after having existed 1607 years, fell by the capture of Nineveh in the year 747 before Christ. This empire, extending from the Caspian sea to the Persian gulph, and from Mesopotamia to the eastern borders of Persia near the Indias, contained Media, Persia, Bactriana, and Babylonia; besides the tributary states of Armenia, Cappadocia, Phrygia, Egypt, Ethiopia, and some other provinces. We have also some original particulars of the life of Cyrus, who is here made to owe his chief victories to his alliance with Tygrana, King of Armenia. Those who interest themselves in the history of this warrior may, perhaps, be amused by the few fragments here given relative to his education, marriage with the sister of Tygrana, the Armenian King, 560 years before Christ, and his subsequent establishment of the empire of Assyria, Babylonia, Media, and Persia. Most of these details, however, like those of Xenophon, are *non ad historiam fidem scriptus*, and their chief, if not their only, merit, is that of developing the evil consequences of ambition and the dreadful fate of its votaries.

The subsequent chapters in this volume relate more immediately to the particular history of Armenia, which commences by an inquiry into the origin of the Armenians, who, like their language, are traced to antediluvian ages. Here all the ancient writers, whether Greeks, Romans, or Jews, are accused of ignorance and misrepresentation. Armenia is made a regular monarchy 2352 years before the Christian era; but it is tacitly acknowledged that no authentic particulars of its history exist of an earlier date than two or three centuries before that epoch. The following plausible reasons for the presumed antiquity and civilization of Armenia have been too often urged to be received as historical evidence.

“ The famous expeditions of Haik and of Belus, of Aram, Ninus, and Semiramis; the durable monuments which the sovereigns of Assyria and Armenia erected; the invention of writing, of engraving, and the working of mines, which are even of a date anterior to the reign of these princes; the progress of luxury, refinement of taste, the multiplicity of instruments of war and the commodities of life; the establishment of religious worship, of temples, and of pontiffs, of public spectacles, and astronomical observatories; a variety of mechanical arts, civil and military police, and domestic order in families, are evident proofs that in these remote ages the Asiatics were then arrived at the highest degree of civilization, when the name even of the Greeks was still unknown.”

Reasoning from vague analogy in this manner, it would be easy to prove that the Chinese, Persians, or Turks, are more civilized than the people of Europe. To suppose that nations are civilized just in proportion to the number and variety of the luxuries which they possess, is a gross error, and directly contrary to truth. All luxuries feed the appetites and passions: the first step towards true civilization is the subjugation of these passions to the dominion of reason. Savages, with their skins and painted ornaments, are generally more luxurious than even the most pompous of eastern princes, and these eastern princes again still more so than the western; civilization, therefore, is not advanced in proportion to the luxuriousness of a nation, but in its freedom from that luxuriousness; and the most civilized people are those who are least addicted to luxuries and the pleasures of sense. In this manner, which is consistent with all our experience of human nature, we would find that the degree of civilization to which the ancients attained was greatly inferior to that of the moderns. The authors might have perceived this truth strikingly exemplified in the luxury and savage barbarism of their tyrant chief, whose conduct every day evinces more and more his progress from the sphere of men to that of the most ferocious brutes. In the institutes, also, of Valarsace, King of Armenia, who lived about a century before Christ, we also perceive some analogy with those of Buonaparte, whose decrees, like those of the Armenian, are occupied with appointments of persons to put on his crown, his robes, his guards of honour, his officers of the kitchen and bed-chamber, &c. &c.; but nothing like the institutions of Solon and Lycurgus, calculated to inspire respect and admiration in posterity.

From the preceding pompous historical details of Armenia, we confess that we were no little disappointed to find so few Armenian MSS. or published works, and that even these few do not contain one that may be safely ranked among works of unequivocal authority. The following are the names of all the Armenian historians whose writings are here reviewed by our authors.

"MAR-IBAS-CADINA, a native of Syria, and a learned man, well versed in the Greek, Chaldaic, Armenian and other languages, lived about 150 years before Christ. He was the first author who reduced the scattered annals of Armenia into a history. Valarsace, King of Armenia, to whom he was secretary, sent him to his brother Arsace, King of Syria and Babylon, in order that he might have permission to examine his royal archives for every thing relative to the history and antiquities of the noble families of Armenia. This is the only ancient historian of Armenia, and who has been so severely criticised by M. Freret.

"AGATHANGELUS wrote the history of his own time at the beginning of the fourth century: it is chiefly devoted to religious matters among the Pagans. His work was printed in a quarto volume of four hundred and twenty-eight pages at Constantinople, in 1709.

"GLAG-ZENOB,

"GLAG-ZENOB, an abbot of a monastery, wrote the history of his own time in the fourth century; and PUZANT-POSDUS has given a general history of Armenia from its origin till 390, in six books, the two first of which are lost. Both these authors works have been printed. GOR-YUN in the fifth century wrote a civil and religious history of Armenia in his time.

"MOSES of Korena, called the Grammarian, lived in the fifth century, and wrote the history of Armenia from its origin till the year 440. Several translations of this work have been made; among others a Latin version by the Whistons, published in London. (This is the favourite historian of Messrs. Cirbied and Martin, and they endeavour to vindicate the authenticity of his fables.) ELIZEE, a *Vartabed* or doctor of the fifth century; LAZARUS BARPEZI of the same period; Dr. THOMAS ARZERUNI, Bishop JOHN MAMIGONIAN, and ABELKARIB, are authors of particular histories of their country in the sixth century. ANANIAS CHIRAGAZI, a celebrated astronomer and biographer of the seventh century, has written memoirs of distinguished Armenians, and different works on the calendar. The historical works of the Patriarch JOHN CATHOLICOS, called *imajdafor* or the philosopher; MESROB EREZ, and STEPHEN ASOLIG, of the tenth century, are still extant. The pathetic work of ARISDACES LASDIVERDZI, of the eleventh century, and the frivolous ones of MATTHEW EREZ of Edessa, and GREGORY EREZ, of the twelfth, also remain. The chronicler SAMUEL ANEZI and the poet GLAEZI NERESES, called the Graceful, have both left interesting works on the history of their country till the thirteenth century. GHIRAGOS CANZAGHESI, VARTAN VANAGHAN, the geographer, URBEL, MALAKIA APEGHA, and VAHRAM, are all writers of the thirteenth century. Prince HAYTON, and SEMPAD, of the fourteenth; THOMAS MEZOPAZI of the fifteenth; OHAN-ARAKEL of the sixteenth, and Dr. ARAKEL and EREMITA-CELEBY of the seventeenth century, have also produced useful works on history and geography. The latter has written the life of Alexander, the history of the Ottomans in verse and prose, an abridgment of the history of Armenia, and different geographical treatises on the Indias, Persia, Armenia, and Natolia. His works are in the library of Messrs. Abro, Armenians at Smyrna. MICHAEL CIAMCIAN, an Armenian friar of the Convent of Venice, has published a history of his country from its origin down to the present age, in 3 vols. 4to, printed at Venice in 1784. This author's chief design was to make converts of his countrymen to the church of Rome, in which he has been followed by the Marquis JOHN de SERPOS, a native of Constantinople, who has given an abridgment of the history of Armenia in Italian, in 3 vols. 8vo. His work is also designed to make Catholics of the Armenians, but it likewise contains some interesting particulars of the geography, natural history, and commerce of Armenia."

The above authors are all the Armenian historians from Noah to the present, and yet we should in vain look for a Herodotus, Polybius, Livy, or Tacitus among them. Only a few of them can claim the merit of writing smooth sentences, but none of them ascends, even in the partial estimation of their advocates, to the true dignity of historians. The principal work of peculiar interest
in

in the Armenian tongue, seems to be the translation of the Old and New Testament, made, according to the authors, by Mesrob, about the year 433, the former from the best edition of the Vulgate then to be found, and the latter from the best Greek copies at Constantinople. At this period the Armenian alphabet is said to have had twenty-seven letters without one vowel. Mesrob invented seven vowels, and made the alphabet consist of thirty-six letters, with which he performed the existing translation, that has, we are told, remained unaltered either in word or letter till the present day.

It is to be regretted that, in these polemical fragments of history, the authors should have so strongly manifested a disposition to elevate the particular merits of Armenia so far above their just scale, as it has considerably diminished the credit and real value of the original facts which they have now or may in future bring to light. Nevertheless, we apprehend all readers of history will think their time not altogether lost in reading the present volume, which tends to elucidate some hitherto imperfectly known facts; and to place others in a new point of view, not discreditable to the authenticity of the Hebrew books of the Old Testament, nor to the general character of human nature. At the conclusion of this volume, the authors add a remark that should not pass unnoticed. After stating that the last Armenian king was of the French family of Lusignan, they observe that the Armenian spirit of commerce, and their ability in that department in the East Indies, "are powerful motives for cultivating the acquaintance and establishing a connection with the people of that interesting nation." The object then of this work of our authors, taken in conjunction with the late projects of organizing the Jews, cannot be mistaken. To establish spies and money dealers as commercial agents throughout the world, is one of Buonaparte's favourite projects; should he succeed, it will only be another proof of the turpitude of men and the imbecility of governments, and not of the profound talents or fortune of the projector.

Du Fluide-Universel, de son Activité et de l'Utilité de ses Modifications, &c.

On the Universal Fluid, its Activity, and the Utility of its Modifications by Animal Substances in the Treatment of Diseases. Addressed to the Students who attend the Courses of all the Branches of Physics. Pp. 235, 8vo. Paris, 1806. Imported by Deconchy. 4s 6d.

ANOTHER laboured attempt to revive the doctrine of animal magnetism. The author avows himself indebted to *Mesmer* for all his leading principles, and exclaims against the prejudices and prepossessions

prepossessions which rejected the important discovery of his master. The recent discoveries in galvanism and electricity, as might have been expected, have contributed to revive this scandalous delusion. In 1802 Buonaparte offered a medal worth 3000 francs (125*l*) every year for the best experiments in electricity and galvanism; and to the person who should make such discoveries as Franklin and Volta, the sum of 60,000 francs (about 2500*l*). These rewards, although very considerable in any country, have not been productive of the least advantage to science. Either Buonaparte found another use for the money, or the Galvanic Society did not possess talents sufficient to make such experiments (we believe both cases to be the truth), and this pompous decree in favour of the sciences has yet remained, and is likely to remain, a dead letter, like the many thousand decrees of the famous Convention. The vain hope of gaining one of these intangible medals has stimulated the anonymous author of this volume to publish his experiments on what he calls the universal fluid; and however ridiculous and absurd it may be, we cannot say that the writer manifests a greater want of talents than the members of the Galvanic Society have done in their *petite brochure* of ninety or one hundred pages, the result of several years researches, in which not *one original* fact is to be found, and which consists of facts and observations entirely translated from the discoveries of Volta, Mr. Davy, and other English and German philosophers! We shall translate a few of the author's axioms or preliminary notions of what he is pleased to denominate the *universal fluid*.

“ 1. *One fluid* penetrates the substances of the three kingdoms, the animal, vegetable, and mineral (say Messrs. Brisson, Goussier, and Marivetz). 2. This fluid may be designated under the name of the *universal fluid*. 3. Every substance in the three kingdoms is organized to receive and modify it in the manner most convenient to its life and to its existence. 4. One of the properties of this fluid is to communicate itself from one substance to another of the same kingdom. 5. It also passes from one substance to another of a different kingdom. 6. Animal substances modify this fluid with more energy and advantage to the animal, than the vegetable and mineral substances of the two other kingdoms. 7. The organs modify it in proportion to their tone and their elasticity. 8. Life, health, and the destruction of the animal, depend on the presence, the energy, and the privation of this fluid. 9. When these two essential qualities, the tone and elasticity, exist, the mechanism of the organs attract and modify the fluid. 10. In this state the animal enjoys health (the human animal is here understood). 11. Health loses its energy, and disease commences when an obstruction in the vascular system constrains and pains the organs, whose mechanism then imperfectly attract the fluid. 12. Disease takes place and pains are felt when the little fluid attracted is not sufficient to remove the obstruction. 13. In this state of the disease, an addition of the fluid communicated augments the powers of the organical mechanism: the struggle of nature against the disease is established

established with greater energy. 14. An animal substance wholesome and analogous communicates the fluid to an animal substance diseased. 15. The nerves make a part of the instruments of the mechanism of aspiration of this fluid. 16. They are stimulated and irritated when the communication of the fluid is made through mediums not analogous. 17. The mediums not analogous to the animal are the substances of the mineral kingdom. 18. The electrical machine communicates the fluid through mediums not analogous. 19. It is the same with the galvanic apparatus. —23. The material analogy between animal and animal exists independent of all affinity and relation foreign to the matter and to the constitution of the organs. 24. Persons, absolutely strangers to each other, will feel, at first view, the existence of this analogy. 25. A motion of good-will and a sentiment of preference determine in favour of an individual, of whose moral qualities we know nothing. 26. In the planetary system in which this globe that we inhabit revolves, the sun is the mover and regulator of the universal fluid. 27. The reservoir of this fluid is the earth."

The author continues his axioms till the 80th number; but they contain only details of the known operations of the electric fluid expressed in a dogmatic jargon, without either novelty or originality. Many of the above propositions are very common truisms, others are doubtful, and some extremely risible, considered as the enunciation of physical phenomena. Of the latter kind are the 24th and 25th, which are not physical principles or depending on any fluid, but metaphysical ones, (as Lavater has observed long before this writer) the result of the association of ideas.

The application of the electric and galvanic apparatus is next considered by our author; and he gives an explanation of *Mesmer's* magnetical troughs, which were to cure all diseases. *Somnambulism*, however, is the favourite topic of this writer, and his experiments to animalize this universal fluid. He cannot determine, indeed, whether *somnambulism* was the discovery of Mesmer or his pupils, but he treats it as one of the most important inventions in the annals of man, and contends that the doctors should be the administrators of the transmission of the universal fluid by this means. It appears that his system is somewhat similar to that practised by the late De Mayneduc in this country, and that, to communicate this universal fluid, he has recourse to grossly indelicate manuduction. The following sentences are curious from such a professor.

"The enemies of *somnambulism* exclaim, that all these pretended wonders are but impostures, the sport of delirious imaginations, the intrigues of silly mean women, the frauds of intriguers. But they examine nothing. The partisans of the new doctrine preserve silence, and take the part of not provoking injuries, which are not reasons, and of appealing to time, which in wearing out many things establishes others.

"The practice, however, of this new system, was condemned before the tribunal of decency and good manners. Although, in this respect, there was

was no solemn judgment, yet modesty, awake to its rights, manifested its repugnance. Few persons after this would expose themselves to be considered as abusing decorum, or to be actors in scenes where they were exposed to any danger. The *reproach* contained in this accusation is not without foundation; but it is applicable to the sittings at the *magnetic troughs* (*baquets*). Women in *crises*, abandoned to convulsive fits, agitating and rolling themselves on mattresses, did not present a very pure picture of public decorum."

It is in vain the author endeavours to defend this infamous imposture, which is only designed to pamper and stimulate the most brutal appetites. The delusions of Parkinism and other popular remedies for diseases are innocent so far as relates to decorum and modesty; the reverse is the case in the use of this universal fluid and somnambulism. The whole, indeed, of the author's experiments in causing sleep and operating on his *somnambuli*, have been performed on *femelettes*, vulgar, silly women labouring under amenorrhœa, when their general debility was such as to make them both extremely susceptible of the power of electricity, and ready to believe in the efficacy of any ridiculous manœuvres which an artful man might propose.

It appears, indeed, not only from the observations on this remedy in the volume before us, but also by the French journals, that the doctors of Lyons (the *Lampfacus* of France), as well as those of Geneva, had made some experiments on a somnambulo in the course of 1806, and that they believed in its efficacy, whilst the medical professors of Paris treated it with a just contempt. Still, however, it, has a few votaries, although, according to our author, it is only useful in cases of obstruction, and even then the difficulties attending its administration (the physician being obliged to sit at his patient's side the greater part of the day) seem insuperable. Other causes, indeed, might be assigned for the zeal of its partizans, but as they are only fit for the minds of Frenchmen, it is proper they should remain there.

Upon the whole, we do not apprehend that somnambulism, or the effects of the universal fluid, are likely to excite much attention in this country, as the volume before us displays the true spirit of charlatanism, by claiming belief without assigning either causes, or means of producing, the effects here related. The author, indeed, has taken sufficient care that none of his readers shall be able to use this supposed remedy from the instructions contained in this work.

Tableau Méthodique des Espèces Minérales.

A Systematical View of the Mineral Species, presenting a complete Series of their Characters, and the Nomenclature of their Varieties: extracted from Mr. Haüy's Treatise on Mineralogy, and

and augmented by the New Discoveries: to which are added, an Indication of the Habits or Bearings (Gisemens) of every Species, and an abridged Description of the Collection of Minerals in the Museum of Natural History. By J. A. H. Lucas, Jun., Assistant Keeper of the Galleries of the Museum of Natural History. *Part the First. Printed with the Approbation of the Administrative Assembly of the Professors at the Museum.* Pp. 410, 8vo. Paris, 1806. Imported by Deconchy. 12s.

ONE of the greatest obstacles to the general reception of any scientific system, is that of its being conveyed in many new and unusual terms. It is but a very small number even of professed philosophers, that can deliberately sit down and commence the study of any science, the principles of which are unfolded by words with which the mind cannot immediately associate the corresponding ideas, and which must be learned in the same manner as the grammar of a foreign or dead language. It is to this cause must be principally ascribed the slow progress of M. Haüy's system of crystallography, and his arrangement of the mineral species, of which it forms the basis. The subject, it must be confessed, is in itself naturally difficult and abstruse; and the present neglected state of mathematical knowledge contributed no little to render M. Haüy's geometry highly alarming to the modern foppery of science. Nevertheless, the numbers who have submitted to the labour of perfectly comprehending the author's principles are far from being inconsiderable; and perhaps we may venture to add, that not one of those have reason to complain of misapplying their time, or to regret that they have not acquired more accurate physical knowledge than they otherwise could have attained. This fact will doubtless tend to render it more permanent; and experience shews us, as in the case of the sexual system of Linnæus, that if a barbarous and uncouth language at first retards the progress of a system, it ultimately contributes in a still higher degree to its permanency, and men adhere to it not so much from a conviction of its superiority as from the actual familiarity of its language and the difficulty of superseding it by a more correct and philosophical arrangement and nomenclature. The number of vain and absurd systems which have lately appeared in France has also subjected the present to a temporary suspicion and contempt, as if of a similar character.

The work of M. Haüy, however, has another obstacle to encounter, that of the rival system of Werner. The latter, it must be confessed, is a formidable antagonist; not from its great depth of scientific research, for to this it does not pretend, but from its greater facility of acquisition, from its vulgar nomenclature, and, above all, from its being peculiarly adapted to fashionable philosophers who may thence become easily acquainted with the appellations

pellations of a few stones, with names which superficial minds may think constitute a knowledge of science, but which, in fact, often convey no determinate ideas, and when they do, they misrepresent even the external characters of the objects designated. As an instance of the latter circumstance, we might mention the sulphat of strontian, which Mr. Werner has thought proper to denominate *celestine*, i. e. sky-blue, although, even according to his own description, but very few varieties of it possess this colour, and by far the greater part is either a bright or a yellowish white, and not *blue*, as its name imports. This, however, is but one of the numerous instances that might be given of the absolutely false ideas inculcated by the Wernerian nomenclature founded on *external characters*; and on which a system has been erected not only of mineralogy, but also of geology, which, like most other absurd or unfounded systems, can boast of its numerous and enthusiastic followers, especially among those superficial amateurs of the natural sciences who have no previous knowledge of chemistry. The Wernerians, indeed, openly avow their ignorance and their contempt of all chemical science, and build their puerile system entirely on the *external* appearances of the substances under consideration, although it were just as wise for a physician to exult in his total ignorance of the *materia medica*, or a surgeon of anatomy, as a mineralogist of chemical analysis.

But, leaving the system of Werner, and the abortive attempt made by a northern professor to familiarise it to English mineralogists, we willingly turn to the present work, which was certainly very much wanted, as well in France as in other countries, to disseminate in a more portable form the general principles and method proposed by M. Haüy. This first part of M. Lucas's work consists of an abridged exposition of Haüy's treatise; a view of the physical, geometrical, and chemical characters employed to discover mineral substances; the names of the principles composing minerals, and the distribution of the latter into classes, orders, genera, and species. These are followed by an indication of the characters proper to each species, and a nomenclature of the varieties of forms, whether determinable, indeterminable, or imitative, which the same species is capable of offering.

"All this first part," says M. Lucas, "is faithfully extracted from the Treatise on Mineralogy by M. Haüy, of which it presents a succinct analysis, and forms what I call a systematic view of the mineral species (*Tableau Méthodique des Espèces Minérales*). In an appendix, under the title of *Additions and Corrections*, I have united all the knowledge acquired and observations made by the professor (Haüy) and other mineralogists, since the first publication of the original work to the present day. These additions are followed by a view of the crystalline forms of mineral substances, and a description of the order in which the minerals are arranged in the galleries of the Museum of Natural History. The second part is

to

to be particularly devoted to the general history of the mineral species, and a description of the most remarkable specimens in the collection of the Museum. It will also present the synonyms of the old French and German names under which the same mineral substances have been known. What I have particularly aimed at, is to give precise information on the situation or manner of existence of minerals in the bosom of the earth, and to notice the matter which accompanies them, or serves to support them."

As M. Haüy's method is still very imperfectly known in this country, we shall present our readers with the present brief exposition of it. The author being a pupil of M. Haüy, who has also revised this volume, we may conclude that this view is correct and agreeable to the original designs of the professor.

"The direct object of the work of M. Haüy on crystallography is to unite together, in the results of a mathematical theory, whose data are founded on observation, the varieties of regular forms which belong to each mineral species. To this end the author determines, from the result of mechanical division, the primitive form or nucleus of minerals; and in submitting to calculation the laws, according to which the lamina applied to the nucleus decrease, by the subtraction of one or more ranges of molecules, he obtains all the secondary forms which belong to any mineral under consideration.

"This theory, at the same time that it serves to explain the variation of forms of which the same substance is susceptible, furnishes the most advantageous means of methodically describing these forms, from their relation to one another and to the primitive form, and of characterizing them by the value of their angles, without which their description is necessarily vague and insufficient. But it is not confined to offering the best descriptive method, relative to the most important branch of mineralogy; it extends its influence to the whole science in giving a solid basis to the classification of the mineral species. M. Haüy defines the word *species*, in mineralogy, to signify 'a collection of bodies whose integral molecules are similar, and of the same composition.' According to his ideas, minerals have at once a geometrical and a chemical limit or *terminus*: the one consists in the invariable form of the integral molecule, and the other in the composition of the same molecule. M. Haüy prefers employing the first of these limits for the determination of the species, as minerals in general being more or less mixed with heterogeneous matter, it often happens that the results of an analysis represent but imperfectly the chemical limit or *terminus*, whilst the mechanical division gives constantly the same form of molecule, without the least variation. But, a still stronger reason in favour of the preference given to the geometrical *terminus*, is that the mineralogist is an observer of nature, and that, in the order of the means which he employs to attain his object, the first rank ought to be given to those which are the most accessible, the most palpable, and the most immediate. It is therefore easy to conceive how much chemistry in this respect yields to geometry, which confines itself to the division of a crystal, to determine the form of a molecule which, indeed, escapes the eyes by its smallness, but which may

be designed, imitated in wood, or otherwise represented even in the fragments taken from a crystal, by the aid of an operation purely mechanical. In support of this method, it is observed that the specific characters in zoology are taken from the mechanism of the animal economy, and the facts which result from comparative anatomy.

"When the integral molecule belongs exclusively to a determined combination of the composing principles, it is sufficient alone to distinguish, without any equivocation, the relative species of this combination. But there exist forms of molecules which are common to several different species, and until the present period these forms were among those which have a particular character of symmetry and regularity, and which were like models with respect to other forms. In this case, it is only necessary to associate another character to that which is taken from the structure, in order to determine the species under consideration. Thus, the property of dissolving in water, added to the cubical form, determines the muriat of soda; join to the same form the property of becoming electric by heat, and you shall have a borat of magnesia. The type of the species once determined, it is easy to mark the connection of the varieties of crystallization which belong to the same substance, in assuring ones self, by means of the theory of decrements, that all their forms, even those which do not retain any trace of the primitive form, are closely allied with it*. With respect to varieties in fibrous, granulated or compact masses, in which the type still exists, indeed, but without being recognizable, their determination depends on the physical or chemical properties of the bodies, such as the hardness estimated from certain terms of comparison, the specific gravity ascertained by means of an instrument which gives it with sufficient precision, the electricity, action of the acids, of fire, &c. &c. These qualities which, in crystals whose substance is commonly more homogeneous, rise, as it were, to their true station, and continue to exist even when the imprint of the molecule is effaced; and as the alterations which they experience are sufficiently slight, they may still serve to characterize the bodies possessing them. As to the genera and superior divisions, M. Haüy has established them on the constituent principles or chemical properties common to all the substances comprehended in the same division.

"This method differs from others, in establishing nothing arbitrarily, and it is originally founded on the result of laws to which the most perfect minerals are subjected in their formation. It has the character of a true system, which ought to be such that all those who will apply its principles may attain the same results, and agree with each other on the number and distinction of the species. If it undergoes modifications, they must arise either from discoveries which will enrich the science with new species, or from a more exact application of its principles than the subjects in the hands of the author permitted him to make."

* M. Lucas observes in a note, that the study which consists in the application of geometry concerns only the author of the system, but that others may verify, by means of the *goniometre*, the angles which have been determined by calculation. This remark obviates an objection to this method founded on the necessity of being an expert geometrician, and leaves it open to the commonest capacity.

The above particulars convey a comprehensive view of the fundamental principles of this system, which in what relates to crystallography is unquestionably founded on a truly scientific and permanent basis. To the mineralogical classification we regret that we cannot yet attribute an equal degree of merit. Many serious objections might be urged against it, and among others that of having so many appendixes, and of not including neither primary nor secondary rocks, and numerous common minerals. That it is susceptible of considerable improvements, however, the *additions* and *corrections* here communicated under the inspection of the author, sufficiently prove. We shall notice the more important alterations in the order of the classification.

The first class has experienced a new division and definition. It originally consisted of "acidifiable substances composed of an acid united to an earth or an alkali, and sometimes to both." The new definition is "acidifiable substances composed of an acid, whether free or united to one or more earths, or to an alkali, and sometimes to the one and the other." "This class," says M. Lucas, "is now divided into four orders; the first, being newly established, comprehends the *free acidifiable substances*; the second, the *earthy acidifiable substances*; the third, the *alkaline acidifiable substances*; and the fourth, *alkalino-terreous acidifiable substances*." The first order consists of two species, the sulphuric and boracic acids. Of all the acids, no more than these two are found pure or detached in a state of nature, of course they are the only ones which can properly belong to mineralogy. The second order is also formed into two divisions; "acidifiable substances of a simple base; and acidifiable substances of a double base." The former of these divisions embraces carbonat of lime, of which M. Haüy, since the publication of his treatise, has "determined, described and delineated thirteen varieties of new forms in this species." This number added to sixty formerly described makes the number of the varieties of carbonat of lime amount to seventy-three with thirty-two sub-varieties, besides six sub-species (one of which, aluminous carbonat, has been suppressed, and pearly carbonat added), containing eighteen varieties and sub-varieties. Of this species and its sub-species there are now one hundred and twenty-three distinct specimens described by M. Haüy. If to these we add the phosphats, fluats, sulphats, nitrats and arseniats of lime, we shall then be acquainted with the mineralogical characters of one hundred and seventy-five different combinations of lime, all of which, with the exception of two varieties, the primitive and amorphous magnesian carbonats, are more or less advantageous to vegetation, and useful as manures. Mr. Jameson in his German-English system of mineralogy has only described thirty-eight species of lime.

It appears from M. Haüy's discoveries that Werner's *Apatit* (the

(the phospholite of Kirwan) and his *spargelstein* (asparagus stone) are only two names for the same substance, the unibinary phosphate of lime of the crystallographer. This is another proof of the errors and confusion that must ever attend a system which gives names and distinctions to mineral substances without previously submitting them to chemical analysis or some other process, such as the admeasurement of their crystals, to ascertain their inherent qualities. M. Haüy has also arranged in his system two substances which under the German names of *schaumerde* (foaming earth), the silvery chalk of Kirwan, and *schiefer spath* (strat spar), the Argentine of Kirwan, he had placed in his first appendix. These two substances now form a sub-species which the author denominates pearly carbonate of lime. Vauquelin analysed the former of these minerals, and found it to consist almost entirely of carbonate of lime united to a small portion of a matter, which he called *talc* (or resembling talc), that gave it a foliated texture and pearly aspect. The substance which Brochant and other Wernerians has denominated native magnesia, and "which," says M. Lucas, "according to the analysis of Dr. Mitchel, a learned English mineralogist, to whom we are indebted for its discovery, contains only magnesia and carbonic acid in nearly equal portions, is for M. Haüy a pure carbonate of magnesia. When he shall have determined its characters according to his method, the siliceous carbonate of magnesia, a mineral substance found at Castella-Monte in Piedmont, and analysed by Guyton, who makes it consist of magnesia 26.3, carbonic acid 46, flux 14.2, water 12, iron and loss 1.5, that now represents a species, will then be only a sub-species of the carbonate of magnesia."

The author has here collected a considerable mass of interesting information in these additions and corrections to M. Haüy's original treatise, which does credit both to his industry and his talents. The topaz, which has hitherto been considered as containing only earthy matter, and when formerly analyzed by Vauquelin yielded but 31 flux and 68 alumin, is now announced by M. Klaproth to M. Haüy as a saline substance, which the latter has denominated aluminous flux of flux. Vauquelin, in a recent analysis, found the topaz of Saxony to contain 30 flux, 50 alumin, and from 18 to 20 fluoric acid. "We shall therefore be forced in future," observes this chemist, "to consider the topazes as saline substances; and we will not unquestionably regard, without some degree of surprise, a combination, in which so much fluoric acid enters, that presents such a great hardness and infusibility, especially when we reflect that all the other known combinations of fluoric acid are so tender and fusible." It is indeed extremely probable that the fluoric acid performs a much more important part than chemists or mineralogists have yet suspected, and that it forms a constituent of almost all the precious stones in greater or less proportions.

portions. The pycnite contains 5.8 of fluoric acid, and the hydrargillite, analyzed last year by Mr. Davy, doubtless owes its durability to a small portion of this acid, which the experimentalist could not distinctly recognize.

M. Haüy has described and determined the crystallization of two varieties of this unique species, the *octosexdecimal*, and the *perioctahedre* with a *sexdecimal* summit, of the aluminous fluat of filix. The examination of these crystals of topaz has tended to confirm the following observation, "that in the minerals susceptible of becoming electric by heat, the secondary forms always deviate from the rules of symmetry, at the same time that the two summits each acquire a contrary electricity. The second variety presented a new electrical phenomenon, which has considerable affinity with that which the magnets present that have consequent points. This is another trait of resemblance, says the author, between the phenomena produced by magnetism and those which the bodies that become electric by heat particularly evince, and in which the law of electrical densities has so perfect an analogy with that which the magnetic densities follow in a magnetical bar."

Electricity being a favourite study with the author, he has made more experiments on minerals in this way than perhaps any other mineralogist. The following statement will shew that the metals not only acquire different modifications of electricity, but also acquire it in different degrees.

"Metals which acquire the vitreous electricity. Silver native or cast, lead do. copper do. oligiste iron, cast zinc (strongly), and bismuth native or cast.—Metals which acquire the resinous electricity. Native or cast platina, native or cast gold, antimonial silver, sulphuret of silver (strongly), sulphuret of lead, cast nickel, pyritous copper (strongly), gray copper (strongly), sulphuret of copper (strongly), oxydule of iron, sulphuret of iron, cast tin, arsenical cobalt, grey cobalt, native or fused antimony, sulphuret of antimony, and native tellurium."

The important alterations and additions, which, from the present volume, it appears that M. Haüy has made since the publication of his treatise, induce us to wish that he may soon favour the world with a second edition. This is the more to be desired, as he is now, although active and vigorous from a temperate and virtuous life, in his 74th year; and, since the death of Lalande, is almost the only surviving philosopher of a better age in France. His successful labours in educating the blind have shed a lustre on his useful life, as well as his interesting researches in the physical sciences. To the latter, indeed, he is daily contributing; and he is now about changing the expression of the specific characters in the second class of minerals, the second order of which will be divided into two sections, the one comprehending "earthy substances which include potash," the other "earthy substances united

to

to soda." The new definition of the first appendix* also inspires a hope that, with the progress of knowledge, M. Haüy's arrangement will become more simple and more general, so as eventually to embrace every substance in the mineral kingdom. This appendix now contains only twenty-seven or rather twenty-five distinct minerals; which are defined, "substances on the greater part of which observations are still to be made before assigning them places in the system."

Notwithstanding the general superiority of this system to that of Werner, it is much to be wished, for the interest of science, that the method of describing the external characters and general habits of minerals proposed by the latter should also be adopted, as it is necessary that the mineralogical traveller should be in possession of some general observations that might supersede the necessity of carrying either the chemist's blow-pipe or the crystallographer's *goniometre*. It is the part of wisdom and sound philosophy to appropriate the good and reject the worthless. He who is influenced only by truly scientific principles will neither be the fanatical devotee of Werner, nor the geometrical mechanic of Haüy. The system of Werner, supported by traditional prejudices, is superficial, delusive, and in the highest degree calculated to flatter weak or uninformed minds with the vanity of science, which they do not possess, to arrest the always slow progress of profound knowledge and true philosophy, and to disseminate a spirit of sciolism disgraceful to this or any other age. The method of Haüy, on the other hand, is admirably adapted to the use of chemists and other philosophers, but it is too abstract and too difficult ever to become a familiar guide to the science of mineralogy. The volume before us, indeed, had the editor always supposed his readers unacquainted with the treatise of his master, might have served as an introduction to the parent work. As a specimen of M. Lucas's *Tableau*, we shall translate his description of a species not known to M. Haüy when he published his treatise.

"PHOSPHAT OF MANGANESE.

CHARACTERS.

Essential character.

Reddish; soluble in nitric acid.

Physical character.

Spec. grav. 3.8979; that of the blackish variety is 3.4309—
3.655, according to Vauquelin.

"VARIETIES.

FORMS.

1.—Laminar

2.—Amorphous

Colours.

1.—Reddish brown

2.—Blackish brown

Transparency.

—Translucid.

"Hardness. Slightly scratching glass; brittle under the hammer, and easily ground.

* The editor of this volume introduces a fifth appendix, in which the atmospheric stones are placed under the head of *Bolides*. It cannot, indeed, be expected that the nature of these stones should yet be sufficiently known to admit of their classification.

" *Colour.* Reddish or blackish brown.

" *Dust.* Yellowish grey or greyish.

" *Aspect of the surface.* Shining.

" *Impression on the feeling.* Soft to the touch.

" *Electricity.* Resinous by friction, being insulated.

" GEOMETRICAL CHARACTER.

" *Presumed primitive form.* A rectangle parallelopiped, and perhaps a cube according to M. Haüy.

" *Structure.* Laminous, brilliant.

" CHEMICAL CHARACTER.

" Easily fusible in black enamel, by the fire of the blow-pipe; wholly soluble and without effervescence in the nitric acid, in a time more or less between twenty-four and thirty-six hours. It speedily dissolves with heat in muriatic acid.

" *Analysis* by Vauquelin. Oxyde of manganese 42, oxyde of iron 31, phosphoric acid 27 = 100.

" We owe the discovery of this mineral substance to Citizen Alluaud, director of the porcelain manufactory at Limoges, and to Vauquelin the determination of its species. The latter chemist, in concluding a memoir of his experiments, declared that 'this mineral should henceforth form a distinct species in the mineralogical system, either in the iron genus or the manganese genus.' The result of his analysis, presenting manganese as the most abundant principle, was sufficient to assign it a place in this genus. But now, that an analysis made of specimens coming from the same place, by Citizen Darceet (son of the celebrated chemist of that name, who pursues with honour the same course which his father adorned), has shewn us that they are almost entirely composed of oxyde of manganese and phosphoric acid; and that, besides their particular properties, they have the greatest analogy with those which Vauquelin noticed in the mixture of phosphat of iron and phosphat of manganese. It should therefore no longer remain doubtful, and mineralogy enjoys the acquisition of a new species in the genus of manganese."

In this first part of M. Lucas's "Table of the mineral species" he has added twenty-five new species, the integral molecule of which has been determined by M. Haüy since the publication of his treatise; and the whole volume contains the mineralogical, chemical and geometrical description of four hundred and sixty-two different species, which are sufficient to recommend it to the attention of naturalists, and especially to those who have not resolution or time to study the original work, or to enter into mathematical calculations.

MISCELLANEOUS.

A NEW EDITION of the UTI POSSIDETIS and STATUS QUO,

WITH NOTES IN PLAIN ENGLISH,

Critical, Political, Satirical, Explanatory, and Illustrative,

BY THE EDITOR.

YE Ministers of Britain's State

Form'd of all talent, good and great,

Like

Like Grotius vers'd in treaties,
What though abroad ye marr'd the scene,
Tell us what 'tis at home you mean
By th' *Uti possidetis*.

Is it that you possess the store
Of merit that you had before
You took the public duty?
If that be all the praise you want,
The Opposition Bench will grant
Your *possidetis uti*.

But if we judge by what is past,
Say how your merit's to be class'd,
Where worth's, where wisdom's feat is
Made up of strange discordant parts:
None, "but the Searcher of all hearts,"
Can tell quid *possidetis*.

Was patriot Virtue erst your guide,
Or did ye list on Faction's side,
And plead her cause?—*filetis*!
Maidstone's and Newgate's rolls have nam'd
The patriot Whigs for whom ye claim'd
The *uti possidetis*.

United now in Friendship's bands,
What Principle connects your hands?

"What though abroad," &c.] Surely the *talent* of negociation was never more fully exemplified, than on the memorable occasion here alluded to; whether we refer to the *origin*, mark the *progress*, or trace the *result*, of the *experiment*. The whole, indeed, was a *coup de maitre*, the inventor and managers of which merited a *coup de grace*.

"Made up of strange discordant parts."] The Bard is wrong to make this discordancy, discrepency, incongruity, call it what he will, a subject for censure or complaint. Nothing is more easy than to prove that a Ministry so compos'd was more likely to establish and to promote *truth* than any other body of political men, the two Houses of Parliament, of *course*, being excepted, with all due reverence; for is it not an acknowledged fact, that from the collision of opposite sentiments the sparks of truth will elicit? And surely nothing could be more opposite than the *stint* of GRENVILLE and the *stone* of GREY, from the collision of which elicited those sparks, which displayed them both in their *true* colours, and flash'd conviction on the eyes of their insulted Sovereign, and of an indignant people.

"Maidstone's and Newgate's Rolls have nam'd."] It has been often observed that a certain description of dealers in fiction, vulgarly denominated *Lyars*, ought to have good memories; now, as "the soul of poetry is *fiction*," the same qualification is, of course, necessary for *Poets*. And, surely, never did any Bard possess it in a greater degree, than the Bard whose brilliant effusions now call for the exercise of our *annotatory* talents. What a vile retentive memory, and what an inveterate disposition, too, must he have, thus to revive the records of the Old Bailey, and to re-animate, as it were, the political ashes of those Patriot Whigs, Hardy, Tooke, O'Coigley, O'Connor, and Co.!

Your

Your Union's basis show :
 Is it the Treasury's Rosy Bed ?
 Or is it—that ye view with dread
 Your wretched Status quo ?
 If on Finance you build your fame,
 To Pitt's account transfer your claim,
 To him—its state debetis :
 Last year, a woeful tale ye feign'd
 Of "Wasted funds, resources drain'd,
 A bankrupt possidetis."
 Courted by Fox in language sweet,
 Could Benevent refuse to treat ?
 Politeness would compel him :
 'Tis strange that Peace should look so queerly
 On men who fraterniz'd so dearly
 At Paris ante Bellum,

Though

"Your wretched status quo."] If there be any one species of malevolence more reprehensible than another, it is that which recalls to a man's recollection his pristine situation; for instance, to remind a *Right Honourable* of the dexterity with which he uncorked the bottles at the side-board of his master; a *Colonel*, of the grace which he displayed in the removal of his mistress's dirty plate; to remind *Sir Matthew* of the tavern-bell; *Sir John* of his fifth-stall; or *my Lady* of her wash-tub; is the acme of poetical spite, and ought not to be endured in a Christian land. To recall to the recollection of Grey, Sheridan, Fitzpatrick, and their hungry followers, the barren wilds of opposition, when they were fattening on the rich pastures of power, was an act of cruelty, more worthy a dull proser than a lively poet. But it was all "proud spite and burning envy;" and we hope, since the tables are turned, and those *statesmen* now possess more wit than money, that they will retort upon the poet.

"Wasted funds, resources drain'd."] We recollect, some years ago, a pantomime which appeared on the theatre of Mr. Sheridan, who was not then too proud, *soit dit en passant*, "*gauderè sui plausisque theatri*," in which Harlequin possessed a magic sword, which had the power, on being touched, to make all persons present speak the truth. Now, we suspect that Lord HENRY PERRY was the political Harlequin (and no Harlequin, since the days of *Rich*, ever danced better) who enjoyed the power of making the late Cabinet speak truth. For, when they first came into place, nothing but bankruptcy stared them in the face; all was ruin and desolation around us; but his little Lordship had not been Chancellor of the Exchequer many months, before, presto! the whole scene was changed;—measures inexhaustible, plenty, and prosperity, beamed in every eye, and flowed from every tongue. Thistles were converted into roses, *Far* slept, *Sheridan paid*, *Lawrence friked*, and *Fitzpatrick moralized*.

"At Paris ante Bellum."] The Prince of Benevento, alias the apostate Talleyrand, deformed in body, but still more deformed in mind, enjoyed the sweet language of his guardian friend; to whom he gave the fraternal hug on his last visit to Paris, whither he repaired to pay his adoration

Though favour'd Yarmouth might be coax'd,
 Fox was too cunning to be hoax'd;
 Maitland a Scot discreet is:
 From such Negotiators say
 How could your Basis slip away,
 Your *uti possidetis*?

When PITT's good genius blest'd the land,
 No fond regard for Talleyrand
 Mix'd with his country's duty:
 He—for his Sovereign and the Nation
 Reserv'd his high consideration,
 Nor would have left—to *Implication*
 Our *possidetis uti*.

Allied to Pitt in early day,
 Grenville! the People mark'd your way,
 And deem'd you—his Achates;
 With him your patriot ardour fled,
 But left *one* Maxim in its stead,
 The *ut possideatis*.

To

tion at the shrine of Napoleone. But the *invention* of Fox in devising the means of opening a negociation entitles him to a high rank in the list of diplomatic sages. The pretty story, of an assassin repairing to the *friend* of the object whom he intended to murder; the poetical epistle to Talleyrand, in which the horrors of assassination are finely portrayed; the billing and cooing of the political doves; all was so transcendently exquisite, as to excite the enthusiastic admiration of the revolutionary *cognoscenti*, and to exhibit a most delightful contrast to the forbidding manners and surly language of a GRENVILLE, in formerly resisting the approaches which his new colleague was so solicitous to make. But to put a few serious questions:—Who was the assassin? Whence came he? Whither was he sent? Had he an alien's passport? Was he known at the Alien Office? Was he treated as the *law* directs? Did any one see him except Mr. Fox?—When these questions are answered, some others, equally important, will remain to be put.

“*The ut possideatis.*”] This seems, indeed, to be *THE one* maxim with the Grenvilles:—The lust of *ambition*, for the possession of *power*; and the possession of *power*, for the pleasure of *profit*. Does the proud spirit of this lofty Peer, bursting with self-importance, affect to despise the marked indignation of an injured people? Does he presume to imagine that his political baseness will escape the lash of public censure? No: the higher he once stood, when moving in the train of that protector to whom he was indebted for his rank and power, and whom he forsook in the hour of trial, upon a cold arithmetical calculation of the probable duration of different lives; the lower will he now sink, when, abandoning his former principles and associates, and adopting novel systems and new colleagues, he begins his second career at once by an instance of unparalleled selfishness, and by a gross violation of a constitutional principle. He has the effrontery to propose a law for enabling him to hold two situations which the

To you (their Treasury Baal) now
Whigs neutraliz'd with Tories bow,

the constitution has declared to be incompatible with each other, the one situation being a check upon the other; and, at the same time, avows himself (though not with perfect accuracy of fact, for the *chief* credit was due to *another*) to have been the adviser of a measure by which a Cabinet Minister was appointed without responsibility, and a Judge converted into a politician!!! It well becomes his Lordship, indeed, and his political friends, to censure Mr. Perceval for accepting two situations, which are, in point of emolument, very inadequate to the loss which that gentleman has sustained by the abandonment of his profession, when his Lordship, wallowing in wealth, can thus consent to a sacrifice of principle from the mere lust of gain! But, in the gloomy solitude of Dropmore, like a stern sultan in his divan, he issues his mandates with the air of a despot, and expects implicit obedience to his nod. When in power before, it must not be forgotten, he pleaded the pressure of his official business, as Secretary of State, as an excuse for the non-performance of his duty as Auditor of the Exchequer. An act was then passed to enable him to perform the laborious task of signing his name, by *deputy*. But even the appointment of a deputy was too laborious an effort for his Lordship's mind; the consequence was, that the national securities which issue from the Exchequer were invalid; a general alarm was spread among the holders of them; and this scandalous neglect, this culpable omission, of a man who was receiving thousands per annum of the public money, for that very service, it became necessary to repair, by *another* act of Parliament!!! Thus has Lord GRENVILLE, twice, since his accession to political power, found his lucrative post of Auditor of the Exchequer incompatible with his situation as Minister; and twice has his Lordship had recourse to *expedients* for retaining his *post* without resigning his *situation*; so displaying his love of *power*, as subservient only to his thirst for *gold*. If he were poor, if he had not the necessary means for the support of his rank, this *auri sacra fames* might be excusable, or, at least, might be overlooked. But when his fortune is known to be great, when it has recently been doubled by the death of a relative, when his revenue largely exceeds his expenditure; it is impossible to view this *ut possideatis furor* without disgust.

Since his Lordship's ambitious hopes have been defeated, he has entirely lost what little patience he possessed: the irritability of his constitution is wonderfully increased; and he even rivals his friend Howick in petulance and spleen. In a recent debate he has been represented, by the papers, to have asserted, that those who have affirmed the established religion of the realm to be in danger, from the mischievous plans of himself and his colleagues, do not believe their own assertions. Does he judge of others by himself? At all events, he is cautioned not to let such splenetic effusions of mortified pride and disappointed ambition escape him elsewhere, lest he should incur the unpleasant retort of two uncourteous monosyllables.

FIFTEEN THOUSAND GUINEAS have been offered for *three seats* in a certain assembly not yet in existence.—Ye *Tellers*, "Tell it not in Gath;"—ye *Auditors*, let it not stand to be *audited*, by the recording angel, on the day of general account!

And

And crowd to touch your shoe-tye:
 O'Connor's Friends shall praise your name,
 And future Paines and Hardys claim
Their possidetis uti.

The *Brissotine* your hand shall kiss;
 Spirit of Chatham! know'st thou this?
 Ye Pittites! quid ridetis?
 Grenvilles and Temples long ago
 To *British Worthies* gave at Stow
'The uti possidetis.

Grenville!

"*The Brissotine your hand shall kiss.*"] The friend of *Brissot*—mirabile dictu!—is now the friend of GRENVILLE! So is "Jockey of Norfolk"—aye, that Norfolk, whom Grenville, when first a Member of the Cabinet, advised his Majesty to *disgrace*, by taking from him the regiment which he commanded, and by depriving him of the office of Lord Lieutenant of a County, for having, at a Whig orgy, drank "*My Sovereign, the People!*" and whom Grenville, when a second time admitted a Member of the Cabinet, advised his Sovereign to *honour*, by appointing him a Lord Lieutenant of a County, although at another Whig orgy he drank the self-same toast! Such is the consistency of the House of Grenville—such the Achates—certainly not the *fetus* Achates—of PITT!

"GRENVILLES and TEMPLES," &c.] TEMPLE, the young scion of the Grenville stock, is a true chip of the old block. Such an awkward partisan; such a confused politician; such a *bubble-bubble* orator; has seldom been exhibited upon the public stage. This hopeful Paymaster made his ministerial *début* in Hampshire, where he was, most meritoriously, hissed; and where he certainly deserved a much severer fate. True, however, to the *ut possideatis* principle of the family, he clung to office with the most extraordinary powers of adhesion; and even when forced to resign his place he wisely resolved to retain some, at least, of its *sweets*.—In the *Courier* of April 24th, the following *allusion* appeared:

"*Stationary Talents.*—A certain Personage, in his retreat from power, is said to have given a proof that, whatever others may feel with respect to the changeful nature of ministerial situations, he would remain firmly attached to the *stationary*. But this attachment has been manifested in a way, which, under the government of ancient Rome, would have incurred the *penalties* of the *Lex Papyria*—and it is even said, that it is punishable by the *penal* laws of this country. It is to be hoped, however, that they may be prevented from *war-ing* so wroth against the person in question, who has a Work in hand which will be by no means *Tape-r* in size, but will actually occupy *eighty reams of Paper*, and will require *ten thousand Pens* to copy it. As such a Work must be worthy of being handed down to posterity, and placed among the most valuable records in the State Paper Office, it was not to be expected that the Personage in question was to be at the expence of buying the Pens, Ink, Paper, &c.—This Work is upon the excellency and morality of the Romish rites and ceremonies, particularly upon the ceremony of receiving the *Wafers* in very large quantities. It will not be published with the real name of the Author; but, in imitation

'Grenville! though in your State array-
 You number Windham, Petty, Grey,
 Will none of them play booty?
 These Whigs are difficult to tame;
 They must oppose, and scout your claim
 To th' possidetis uti.

tion of those who have written under the signature of JUNIUS, CATO, BRUTUS, PUBLICOLA, CASSIUS, and others, he will take the name of *Papyrius Cursor*, which may be thus translated into English—*Paper Pur-loiner*."

The fact is, that, on the eve of his resignation, his Lordship sent an order to the office which supplied his department with stationary-ware, for an ample assortment of writing paper, cap-paper, pens, ink, sealing wax, wafers, &c. &c. to the amount of *two hundred pounds* and upwards. This order was, fortunately, sent to an office which has a public accountant, and the account, therefore, must meet the public eye; and if it do not produce a public enquiry, it will be passing strange. Meanwhile, it has engendered the following Epigrams:

EPIGRAM.

In days of yore, the poet sings,
 An artist skill'd and rare
 Of wax and feathers fram'd his wings,
 And made a famous pair;
 With which from precipice and tower,
 From hills or highest trees,
 When work'd by his mechanic power,
 He could descend with ease.
 Why Temple, then, wants such a store,
 You surely ask in vain;
 A moment of reflection more
 Would make the matter plain.
 With plumes and wax, and such like things,
 In quantities not small,
 He means to make a pair of wings,
 To ease his *sudden fall*.

ANOTHER.

That Ministry's fallen is surely no vapour;
 So frighten'd are they, there's a call for waste paper.
 The order is large, I allow it (od rot 'em);
 Proportionate, too, to the FAMILY BOTTOM:
 For TEMPLE is willing, kick'd out with disgrace,
 To cleanse the BROAD BOTTOM he dirtied in place.

Any man but a GRENVILLE would have thought the fortune of the heiress of the House of Chandos fully sufficient to defray the expence of pen, ink, and paper, even had her Lord been engaged to compose new lives of the Romish saints, with a refutation of Fox's Book of Martyrs, dedicated to *Doctor O'Connor*; and a voluminous treatise on the *Freedom of Election* addressed to his Grace of Norfolk.

Though

Though pure your heart, and clean your hands,
And high your rate of merit stands,
Nil valet quod meretis,
Some Brewer in rude but licens'd speech—
Sans proof—that Merit shall impeach,
And quash your possidētis.

Grey,

"Some Brew'r in rude but licens'd speech,

"Sans proof;" &c.] Aye, not only impeach sans proof, but punish sans trial; and sans honesty to retract or to repair after the falsehood of the accusation has been proved, and the party attacked most honourably acquitted! But Brewers, in all times, have been brewers of mischief as well as of beer; from the Brewer of Ghent, to the Brewer of Chiswell Street. Apropos to Brewers, another Whig Brewer was a steward to the feast of the Sons of the Clergy not long since, which gave him a right of naming one of the boys to be bound an apprentice, and of naming also the master to whom he should be bound; when he had the decency to bind the son of a clergyman to Hardy, the "acquitted felon," as Mr. Windham most emphatically termed him, as well as his worthy associates in the cause of Whiggism!

As to the prosecution of Lord Melville, by "Whitbread wallowing in the yeasty main," it has since been acknowledged to be, what every man of common sense knew it to be at the time, a party manœuvre. In a morning print, the property of a late secretary of "mild St. Vincent," it was recently asked, with a gravity tout-a-fait amusante, whether the impeachment of Lord MELVILLE had not done great good, by keeping his Lordship out of the Cabinet? Aye; and were we vested with royal power, we would do great good, not to the Whigs indeed, but to the nation, by bringing his Lordship into the Cabinet; and by so throwing the weight of his knowledge, his talents, and his experience, into the scale of the ESTABLISHED CHURCH, and of REGAL ASCENDENCY, and of the BRITISH CONSTITUTION, into which scale every Briton who values the birthright which his fathers have bequeathed him, who reverences the eloquence which has been exerted to support it, who honours the blood which has been shed to secure it, will throw all the weight which he either possesses in himself, or has the means of procuring from others. To rally round the ALTAR and the THRONE, is now the imperative duty of all, who venerate the one and who respect the other. Let every man's motto be, "WHEN I FORSAKE MY KING, MAY MY GOD FORSAKE ME!" For our part, we say it, with all the warmth, and with a little more than the piety and the sincerity, of a THURLOW!

Now that we are on the subject of Lord Melville's trial, we cannot allow the opportunity to escape, without expressing our surprise and indignation at the licentiousness of the public prints; in which language of the most libellous nature, of the most false and injurious import, and of the most foul and wicked tendency, has been scandalously imputed to one, who recently held an important situation, as a crown lawyer, under that constellation of talents upon which, most fortunately, a royal extinguisher has been recently put. Words have thus been put into the mouth of the person alluded to, which it is utterly impossible that he could ever have uttered;
for

Grey, tutor'd long in Fox's school,
By mild St. Vincent taught to rule,
A loftier Port will show;
Haply your Cabinet divide,
Nor deign to leave your Tory side
Their half o' th' Status quo.

Yet, Howick ! if thou'rt still the same,
As ere this *alias* grac'd thy name,
What are thy merits ? tall 'em.
Sea-Statefman thou *aground* would'st be,
Land-Statefman thou art now *at Sea*,
Hoc Statu geris Bellum.

Narrat'd

for they contain a most defamatory libel upon the supreme court of judicature in this realm, which, had it been delivered, as asserted, in the House of Commons, would instantly have been noted down, and have subjected the libeller to a criminal prosecution. The imputation, moreover, is not only a libel upon the Whig lawyer, but upon the House of Commons ; for if the words charged had been actually used, it would have been the bounden duty of the Speaker to interfere, and of the House to bring the libeller to punishment ; and as neither the Speaker nor the House has ever been known to neglect any part of their duty, particularly in respect of political and of judicial matters, as indeed was evinced in that very debate, where a mere allusion to a single Peer (a *Whig* 'tis true) by that famous Protestant Dr. DUGENAN, called forth a general expression of indignant patriotism, it is gross defamation to alledge that such a libel was uttered on the whole House of Peers, sitting in their judicial capacity, without exciting the smallest notice from any one member of the House of Commons. But the thing is incredible in itself ; for though the gentleman in question was, most unexpectedly, raised to a situation for which he was, most pre-eminently, disqualified, and at least as unexpectedly deprived of it, and might therefore be expected to fret and fume, like his leader, and to spit forth his political spite, still it cannot be believed that he would ever so far lose sight of *equity* as to condemn *justice* ! We trust, therefore, that the writers who have had the presumption to cast so foul an imputation upon him will speedily be brought to condign punishment—though not, we hope, sans proof, or sans trial.

“ *By mild St. Vincent.*”] Profound *etymological* knowledge is requisite, to enable a reader to understand the justice, force, and applicability of particular terms or epithets :—every one knows the derivation of *locus*—a non lucendo. But every one cannot know the propriety of applying the epithet *mild* to our naval hero, who has not seen him on his quarter-deck receiving an officer who brings the news of a defeated expedition, of galleons safe in harbour, &c.—or who has not, at least, seen him in his bed with his *riband* on ; in his cabin, dictating a letter to the Admiralty in praise of his officers, without a word of himself ; or in the chair of the Whig-club at *Maldon*. In these situations the native sweetness of his disposition shines forth to captivate every beholder ; and his friend Whitbread's beer is not more *mild* than St. Vincent !

“ *Sea-Statefman* thou *aground* would'st be,

“ *Land Statefman* thou art now *at sea*.”] All the honours of the naval administration,

Nurtur'd in Malagrida's lap,
Imbibing Politics with pap,

Petty!—

administration, during the reign of this stately gentleman, were certainly due to another: Grey was king, but St. Vincent was viceroy over him. The honours, however, were such as to form no subject for envy. Grey might leave the monopoly of them to his friend, without any diminution of his own fame; while that friend might, with equal safety, and equally without fear of loss, leave all the honours of the *land-administration* of Grey to their legitimate owner. To say the truth, Grey was equally fit for the Admiralty and for the Foreign Department; that is, both as *sea-statesman* and as *land-statesman*, he was equally out of his *element*—and if any one were to ask what his proper element is, it might be difficult to answer. It certainly is not either *sea* or *land*. Is it *air*?—we believe so.

Thus far was written when Lord Howick's Address to the Freeholders of Northumberland appeared in the papers. That his Lordship was one of the most sour-minded, worst-tempered, men in his Majesty's dominions, had long been known; and therefore it was naturally expected that the exposure of his insidious manœuvres, and the frustration of his ambitious hopes, would draw from him some of the most malevolent effusions which ever issued from the pestiferous brain of spleen. But it might also have been expected, that, at a time when the public attention was so immediately directed to his Lordship, he would exercise more than usual caution in the controul of his temper, and display more than usual prudence in the concealment of his disappointment. They, who could form such expectations, however, knew not the man. The notable production in question is not merely an address to the Northumbrian freeholders, but an appeal to the public at large. As such, then, let it be considered. Lord. H. complains of the dissolution of Parliament at a time when numerous private, and some public, bills were in their progress through the House. The additional expence to be incurred by individuals in the former case, and the inconvenience to be sustained by the public in the latter, are the apparent grounds of his Lordship's objection to the measure. But exists there a man in the kingdom who will give his Lordship credit for the assertion—who will believe, for a moment, that he cares the least about the losses of individuals or the inconvenience of the public? He knows, indeed, that little or no loss will be sustained by the one, and that no inconvenience whatever will be experienced by the other. As to the plan of finance, that part of it which went to exempt the people from the burthen of taxation for three years was *approved* by the *OPPOSITION*, the ground of whose objection to its other parts was, that it held out false hopes to the public; that it was a repetition of that Calvinistic quack, Neckar's, experiment, which produced the French revolution; and that, instead of relieving them from burdens, as it professed to do, it would ultimately produce a material increase of their burdens. 2. As to the new Poor-bill: it is the crude produce of a speculative head, uninformed by knowledge, unimproved by experience, and uncorrected by judgment. The whole plan, of which this bill forms a part, is wild in theory, and impracticable in execution: by whomever it has been duly examined it has been

Petty!—thy worth we know:
 As Scion fage in earliest youth,
 A Tully, ere you shed a tooth;
 This was your status quo.

What.

been fully disapproved; and, if passed into a law, which it is to be hoped it never will be, it will aggravate the evils which it professes to correct. 3. As to the Scotch Judicial Reform Bill, it is a matter which requires the deepest consideration; Lord Grenville, the framer of it, has often declared as much in the House: a short delay, therefore, will rather be an advantage than an inconvenience; as it will afford more time for that mature deliberation which it professedly demands. The other measures are not worthy of notice; but in all these cases no other inconvenience will accrue than a delay of two months, when the Parliament will assemble, the bills will proceed with all practicable expedition, and the labours of the Finance Committee may be resumed.

When Lord H. proceeds to talk of his own qualifications for the trust which he solicits, and refers the public for them to his past conduct during the last twenty years, whether in or out of office, his effrontery becomes intolerable. That conduct exhibits a mass of contradictions, from the contemplation of which the genuine patriot revolts with horror. How dare Lord Howick accuse others of "a factious spirit," when his own public life has been marked, from its commencement to the present time, by a sullen but active spirit of faction? What was his regular and systematic opposition to the Government, what his praise of the French regicides, what were his encouraging speeches to the seditious in the House, what his inflammatory harangues at tavern orgies, what his insulting conduct to his Sovereign, but damning proofs of a factious spirit? How dare Lord Howick tax others with *hypocrisy*, when his whole conduct, while he was in power, was flagrantly *hypocritical*? Had he not pledged himself, as it were, to the Romanists, to procure their *emancipation*? and did he not know and approve of the *written pledge* given by his Whig-friends, never to come into power without a previous stipulation, with their Sovereign, that every claim of the Romanists should be acceded to? Lord ALBEMARLE may refresh his friend's memory on this subject: did he not stand pledged to use all his influence of power to procure a reform in Parliament? These are a few of his pledges when *out of power*, not one of which did he attempt to redeem when *in power*—and shall he presume to talk of *hypocrisy*?—Farther; did he not know, before he made the radical change in the late bill, respecting the admission of Romanists into the army, that his Majesty would not accede to it? Did he believe that the King had read it when sent, with the alterations, and without the usual Cabinet minute to direct his attention to it? No one will give him credit for the assertion. Never was such an insidious, such an *hypocritical*, attempt to deceive the Sovereign made by any set of Ministers since the Revolution. And yet Lord Howick, the principal actor in this unprecedented scene, has the assurance to stigmatize his opponents as *hypocritical*.—Shall we speak in the language of Mr. Corry in the Irish House of Commons? Talking of George Penfsonby, "Who is he that talks to me of infincerity?"

What are your state acquirements now?
The nimble step,—or graceful bow,

To

insincerity? Is it that Honourable Gentleman?"—"Were insincerity to be personified, it would take the likeness of that Honourable Gentleman."

When his fulleri Lordship thus vented forth the ebullitions of his rage, the transition from misrepresentation to falsehood required no extraordinary effort. The assertion that the present Ministers "have come into power under the implied pledge, utterly incompatible with a free discharge of their duty," has met with the fullest contradiction from those Ministers themselves; and it required all the malignant hardihood of Lord Howick's mind, to renew it in the teeth of such contradiction. It sufficiently proves, however, first, the facility with which this disappointed demagogue makes assertions; and, secondly, the degree of credit which is due to his assertions. "They have carried"—he pursues in the same spirit, "into government the same factious spirit which so strongly marked their proceedings in opposition." Lord Howick, with a peculiar infelicity of sentiment and of language, has here bestowed a compliment, where he intended to cast a calumny. We trust that the Ministers have carried with them into power *the same spirit* which marked their conduct out of power. What was that spirit which their malignant defamer characterizes as *factious*? It was the spirit of pure patriotism; which led to the resistance of measures hostile to the constitution, destructive of our commercial and maritime interests, and dangerous to the established religion of the country. It is to that spirit, the country looks, with confidence, for the abrogation and repeal of acts marked by the most glaring imbecility, and fraught with the most mischievous consequences. It is to that spirit the Romanists look, and without dread of disappointment, not for unconstitutional promises, made with readiness, and broken without ceremony, but for consistency of conduct, steadily refusing what duty forbids to grant, but uniformly attending to the improvement and melioration of the lower classes of people in Ireland, by devising means for protecting them against the grinding oppressions of subordinate tyrants, by affording additional motives to industry, and by holding out every possible encouragement to agriculture, commerce, and manufactures. This is the boon to conciliate the Romish peasantry of Ireland; let this, which formed no part of the flimsy, fallacious, and impotent system of the Granvilles and the Howicks, be granted; and, if they shall then continue to be factious and turbulent, there will be but *one* source to which their faction and turbulence can possibly be traced. How will the peevish, petulant Howick find his peevishness and his petulance increased by the address of the Irish Romanists to the DUKE of RICHMOND, congratulating his Grace and themselves, on the dismissal of his Lordship and his desperate colleagues from the councils of their Sovereign! The Romanists well know, that the late bill was only intended to cajole them; that, like all the political schemes of its sapient projectors, it was mighty in profession, but impotent in performance; and that, while it was calculated to alarm the King and his Protestant subjects, it was wholly inadequate to satisfy the claims and expectations of the Papists: they know, also, that however the present Ministers may differ from them on one point, and the difference, though radical, is conscientious;—that they will not,

To dancing nymphs a treat is;
 Ye tellers of the Exchequer's score!
 Count on one Petty-tally more
 Dum Petty possidetis.

Windham!

in any respect, deceive them; but that they will cordially co-operate with them, and with the whole body of their Sovereign's loyal and faithful subjects, in consulting and promoting the real prosperity, welfare, and happiness, of every part of his dominions.

But, after all, what *opposition*, may it be allowed to ask his Lordship, had the late Ministers to sustain? He will not dare to deny that no Ministry, since the revolution, ever experienced so little opposition; nor that no opposition, in the same period, ever displayed less disposition to harass and perplex a Ministry, or greater inclination to assist, amend, and correct their measures; and certainly no Ministry ever stood so much in need of assistance, amendment, and correction.

That Lord Howick is the "declared enemy of the present administration" may be to them a matter of triumph; there are some characters whose *enmity* confers honour, and whose *friendship* alone can inflict disgrace. Whether Lord Howick be one of this description, the noble sage of Dropmore is best qualified, though least disposed, to declare. But this is certain, that if the present Ministers were *befriended* by Lord Howick, they would be *execrated* by the public. While, however, we admire the *candour* which his Lordship has displayed in this part of his address, we look in vain for any proof of his *prudence*. Who and what is this *Whig*, who thus tells the world, that he has *prejudged* the Ministers whom his Sovereign has chosen; that, whatever their measures may be, he includes them all, beforehand, in one general sentence of condemnation? Is this *constitutional*, is it *just*, is it *honest*? Is a man, who dares insult the country with so profligate an avowal of inveterate prejudice, with so public an expression of his contempt for the first principles of justice, with so broad and unqualified a declaration of his utter indisposition and consequent unsuitness to discharge, in the situation which he *claims*, rather than *courts*, the duties of a representative, a patriot, a jurymen, or a judge; is such a man fit to hold a seat in one of the great councils of the nation? It is contended, on the clearest constitutional grounds, that this address is a *moral disqualification* of his Lordship; and the electors, who, having read and considered it, should return him to Parliament, would deserve to lose their franchise, as the most servile and the most degraded of slaves. This is a time for the promulgation of bold truths; when men should examine with care the public addresses of candidates for their suffrages, and publish to the world the result of such examinations. The appeal is made to the public, and every individual of that public, having an interest in the choice of representatives, has a right to analyse it, and to exhibit the particles, in a state of decomposition, to the world. The task is here begun, the example is here set; let others follow it.

One other passage demands a few words of reproof. The new Ministers are, by this arch-accuser, charged with "endeavouring by the foulest means to excite political dissensions and religious animosities in all parts of the kingdom," and as men "who, when their own *personal interest* is in view,

Windham! thy talents who can class?
Shall I detail 'em, or en masse

With

view, have shewn themselves equally regardless of the repose of their Sovereign, and of the welfare and tranquillity of their country." Now if his Lordship and his colleagues, noble and ignoble, solvent and insolvent, had themselves sat for this picture, it would not have been possible to have drawn a more striking likeness. As applied to *them*, it is *true* in all its parts; as applied to *their opponents*, it is *false* in every point. What was so well calculated to excite *political dissensions*, as the late Ministry's systematic exclusion of every one of Mr. Pitt's friends, and of the preceding administration, from the cabinet and from the government? What could so soon produce *religious animosities*, as their profligate attempt to represent *themselves* as the friends of the Romanists, and *their Sovereign* as their enemy, as the removal from the seat of justice, in Ireland (and that by a near relative of Lord Howick) of zealous Protestants who had taken an active part in suppressing the rebellion of 1798, and the appointment of Romish successors, who had fermented and encouraged that rebellion? Who shewed themselves so regardless of the *welfare and tranquillity of their country*, or so consulted their *own personal interest*, as the men, who, from motives of personal interest alone, procured a law to be passed to enable their leader to hold two situations, as incompatible with each other as that of a *Chief Justice* and a *Cabinet Minister*; and who recalled officers of tried valour, known experience, and approved skill, and gave their situations to their own needy, and impotent, relatives and partisans? And *whose personal interests* were so much consulted in these shameful measures, as those of the families of GRENVILLE, FOX, and HOWICK? And who considered so little as "All the Talents" the repose of that Sovereign, over whom they sought to tyrannize under professions of obedience; whom they grossly insulted under declarations of respect; whom they basely deceived under the pretext of information; and whom, in a word, they contrived to sink into a mere cypher in the state, by rendering him the slave of the most formidable and most desperate aristocratical faction, that ever sought to establish itself on the ruins of regal power? But to spare the *humane feelings* of this *benevolent Lord*, to relieve his *gentle mind* from the load of anxiety for the *repose* of his Sovereign which so manifestly presses upon it, it is but candid to assure him, and *on the very best authority*, that His Majesty has not been so happy for a long time as he is at present. Yes, supported by the innate rectitude of his mind, and relieved from a weight which had distressed him for *thirteen months*, and which he could no longer bear, our good and venerable King feels that repose which had long been banished from his bosom.

One word, at parting, to this pert, proud, and self-sufficient Lord. Let him enjoy, in retirement, the spoils of the West; let him chew, in obscurity, the cud of disappointment; and peaceful oblivion may be his lot; but if he attempt again to revile his betters, when he ought to defend himself, he shall again feel, with tenfold force, the scorpion-lash of truth.

"WINDHAM! thy talents who can class?"] Not Mr. Ascoug himself, though the greatest adept at *classification* whom the literary world

With thy new levies rate 'em ?
 Though France *kill off* our veteran force,
 Thy Bills provide a second course
 To feed our Belli-statum.

Thy weather gauge is mov'd by squalls,
 With *Fas* and *Omis* ascends and falls ;
 Now at the dog-star's heat 'tis :
 Thy schemes in quite rotation twirl'd,
 Would change the poles, nor leave the world
 Their uti possidetis.

With Crawford for thy bully-back,
 What Windmills will ye next attack ?

What *pastry* overthrow ?
 Pitt's quota men, and volunteers,
 Stript of their jackets, hang their ears,
 And take their Status quo.

Cadmus sow'd serpents' teeth of old,
 Arm'd men sprung up, and were so bold,
 No constable could quell 'em ;
 Try this, Red-coats like prawns or shrimps,
 Arm'd at all points, shall show thy crimps
 The status ante bellum.

Now should Napoleon's angry Host
 In Boulogne's Flota brave our coast,

No matter where our Fleet is :
 A fig for gun boats and corvettes,
 Martello towers and martinets
 In posse possidetis.

Pure as the fount from which it rose,
 Britain ! thy stream of justice flows,
 Ye vallies !—nunc cantetis.

Should party seeds pollute its source,
 Or Faction interrupt its course,
 Nil tanti possidetis.

ever produced !—Alas ! alas ! that the man whom nature, education, and habit, formed for the accomplished scholar and the finished gentleman, for the ornament of the polite, the social, and the classical circle ; to inform by his wisdom ; to enliven by his wit ; to endear by his manners ;—that a man so formed, so fashioned, and so endowed ; should have had all his high and excellent qualities marred, perverted, obscured, by being sunk and degraded into a mere political tool, an instrument of party !—Oh ! 'tis enough to make Genius rave, to see one whom she destined for a planet, converted into a *satellite* ! To see all the native fire of such a mind damped, all its vigour palsied, by coming into contact with the polar ice of a Howick ; to see all its energies destroyed by the cowardly counsels of a *** , or a ***** , or a **** ; to see the bold accuser of the Corsican murderer acting in concert with his secret friend and open panegyrist ; on such a scene *patriotism* draws the curtain with a weeping eye, and a trembling hand.

Ye Bacons, Coke, and Hardwicke, say
(Juris periti of your day,

Astute in points and cases)

Was it on frothy declamation,
Or deep and close investigation,
You form'd your legal Basis?

When Keeper Hutton held the Seals,
Though he was tripping with his heels

And light fantastic toe,

Befs knew, before she gave the mace,
That Loyalty, not less than grace,
Compos'd his Status quo.

Had Maidstone's patriot sought his aid,
He would as soon have vouch'd for Cade,

Erlkine and Co.—tacetis:

'Tis strange—(to judge him by the sequel)
You e'er should think his worth could equal

Your uti possidetis.

When Pitt the British Senate grac'd,
Erlkine, thy judgment was unbrac'd,

Thy tongue forgot its duty;

Now Solomon must yield to thee,
And Seymour's friend will guarantee

Your possidetis uti.

Since

"*And Hardwicke, say.*" This is not that Lord Hardwicke, who, while Viceroy of Ireland, adopted the singular notion of conciliating the Romanists, by persecuting the Protestants; in illustration of which novel principle, he deprived Mr. JOHN GIFFARD of Dublin, one of the most strenuous defenders of the Church and the Throne, of a situation which he had enjoyed for three and twenty years, and which had been given him as an indemnification for the loss of a profession which he had abandoned at the particular request of the government, in order to devote his services to the state, for having dared to exercise his privilege as a citizen, and his birth-right as a British subject, in moving a petition to Parliament, in opposition to the petition of the Romanists: it is not that Lord Hardwicke who, in return for honours and rewards lavished on himself and family;—for a blue riband conferred on his Lordship;—for a bishoprick given to his brother in law, with instant fines to the amount of 30,000*l*; and for enormous reversions for himself and for his sons; combined with Grattan, and with his mitred relative voted for three ministers who attempted to surprise the conscience and to thwart the wishes of the King, and to destroy the bulwarks of the Church and State;—and who, though possessing a most moderate portion of talent and of judgment, presumed to remonstrate with his Sovereign on the impropriety of opposing his servants, and on the impolicy of adhering to his coronation oath.—No, the HARDWICKE here alluded to was a man of a different stamp!

"*Now SOLOMON must yield to thee.*" There is an ambiguity here. Does the Bard mean *Solomon the Sage*, or *Solomon the Quack*?

"*And Seymour's friend will guarantee*

"*Your possidetis uti.*" This is a palpable mistake; it is not in the power

Since Amiens' farce amus'd the land,
Doctor, hast thou improv'd thy hand

At

power of royalty to rob a peer of his dignity, to degrade him from his rank, and to restore him to his pristine state—it is in his *own power alone* to produce any part of such an effect. Nor is it in the power of royalty to secure to the personage in question either the former fruits of his professional labours, or the stability of those *foreign funds* in which no small portion of those fruits have long been vested. He must remain satisfied with a *pension* for his *long and arduous* services as Chancellor. As to *Seymour's friend*, alas! where is *he* or *she* to be found? The proper friend and guardian of an orphan is the nearest relative of his lost parents;—the proper friend of a *female orphan* is a woman of *virtue*, and of *spotless character*;—the proper guardian of a *Protestant* child, is a *Protestant*. All other friends, all other guardians, are unnatural and most dangerous. The *late* Chancellor dared not, certainly from *worldly* motives, to raise his voice against the virtuous decree of his predecessor; and a Bishop—shame on such bishops!—dared, from *religious* motives most certainly, and without the smallest view to future promotion, to vouch for the pure Protestant principles of the orphan, while under the impure protection of “*THE SCARLET WHORE*”!!! Were it allowable to interrogate a *mitred pastor*, his Lordship of Winchester might, with propriety, be asked, what were the sentiments of the child, on the doctrine of the real Presence, on the worship of images, on auricular confession, on *Papa*-infallibility; all points, a right understanding of which, his Lordship will scarcely deny, is requisite to the formation of a good Protestant. But such questions might have embarrassed the prelate, who found it infinitely more convenient, as well as more safe, to give a *general* opinion, than to descend to *particulars*.

The scene which was exhibited on this extraordinary occasion, in a certain theatre, was truly interesting. An animal, who, in lower life, would have been *dignified* with the title of *toad-eater*, but who, in higher life, is insulted with the appellation of *friend*; a man, whose hereditary honours flowed not from the purest source, and unquestionably bear no resemblance to the muddy stream, which “as it runs *refines*”;—a man, in short, whose age is marked by all the vices of youth, without one of its virtues, and who is still more contemptible than he is vicious, was employed to marshal the troops who were to fight the cause of “*Seymour's friend*,” and, it must be confessed, the task was truly worthy of the agent. Among others, he secured one who is the lineal descendant of the proprietor of that great dramatic hero, PUNCH. On entering the theatre, his employer gave him strict injunctions to stick close to his man, who might otherwise make a mistake, from mere idiocy, and go to the *wrong side* of the stage.—“No, no, Sir,” replied the sagacious agent: “I have told him to follow the B— of W— wherever he goes; and so he cannot but do right!”

Alas! alas! that “*Seymour's friend*” should be *Selim's master*:—but hold, Pegasus, and do not take such a sudden leap from the stage!

* See Revelations, chap. xvii.

At making war or treaties?
With brother Hiley at thy back,
Which is the Statesman, which the quack,
Quid ambo possidetis?

With these, and ministers like these,
England! canst thou be "ill at ease?"
Vain are thy fears, dispell 'em.
With all the Talent of the nation
Focus'd in Cabinet concentration,
Secure geris bellum.

And you,—ye Pilots of the realm!
Trim well your sails and mind the helm,
Your charge—a proud first rate is;
But should you wreck the nation's hope,
O! may her anchor lend a rope,
Quod vos possideatis.

Feb. 5, 1807.

Westminster to the two year old course at Newmarket. Breathe awhile!
The rein shall be soon thrown on your neck, and you left to gallop where-
ever your spirit may lead you.

"*Doctor—brother Hiley—which is the Statesman, which the quack?*" &c.]
This precious pair of political portraits perpetually remind us of the *Doctor*
and *Apothecary*—neither is a statesman, both are Quacks. The starched
physiognomy of Brother HILEY, who resembles a cockatoo in spectacles, is
as irresistibly ludicrous, as his sapient orations are irresistibly soporific.
These unhappy personages sometimes do right by chance, and not unfre-
quently wrong through mistake. The Doctor is the most important person-
age of the two, and, when full dressed, is not unlike an impaled weasel.
While Speaker, when he had nothing to say and nothing to do, he contrived,
somehow or other, to inspire people with a vast opinion of his wisdom,
possibly under favour of the school boy's old adage, *Sapiens est qui pauca*
loquitur. But when, in an unlucky hour, the poor gentleman set up for a
statesman, and commenced political trader without either capital or stock
in trade, his credit rapidly declined, and he became a Bankrupt. Still he
never had the sense to discover the real cause of his failure, nor yet had
the candour to acknowledge his folly, in resigning a situation which
required no more talents than those of a jay or a jack-daw, for one which
demanded brains. By a strange infatuation his self-consequence increased
with his insignificance; raised by a concurrence of adventitious circumstances
to a situation for which he was utterly unqualified, he imputed his fall
rather to the prevalence of party, than to his own palpable insufficiency;
and thus assumed the airs of importance when out of power, and, after-
wards, a tone of dictatorship when in power. Foiled in a favourite object,
he left his patron under a false pretence, and so added *hypocrisy* to *ingrati-
tude*!

PRÆCURSOR'S VINDICATION OF MR. SULLIVAN.
LETTER II.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW.

Sir,

IF I were perfectly satisfied, that I have written under a false impression, and that you really do not deserve the accusation with which I have charged you, I would not hesitate to declare, that I regret having made such an attack upon you, did not the very intemperate language you have made use of towards me, render that now impossible. But, Sir, you must allow me to say, that your conduct *apparently* contradicts your assertions; nor, can I suppose, that you would have suffered your Review to be the vehicle of such gross calumny, without the certainty of some remuneration, both for your trouble and hazard. You have certainly entered much more warmly into this controversy, than it became you in your literary character; nor will it be easily reconciled to my mind, that you would have ventured to admit the Letters of Valerius Publicola, without some security against the pains and penalties likely to attach to their publication. If I have been scurrilous, Valerius and his colleagues have taught me to be so. Such enemies must be repelled with their own weapons. I am free to confess, that I wrote under a considerable degree of indignation: an honest indignation, at seeing such repeated and shameful attempts, to blacken the character of one of the *best* of men. Yes, Valerius, of one of the *best* of men. I know few equal to Mr. Sullivan. If, to be a sincere friend, a good father, an affectionate husband, exemplary in all the duties of social life, with an upright and honest conduct towards mankind in general, entitle a man to the character of one of the *best* of men, Mr. Sullivan deserves it most richly. But,

No might, nor greatness in mortality
Can censure 'scape: back-wounding calumny
The whitest virtue strikes—What king so strong
Can tie the gall up in the stand'rous tongue?

I shall now, Mr. Editor, no longer trouble you with what more immediately concerns yourself, and shall merely say, that I neither wish to be *unjust* or *illiberal*; nor am I solicitous that any one should suffer, except he who deserves punishment.

Si cui videor non justus, inulto
Dicere, quæ sextit, permitto.

The amiable Valerius has, I see, favoured the public with his third effusion of venom. Heavens! that a man should exist, so totally devoid of all honour and decency! But to what does the flimsy composition amount? To Nothing. 'Tis the feeble effort of a desperado, bankrupt in every good and generous feeling. Parturiunt Montes, parciſur [naſcetur] ridiculus mus. Poor Valerius seems to find his work growing very hard upon his hands. The full stream of malice has already been yielded, and the last turbid remains of the well of malignity are laboured up with extraordinary difficulty. What, could Valerius make out nothing better, with the assistance of Job, and Locke, and Cæſero, and Burke into the bargain*? There

are

* I have not mentioned one half of the venerable heroes whom Valerius has

are many miseries attending celebrity, but none greater, than that, of being obliged to be at the service of every dabbler in literature. Are the misfortunes of poor Job never to cease? He, unhappy man! might, I think, have been suffered to remain in peace. Had it, however, been his miserable fate to have lived to these days, he would have found the most severe trial of his patience in store for him, were he obliged to read the letters of Valerius. Malice and dulness seem to contend for the mastery in his compositions. Here his powers are pre-eminent. The crown of calumnious emptiness is certainly his right, and in regal state he may fit*,

Thron'd in the centre of his thin designs,
Proud of a vast extent of flimsy lines.

But Valerius has shifted his ground a little, and has now called in wit to his assistance. 'Twas a happy thought, indeed, which dictated to him, to relinquish for a little his empty declamations; for the public must have been heartily tired of them. A little humour is, certainly, a very palatable ingredient; but wit seems to be, as much at variance with Valerius, as integrity has been. 'Tis, indeed, but a very poor specimen:

His wit all see-saw between *that* and *this*,
Now high, now low, now master up, now miss;
And he himself one vile antithesis.

The acute and brilliant talents for abuse which Valerius has displayed, might have promised something better than the old stale joke, "Oh, that mine adversary had written a book." This is most unfortunately foisted in; for if the publication of a book be a sure and easy mode of gratifying resentment, by exposing the malice, hatred, and uncharitableness of its author, Mr. Sullivan has it in perfection; witness that mass of florid impotence, "An Address to the Public." *Μεγα βιβλίον μέγα κακόν*, is a saying, which is venerable from its antiquity, and is probably founded in truth; but if a great book be a great evil, what are we to say of a great book of calumny? That must surely be the greatest of all evils. There must certainly be, to a bad man, a degree of satisfaction in slander, which one, of any moderate goodness, can neither comprehend nor conceive. The more exalted, and eminent, too, the station and character of the person, the greater appears to be the pleasure of traducing him.

Be thou as chaste as ice, as pure as snow,
Thou shalt not escape calumny.

Junius has set the example, and Valerius seems determined to emulate him. 'Tis a worthy prototype, and an amiable copy. Junius had, however, the satisfaction of knowing that though he might be despised, yet, that he was admired. Valerius has the double felicity, of being both

has brought out in martial array to attack Mr. Sullivan; Zeno, Aristotle, Simonides, several of the biblical critics, &c. &c. &c. are tugged in without mercy to the field of battle.

* Should any one conceive me to be too severe, I beg leave to refer him to those parts of Valerius's third Letter, in which he introduces poor Job; and his philosophical necessity. Here are not only feeble attempts at wit, but an affected display of learning. He ought to be ashamed of writing such nonsense. I am much disposed to think Valerius is a mere sciolist.

despised

despised and ridiculed. He has all the venom of Junius, without, God knows, his abilities; all his rancour, without his genius; all his malice, without his wit. The force and elegance of the language of Junius, his cutting ridicule, his acute satire, have handed his works down to posterity, a wonderful example of prostituted talents. Valerius is not destined, even, for such disgraceful honours. The dull insipidity of his compositions weary the faculties, and pall upon the mind.

Forth from his lips prepar'd on all to rail,
 Torrents of nonsense fly like bottled ale;
 Tho' shallow, muddy; brisk, tho' mighty dull;
 Pierce without strength; without o'erflowing, full.

In the turbid productions of his acrimonious mind, not a ray of genius, not a spark of wit or of humour, exhibits itself to light the jaded traveller on his road. One string of abuse, or illiberal insinuation, succeeds another, and the hard wrought whole presents to the world an extraordinary instance of the concentration of every bad passion in one human breast. But the great force and energy of his humorous powers, Valerius seems to have reserved to usher in a "Ryght merrie storie" of the ship *Fortitude*; wherein, his eyes, having previously changed their direction from East to West, were struck with the very counterpart of the ship *Elizabeth*. I congratulate Valerius on his wonderful powers of vision, and only wish their distinctness had corresponded with their extent. But, unfortunately, he has seen this said ship through so very clouded a medium, that his description is not quite correct. It is related in the true spirit of its author, and embellished with all his talents of misrepresentation. I shall, therefore, take the trouble of relating the *real* circumstances for him. The *sapient* ministry, of which Mr. Addington was the head, considered the Island of *Trinidad* as an object worthy of peculiar attention. It could not be properly cultivated, without a large proportion of workmen. The question, therefore, was, how they were to be procured with the greatest advantage. Mr. Sullivan and Lord Buckinghamshire having observed, during their residence in India, the vast numbers of natives, as well as foreigners, who found difficulty in procuring employment, and who were consequently in a state of extreme want, conceived that the superabundant population might be employed to considerable advantage in our colonies; whilst the unfortunate people themselves would be enabled to procure the means of a more comfortable maintenance. They, therefore, proposed to introduce into the Island of *Trinidad*, as many of these men as were disposed to embark in the undertaking; by which means the necessity of an inhuman and execrable traffic would in a great measure be superseded. The experiment was made, and in the ship *Fortitude* a number (of Chinese it seems) were sent from India to *Trinidad*. I know not how the plan succeeded. Whether, however, it did or did not succeed, it was merely an experiment, and was dictated by the purest principles of justice and humanity. This plain simple story, Valerius, under the impulse of extravagant hyperbolical influence, has metamorphosed into "the bold and singular idea, of transporting the natives of China to people the Antilles." Indeed, Valerius, notwithstanding your *bold* and *singularly* figurative language, the world must be very illiberal, if it does not forgive Mr. Sullivan his experiment, even though it may have failed,
 neither

neither can you, if you have the least glimmering of honesty about you, deny to the heart that praise which you seem to think so little due to the head. The manly insinuations of Valerius respecting the contraband traffic, which, according to him, was carrying on in the ship *Fortitude*, are in perfect unison with the rest of his *honourable conduct* towards Mr. Sullivan.

Hic nigra succus loliginis, hæc est
Ærugo mera.

'Tis slander,
Whose edge is sharper than the sword: whose tongue
Out-venoms all the worms of Nile; whose breath
Rides on the posting winds, and doth belie
All corners of the world.

It was, however, to be expected from him. He is now so deep in iniquity, that he must proceed, and I only wonder that he has satisfied himself with bare insinuations. The story of illicit trade having been carried on in ship, is by no means unlikely. Speculative men are very apt to catch at such an opportunity; but, *my life on it*, Mr. Sullivan had no share in the transaction. His mind is far superior to a meanness of this kind*. It is in truth, as my Lord Macartney has said, "awake to every object within its reach, or within its view;" but its range is bounded by the moral horizon of honour and honesty. The transactions of the ship *Elizabeth*, which alternately play a serious and comic part throughout the letters of Valerius, will of course be loudly clamoured by him, as an objection to my last assertion. What knowledge I have of those transactions is *entirely* derived from one of Valerius's own letters. That letter contains the antidote to its poison; and the impression left upon my mind was, that as far as human means could go, they had been exerted, to discontinue any farther connection with that ship, which the sudden change in the politics of Europe had rendered improper; and to that letter I refer the reader, for a full acquittal of any dishonourable conduct on the part of Mr. Sullivan. I am really concerned to perceive that Mr. Sullivan's Affidavit is so great a plague to Valerius. This terrible oath is the quicksand, which he cannot pass; there his frail bark sticks, and there will it ultimately be stranded. When heated rage and exasperated malice lead and direct the passions, it is not to be wondered at, that they sometimes entangle their votary in

* Since writing the above, I have seen in the *Globe* newspaper of the 7th April the following paragraph: "The ship which carried the Chinese settlers to Trinidad had on board a large quantity of piece goods, by, it is said, the private permission of government: but the naval officers commanding there, not being satisfied with the kind of evidence produced by the proprietors to this effect, seized the ship and cargo, which were condemned and sold very much under value, notwithstanding strong representations by the Advocate General. In a few days after, an order of council arrived from *Britain*, allowing the importation; so that the loss must be made up by this country." If this be true, let who will be the speculators, where is the illicit trade, the contraband traffic? What does that traitor to honour, Valerius, deserve for his base insinuations? Surely what I have said of him cannot be considered as too severe.

difficulties of their own making; and that he himself should fall into the snare, which was intended for another. My old quotation, *qui alterum accusat probri eum ipsum se intueri oportet*, is indeed here more than ever applicable; and the insinuations of Valerius must revert with augmented force upon his own head. I confess I do not understand that kind of sophistry, which implies, that a man must tell an untruth, because he finds it necessary to confirm his assertion by an oath; even though I have been assisted with the *solid arguments* and *sound judgment* of Valerius, backed by a quotation from one of Cicero's *Philippics*. However, if this be the case, it would seem, that justice would require the *first* of two contradictory oaths to be that which ought to be the *first* discredited. I therefore beg to know, by whom was the first affidavit made? By Dr. Lynch, or my memory fails me most egregiously. If therefore a man is to be considered as having told a falsehood, because he has sworn, *priority of disbelief* is *his due*. There is an old story, I forget at present where I met with it, that a belief exists among the natives of some barbarous or half-civilized country (perhaps of greater barbarians) that if by any means they can destroy their enemies, all the good qualities they possessed fall to the share of the conquerors as a certain inheritance. Mr. Sullivan's enemies seem to be actuated by some similar motive. But, Valerius, thy labour is in vain. Thou mayst exert all the energies of thy soul to distress him; thou canst not injure him. He is as far thy superior, as heaven is superior to earth. *Non si te superis par eris*. Firm in the possession of a good conscience, he defies all your puny attempts.

Salcuis acer

Ambulat, et caprius, *racui male, cunque libellis*:

at bene se quis

Et puris vivat *manibus*; contemnat utrumque.

which cannot be better explained, than in the words of our immortal Shakespeare:

What stronger breast-plate than a heart untainted?

"Thrice is he armed, that has his quarrel just,

And he but naked; tho' lock'd up in steel,

Whose conscience with injustice is corrupted.

And now, Sir, leaving Valerius* to the contemplation of his own *virtues*, and to those pleasing sensations which inevitably attend upon a man, engaged in the *reputable employment* of *detraction* and *calumny*, I shall for the present no longer encroach on your time.

PRÆCURSOR.

* I am strongly inclined to suspect that the letter to Mr. Sullivan is not the only production of the *facetious* Valerius which your last number contains. His *enthusiastic imagination* seems to have found difficulty in "*bristling in*" his pen, in that *short* sentence of fifteen and a half long lines of close printing, which makes so conspicuous a figure in the letter of Arcefilas. Here again Lycurgus, Gracchus, Cincinnatus, Fabricius, with several other of the ancient worthies, present themselves in fanciful variety. The internal evidence is too strong almost to admit a doubt of his being the parent of that exquisite epistle. He is the very paragon of wits.

P. S.

P. S. I did not expect to see my last letter adorned with so many *typographical beauties*; had I been aware of this, I should not have employed quite so many *dash*es, as I am not an admirer of that species of beauty in writings. By printing the word This instead of Thro' in the sentence beginning, "The Dispassionate and Impartial, &c." you have made something like nonsense of it. I mention this merely as an error of the press, not as intentional on your part.

How to give *Præcursor* that perfect satisfaction which he seems to require, respecting our disinterestedness, we really do not know, nor are we very anxious to learn. When, without hesitation, and certainly without either proof or information, that could warrant the assertion, he boldly taxed us with corrupt motives, we did that which we are persuaded he, or any other honourable man, would have done, if so accused; we gave the most flat and unqualified contradiction to the charge. More the circumstances did not demand; and the nature of the case did not admit of less. If our language be liable to the censure of *intemperance*, his must incur the guilt of *falsehood*. Without deigning to notice his *inferential* arguments; we shall, for the satisfaction of our readers, declare, in the most solemn and unequivocal manner, that neither on this, nor on any other occasion, did we ever expect, require, or accept, directly or indirectly, any "remuneration" whatever, for our "trouble or hazard," or any "security against the pains and penalties attached to the publication" of our sentiments. Here, then, *Præcursor* must submit to be told that he has again accused us most falsely, and most unwarrantably. Our readers are already apprized of the circumstances which first led us to take cognizance of the *Pictonian Prosecution*; and we again assure them that, at that time, we had not the smallest acquaintance with any one of the parties implicated in the business. Having begun the investigation, it was our duty to pursue it; and if, in the course of our animadversions on some of the persons who have made a conspicuous figure in the transaction, we have been induced to make use of language which to *Præcursor* may have appeared too severe, the strength or severity of that language, we shall ever contend, was fully sanctioned by the circumstances which called for its application. A regard for truth and justice alone engaged us to take a part in this controversy; and a rooted abhorrence of ingratitude and persecution extorted from us, as we proceeded with the inquiry, terms of asperity, which we are, by no means, disposed to retract, and which we shall ever be prompt to justify. If *Præcursor* acknowledge that he felt and expressed "a considerable degree of indignation" at "the repeated attempts to blacken the character" of one man, of whom he thinks highly, and evidently from a personal acquaintance with him, with what propriety can he arraign the expression of our indignation at witnessing the most infamous attempts, that malice and slander combined ever conceived, or, with the aid of fraud, deception, artifice, and hypocrisy, ever executed, to blacken and to destroy the fair fame of an officer of character, which, till then, the pestilential breath of calumny had never dared to assail; of an officer whose services to his country had been repeatedly acknowledged by his Majesty's ministers, and whose public and private virtues had endeared him to all who had the opportunity and the happiness to know him? If *Præcursor* really

really believe that we could not possibly be led, by other than corrupt motives, to defend such a man so attacked, although we had no personal acquaintance with him, and to stem that torrent of popular prejudice which had, by the most base and infamous arts, been brought down against him, in order to overwhelm him with its fury; what ground for impeachment of the purity of his own motives does he not afford? Nor could he complain if we were to mete out to him the same measure which he has meted out to us. It is not our intention, however, so to retort upon him; we are by no means displeased with his zeal, and only wish that it had been better tempered by judgment.

We must say a word or two more to *Præcurſor* on the subject of *pains and penalties*, the only arguments to which some of the parties in this extraordinary business have found it expedient to recur. However severe the observations of *Valerius* may have been on the gentleman for whom *Præcurſor* has, with such generous warmth, stood forward, as an advocate and an eulogist; they were strictly limited to his *public conduct*, as a *public character*. If any attempt had been made to attack the *private character* of Mr. Sullivan, it would have been resisted with indignation and scorn. He may be, and we have no doubt is, as estimable and praise-worthy in private life, as *Præcurſor* has represented him; but still this consideration could not exempt his *public conduct* from that scrutiny and from those comments, which the freedom of the press, in Britain, admits and authorizes. Could we have thought that the publication of the Letters of *Valerius Publicola* required an indemnity, they, most certainly, would never have been published by us. But we were, and still are, fully persuaded that no pains or penalties can attach upon observations, founded on authentic documents drawn from the records of the East India Company, or on Mr. Sullivan's own admissions. It only remains for us to add, that the result of this *prosecution* has fully justified every opinion which we have delivered respecting it; COLONEL PICTON having been honourably acquitted by the Privy Council of all the charges preferred against him, notwithstanding the unexampled weight of malignity and calumny, with which an attempt was made to support them; and notwithstanding the unprecedented measure of separating one charge from the rest, in order to make that the subject of a distinct trial, before another tribunal, while the whole together were the subject of inquiry by the Privy Council. This extraordinary proceeding had a direct tendency, whatever the intention might be, to excite the popular odium against a man who was under trial by a different court; and would, in all probability, have produced an unfair impression on, and have given an undue bias to, the decisions of a tribunal less enlightened and less honourably composed, than that before which it was his good fortune to appear. By this, also, the strange effect has been occasioned, of setting the decision of one court in opposition to the decisions of another. For while the Court of King's Bench have pronounced him guilty of one charge, the Privy Council have acquitted him of the whole!

This prosecution, however, has happily illustrated the observation of Cicero; *Nihil esse homini tam timendum, quam irridiam: nihil innocenti suscepta invidia, tam optandum, quam æquum judicium, quod in hoc uno denique falsæ infamæ finis aliquis atque exitus reperitur.*

TO THE EDITOR OF THE ANTI-JACOBIN REVIEW.

Sir,

AS I with this Letter to appear, if possible, in your Appendix, I shall not intrude much on your time. I was certainly disappointed in not seeing my second letter in your last number; nor can I help suspecting that you have not been altogether impartial*. On this, however, I shall not dwell, as I wish to be brief. Valerius commences his letter by saying, "I shall not condescend to reply to Præcursor." I think his determination prudent; as it will save him the mortification of being treated with the most marked contempt. He proceeds to say; "His menaces I despise."—Be it so: of that, perhaps, more hereafter. Again, "His scurrility it is foreign to my habits and manners to retort." Excellent faith: This "out Herods Herod." The man who, for two years, has inundated the press, and abused the public ear, with the most unbridled scurrility, presumes to say, that it is *foreign to his habits and manners*. Tun' Sanus? "And as to argument," continues Valerius, "he has given me none to answer." Argument for what? Does Valerius mean argument in *defence* of Mr. Sullivan? Mr. Sullivan requires no *defence*. His conduct, in every transaction of his life, will dare the minutest scrutiny, of *bounourable and impartial investigation*. I come not forward to *defend* Mr. Sullivan; mistake me not; my motives for addressing you were, and are, to set the public opinion right; to state facts as they really existed; and to expose the infamy of Valerius. The assertion, that Mr. Sullivan has been recently applying for the government of Madras, is *not true*. The transactions of the ship Elizabeth are an eternal theme for the venomous illiberality of Valerius; but if the actions of men are to be judged by the will and the intention, Mr. Sullivan's conduct in the whole of that business was *most strictly bounourable*. What Valerius says of his intended "appeal to those who guided the affairs of state;" and his friendly remark that, "Mr. Sullivan may find shelter in obscurity," can excite nothing but ridicule. It may perhaps be well for Valerius, should he endeavour to draw the veil of obscurity round himself. Quid tu? nulla ne habes vitia? But, I am at a loss which most to admire, the *ingenuity* of the *discovery*, or the *ingenuoufness* of Valerius, in the *confession*, that he has "animadverted on Mr. Sullivan as a *public character*, not as a *private individual*!!!" Poor Valerius! I pity you. I hardly thought you could have descended to this. It is, however, according to the nature of things: one meanness generates another. Let me recommend this passage from the profound Hume to your attention: "In men of more ordinary talent and capacity, the social virtues become still more essentially requisite, there being nothing eminent in that case to compensate for the want of them, or preserve the person from our *severest hatred and contempt*."


PRÆCURSOR.

* Our Printer can inform Præcursor that his letter was omitted in our last number *solely* on account of the *press of matter*.

INDEX.

- A**BSENCE of mind, a well-drawn character of, 285
- Accounts, merchants', their knowledge rendered more easy, 397
- Acid, fluoric, its important influence in minerals, 467
- Act for the repeal of the acts of settlement in Ireland, its tenor explained, 238; proscriptions in consequence of this Black Act, 239
- of Attainder passed in Ireland, accurate explanation of the, 297
- of Repeal, its abominable contents, 298
- Actors, comparative view of them in former and the present days, 127
- Administration, the public, difficulty of re-establishing the integrity of, 425
- Adults, convicted, as yet still excluded from most societies to the honour of this country, 225
- Ætæp, commended for his consistency in his fables, 168
- Affidavits, some remarks on, 305
- of Mr. Sullivan and Dr. Lynch, the, compared, 306
- Age, the present, characteristics of, 176
- Alcock, Mr. stated to have been in the service of the United States during the American war, 149
- Altamont, despicable character of, 390
- American Intercourse Bill, opinion on the, respecting its injurious effects to the commercial interests of Great Britain, 141
- Anatomy, the only true method of studying, 172
- Angel, the vindictive, description of his appearance, 8
- Animals, cruelty towards them strongly reprobated, 167; are conducive to each others support, 167
- Anti-Jacobin Review charged with avarice and venality by a scurrilous writer, 305
- Anecdote of a New Jerusalem teacher, 239
- Antiquarian, his labours estimated, 433
- Aquinas, his doctrine of predestination different from that of Calvin, 70
- Armenia, account of, 452
- Austria, reasons of her discomfiture and disgrace, 445; remarks on the state of her army, 445
- Authors, dramatic, their pliancy to the caprices of actors injurious to their own interest, 128
- Barillon, M. his national frenzy against the English, 435, 440
- Behaviour, officer-like, explanation respecting, 88
- Belus, account of that great king's wars and deification, 453
- Biographer, a new, satirical sketch of himself and his performance, 83
- Blacks, discharged, addicted to sloth and debauchery, 314
- Boyle, Hon. Mr. some particulars relative to the lecture founded by the, 97
- Brewers, singular character of them in general, 477
- Bruere, particulars respecting the ruins of, 438
- Buonaparte, his appearance to retrieve the fortunes of the French poetically described, 11
- , his impolitic conduct subsequent to the treaty of Presburg, 134; his unprincipled and unprovoked acts of aggression, 134
- , correct estimate of his character, 136; his ignorance of the common courtesy of a gentleman, 137; his destructive progress, 138
- 's life, character, and behaviour, poetically described, 385
- Burke, Mr. his secession in politics from Mr. Sheridan, 186
- Calmacs, probably the real descendants of the ancient Scythians residing on the borders of the Don, 162; their utility in war, 163
- Calvinism, the fundamental principle of, 68
- Cambiovicenses, the aboriginal inhabitants of Combraille, 436
- Catholics, Irish, their continual state of rebellion during the reign of the house of Stuart, 236
- Chambon, particulars of its antiquities, 434
- Chancellor of the Exchequer, character of a late, 353
- , the Lord, considered as the general protector of lunatics and idiots, 360







DEC 15 1937